	Page 364
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
_	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	
4	
	IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION MDL No. 2804
5	OPIATE LITIGATION Case No. 17-md-2804
6	
	This document relates to: Judge Dan
7	Aaron Polster
8	The County of Cuyahoga v. Purdue
	Pharma, L.P., et al.
9	Case No. 17-OP-45005
10	City of Cleveland, Ohio vs. Purdue
	Pharma, L.P., et al.
11	Case No. 18-OP-45132
12	The County of Summit, Ohio,
	et al. v. Purdue Pharma, L.P.,
13	et al.
	Case No. 18-OP-45090
14	
15	
16	
17	VOLUME II
18	Videotaped Deposition of Joseph Rannazzisi
19	Washington, D.C.
20	May 15, 2019
21	8:43 a.m.
22	
23	
24	Reported by: Bonnie L. Russo
25	Job No. 3301884

	D 265		D 265
1	Page 365	1 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	Page 367
1	Videotaped Deposition of Joseph Rannazzisi held	2 On behalf of Plaintiffs: PAUL T. FARRELL, JR., ESQ.	
2	at:	3 GREENE KETCHUM, LLP	
3		419 Eleventh Street 4 Huntington, West Virginia 25701	
4		304-525-9115	
5		5 paul@greeneketchum.com -and-	
5		6 MICHAEL J. FULLER, ESQ.	
6		McHUGH FULLER LAW GROUP 7 97 Elias Whiddon Road	
7		Hattiesburg, Mississippi 39402	
8	Covington & Burling, LLP	8 601-467-0788 mike@mchughfuller.com	
		9 -and-	
9	850 10th Street, N.W.	MILDRED CONROY, ESQ. 10 THE LANIER LAW FIRM	
10	Washington, D.C.	Tower 56	
11		11 126E. 56th Street, 6th Floor New York, New York 10022	
12		12 212-421-2800	
	D 4 - N-4'1 1-1-16	mildred.conroy@lanierlawfirm.com 13 -and-	
13	Pursuant to Notice, when were present on behalf	W. MARK LANIER, ESQ. 14 RACHEL LANIER, ESQ.	
14	of the respective parties:	6810 Cypress Creek Parkway	
15		15 Houston, Texas 77069 713-659-5200	
16		16 wml@lanierlawfirm.com	
		-and- 17 JAYNE CONROY, ESQ.	
17		LAURA FITZPATRICK, ESQ.	
18		18 SIMMONS HANLY CONROY 112 Madison Avenue	
19		19 New York, New York 10016	
20		212-784-6402 20 jconroy@simmonsfirm.com	
		lfitzpatrick@simmonsfirm.com	
21		21 On behalf of Purdue Pharma, L.P.:	
22		22 DEBRA D. O'GORMAN, ESQ.	
23		DECHERT, LLP 23 Three Bryant Park	
24		1095 Avenue of the Americas 24 New York, New York 10036	
25		212-698-3593	
23		25 debra.ogorman@dechert.com	
	Page 366		Page 368
1 2	APPEARANCES:	1 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): 2 On behalf of Johnson & Johnson and Janssen	
3	On behalf of the Witness:	Pharmaceuticals, Inc.:	
4	GREGORY M. UTTER, ESQ. KEATING MUETHING & KLEKAMP, PLL	3 JEFFREY A. BARKER, ESQ. O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP	
7	One East Fourth Street	4 610 Newport Center Drive	
5	Suite 1400	17th Floor	
6		5 Newport Beach, California 92660	
	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 513-579-6540	5 Newport Beach, California 92660 949-823-7963	
7	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice:	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com	
7	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ.	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ.	
	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference)	
7	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue	
7 8	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100	
7 8 9 10	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000	
7 8 9	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com	
7 8 9 10	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ.	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.:	
7 8 9 10 11	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ.	
7 8 9 10 11	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ.	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.:	
7 8 9 10 11	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County:	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15and-	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County: DONALD A. MIGLIORI, ESQ.	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15 -and- PATRICK J. BEISELL, ESQ.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County: DONALD A. MIGLIORI, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15 -and- PATRICK J. BEISELL, ESQ. 16 (Via Teleconference) JONES DAY	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County: DONALD A. MIGLIORI, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15 -and- PATRICK J. BEISELL, ESQ. 16 (Via Teleconference) JONES DAY 17 77 West Wacker	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County: DONALD A. MIGLIORI, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464 843-216-9241	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15 -and- PATRICK J. BEISELL, ESQ. 16 (Via Teleconference) JONES DAY 17 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601 18 312-269-4066	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County: DONALD A. MIGLIORI, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15 -and- PATRICK J. BEISELL, ESQ. 16 (Via Teleconference) JONES DAY 17 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601 18 312-269-4066 pbeisell@jonesday.com	
7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County: DONALD A. MIGLIORI, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464 843-216-9241 dmigliori@motleyrice.com -and- JENNA N. FORSTER, ESQ.	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15 -and- PATRICK J. BEISELL, ESQ. 16 (Via Teleconference) JONES DAY 17 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601 18 312-269-4066	
7 8 9 110 111 122 133 114 115 116 117 118 119	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james. bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County: DONALD A. MIGLIORI, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464 843-216-9241 dmigliori@motleyrice.com -and- JENNA N. FORSTER, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15 -and- PATRICK J. BEISELL, ESQ. 16 (Via Teleconference) JONES DAY 17 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601 18 312-269-4066 pbeisell@jonesday.com 19 On behalf of Endo: 20 JOSHUA M. DAVIS, ESQ.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County: DONALD A. MIGLIORI, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464 843-216-9241 dmigliori@motleyrice.com -and- JENNA N. FORSTER, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 401 9th Street, N.W. Suite 1001	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15 -and- PATRICK J. BEISELL, ESQ. 16 (Via Teleconference) JONES DAY 17 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601 18 312-269-4066 pbeisell@jonesday.com 19 On behalf of Endo: 20 JOSHUA M. DAVIS, ESQ. ARNOLD & PORTER	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County: DONALD A. MIGLIORI, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464 843-216-9241 dmigliori@motleyrice.com -and- JENNA N. FORSTER, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 401 9th Street, N.W. Suite 1001 Washington, D.C. 20004	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15 -and- PATRICK J. BEISELL, ESQ. 16 (Via Teleconference) JONES DAY 17 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601 18 312-269-4066 pbeisell@jonesday.com 19 On behalf of Endo: 20 JOSHUA M. DAVIS, ESQ. ARNOLD & PORTER 21 601 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County: DONALD A. MIGLIORI, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464 843-216-9241 dmigliori@motleyrice.com -and- JENNA N. FORSTER, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 401 9th Street, N.W. Suite 1001 Washington, D.C. 20004 202-849-4960	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15 -and- PATRICK J. BEISELL, ESQ. 16 (Via Teleconference) JONES DAY 17 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601 18 312-269-4066 pbeisell@jonesday.com 19 On behalf of Endo: 20 JOSHUA M. DAVIS, ESQ. ARNOLD & PORTER 21 601 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 22 202-942-5000	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	513-579-6540 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: JAMES R. BENNETT, II, ESQ. UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 801 West Superior Avenue Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-622-3988 james.bennett4@usdoj.gov On behalf of Cuyahoga County: SALVATORE C. BADALA, ESQ. NAPOLI SHKOLNIK, PLLC 400 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747 631-224-1133 sbadala@napolilaw.com On behalf of Summit County: DONALD A. MIGLIORI, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464 843-216-9241 dmigliori@motleyrice.com -and- JENNA N. FORSTER, ESQ. MOTLEY RICE, LLC 401 9th Street, N.W. Suite 1001 Washington, D.C. 20004	949-823-7963 6 jbarker@omm.com -and- 7 JEFFREY C. SINDELAR, JR., ESQ. (Via Teleconference) 8 TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 950 Main Avenue 9 Suite 1100 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 10 216-592-5000 jeffrey.sindelar@tuckerellis.com 11 On behalf of Walmart, Inc.: 12 NEAL J. STEPHENS, ESQ. JONES DAY 13 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, California 94303 14 650-739-3939 nstephens@jonesday.com 15 -and- PATRICK J. BEISELL, ESQ. 16 (Via Teleconference) JONES DAY 17 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601 18 312-269-4066 pbeisell@jonesday.com 19 On behalf of Endo: 20 JOSHUA M. DAVIS, ESQ. ARNOLD & PORTER 21 601 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001	

	Page 369			Page 371
1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	1 age 3/1
2	On behalf of Rite Aid of Maryland:	2	On behalf of H.D. SMITH:	
-	JOHN P. LAVELLE, JR., ESQ.	-	KATHLEEN L. MATSOUKAS, ESQ.	
3	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP	3	BARNES & THORNBURG, LLP	
_	1701 Market Street		11 South Meridian Street	
4	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103	4	Indianapolis, Indiana 46204	
	609-919-6688		317-236-1313	
5	john.lavelle@morganlewis.com	5	kmatsoukas@btlaw.com	
6	On behalf of Teva Pharmaceutical Industries:	6	On behalf of Anda, Inc.:	
	MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP	_	JAMES W. MATTHEWS, ESQ.	
7	MAUREEN BARBER, ESQ.	7	FOLEY & LARDNER, LLP	
	(Via Teleconference)		111 Huntington Avenue	
8	One Oxford Centre	8	Boston, Massachusetts 02199	
	Thirty Second Floor	9	617-342-4000 jmatthews@foley.com	
9	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219	10	On behalf of HBC:	
	412-560-7463	10	SCOTT D. LIVINGSTON, ESQ.	
0	maureen.barber@morganlewis.com	11	MARCUS & SHAPIRA, LLP	
1	On behalf of Cardinal Health, Inc.:		One Oxford Centre, 35th Floor	
	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY, LLP	12	301 Grant Street	
2	ENU MAINIGI, ESQ.	1.2	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219	
	COLLEEN McNAMARA, ESQ.	13	412-338-4690	
3	BRAD MASTERS, ESQ.	"	livingston@marcus-shapira.com	
-	725 12th Street, N.W.	14	5 0 1	
4	Washington, D.C. 20005	'	On behalf of Walgreen Co. and Walgreen Eastern	
•	202-434-5000	15	Co., Inc.:	
5	emainigi@wc.com		KASPAR STOFFELMAYR, ESQ.	
	cmcnamara@wc.com	16	BARTLIT BECK, LLP	
6	bmasters@wc.com		54 West Hubbard Street	
7	On behalf of CVS Indiana, LLC and CVS Rx	17		
	Services, Inc.:	١.	312-494-4434	
8	ANTHONY M. RUIZ, ESQ.	18	kaspar.stoffelmayr@barlitbeck.com	
	ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER, LLP	19	On behalf of Discount Drug Mart:	
9	1800 M Street, N.W.		ERIC J. WEISS, ESQ.	
	Suite 1000	20	(Via Teleconference)	
0.0	Washington D.C. 20036	2.	CAVITCH FAMILO & DURKIN, CO., LPA	
	202-778-1800	21	1300 E. 9th Street	
21	aruiz@zuckerman.com	22	Cleveland, Ohio 44114	
22	ai dizwizakerinan.com	22	216-621-7860	
23		23	eweiss@cavitch.com	
24		24		
25		25		
	Page 370			Page 372
1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):			0
~		1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	8 - 1
2	On behalf of AmerisourceBergen Drug	1 2		8 - 1
	Corporation:		On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc.	8 - 1
	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ.	2	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ.	8
3	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP		On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference)	8
3	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100	3	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP	8 - 1
3	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street	2	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor	8 - 1
3	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103	3 4	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006	8 - 1
3 4 5	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910	3	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550	
3 4 5	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com	3 4	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006	
3 4 5	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smelure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation:	3 4	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550	3 - 1
3 4 5 6 7	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ.	2 3 4 5	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550	
3 4 5 6 7	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smelure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation:	2 3 4 5 6	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT:	
3 4 5 6 7	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen	
3 4 5 6 7	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter	2 3 4 5 6	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley &	
3 4 5 6 7 8	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smelure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com	2 3 4 5 6 7	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ecppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907 jennifer.levy@kirkland.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smelure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907 jennifer.levy@kirkland.com On behalf of Mallinckrodt and Specgx, LLC:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907 jennifer.levy@kirkland.com On behalf of Mallinckrodt and Specgx, LLC: ANDREW O'CONNOR, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	
33 34 44 55 66 67 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907 jennifer.levy@kirkland.com On behalf of Mallinckrodt and Specgx, LLC: ANDREW O'CONNOR, ESQ. WILLIAM DAVISON, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907 jennifer.levy@kirkland.com On behalf of Mallinckrodt and Speegx, LLC: ANDREW O'CONNOR, ESQ. WILLIAM DAVISON, ESQ. ROPES & GRAY, LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907 jennifer.levy@kirkland.com On behalf of Mallinckrodt and Speegx, LLC: ANDREW O'CONNOR, ESQ. WILLIAM DAVISON, ESQ. ROPES & GRAY, LLP Prudential Tower 800 Boylston Street Boston, Massachusetts 02199	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP Onc CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907 jennifer.levy@kirkland.com On behalf of Mallinckrodt and Specgx, LLC: ANDREW O'CONNOR, ESQ. WILLIAM DAVISON, ESQ. ROPES & GRAY, LLP Prudential Tower 800 Boylston Street Boston, Massachusetts 02199 617-951-7000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907 jennifer.levy@kirkland.com On behalf of Mallinckrodt and Specgx, LLC: ANDREW O'CONNOR, ESQ. WILLIAM DAVISON, ESQ. ROPES & GRAY, LLP Prudential Tower 800 Boylston Street Boston, Massachusetts 02199 617-951-7000 andrew.o'connor@ropesgray.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP Onc CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907 jennifer.levy@kirkland.com On behalf of Mallinckrodt and Specgx, LLC: ANDREW O'CONNOR, ESQ. WILLIAM DAVISON, ESQ. ROPES & GRAY, LLP Prudential Tower 800 Boylston Street Boston, Massachusetts 02199 617-951-7000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 23	Corporation: SHANNON McCLURE, ESQ. REED SMITH, LLP Three Logan Square, Suite 3100 1717 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 215-241-7910 smclure@reedsmith.com On behalf of McKesson Corporation: MEGHAN E. MONAGHAN, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 202-662-6000 mmonaghan@cov.com -and- CHRISTOPHER K. EPPICH, ESQ. COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, California 90067 424-332-4764 ceppich@cov.com On behalf of Allergan Finance, LLC: JENNIFER LEVY, ESQ. KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 202-879-5907 jennifer.levy@kirkland.com On behalf of Mallinckrodt and Specgx, LLC: ANDREW O'CONNOR, ESQ. WILLIAM DAVISON, ESQ. ROPES & GRAY, LLP Prudential Tower 800 Boylston Street Boston, Massachusetts 02199 617-951-7000 andrew.o'connor@ropesgray.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	On behalf of Rochester Drug Cooperative, Inc. LAUREN, PINCUS, ESQ. (Via Teleconference) ALLEGAERT BERGER & VOGEL, LLP 111 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10006 212-571-0550 lpincus@abv.com ALSO PRESENT: Special Master Cohen Peter H. Weinberger, Spangenberg Shibley & Liber, Plaintiffs Renee A. Bacchus, Esq., United States Department of Justice, United States Attorney's Office David M. Finkelstein, Esq., United States Department of Justice, Civil Fraud Section Patrick J. Forrest, United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration Jesse J. Alcorta, The Lanier Law Firm, Director of Operations Amber Nona (Via Teleconference), The Lanier Law Firm, Paralegal	

1				Page 373		Page 375
2 EXAMINA	O N T E N T S ATION OF JOSEPH RAN		PAGE		1	PROCEEDINGS
3 BY MR. L.	ANIER 631	375			2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on
5 BY MR. M		513 582			4	the record. My name is Dan Lawlor, I'm a
6 BY MR. E 7 BY MR. S'		610			5	videographer with Golkow Litigation Services.
8 9	EXHIBITS				6	Today's date is May 15, 2019, and the time is
10	EXHIBITS				7	8:43 a.m.
	Letter dated 9-27-06 LERGAN MDL 024677	428 706 7700			8	This video deposition is being held
12 Exhibit 2	Letter dated 12-27-07	463			9	in Washington, D.C., in the matter of In RE:
13 MC	CKMDL00478910-8911				10	National Prescription Opioid Litigation, MDL
Exhibit 3	Settlement and Release	471			11	No. 2804. The deponent is Joseph Rannazzisi.
	reement DCMDL00279854-9865				12	Counsel will be noted on the
15		450			13	stenographic record. The court reporter is
	Settlement and Release reement and Administrati	473 ive			14	Bonnie Russo and will now swear in the witness.
	morandum of Agreement	t			15	Bonnie Russo und Will now Swedi in the Willess.
	CKMDL00337001-7024 Administrative Memorar	ndum 475			16	JOSEPH RANNAZZISI,
	Agreement CKMDL00355350-5363				17	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the
20 Exhibit 6	Settlement Agreement	484			18	whole truth and nothing but the truth,
CV 21	S-MDLT1-000060796-8	04			19	testified as follows:
Exhibit 7	Administrative Memorar	ndum 486			20	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
	Agreement NK-T1 0007027620-7650	0			21	BY MR. LANIER:
23	_				22	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, thank you for your
Exhibit 8	Handwritten Slides	512			23	time today. My name is Mark Lanier. You and I
	Title 21 United States Co				24	have not met before you sat down here just a
	SC) Controlled Substance ction 823	es Act			25	few minutes ago; is that right?
1 EXHIBITS (C	CONTINUED):			Page 374	1	Page 376
2	itle 21 Code of Federal 52	15			1	A. That's correct.
3 Regula	ations	-5			2	Q. You understand, though, that I
4	n 1301.74				3	represent the claimants that are bringing this
5 Reque	esters	76			5	lawsuit against the various opioid defendants that are present in court today.
June 2	2015				6	Do you understand?
Exhibit 12 Di	EA's Privilege/Redaction Log	g 595			7	A. Yes.
	andwritten Slides 610)			8	Q. All right. I have got a picture
Exhibit 14 Tl		522			9	with some notes that I will make as we go
Comb	nistration's Role in ating the Opioid Epidemic				10	along. That's you.
10 Hearin 3-20-1					11	Did I spell your name right?
		34			12	A. Yes, sir.
10-15-	-17				13	Q. Would you pronounce it for me so
	idustry Compliance 64 ines Healthcare	8			14	that I can pronounce it right?
	bution Management iation Industry				15	A. Rannazzisi.
14 Guidel Distrib	•					
14 Guidel Distrib 15 Assoc Comp	liance Guidelines:				16	Q. Rannazzisi. All right. And that
14 Guidel Distrib 15 Assoc Comp 16 Repor and Pr	ting Suspicious Orders reventing Diversion of				16 17	`
14	ting Suspicious Orders reventing Diversion of olled Substances WDA Suspicious Order	652				Q. Rannazzisi. All right. And that picture looks pretty much like you. I don't see much difference there.
14	ting Suspicious Orders reventing Diversion of olled Substances	652			17	picture looks pretty much like you. I don't see much difference there.
14 Guidel 15 Assoc 16 Repor 17 Control 18 Exhibit 17 N Monit 19 stamp CAH_MDL_1	ting Suspicious Orders reventing Diversion of olled Substances WDA Suspicious Order oring System date				17 18	picture looks pretty much like you. I don't see much difference there. Let me tell you where we would like
14 Guidel 15 Assoc 16 Repor 17 Control 18 Exhibit 17 N 19 CAH_MDL_J 20 Exhibit 18 Ar	ting Suspicious Orders reventing Diversion of billed Substances WDA Suspicious Order oring System date ed 6-21-93 PRIORPROD_DEA07_00869 dministrative Memorandum				17 18 19	picture looks pretty much like you. I don't see much difference there. Let me tell you where we would like to go today and what all I need to ask you
14 Guidel	ting Suspicious Orders reventing Diversion of olled Substances WDA Suspicious Order oring System date ed 6-21-93 PRIORPROD_DEA07_00869	9965-0002			17 18 19 20	picture looks pretty much like you. I don't see much difference there. Let me tell you where we would like to go today and what all I need to ask you about. I have done a little roadmap for you
14 Guidel 15 Assoc 16 Repor 17 Control 18 Exhibit 17 N 19 Stample CAH_MDL_1 20 Exhibit 18 Ac 21 of Agr CAH_ 22 Exhibit 19 M Exhibit 19 M	ting Suspicious Orders reventing Diversion of oblied Substances WDA Suspicious Order oring System date ed 6-21-93 PRIORPROD_DEA07_00869 dministrative Memorandum reement MDL2804_00135203-5210 femorandum dated 3-1-07	9965-0002			17 18 19 20 21	picture looks pretty much like you. I don't see much difference there. Let me tell you where we would like to go today and what all I need to ask you
14 Guidel	ting Suspicious Orders reventing Diversion of oblied Substances WDA Suspicious Order oring System date ed 6-21-93 PRIORPROD_DEA07_00869 dministrative Memorandum reement MDL2804_00135203-5210	9965-0002 654 671			17 18 19 20 21 22	picture looks pretty much like you. I don't see much difference there. Let me tell you where we would like to go today and what all I need to ask you about. I have done a little roadmap for you and for the jury so that we can follow along.

1	Page 377 A. Yes, sir.	1	Page 379 Q. Fair enough. All right. Did you
2	Q. All right. So on 60 Minute Man	2	ever work as a pharmacist?
3	Road, I want to make a stop to talk about your	3	A. I interned at a community pharmacy,
4	background, I want to make a stop to talk about	4	Hooks Drugstore in Indianapolis and my primary
5	the 60 Minutes episode and then I want to ask	5	employment post-graduation was at the Veterans
6	some follow-up questions and we will deal with	6	Administration as a staff pharmacist.
7	some roadblocks or some questions about your	7	Q. So you were a pharmacy intern and
8	testimony along the way. Okay?	8	then a staff pharmacist for the VA?
9	A. Yes, sir.	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. So with that, if you have got any	10	Q. All right. Did you get any more
11	questions as we go along, let me know but we're	11	education beyond your bachelor's degree in
12	going to begin with stopping at your background	12	pharmacy?
13	and I will start a clean sheet on your	13	A. Yes, sir, I did.
14	background so that we can look at it together.	14	Q. What else where else did you go
15	All right?	15	to school?
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	A. I went to Detroit College of Law at
17	Q. Would you please tell the jury a	17	Michigan State University and received my juris
18	little bit about where you are from, where you	18	doctor in 1999.
19	grew up, just so they've got a feel for you.	19	Q. So Michigan State University?
20	A. I grew up in a town on Long Island,	20	A. Detroit College of Law.
21	New York, Freeport, New York. It's a smaller	21	Q. Detroit College of Law. And you got
22	town on the south shore of Long Island. Went	22	a law degree?
23	to Freeport High School, from Freeport High	23	A. Yes, sir.
24	School, I went to Butler University.	24	Q. What year was that?
25	Q. And Butler university is in	25	A. '99.
	Page 378		Page 380
1	Indianapolis?	1	Q. Did you ever take the bar exam?
2	A. Yes, sir.	2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. What's their mascot, the Butler	3	Q. Did you pass?
4	A. Bulldogs.	4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q bulldogs.	5	Q. So you are a lawyer as well?
6	A. Yes, sir.	6	A. Yes, sir, State of a member of
7	Q. All right. So you were a bulldog	7	the Michigan Bar.
8	and when did you get out of college, out of	8	Q. All right. Do you keep your law
9	Butler?	9	license up as well?
10	A. 1984.	10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. And what was your major?	11	Q. All right. In addition to your
12	A. Pharmacy.	12	by the way, did you ever do work as a lawyer
13	Q. Are you actually a licensed	13	per se?
14	pharmacist or have you been at some point in	14	A. Just in my daily functions as a
15	your life?	15	deputy assistant administrator.
16	A. Yes, sir. I maintain my pharmacy	16	Q. All right. That's what we will get
17	license, State of Indiana.	17	to in a moment.
18 19	Q. Okay. So you are a licensed	18	You have worked for the DEA; is that
20	pharmacist in Indiana. What does that enable	19	correct?
20	you to do? A It enables me to dispense medication	20 21	A. Yes, sir.
22	A. It enables me to dispense medication pursuant to physicians' prescriptions.	21 22	Q. Okay. So I got on the Internet because I am a visual guy and I looked up these
44	Q. I assume that is if you are in	23	pictures and this is what I found.
23		143	
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		=
23 24 25	Indiana? A. If I am in Indiana, yes, sir.	24 25	Did you get one of those badges? A. Yes, sir, I did.

5 (Pages 377 - 380)

	Page 381		Page 383
1	Q. So you had, like, one of these DEA	1	the badge?
2	badges we see people flash on TV?	2	A. Yes, sir.
3	A. Yes, sir. Special agent badge.	3	Q. Did you, like, carry a gun and
4	Q. All right. When did you start	4	stuff?
5	working for the DEA?	5	A. Yes, sir.
6	A. 1986 was when I was on board with	6	Q. When we see the TV shows and they
7	the DEA.	7	make the drug busts of, like, the person
8	Q. Spoiler alert. Are you still with	8	selling the street drugs or something like
9	the DEA?	9	that, are those DEA agents sometimes?
10	A. No, I retired.	10	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
11	Q. When did you retire?	11	THE WITNESS: Sometimes they are
12	A. 2015.	12	portrayed as DEA agents, yes.
13	Q. And there is a story behind that	13	BY MR. LANIER:
14	that we will get to later on, but for now, the	14	Q. I mean, did you ever, like, arrest
15	jury can know that you worked there from '86 to	15	anybody or do any of that stuff?
16	2015?	16	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
17	A. Yes, sir.	17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
18	Q. Can you give us a thumbnail sketch	18	BY MR. LANIER:
19	of what kinds of jobs you did for our Drug	19	Q. All right. In addition to your job
20	Enforcement Administration?	20	starting in '88 as a special agent, what else
21	A. Initially from '86 to '88, I was a	21	did you do for the DEA?
22	diversion investigator.	22	A. Well, in '88, I started in general
23	Q. All right. Now I think everybody is	23	enforcement. I was working just general
24	going to know by the time we play your	24	illicit drug cases concentrating on clandestine
25	deposition, but just in case they don't, define	25	laboratories, methamphetamine and amphetamine
	Page 382		Page 384
1	for us what is diversion.	1	_
1 2	for us what is diversion.	1 2	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96.
	for us what is diversion.		cases, up until that occurred up until about
2	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or	2	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96.
2 3	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal	2 3	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was
2 3 4	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and	2 3 4	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum
2 3 4 5	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace.	2 3 4 5	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide
2 3 4 5 6	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are	2 3 4 5 6	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that	2 3 4 5 6 7	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam, bam?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do? A. We would investigate the methods	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam, bam? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A little bit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do? A. We would investigate the methods trying to determine why or how those drugs are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam, bam? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A little bit. MR. LANIER: Is there somebody who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do? A. We would investigate the methods trying to determine why or how those drugs are being removed from the illicit supply chain,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam, bam? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A little bit. MR. LANIER: Is there somebody who can stop the bam, bam, bam,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do? A. We would investigate the methods trying to determine why or how those drugs are being removed from the illicit supply chain, how they are getting to the illicit supply	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A little bit. MR. LANIER: Is there somebody who can stop the bam, bam, bam? Don't be charging my time to fixing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do? A. We would investigate the methods trying to determine why or how those drugs are being removed from the illicit supply chain, how they are getting to the illicit supply chain and investigate and then take action to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam, bam? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A little bit. MR. LANIER: Is there somebody who can stop the bam, bam, bam, bam, bam? Don't be charging my time to fixing the bam, bam, bam, bam, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do? A. We would investigate the methods trying to determine why or how those drugs are being removed from the illicit supply chain, how they are getting to the illicit supply chain and investigate and then take action to stop that from happening.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam, bam? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A little bit. MR. LANIER: Is there somebody who can stop the bam, bam, bam, bam? Don't be charging my time to fixing the bam, bam, bam, bam, please. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do? A. We would investigate the methods trying to determine why or how those drugs are being removed from the illicit supply chain, how they are getting to the illicit supply chain and investigate and then take action to stop that from happening. Q. All right. In addition to your job	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam, bam? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A little bit. MR. LANIER: Is there somebody who can stop the bam, bam, bam, bam? Don't be charging my time to fixing the bam, bam, bam, bam, please. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do? A. We would investigate the methods trying to determine why or how those drugs are being removed from the illicit supply chain, how they are getting to the illicit supply chain and investigate and then take action to stop that from happening. Q. All right. In addition to your job as a diversion investigator, what else did you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam, bam? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A little bit. MR. LANIER: Is there somebody who can stop the bam, bam, bam, bam? Don't be charging my time to fixing the bam, bam, bam, bam, please. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. LANIER: If we need to. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do? A. We would investigate the methods trying to determine why or how those drugs are being removed from the illicit supply chain, how they are getting to the illicit supply chain and investigate and then take action to stop that from happening. Q. All right. In addition to your job as a diversion investigator, what else did you do for the DEA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam, bam? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A little bit. MR. LANIER: Is there somebody who can stop the bam, bam, bam, bam, Don't be charging my time to fixing the bam, bam, bam, bam, please. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. LANIER: If we need to. I don't hear the bam, bam now. Do you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do? A. We would investigate the methods trying to determine why or how those drugs are being removed from the illicit supply chain, how they are getting to the illicit supply chain and investigate and then take action to stop that from happening. Q. All right. In addition to your job as a diversion investigator, what else did you do for the DEA? A. In 1988, I became a special agent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam, bam? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A little bit. MR. LANIER: Is there somebody who can stop the bam, bam, bam, bam? Don't be charging my time to fixing the bam, bam, bam, please. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. LANIER: If we need to. I don't hear the bam, bam now. Do you? Let's go off the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for us what is diversion. A. Diversion is when pharmaceuticals or listed chemicals are taken from the normal stream or the legitimate stream of commerce and moved into the illicit marketplace. Q. So diversion happens when drugs are diverted from their legal use? A. Basically, yes. Q. Okay. So basically and does that happen often? A. Unfortunately, yes. Q. So as a diversion investigator, what would you do? A. We would investigate the methods trying to determine why or how those drugs are being removed from the illicit supply chain, how they are getting to the illicit supply chain and investigate and then take action to stop that from happening. Q. All right. In addition to your job as a diversion investigator, what else did you do for the DEA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cases, up until that occurred up until about 1995 or '96. At that point in time, I was promoted to the group supervisor of the Red Rum Task Force which is a drug-related homicide task force and we worked homicides, drug-related homicides, street enforcement in public housing project cases. I guess from '96 or '97 to 2000. Q. All right. We're going to pause for just a moment. Are you picking up the bam, bam, bam, bam? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: A little bit. MR. LANIER: Is there somebody who can stop the bam, bam, bam, bam, Don't be charging my time to fixing the bam, bam, bam, bam, please. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to go off the record? MR. LANIER: If we need to. I don't hear the bam, bam now. Do you?

	D 205		D 207
1	Page 385 (A short recess was taken.)	1	Page 387 toxic chemicals in the confines of the
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the	2	residence.
3	beginning of Media File No. 2. The time is	3	We would have to dismantle potential
4	8:58.	4	clandestine lab, then remove those
5	BY MR. LANIER:	5	contaminants, those gross contaminant, the
6	Q. We had a brief break just now.	6	chemicals that we have encountered that are
7	During the break, I asked you, did you get one	7	being used to manufacture methamphetamine.
8	of those windbreakers that have the big DEA in	8	BY MR. LANIER:
9	gold on the back and you had told me yes, but	9	Q. Was your work as a DEA agent, in
10	you also started telling me about the overalls	10	regards to some of this, ever one that put your
11	or the jumpsuit. Jumpsuit, not overalls. What	11	life at risk?
12	was that you were telling me?	12	A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
13	A. We also had jumpsuits that we wore	13	Q. All right. You worked then as in
14	as well, jumpsuits basically to cover	14	general enforcement, you worked as a group
15	cover our whole bodies because we were	15	supervisor. Had work in the homicide unit.
16	sometimes in environments that were extremely	16	What what other job work did you
17	dirty, contaminated places, you know, to get	17	do with the DEA?
18	full coverage. The windbreakers only cover the	18	A. In 2000, I was transferred to DEA
19	top.	19	headquarters as a staff coordinator for the
20	Q. So the jury has got a full idea of	20	dangerous drugs and chemical section or
21	what DEA agents do and what you do and the	21	domestic operations west, which became the
22	skill set it takes to be an agent. I want you	22	dangerous drugs and chemical section, and
23	to give us a little bit more detail about even	23	shortly thereafter, I was promoted to section
24	just the jumpsuits. Why do you wear them? We	24	chief of that section.
25	will start there and then I will ask you	25	Q. Dangerous drugs and chemical
	·		`
	Page 386		Page 388
1	Page 386 another question afterwards.	1	Page 388 section. What is that?
1 2	another question afterwards.	1 2	section. What is that?
	_	_	section. What is that?
2	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.	2	section. What is that? A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals
2 3	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what	2 3	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for
2 3 4	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss?	2 3 4	section. What is that? A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all
2 3 4 5	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the
2 3 4 5 6	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me	2 3 4 5 6	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine
2 3 4 5 6 7	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that we could take off and wash so we are not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to the chief you said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that we could take off and wash so we are not bringing anything home with us or any kind of toxins or contaminates that might be on those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to the chief you said? A. Section chief.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that we could take off and wash so we are not bringing anything home with us or any kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to the chief you said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that we could take off and wash so we are not bringing anything home with us or any kind of toxins or contaminates that might be on those clothes. Q. What was it you were doing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to the chief you said? A. Section chief. Q. Section chief. Do you remember about when that was?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that we could take off and wash so we are not bringing anything home with us or any kind of toxins or contaminates that might be on those clothes. Q. What was it you were doing that would expose you to toxins and contaminants if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to the chief you said? A. Section chief. Q. Section chief. Do you remember about when that was? A. Probably around 2001. Late 2000,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that we could take off and wash so we are not bringing anything home with us or any kind of toxins or contaminates that might be on those clothes. Q. What was it you were doing that would expose you to toxins and contaminants if you can tell me, publicly. Don't divulge any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to the chief you said? A. Section chief. Q. Section chief. Do you remember about when that was? A. Probably around 2001. Late 2000, early 2001.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that we could take off and wash so we are not bringing anything home with us or any kind of toxins or contaminates that might be on those clothes. Q. What was it you were doing that would expose you to toxins and contaminants if you can tell me, publicly. Don't divulge any DEA secrets, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to the chief you said? A. Section chief. Q. Section chief. Do you remember about when that was? A. Probably around 2001. Late 2000, early 2001. Q. And beyond that, did you have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that we could take off and wash so we are not bringing anything home with us or any kind of toxins or contaminates that might be on those clothes. Q. What was it you were doing that would expose you to toxins and contaminants if you can tell me, publicly. Don't divulge any DEA secrets, please. MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to the chief you said? A. Section chief. Q. Section chief. Do you remember about when that was? A. Probably around 2001. Late 2000, early 2001. Q. And beyond that, did you have any other jobs with the DEA?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that we could take off and wash so we are not bringing anything home with us or any kind of toxins or contaminates that might be on those clothes. Q. What was it you were doing that would expose you to toxins and contaminants if you can tell me, publicly. Don't divulge any DEA secrets, please. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: We regularly would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to the chief you said? A. Section chief. Q. Section chief. Do you remember about when that was? A. Probably around 2001. Late 2000, early 2001. Q. And beyond that, did you have any other jobs with the DEA? A. Yes. In I was in 2002, I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that we could take off and wash so we are not bringing anything home with us or any kind of toxins or contaminates that might be on those clothes. Q. What was it you were doing that would expose you to toxins and contaminants if you can tell me, publicly. Don't divulge any DEA secrets, please. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: We regularly would be in environments, for instance, you know, crack	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to the chief you said? A. Section chief. Q. Section chief. Do you remember about when that was? A. Probably around 2001. Late 2000, early 2001. Q. And beyond that, did you have any other jobs with the DEA? A. Yes. In I was in 2002, I was transferred back to the Detroit field division
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	another question afterwards. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Do you understand the limits of what you are allowed to discuss? BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, don't do it don't give me any secret info, just what publicly is available. Thank you. A. We wear the jumpsuits just to protect us from the environment that we are in, just to make sure that we are we have clothing that is not going to, you know, that we could take off and wash so we are not bringing anything home with us or any kind of toxins or contaminates that might be on those clothes. Q. What was it you were doing that would expose you to toxins and contaminants if you can tell me, publicly. Don't divulge any DEA secrets, please. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: We regularly would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Dangerous drugs and chemicals handled pretty much everything except for cocaine, heroin and marijuana. So every all the synthetic drugs that you see, all the manufactured clandestine clandestinely-manufactured drugs, drugs like MDMA, methamphetamine, amphetamine, fenfluramine X, fentanyl, all those drugs that are clandestinely-manufactured would fall under the dangerous drugs, ecstasy, LSD. Q. All right. And you changed and went from being the staff coordinator for that to the chief you said? A. Section chief. Q. Section chief. Do you remember about when that was? A. Probably around 2001. Late 2000, early 2001. Q. And beyond that, did you have any other jobs with the DEA? A. Yes. In I was in 2002, I was

	Page 389		Page 391
1	intensity drug high intensity drug	1	or what was your next job after that?
2	trafficking area groups and also	2	A. In 2005, Mr. Walker was deployed,
3	administration.	3	military service, and so the administrator
4	Q. All right. And as we continue, Mr.	4	asked me to take over the office of diversion
5	Rannazzisi, to look at your background, how	5	control in addition to doing my duties as
6	what was your next job within DEA beyond that?	6	deputy chief of enforcement operations. And
7	A. In 2004, I was transferred back to	7	that was from about July of 2005 to January of
8	DEA headquarters as a deputy director to the	8	2006.
9	office of diversion control.	9	Q. Okay. What was your next job within
10	Q. And where is DEA headquarters?	10	the company or company within the
11	A. In Arlington, Virginia.	11	government, the DEA?
12	Q. So a suburb of Washington, D.C.?	12	A. In 2006, Administrator Tandy asked
13	A. Yes, sir.	13	me to take the permanent deputy assistant
14	Q. All right. Deputy director to the	14	administrator job in the office of diversion
15	office of diversion control. What were your	15	control. I believe that was because Mr.
16	job responsibilities there?	16	Walker's time in the military was extended
17	A. I was the number two person to the	17	quite a bit and they didn't want to leave it as
18	deputy assistant administrator, at the time was	18	a part-time job. They wanted to fill it.
19	Bill Walker and my day-to-day responsibilities	19	Q. All right. And what was your next
20	were to review cases, make sure Mr. Walker had	20	job after that?
21	the information he that was required for him	21	A. Retirement.
22	to make policy decisions, reviewing documents,	22	Q. And so that took you up through
23	just basically ensuring that the office ran	23	retirement?
24	smoothly under his control.	24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And is that the ultimate job that	25	Q. Now I want to talk about since
	Page 390		Page 392
1	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or	1	retirement, things that you've done, but before
2	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else?	2	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a
2 3	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for	2 3	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what
2 3 4	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred	2 3 4	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the
2 3 4 5	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations.	2 3 4 5	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation?
2 3 4 5 6	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or	2 3 4 5 6	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so?	2 3 4 5 6 7	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of enforcement operations, we controlled all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public. Overdoses, deaths, you know, are because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of enforcement operations, we controlled all enforcement operations in the DEA worldwide.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public. Overdoses, deaths, you know, are because diversion exists, and if diversion or even a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of enforcement operations, we controlled all enforcement operations in the DEA worldwide. We oversaw all the enforcement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public. Overdoses, deaths, you know, are because diversion exists, and if diversion or even a small amount of diversion could cause a serious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of enforcement operations, we controlled all enforcement operations in the DEA worldwide. We oversaw all the enforcement. Q. So the DEA, and we may later look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public. Overdoses, deaths, you know, are because diversion exists, and if diversion or even a small amount of diversion could cause a serious amount of harm in the communities where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of enforcement operations, we controlled all enforcement operations in the DEA worldwide. We oversaw all the enforcement. Q. So the DEA, and we may later look at the DEA budget. The DEA has reached where?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public. Overdoses, deaths, you know, are because diversion exists, and if diversion or even a small amount of diversion could cause a serious amount of harm in the communities where the diversion is occurring.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of enforcement operations, we controlled all enforcement operations in the DEA worldwide. We oversaw all the enforcement. Q. So the DEA, and we may later look at the DEA budget. The DEA has reached where? A. 66 countries, over 66 countries in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public. Overdoses, deaths, you know, are because diversion exists, and if diversion or even a small amount of diversion could cause a serious amount of harm in the communities where the diversion is occurring. Q. All right. And so did you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of enforcement operations, we controlled all enforcement operations in the DEA worldwide. We oversaw all the enforcement. Q. So the DEA, and we may later look at the DEA budget. The DEA has reached where? A. 66 countries, over 66 countries in the world plus throughout the United States, 21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public. Overdoses, deaths, you know, are because diversion exists, and if diversion or even a small amount of diversion could cause a serious amount of harm in the communities where the diversion is occurring. Q. All right. And so did you have frontline responsibility and observation and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of enforcement operations, we controlled all enforcement operations in the DEA worldwide. We oversaw all the enforcement. Q. So the DEA, and we may later look at the DEA budget. The DEA has reached where? A. 66 countries, over 66 countries in the world plus throughout the United States, 21 field divisions throughout the United States.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public. Overdoses, deaths, you know, are because diversion exists, and if diversion or even a small amount of diversion could cause a serious amount of harm in the communities where the diversion is occurring. Q. All right. And so did you have frontline responsibility and observation and ability to learn and understand about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of enforcement operations, we controlled all enforcement operations in the DEA worldwide. We oversaw all the enforcement. Q. So the DEA, and we may later look at the DEA budget. The DEA has reached where? A. 66 countries, over 66 countries in the world plus throughout the United States, 21 field divisions throughout the United States. Q. All right. And you were the deputy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public. Overdoses, deaths, you know, are because diversion exists, and if diversion or even a small amount of diversion could cause a serious amount of harm in the communities where the diversion is occurring. Q. All right. And so did you have frontline responsibility and observation and ability to learn and understand about the perils of diversion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of enforcement operations, we controlled all enforcement operations in the DEA worldwide. We oversaw all the enforcement. Q. So the DEA, and we may later look at the DEA budget. The DEA has reached where? A. 66 countries, over 66 countries in the world plus throughout the United States, 21 field divisions throughout the United States. Q. All right. And you were the deputy chief for enforcement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public. Overdoses, deaths, you know, are because diversion exists, and if diversion or even a small amount of diversion could cause a serious amount of harm in the communities where the diversion is occurring. Q. All right. And so did you have frontline responsibility and observation and ability to learn and understand about the perils of diversion? MS. McCLURE: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you held? Did you keep that to retirement or something else? A. I was only in that position for about three months and then I was transferred to the deputy chief of enforcement operations. Q. And so is this still around '04 or so? A. Yes, September of '04. Q. And you became the deputy chief of what did you call it, enforcement? A. Office of enforcement operations. Q. And what did you do as that? A. I was the behind the chief of enforcement operations, we controlled all enforcement operations in the DEA worldwide. We oversaw all the enforcement. Q. So the DEA, and we may later look at the DEA budget. The DEA has reached where? A. 66 countries, over 66 countries in the world plus throughout the United States, 21 field divisions throughout the United States. Q. All right. And you were the deputy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	retirement, things that you've done, but before I do that, so that the jury can put this into a context, would you help us understand what diversion why is diversion relevant in the opioid litigation? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. To you? A. Diversion is relevant because as these opioids, these tablets, liquids, whatever, move into the illicit marketplace, they cause harm to the general public. Overdoses, deaths, you know, are because diversion exists, and if diversion or even a small amount of diversion could cause a serious amount of harm in the communities where the diversion is occurring. Q. All right. And so did you have frontline responsibility and observation and ability to learn and understand about the perils of diversion?

	Daga 202		Page 205
1	Page 393 Q. Since you retired, and we will talk	1	Page 395 Q. Sometimes you get paid to give
2	about why you retired shortly, but since you	2	speeches?
3	retired, what have you done?	3	A. Yes, sir.
4	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	4	Q. Sometimes you give speeches for
5	THE WITNESS: I took time off, you	5	free?
6	know, quite a few months and then I was working	6	A. Yes, sir. It's groups where
7	with a tech company that was basically trying	7	families have lost children or lost loved ones.
8	to create software to help the diversion to	8	Those are free. I generally just ask them to
9	help identify diversion.	9	pay for my way out and back. Law enforcement,
10	In December of 2000 I guess it	10	free, except again, if they pay my way out and
11	was December 2016, I went to work for the tech	11	back.
12	company sometime in 2016, I believe it was May	12	Pharmacy some pharmacy groups,
13	of 2016.	13	especially if it is, like, a state, they are
14	In December of 2016, I underwent	14	doing if they are doing continuing
15	heart surgery and I was I basically came	15	education, I will do those for free as well.
16	back to the tech company for a very small brief	16	Q. What do you speak about?
17	period of time because of what was going on	17	A. Generally my speeches are tailored
18	health-wise, I left the tech company, and then	18	to what the audience, what they are interested
19	I really most of my time was spent helping	19	in. Sometimes I will speak about the overall
20	helping people in the opioid litigation.	20	opioid crisis where we will just talk about,
21	BY MR. LANIER:	21	you know, how it occurred, historically what
22	Q. All right. So you are what is	22	happened.
23	called a consultant for folks; is that fair?	23	For pharmacists, I generally stay
24	A. Yes.	24	towards corresponding responsibility, helping
25	MS. McCLURE: Objection.	25	the states and the pharmacists understand what
	Page 394		Page 396
1	BY MR. LANIER:	1	corresponding responsibility is and what is
2	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult	2	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and
2 3	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example?	2 3	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are
2 3 4	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags.
2 3 4 5	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired	2 3 4 5	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers.	2 3 4 5 8 6	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents,
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you	2 3 4 5 6 7	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant?	2 3 4 5 8 6 7 8	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your	2 3 4 5 8 6 7 8 9	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee.	2 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is? A. \$56.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here. It is going to be relevant as we go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is? A. \$56. Q. Per hour?	2 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here. It is going to be relevant as we go along, but I want to move to the next stop on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is? A. \$56. Q. Per hour? A. No. I think I got a check for \$56.	2 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here. It is going to be relevant as we go along, but I want to move to the next stop on the road, which is what I call the 60 Minute
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is? A. \$56. Q. Per hour? A. No. I think I got a check for \$56. Q. You got 56 bucks for a couple of	2 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here. It is going to be relevant as we go along, but I want to move to the next stop on the road, which is what I call the 60 Minute stop. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is? A. \$56. Q. Per hour? A. No. I think I got a check for \$56. Q. You got 56 bucks for a couple of days of your time?	2 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here. It is going to be relevant as we go along, but I want to move to the next stop on the road, which is what I call the 60 Minute stop. Okay? A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is? A. \$56. Q. Per hour? A. No. I think I got a check for \$56. Q. You got 56 bucks for a couple of days of your time? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here. It is going to be relevant as we go along, but I want to move to the next stop on the road, which is what I call the 60 Minute stop. Okay? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is? A. \$56. Q. Per hour? A. No. I think I got a check for \$56. Q. You got 56 bucks for a couple of days of your time? A. Yes, sir. Q. That is like jury duty.	2 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here. It is going to be relevant as we go along, but I want to move to the next stop on the road, which is what I call the 60 Minute stop. Okay? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is? A. \$56. Q. Per hour? A. No. I think I got a check for \$56. Q. You got 56 bucks for a couple of days of your time? A. Yes, sir. Q. That is like jury duty. MR. STEPHENS: Objection.	2 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here. It is going to be relevant as we go along, but I want to move to the next stop on the road, which is what I call the 60 Minute stop. Okay? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Let's move to the 60
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is? A. \$56. Q. Per hour? A. No. I think I got a check for \$56. Q. You got 56 bucks for a couple of days of your time? A. Yes, sir. Q. That is like jury duty. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here. It is going to be relevant as we go along, but I want to move to the next stop on the road, which is what I call the 60 Minute stop. Okay? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Let's move to the 60 Minute stop and we will get a sheet set up for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is? A. \$56. Q. Per hour? A. No. I think I got a check for \$56. Q. You got 56 bucks for a couple of days of your time? A. Yes, sir. Q. That is like jury duty. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You have given speeches, I saw that	2 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here. It is going to be relevant as we go along, but I want to move to the next stop on the road, which is what I call the 60 Minute stop. Okay? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Let's move to the 60 Minute stop and we will get a sheet set up for that stop and talk to you about that. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LANIER: Q. And as a consultant, do you consult with legal teams for example? A. Yes, sir. Q. What do you and I've never hired you but I would assume some different lawyers who may have litigation have. What do you charge as a consultant? A. \$500 an hour. Q. Are you getting paid for your testimony today? A. No, sir, except for my witness fee. Q. Which is? A. \$56. Q. Per hour? A. No. I think I got a check for \$56. Q. You got 56 bucks for a couple of days of your time? A. Yes, sir. Q. That is like jury duty. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	corresponding responsibility is and what is required of a pharmacist with prescriptions and what they are supposed to do, how they are supposed to resolve red flags. A lot of times, I go and speak and then just help the parents, talk to parents, you know, who have lost kids, talk to parents who just want to tell their story which, you know, is definitely it's tragic, all those kids and all those people. Very tragic. Q. All right. That's going to do our first stop on the road. So we have got your background information here. It is going to be relevant as we go along, but I want to move to the next stop on the road, which is what I call the 60 Minute stop. Okay? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Let's move to the 60 Minute stop and we will get a sheet set up for that stop and talk to you about that. Okay?

	D 407		D 100
1	Page 397 earth by the way, this 60 Minutes, that the	1	Page 399
2		1	frankly, they saw they saw my testimony
	TV show, right?	2	before Congress and it wasn't difficult to see
3	A. Yes, sir.	3	in my testimony before Congress, you know, it
4	Q. That's the one that's got on those	4	was there was a lot of there was quite a
5	ads, the ticking clock, tick, tick, tick, tick,	5	bit of tension between what DEA was doing and
6	tick?	6	what Congress wanted us to do.
7	A. Yes, sir.	7	BY MR. LANIER:
8	Q. All right. What how did you even	8	Q. All right. If we I don't want to
9	get involved to get on 60 Minutes? How did	9	go back necessarily to your background, but one
10	that come about?	10	of the things that you did when you worked for
11	MS. McCLURE: Objection.	11	the DEA that we have left out is your testimony
12	THE WITNESS: I it basically	12	to Congress.
13	didn't start with 60 Minutes. It started with	13	You testified to Congress; is that
14	reporters calling asking certain things about	14	right?
15	the opioid crisis and I never if a reporter	15	A. Yes, sir.
16	called and asked questions, I felt obligated to	16	Q. Do you recall how many times you got
17	answer them.	17	called on to come give testimony to the United
18	As more reporters called, reporters	18	States Congress?
19	obviously read other reports and they started	19	A. I believe it's right around 33,
20	Washington Post called and they were looking	20	maybe a little more.
21	at a story and they asked several questions and	21	Q. So 33 times you were selected I
22	I explained how things happened and how things	22	assume, or were you invited or how does that
23	occurred in the opioid crisis, and they were	23	work?
24	very interested in the Insurance Patient Access	24	MR. STEPHENS: Objection.
25	Act and one thing led to another and they	25	THE WITNESS: Sometimes I was
	Page 398		Page 400
1	Page 398 started writing about different things and the	1	Page 400 requested, other times, just a general witness
1 2	=	1 2	requested, other times, just a general witness
	started writing about different things and the	1	
2	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other	2	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I
2 3	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started	2 3	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities.	2 3 4	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify?
2 3 4 5	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended	2 3 4 5	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the United States Congress, there is really no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Let me ask it this way. Let me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the United States Congress, there is really no rules. I mean, they can pretty much ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Let me ask it this way. Let me re-ask the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the United States Congress, there is really no rules. I mean, they can pretty much ask whatever they want and it could get it could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Let me ask it this way. Let me re-ask the question. In your mind, what made you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the United States Congress, there is really no rules. I mean, they can pretty much ask whatever they want and it could get it could get a little adversarial sometimes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Let me ask it this way. Let me re-ask the question. In your mind, what made you particularly important or useful for a 60	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the United States Congress, there is really no rules. I mean, they can pretty much ask whatever they want and it could get it could get a little adversarial sometimes. Q. I mean, it's not when you go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Let me ask it this way. Let me re-ask the question. In your mind, what made you particularly important or useful for a 60 Minutes story?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the United States Congress, there is really no rules. I mean, they can pretty much ask whatever they want and it could get it could get a little adversarial sometimes. Q. I mean, it's not when you go to court, you can drive and park in a garage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Let me ask it this way. Let me re-ask the question. In your mind, what made you particularly important or useful for a 60 Minutes story? MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the United States Congress, there is really no rules. I mean, they can pretty much ask whatever they want and it could get it could get a little adversarial sometimes. Q. I mean, it's not when you go to court, you can drive and park in a garage nearby. There is not, like, parking right at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Let me ask it this way. Let me re-ask the question. In your mind, what made you particularly important or useful for a 60 Minutes story? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the United States Congress, there is really no rules. I mean, they can pretty much ask whatever they want and it could get it could get a little adversarial sometimes. Q. I mean, it's not when you go to court, you can drive and park in a garage nearby. There is not, like, parking right at the U.S. Congress, is there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Let me ask it this way. Let me re-ask the question. In your mind, what made you particularly important or useful for a 60 Minutes story? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I think because I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the United States Congress, there is really no rules. I mean, they can pretty much ask whatever they want and it could get it could get a little adversarial sometimes. Q. I mean, it's not when you go to court, you can drive and park in a garage nearby. There is not, like, parking right at the U.S. Congress, is there? MR. EPPICH: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Let me ask it this way. Let me re-ask the question. In your mind, what made you particularly important or useful for a 60 Minutes story? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I think because I was I was there during that time period. I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the United States Congress, there is really no rules. I mean, they can pretty much ask whatever they want and it could get it could get a little adversarial sometimes. Q. I mean, it's not when you go to court, you can drive and park in a garage nearby. There is not, like, parking right at the U.S. Congress, is there? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	started writing about different things and the interplay between, you know, Congress and other entities. From there, 60 Minutes started working with the Washington Post and we ended up on 60 Minutes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was not something you sought out? A. No, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Why you? Do you know? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Let me ask it this way. Let me re-ask the question. In your mind, what made you particularly important or useful for a 60 Minutes story? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I think because I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	requested, other times, just a general witness was requested to the department and DEA and I was offered as the witness. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You got served up to go testify? MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Was that kind of a scary thing to do at least the first few times? A. I think the first first couple of times, it was a little unnerving but as you do it, just like testifying in court. Once you you know. It is not a the difference is the United States Congress, there is really no rules. I mean, they can pretty much ask whatever they want and it could get it could get a little adversarial sometimes. Q. I mean, it's not when you go to court, you can drive and park in a garage nearby. There is not, like, parking right at the U.S. Congress, is there? MR. EPPICH: Objection.

1	Page 401	1	Page 403
1	this testimony?	1	distributors because of the way the bill was
2	A. Depending on what committee it was	2	written. BY MR. LANIER:
3	and the committee offices, the different	3 4	
4	buildings that surround Congress, that surround	5	Q. When you say, "go after manufacturers and distributors," are we talking
5 6	the Capitol, generally we go there, we would be dropped off and then picked up.	6	about including on the opioid matters?
7	Q. All right. Okay. So we are talking	7	A. Yes, sir.
8	about this in terms of 60 Minutes, that they	8	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
9	you had been there. You were at the DEA you	9	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Form.
10	said. You said that they had probably seen	10	THE WITNESS: Any controlled
11	your testimony before Congress and some things	11	substance, it doesn't matter, but yes, opioids.
12	like that.	12	BY MR. LANIER:
13	What was the story that you were	13	Q. Was your concern in part at least
14	there to testify about?	14	in large part, the opioid crisis?
15	MR. STEPHENS: Object to form.	15	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
16	BY MR. LANIER:	16	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
17	Q. Not testify. Let me re-ask that.	17	THE WITNESS: Opioids and
18	What was the story behind the 60	18	benzodiazepines at that point, yes.
19	Minutes episode as you understood it?	19	BY MR. LANIER:
20	MR. STEPHENS: Same objection.	20	Q. And what do you mean by, "stop the
21	MR. EPPICH: Objection.	21	ability of the DEA to go after?" What do you
22	THE WITNESS: Congress had passed a	22	mean by "go after?"
23	bill called the Insurance Patient Access Act	23	A. We couldn't investigate
24	and that bill in my opinion, hampered DEA's	24	manufacturers and distributors for diversion,
25	ability to go after large manufacturers and	25	just like pharmacists and doctors. The
	Page 402		Page 404
1	distributors with a tool that we had called an	1	difference is, when we made a decision that
2	immediate suspension order. It also weakened	2	there was an imminent threat, imminent danger
3	DEA's ability to do their administrative job,	3	to public health and safety, we had a tool at
4	to stop the flow of controlled substances into	4	our disposal to stop, to do an immediate
5	the illicit marketplace quickly.	5	suspension on a DEA registration and while
6	BY MR. LANIER:	6	still affording due process, stopping the
7	Q. Have I written this accurately, that	7	action, stopping the hemorrhaging of drugs into
8	from your understanding, Congress passed a bill	8	a community or into a state, and what we were
9	that you, Joe Rannazzisi thought hampered the	9	worried about was, we could still investigate
10	DEA's ability to do its job stopping the flow	10	but the provisions of the bill that were passed
11	of drugs?	11	now prevented us from using that immediate
12	A. Drugs the controlled substances	12	suspension tool against the upstream
13	into the illicit marketplace.	13	manufacturers and distributors and it also gave
14	Q. That's diversion?	14	them it was almost like a get out of jail
15	A. That would be diversion, yes.	15	free card. They could begin live submit a
16	Q. Had you spoken out against this	16	They could basically submit a corrective action plan and at that point in
17 18	bill? MR. EPPICH: Objection.	17 18	time, we would have to determine whether to
10	MIK. ETTICIT. OUJCCHOIL		
10		10	ston the administrative action from occurring
19	THE WITNESS: Yes. Beginning	19	stop the administrative action from occurring
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. Beginning when the bill was initially introduced the end	20	and allowing them to continue, and the whole
20 21	THE WITNESS: Yes. Beginning when the bill was initially introduced the end of '13, early '14, when I was still in my	20 21	and allowing them to continue, and the whole idea behind this was, if we did an order to
20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yes. Beginning when the bill was initially introduced the end of '13, early '14, when I was still in my former position, I was very vocal about what	20 21 22	and allowing them to continue, and the whole idea behind this was, if we did an order to show cause or administrative inspection or an
20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Yes. Beginning when the bill was initially introduced the end of '13, early '14, when I was still in my former position, I was very vocal about what the consequences of the bill would be, even in	20 21 22 23	and allowing them to continue, and the whole idea behind this was, if we did an order to show cause or administrative inspection or an immediate suspension order, the fact was, that
20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yes. Beginning when the bill was initially introduced the end of '13, early '14, when I was still in my former position, I was very vocal about what	20 21 22	and allowing them to continue, and the whole idea behind this was, if we did an order to show cause or administrative inspection or an

1	Page 405	1	Page 407
1	continue to operate without diverting drugs.	1	Q. So it would be more than addiction
2	Q. You have used a lot of terms that	2	but it would include addiction?
3	are perhaps depending upon the level of the	3	A. Yeah.
4	trial, at what point we are, that may be	4	Q. Physical, psychological abuse?
5	unusual terms for some people.	5	A. Dependence.
6	So at the risk of making you be an	6	Q. Dependence. Sorry. Dependence.
7	educator, will you help us understand some of	7	And that would include addiction?
8	these terms, please?	8	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
9	A. Sure.	9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	Q. First of all, what is a controlled	10	BY MR. LANIER:
11	substance?	11	Q. Are opioids in one of these are
12	A. A controlled substance is a	12	opioids a controlled substance?
13	substance that is under one of five schedules	13	A. Yes.
14	in the Controlled Substances Act.	14	Q. What type of a controlled substance
15	Q. There is an actual act passed by	15	are opioids?
16	Congress called the Controlled Substances Act?	16	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
17	A. Yes, sir. It's under Title 21	17	BY MR. LANIER:
18	United States Code 800 throughout in fact,	18	Q. What category?
19	scheduling actions, I believe are 21 USC 811 or	19	A. In Schedule I, heroin is an opioid.
20	12. I think it was 811.	20	It doesn't have a medical use but it is an
21	And the Controlled Substances Act	21	opioid and it's found in the illicit
22	set out five schedules. Schedule I being the	22	marketplace.
23	most abused drugs that have no medical use.	23	Schedule II, you have drugs like
24	Schedule II would be drugs that have a medical	24	oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl. I mean,
25	use but they have a high incidence of physical,	25	those were the those were the big ones.
23	use but they have a high incidence of physical,	23	those were the those were the big ones.
	Page 406		Page 408
1	psychological dependence.	1	Q. And are those what we could commonly
2	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause	2	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids?
2 3	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that		Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids?A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine
2 3 4	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out.	2	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids?A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a
2 3 4 5	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that	2 3	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids?A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine
2 3 4 5 6	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out.	2 3 4	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids?A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a
2 3 4 5	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that	2 3 4 5	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid,
2 3 4 5 6	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use?	2 3 4 5 6	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate.
2 3 4 5 6 7	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please. MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these controlled these opioids that are Category 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these controlled these opioids that are Category 2 controlled substances?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Go ahead. A. It's physical or psychological	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these controlled these opioids that are Category 2 controlled substances? MS. McCLURE: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Go ahead. A. It's physical or psychological Q. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these controlled these opioids that are Category 2 controlled substances? MS. McCLURE: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Go ahead. A. It's physical or psychological Q. Thank you. A dependence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these controlled these opioids that are Category 2 controlled substances? MS. McCLURE: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Go ahead. A. It's physical or psychological Q. Thank you. A dependence. Q. All right. So have a medical use,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these controlled these opioids that are Category 2 controlled substances? MS. McCLURE: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Go ahead. A. It's physical or psychological Q. Thank you. A dependence. Q. All right. So have a medical use, but a high incidence is that what we would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these controlled these opioids that are Category 2 controlled substances? MS. McCLURE: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Go ahead. A. It's physical or psychological Q. Thank you. A dependence. Q. All right. So have a medical use, but a high incidence is that what we would commonly just call addiction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these controlled these opioids that are Category 2 controlled substances? MS. McCLURE: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yeah, yeah, yeah. It may be too
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Go ahead. A. It's physical or psychological Q. Thank you. A dependence. Q. All right. So have a medical use, but a high incidence is that what we would commonly just call addiction? MS. McCLURE: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these controlled these opioids that are Category 2 controlled substances? MS. McCLURE: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yeah, yeah, yeah. It may be too vague.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Go ahead. A. It's physical or psychological Q. Thank you. A dependence. Q. All right. So have a medical use, but a high incidence is that what we would commonly just call addiction? MS. McCLURE: Objection. THE WITNESS: You can you could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these controlled these opioids that are Category 2 controlled substances? MS. McCLURE: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yeah, yeah, yeah. It may be too vague. We got to this because you said that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	psychological dependence. Q. All right. I'm going to pause there. There are five, but these are two that help us out. Schedule II, you said drugs that have a medical use? A. Yes, sir. Q. But have a high incidence of physical logical dependence? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Explain that, please. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Go ahead. A. It's physical or psychological Q. Thank you. A dependence. Q. All right. So have a medical use, but a high incidence is that what we would commonly just call addiction? MS. McCLURE: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And are those what we could commonly call opioids? A. Yes, sir. Morphine is morphine is in Schedule II as well. Morphine is a natural product so it's included in an opioid, as an opioid, but it's actually an opiate. All the rest of those drugs are semisynthetic or synthetic drugs. Morphine is a natural product that's derived from the poppy. Q. So what, under the Controlled Substances Act, what happens to these controlled these opioids that are Category 2 controlled substances? MS. McCLURE: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yeah, yeah, yeah. It may be too vague.

12 (Pages 405 - 408)

	D 400		D 411
1	Page 409 tool to suspend and stop the actions, in	1	Page 411 medication, they are that pharmacy is a DEA
2	essence gave the companies a get out of jail	2	registrant. Not the pharmacist, but the
3	free card.	3	pharmacy.
4	A. Yes, sir.	4	The hospital is a DEA registrant, a
5	MR. EPPICH: Objection.	5	manufacturer is a DEA registrant, a distributor
6	BY MR. LANIER:	6	is a DEA registrant, a nurse is not a DEA
7	Q. So with that as the background, I	7	registrant unless they are a prescribing nurse,
8	said what are the controlled substances and you	8	a practitioner, in which case they would be a
9	started telling us about the Act. What is it	9	DEA registrant.
10	that the DEA had the ability to do?	10	So the Controlled Substances Act and
11	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.	11	the regulations specifically outline who is a
12	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	12	registrant and what their requirements are to
13	MS. McCLURE: Objection.	13	maintain a registration and all DEA registrants
14	THE WITNESS: If we identified in	14	must maintain effective controls against
15	the supply chain diversion, moving drugs into,	15	diversion. That's 1301.71.
16	you know, out of the legitimate supply chain to	16	Q. All right. So if the DEA registrant
17	an environment or climate of diversion where	17	is someone who is registered to handle opioids,
18	people are abusing the drugs that they are	18	for example, we are segregating out opioids,
19	seeking or they're getting from that supply	19	are manufacturers registrants, manufacturers of
20	chain, at that point in time, we could stop it.	20	opioids?
21	We, you know, the administrator	21	A. Yes, sir.
22	makes a determination that the activity of	22	Q. Are the distributors of those
23	diversion that is occurring is an imminent	23	opioids from the manufacturers to the
24	danger to public health and safety and at that	24	pharmacies or hospitals or whatever
25	point in time, we execute, issue an immediate	25	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Form.
	Page 410		Page 412
	- 18- 11-		
1	suspension order with an order to show cause	1	BY MR. LANIER:
1 2	suspension order with an order to show cause and that would stop them from doing any	1 2	
	-		BY MR. LANIER:
2	and that would stop them from doing any	2	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants?
2 3	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would	2 3	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with
2 3 4	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone,	2 3 4	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely.
2 3 4 5	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how	2 3 4 5	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling
2 3 4 5 6	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work.	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants?
2 3 4 5 6 7	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. Hospitals that dispense opioids,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers? MR. EPPICH: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. Hospitals that dispense opioids,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Every registrant, every DEA registrant must maintain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. Hospitals that dispense opioids, would they be registrants?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Every registrant, every DEA registrant must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. You just used another	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. Hospitals that dispense opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. In other words, can just anyone on the street or any company or any business, can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Every registrant, every DEA registrant must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. Hospitals that dispense opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. In other words, can just anyone on the street or any company or any business, can the Kroger's grocery store outside of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Every registrant, every DEA registrant must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. You just used another	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. Hospitals that dispense opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. In other words, can just anyone on the street or any company or any business, can the Kroger's grocery store outside of the pharmacy section or maybe not Kroger's,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Every registrant, every DEA registrant must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. You just used another word I want to make sure we define, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. Hospitals that dispense opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. In other words, can just anyone on the street or any company or any business, can the Kroger's grocery store outside of the pharmacy section or maybe not Kroger's, let's say mom and pop grocery stores, can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Every registrant, every DEA registrant must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. You just used another word I want to make sure we define, a registrant. What do you mean what is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. Hospitals that dispense opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. In other words, can just anyone on the street or any company or any business, can the Kroger's grocery store outside of the pharmacy section or maybe not Kroger's, let's say mom and pop grocery stores, can anyone handle or sell opioids openly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Every registrant, every DEA registrant must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. You just used another word I want to make sure we define, a registrant. What do you mean what is a registrant? A. A DEA registrant is a controlled substance handler, a dispenser, a distributor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. Hospitals that dispense opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. In other words, can just anyone on the street or any company or any business, can the Kroger's grocery store outside of the pharmacy section or maybe not Kroger's, let's say mom and pop grocery stores, can anyone handle or sell opioids openly? MR. EPPICH: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Every registrant, every DEA registrant must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. You just used another word I want to make sure we define, a registrant. What do you mean what is a registrant? A. A DEA registrant is a controlled substance handler, a dispenser, a distributor so a practitioner that prescribes is a DEA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. Hospitals that dispense opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. In other words, can just anyone on the street or any company or any business, can the Kroger's grocery store outside of the pharmacy section or maybe not Kroger's, let's say mom and pop grocery stores, can anyone handle or sell opioids openly? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: No, sir. You have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and that would stop them from doing any controlled substance business, so that would stop them from distributing oxycodone, hydrocodone, fentanyl downstream. That's how it would work. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we will get to this in more detail later, but did the Controlled Substances Act put any responsibilities on the manufacturers, the distributors, the retailers? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Every registrant, every DEA registrant must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. You just used another word I want to make sure we define, a registrant. What do you mean what is a registrant? A. A DEA registrant is a controlled substance handler, a dispenser, a distributor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LANIER: Q are they registrants? A. Yes, sir. If they are dealing with controlled substances, absolutely. Q. And if the sellers are selling opioids, are they registrants? A. The sellers being the pharmacies? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And then prescribers of opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. Hospitals that dispense opioids, would they be registrants? A. Yes. Q. In other words, can just anyone on the street or any company or any business, can the Kroger's grocery store outside of the pharmacy section or maybe not Kroger's, let's say mom and pop grocery stores, can anyone handle or sell opioids openly? MR. EPPICH: Objection.

Page 413 Page 415 1 with DEA to handle a controlled substance. 1 O. So that I am real clear, I am 2 BY MR. LANIER: 2 reading word for word what you said on 60 3 Minutes and I am just asking you to explain 3 Q. Is -- all right. These are good what you said already publicly on 60 Minutes. 4 4 definitions. 5 5 A. I believe that that is accurate, Here is what I would like to do now. 6 I have got some quotes that you gave in the 60 6 based -- there is actually -- that was based on 7 Minute story and I want to ask you about some 7 a document that was done, industry document, of those quotations. Okay? 8 8 where they were talking about 80 to 90 percent 9 A. Sure. 9 of the revenue for downstream sales were -- 80 10 Q. So what we will do is, we will come 10 to 90 percent of the revenue -- I think it was up here and we'll put those quotation across 85 to 90 percent of the revenue of downstream 11 11 12 sales were based on Cardinal, McKesson and the top here. 12 13 First of all, you spoke about the 13 AmerisourceBergen. 14 three largest distributors. Explain to the 14 Q. Did you have experience interacting 15 jury what a distributor is. 15 with those distributors? MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 16 16 17 THE WITNESS: A distributor obtains 17 THE WITNESS: Personally? Maybe two 18 drugs from a manufacturer, controlled 18 of the three, but for the most part, my staff 19 substances from a manufacturer, and then 19 -- my staff interacted with all three of those 20 distributes -- distributes those controlled 20 companies. 21 21 substances downstream to pharmacies, hospitals, BY MR. LANIER: 22 and in some cases nursing homes, to --22 Q. You also said, this is an industry 23 basically distributing to DEA registrants 23 that is out of control. What they want to do 24 that -- outlets that provide patient care that 24 is, what -- is do what they want to do and not 2.5 are allowed to maintain stocks of controlled 25 worry about what the law is and if they follow Page 414 Page 416 1 the law and drug supply -- and if they don't 1 substances. 2 2 follow the law and drug supply, people die, BY MR. LANIER: 3 3 Q. You said, and I'm going to quota you that's just it, people die. 4 Do you remember saying that on 60 4 here -- let me put it up so that you can see 5 5 Minutes? A. Yes, sir. 6 The three largest distributors are 6 7 7 MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. Cardinal Health, McKesson and AmerisourceBergen. They control probably 85 or 8 BY MR. LANIER: 8 9 Q. Was it an industry, based on your 9 90 percent of the drugs going downstream. 10 10 Did that reflect what you believed experience and opinion, that was out of 11 11 to be the truth? 12 MR. EPPICH: Object to the form. 12 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 13 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 13 Object to the fact that we are not 14 Same instruction. 14 seeing the citation or the identification of 15 where this quote is coming from. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 16 MR. BENNETT: I will object to scope 16 BY MR. LANIER: 17 and remind Mr. Rannazzisi that you are not 17 Q. Explain what you mean by that, 18 allowed to base personal opinions on nonpublic 18 please. 19 A. I think for starters, we -- when we 19 facts or information you've acquired in saw the initial problem, we sat down and 20 20 performance of your official duties. 21 explained to them what the requirements were 21 To the extent that you can answer 22 this question outside of nonpublic facts or 22 under the law. We kind of refreshed their 23 23 recollection on what the regulations were and information you acquired with the DEA, you may 24 answer. 24 what their requirements were under the statute 25 and under the regulations, under 1301.74 and 25 BY MR. LANIER:

	2 45		2 440
1	Page 417 also under A23 21 USC A23.	1	Page 419 when looking I think the regulations are
1 2		2	
	We sent letters, we tried to push		very specific. It says when an administrator
3	them, enforce them into compliance, but they	3	is looking to see or to determine that the
4	just they wouldn't comply, and my	4	registrant is maintaining effective controls
5	observations were that when they decided they	5	against diversion, the administrator is
6	didn't want to comply, they just used influence	6	directed to the regulations in 1301.72 to 75, I
7	to create a law or to change a law, so yeah, I	7	think it is, and 1301.74 1301.74(b) is the
8	pretty much believed that they were out of	8	provision that requires suspicious order
9	control.	9	reporting, 1301.
10	BY MR. LANIER:	10	Q. So the registrants, these
11	Q. All right. What I would like you to	11	manufacturers, distributors, sellers, et al.,
12	do is explain to us, please, what the	12	the registrants are required to report a
13	requirements were for compliance.	13	suspicious order?
14	What what was industry supposed	14	A. Yes, they are required to maintain a
15	to be doing	15	system that identifies suspicious orders and
16	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Form.	16	then they are supposed to report those
17	BY MR. LANIER:	17	suspicious orders when discovered.
18	Q that you thought they weren't	18	Q. So in addition to reporting one, you
19	doing?	19	said they are supposed to maintain a system to
20	A. Well, first of all, all DEA	20	detect it?
21	registrants are required to maintain effective	21	A. Yes.
22	controls against diversion. Now, when you look	22	Q. What type of a system did would
23	at the definition of maintaining effective	23	DEA would the DEA dictate that system or
24	controls against diversion, that includes	24	explain that to us, please.
25	security provisions and one of the security	25	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
	Page 418		Page 420
1	provisions was to create and operate a system	1	THE WITNESS: The systems that are
2	that identifies suspicious orders to the	2	that are in place, that's a business
3	registrant.	3	decision. The company must determine what
4	A suspicious order in the regulation	4	what system is correct for their business model
5	is an order of unusual size, frequency or	5	or how they are doing business, as long as it
6	substantially deviating from the normal	6	identifies, you know, the definition of a
7	ordering pattern. The suspicious order is	7	suspicious order, an order of unusual size,
8	supposed to be reported to DEA when found, when	8	frequency or substantially deviating from the
9	discovered.	9	normal ordering pattern, that is what the
10	Q. All right. I'm going to break this	10	requirement is, and the it was the
11	apart into subparts to make sure that I have	11	industry's or the registrant's system so they
12	got it.	12	were to create that system.
13	So what compliance is required, was	13	BY MR. LANIER:
14	my question, and you started out by saying all	14	Q. All right. So it's a business
15	of the registrants.	15	decision based upon, I guess it might be
16	So we remember, a registrant you	16	different for a manufacturer than a distributor
17	said is someone who is registered to handle	17	than a seller, et cetera?
18		18	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
	we are talking opioids here, so it would	10	MD CTEDITENIC. OF A STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
19	include manufacturers and distributors and	19	MR. STEPHENS: Objection.
19 20	include manufacturers and distributors and sellers, prescribers and hospitals?	20	MS. MAINIGI: Object to form.
19 20 21	include manufacturers and distributors and sellers, prescribers and hospitals? A. Uh-huh.	20 21	MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes.
19 20 21 22	include manufacturers and distributors and sellers, prescribers and hospitals? A. Uh-huh. Q. All registrants are required to	20 21 22	MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER:
19 20 21 22 23	include manufacturers and distributors and sellers, prescribers and hospitals? A. Uh-huh. Q. All registrants are required to maintain effective control against diversion.	20 21 22 23	MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. But whatever decision they make, was
19 20 21 22	include manufacturers and distributors and sellers, prescribers and hospitals? A. Uh-huh. Q. All registrants are required to	20 21 22	MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER:

	Page 421		Page 423
1	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	1	doing due diligence to make a determination and
2	THE WITNESS: They must identify the		resolving suspicions. So it was the position
3	suspicious orders and report them.	3	that those orders should not be shipped unless
4	BY MR. LANIER:	4	the suspicions were resolved.
5	Q. All right. You have now used	5	BY MR. LANIER:
6	another word that I want to make sure we	6	Q. So unless a full due diligence
7	define, a suspicious order.	7	resolved suspicions, you don't ship?
8	What is a suspicious order?	8	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form.
9	A. By definition, a suspicious order is	9	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
10	a controlled substance order that is of unusual	10	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Leading.
11	size, unusual frequency or substantially	11	BY MR. LANIER:
12	deviating from the normal ordering pattern.	12	Q. All right. Now, your statement then
13	Q. A substantial deviation from normal	13	to 60 Minutes was, this industry's out of
14	pattern?	14	control, what they want to do is do what they
15	A. Yes.	15	want to do and not worry about what the law is.
16	Q. Does it have to be all three of	16	What, that you can tell us about,
17	those?	17	did you base that opinion on?
18	A. No.	18	MR. STEPHENS: Object to form.
19	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	19	MR. EPPICH: Objection to the Touhy
20	THE WITNESS: No, it doesn't.	20	authorization to the extent you are calling for
21	BY MR. LANIER:	21	any information that he learned during his time
22	Q. Two of them?	22	at the DEA.
23	MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Calls	23	MR. BENNETT: Mr. Rannazzisi has
24	for a legal conclusion.	24	been authorized to disclose his personal
25	MS. McCLURE: Object to form.	25	recollection regarding your interactions with
	Page 422		Page 424
1	BY MR. LANIER:	1	manufacturers and distributors of opioids
2	Q. Based upon the practice I am	2	during your tenure at the office of diversion
3	talking to you. I know you are a lawyer, but I	3	control and your recollection of communication
4	am talking to you based upon the practice of	4	with those registrants regarding suspicious
5	the DEA and what they would enforce.	5	orders.
6	A. It doesn't it doesn't have to be	6	So you are authorized to talk about
7	all three. It doesn't have to be two of the	7	those communications and interactions.
8	three. Generally, it is multiple, but for the	8	However, you are not authorized to disclose any
9	most part, it could be just one.	9	information regarding nonpublic specific DEA
10	Q. Okay. So the company the	10	investigations or activities.
11	registrants are required to maintain effective	11	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
12	control to detect suspicious orders and	12	Initially in 2005, we sat down with
13	identify them.	13	the three major distributors and explained to
14	Who do they report these suspicious	14	them what the diversion problem was at that
15	orders to?	15	point in time and what they needed to do. We
16	A. The Drug Enforcement Administration.		reminded them that they must file suspicious
17	Q. Do they simply report them or does	17	order reports with the DEA.
18	it have any implications for whether or not	18	We gave them specific instances of
19	they ship them?	19	of orders for each distributor that would be
20	MR. EPPICH: Object to the form.	20	deemed suspicious, that should have been deemed
21	THE WITNESS: We we took the	21	suspicious, should have been reviewed,
22	position that if you're maintaining effective	22	reconciled, and we explained that that is what
23	controls against diversion and you have a	23	not only what we expect, but that is what
24	suspicious order, you wouldn't ship that	24	the law requires, what the regulation requires.
25	because by definition, it's suspicious, without	25	And we tied it back to maintaining

16 (Pages 421 - 424)

1			
1	Page 425 effective controls against diversion explaining	1	Page 427 what that's what I meant by people die.
2	that their their licenses, their DEA	2	Diversion causes death.
3	registrations required them to maintain	3	MR. LANIER: Okay. Can we take a
4	effective controls against diversion.	4	break for a moment, please. Go off the record.
5	After that, we sent out letters with	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off
6	almost the same language explaining what the	6	the record. The time is 9:52.
7	requirements were, but it continued to happen.	7	(A short recess was taken.)
8	It continued to not file suspicious orders or	8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back
9	to go around the suspicious order requirement	9	on record. Beginning Media File No. 2. The
10	or creating systems where the systems looked	10	time is 10:09.
11	good but they were not executing systems as	11	BY MR. LANIER:
12	required, and I think that is what I the	12	Q. Okay. Before the break, we had gone
13	second round, after we served orders to show	13	through our roadmap, Mr. Rannazzisi, and we had
14	cause and immediate suspension orders in 2007	14	done background. We talked about the 60
15	and I guess '7 and '8 '6, '7 and '8, the	15	Minutes and you were starting to explain some
16	second round, when it still occurred, only	16	of the background behind the 60 Minute story,
17	instead of the Internet, it was pain clinics	17	so I'm going to transition us to follow up as
18	but the same patterns were occurring.	18	our last stop on the road.
19	We took the same action against them	19	What we're going to do with follow
20	for doing the same things. It was a different	20	up is, I want to follow up with some more
21	type of a different type of diversion, but	21	information and questions about the 60 Minute
22	it was the same pattern of activity and they	22	things and about what you have said, but I also
23	still didn't they still didn't comply.	23	want to talk about some potential roadblocks
24	And again, when they decided that,	24	that have been discussed with you. Okay?
25	you know, they just didn't want to get fined	25	A. Yes, sir.
	Page 426		Page 428
1	anymore, they just didn't want to have their	1	Q. All right. In that regard then, we
2	their registrations revoked, suspended, they	2	were on this sheet where we were talking about
3	brought it to Congress and changed the law.	3	the diversion methods and I am transitioning us
4	That's what I meant by out of control.	4	to overflow or follow up so that we can make
5	BY MR. LANIER:	5	_
		5	our subsequent notes.
6		6	*
	Q. All right. And then the last part		Specifically, you said you sent
6	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow	6	*
6 7	Q. All right. And then the last part	6 7	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already
6 7 8	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's	6 7 8	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law
6 7 8 9	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die.	6 7 8 9	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected.
6 7 8 9 10	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said	6 7 8 9 10	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony?
6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that.	6 7 8 9 10 11	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir. Q. I'd like to show you those letters,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Object to form.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir. Q. I'd like to show you those letters, two of the letters at least and talk to you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Again, when you have	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir. Q. I'd like to show you those letters, two of the letters at least and talk to you about them in some detail.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Again, when you have diversion and these drugs are are going out	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir. Q. I'd like to show you those letters, two of the letters at least and talk to you about them in some detail. So the first letter we will mark as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Again, when you have diversion and these drugs are are going out into the community and getting into the wrong	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir. Q. I'd like to show you those letters, two of the letters at least and talk to you about them in some detail. So the first letter we will mark as exhibit number do you remember what the next
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Again, when you have diversion and these drugs are are going out into the community and getting into the wrong hands, the drugs are being used without	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir. Q. I'd like to show you those letters, two of the letters at least and talk to you about them in some detail. So the first letter we will mark as exhibit number do you remember what the next exhibit number is? Am I safe saying Exhibit
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Again, when you have diversion and these drugs are are going out into the community and getting into the wrong hands, the drugs are being used without supervision. The drugs are being abused. It	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir. Q. I'd like to show you those letters, two of the letters at least and talk to you about them in some detail. So the first letter we will mark as exhibit number do you remember what the next exhibit number is? Am I safe saying Exhibit No. 1?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Again, when you have diversion and these drugs are are going out into the community and getting into the wrong hands, the drugs are being used without supervision. The drugs are being abused. It causes overdose, that causes death.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir. Q. I'd like to show you those letters, two of the letters at least and talk to you about them in some detail. So the first letter we will mark as exhibit number do you remember what the next exhibit number is? Am I safe saying Exhibit No. 1? All right. We're going to call this
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Again, when you have diversion and these drugs are are going out into the community and getting into the wrong hands, the drugs are being used without supervision. The drugs are being abused. It causes overdose, that causes death. That is why our police officers had	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir. Q. I'd like to show you those letters, two of the letters at least and talk to you about them in some detail. So the first letter we will mark as exhibit number do you remember what the next exhibit number is? Am I safe saying Exhibit No. 1? All right. We're going to call this Exhibit No. 1 and let me give you a copy of it
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Again, when you have diversion and these drugs are are going out into the community and getting into the wrong hands, the drugs are being used without supervision. The drugs are being abused. It causes overdose, that causes death. That is why our police officers had to start carrying Naloxone because there were	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir. Q. I'd like to show you those letters, two of the letters at least and talk to you about them in some detail. So the first letter we will mark as exhibit number do you remember what the next exhibit number is? Am I safe saying Exhibit No. 1? All right. We're going to call this Exhibit No. 1 and let me give you a copy of it as well, sir.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right. And then the last part of your statement here, if they don't follow the law in drug supply, people die. That's just it. People die. Explain what you meant when you said that. MR. STEPHENS: Object to form. MS. MAINIGI: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Again, when you have diversion and these drugs are are going out into the community and getting into the wrong hands, the drugs are being used without supervision. The drugs are being abused. It causes overdose, that causes death. That is why our police officers had to start carrying Naloxone because there were overdoses. Some communities were overrun with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Specifically, you said you sent letters in 2006 and 2007 after you had already sat with the distributors and explained the law and what was expected. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes, sir. Q. I'd like to show you those letters, two of the letters at least and talk to you about them in some detail. So the first letter we will mark as exhibit number do you remember what the next exhibit number is? Am I safe saying Exhibit No. 1? All right. We're going to call this Exhibit No. 1 and let me give you a copy of it as well, sir. (Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked for

1	Page 429	1	Page 431
1	Q. So what I have got here marked as	1	record. The time is 10:13.
2	Exhibit No. 1 is U.S. Department of Justice	2	(A short recess was taken.)
3	Drug Enforcement Administration.	3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on
4	By the way, what does that	4	record. Beginning Media File No. 4. The time
5	Department of Justice mean on top of DEA?	5	is 10:15.
6	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	6	BY MR. LANIER:
7	MS. MAINIGI: Objection to form.	7	Q. Every you sent this letter to
8	THE WITNESS: The Drug Enforcement	8	every commercial entity registered with the DEA
9	Administration is under the Department of	9	to distribute controlled substances.
10	Justice, United States Department of Justice.	10	Are those what you defined earlier
11	BY MR. LANIER:	11	as DEA registrants?
12	Q. Okay. So if we were to chart	12	A. No. It would just be the
13	through the government and put, like, a	13	distributors and the manufacturers.
14	corporate tree of the government, the DEA is	14	Q. All right. You referenced
15	under the DOJ, the Department of Justice?	15	"industry" a few times in your testimony. Is
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	that what industry would be to you?
17	Q. But the DEA here is the DEA where	17	A. Distributors and manufacturers,
18	you were sitting as they moved you up	18	yeah, that would pretty much be industry.
19	through a bunch of different jobs. That was	19	Q. So you sent this letter to each of
20	the DEA with the badge, right?	20	them. The purpose of this letter is to
21	MR. EPPICH: Objection.	21	reiterate the responsibilities.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	22	What do you mean by reiterate?
23	BY MR. LANIER:	23	A. This letter was sent as a follow up
24	Q. All right. So we've got a letter	24	to the face-to-face meetings we had with some
25	here. The letter seems to be about four pages	25	of the distributors and then also to the other
	Page 430		Page 432
1	and at the very end, it is signed by Joseph T.	1	distributors and manufacturers, again, just
2	Rannazzisi, deputy assistant administrator,	2	making sure they understand what their
3	office of diversion control. Is that you?	3	obligations were, what the obligations that
4	A. Yes, sir.	4	they signed on to when they became DEA
5	Q. And if we go back to the background	5	registrants.
6	sheet where we started with you, deputy	6	Q. Were these obligations already there
7	assistant administrator, office of diversion	7	or were you making new policy?
8	control, this is what you were moved to	8	A. No, the
9	permanently in 2006; is that right?	9	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
10	A. Yes, sir.	10	THE WITNESS: The obligations in
11	Q. All right. So I would like to talk	11	1301.74(b) and in 823(e) and (a), (b), were all
12	to you about this letter that you sent and have	12	in place since the early stages of the
13	you help us understand some parts of it.	13	Controlled Substances Act, over 40 years.
14	First of all, can you tell the jury	14	BY MR. LANIER:
15	what the date of the letter was?	15	Q. Since the 1970s?
16	A. September 27 of 2006.	16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And you're sending this letter, this	17	Q. So if lawyers or experts or someone
18	letter is being sent to every commercial entity	18	within industry were to suggest that you, Joe
19	in the United States registered with the DEA to	19	Rannazzisi, were initiating a brand new
20	distribute controlled substances.	20	responsibility in this 2006 letter, would they
21	Did I read that correctly?	21	be correct?
22	A. Yes, sir.	22	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
23	MR. LANIER: Let's go off the	23	MS. MAINIGI: Object to form.
24	record.	24	MR. STEPHENS: Object to form.
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off	25	THE WITNESS: In in my opinion,

1	Page 433	1	Page 435
1	no. Absolutely not. Again, 1301.74(b) has	1	news media and DEA, I think we laid a pretty
2	been in place for since the '70s, well over	2	good foundation for the abuse problem plus
3	40 years. This is nothing new. We just wanted	3	NIHTA and FDA were talking about the abuse
4	to make sure they remembered what their	4	problem in the United States.
5	responsibilities were.	5	BY MR. LANIER:
6	BY MR. LANIER:	6	Q. Okay. You then go on to talk about
7	Q. All right. So you're writing to	7	enforcing the Controlled Substances Act and I
8	make sure that they remember their	8	want the CSA is what you abbreviated it as.
9	responsibilities?	9	Do you see that?
10	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	10	A. Yes, sir.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.	11	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
12	BY MR. LANIER:	12	BY MR. LANIER:
13	Q. Now, in this regard, let's look at	13	Q. Is that the same Controlled
14	what you say about those responsibilities in	14	Substances Act that when you were giving us
15	the letter that you sent out marked as Exhibit	15	definitions, you said categorized drugs into
16	No. 1.	16	various categories.
17	You were talking about the purpose	17	A. Schedules, yes.
18	is to reiterate these responsibilities of	18	Q. Schedules. Thank you. And that's
19	distributors in view of the prescription drug	19	where you said under that Act, if diversion was
20	abuse problem our nation currently faces.	20	identified back then, the DEA could stop it
21	What was that drug abuse problem	21	hopefully.
22	that you were writing about in 2006?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. The problem of the abuse, use and	23	MS. McCLURE: Object to form.
24	abuse of hydrocodone, mostly hydrocodone and	24	BY MR. LANIER:
25	then oxycodone that was diverted from the	25	Q. I guess the big "if" there is, if
	Page 434		Page 436
1	supply chain.	1	the diversion is identified. Fair?
2	Q. So opioid diversion?	2	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
3	A. Yes, sir.	3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	Q. And then you continued to say, as	4	BY MR. LANIER:
5	each of you is undoubtedly aware, the abuse of	5	Q. Can't stop something you don't know
6	controlled prescription drugs is a serious and	6	about, right?
7	growing health problem in this country.	7	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
8	Did I read that correctly?	8	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
9	A. Yes, sir.	9	BY MR. LANIER:
10	Q. You put in there, you chose these	10	Q. All right. So you talk here about
11	words, as each of you are undoubtedly aware.	11	that Act in some detail to the registrants, the
12	Why did you feel confident saying	12	manufacturers and distributors.
13	that they were undoubtedly aware of this?	13	You say the CSA, that's the
14	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Form.	14	Controlled Substances Act, was designed by
15	MS. McCLURE: Objection. Form.	15	Congress to combat diversion. It does it by
16	Foundation.	16	providing for a closed system of drug
17	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	17	distribution.
17	which Elificities despect to form.	1	
18	THE WITNESS: Well, for the	18	Explain what is meant by you when
		18 19	Explain what is meant by you when you talk about a closed system.
18	THE WITNESS: Well, for the	1	
18 19	THE WITNESS: Well, for the companies that we had already done face-to-face	19	you talk about a closed system.
18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Well, for the companies that we had already done face-to-face meetings with, we explained that to them, but	19 20	you talk about a closed system. MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Well, for the companies that we had already done face-to-face meetings with, we explained that to them, but there were news reports out there, the states	19 20 21	you talk about a closed system. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: A closed system of
18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Well, for the companies that we had already done face-to-face meetings with, we explained that to them, but there were news reports out there, the states were sending out their own reports, state	19 20 21 22	you talk about a closed system. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: A closed system of distribution in theory should be able to
18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Well, for the companies that we had already done face-to-face meetings with, we explained that to them, but there were news reports out there, the states were sending out their own reports, state boards of pharmacy were sending out reports,	19 20 21 22 23	you talk about a closed system. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: A closed system of distribution in theory should be able to account for all drugs from the manufacturer all

19 (Pages 433 - 436)

	Page 437		Page 439
1	are put into the pharmacies or hospitals and	1	opioids, can it be dangerous?
2	then dispensed out to the patients.	2	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
3	It's a system of accountability.	3	MS. McCLURE: Objection. Scope.
4	It's a system of recordkeeping, audits,	4	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. The opioids
5	inventory, security, so the system is secure.	5	benzodiazepines, they have specific
6	It is secure physically, it is secure by the	6	pharmacologic effects on the body. For
7	recordkeeping through inventory and through	7	instance, opioids and an opioid naive patient
8	accountability.	8	could cause excessive respiratory depression
9	BY MR. LANIER:	9	and it is compounded if you take other
10	Q. So the system, you all kept track	10	depressants with it. It could lead to
11	the system let me put it this way.	11	respiratory arrest.
12	The system was designed to follow	12	BY MR. LANIER:
13	these pills from the person who makes it all	13	Q. Respiratory arrest means you quit
14	the way to the person who is supposed to take	14	breathing?
15	them; is that right?	15	A. Yes, sir.
16	A. Yes, sir. That's the system of	16	Q. Can they be addictive?
17	accountability.	17	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for
18	Q. And it is a closed system, meaning	18	expert conclusion.
19	that everybody in the system has to what?	19	MR. LANIER: He is a pharmacist.
20	A. Has a legal obligation. A legal	20	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
21	obligation to follow the security and	21	BY MR. LANIER:
22	recordkeeping requirements under the Act and		Q. Thank you.
23	under the regulations and to all maintain	23	Now, this closed system of
24	effective controls against diversion so the	24	distribution you are talking about, if we were
25	system is not breached.	25	to try and understand it a little bit more and
	Page 438		Page 440
1	Q. You're not a medical doctor,	1	put it into categories, you have got a group of
2	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct?	2	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills.
2 3	Q. You're not a medical doctor,correct?A. That is correct, sir.	2 3	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak?
2 3 4	Q. You're not a medical doctor,correct?A. That is correct, sir.Q. You don't know when it's right to	2 3 4	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They
2 3 4 5	 Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a 	2 3 4 5	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical 	2 3 4 5 6	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards of these opioid drugs if they are being used	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished form of the drug from the manufacturers, from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards of these opioid drugs if they are being used improperly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished form of the drug from the manufacturers, from different manufacturers, because different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards of these opioid drugs if they are being used improperly? MR. EPPICH: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished form of the drug from the manufacturers, from different manufacturers, because different manufacturers manufacture different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards of these opioid drugs if they are being used improperly? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished form of the drug from the manufacturers, from different manufacturers, because different manufacturers manufacture different different types of drug in a basic class. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards of these opioid drugs if they are being used improperly? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished form of the drug from the manufacturers, from different manufacturers, because different manufacturers manufacture different different types of drug in a basic class. They take all of those and then they distribute it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards of these opioid drugs if they are being used improperly? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished form of the drug from the manufacturers, from different manufacturers, because different manufacturers manufacture different different types of drug in a basic class. They take all of those and then they distribute it through their distribution chain down to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards of these opioid drugs if they are being used improperly? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I am. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished form of the drug from the manufacturers, from different manufacturers, because different manufacturers manufacture different different types of drug in a basic class. They take all of those and then they distribute it through their distribution chain down to pharmacies, so they are a middleman between the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards of these opioid drugs if they are being used improperly? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I am. BY MR. LANIER: Q. I mean, if somebody takes an extra	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished form of the drug from the manufacturers, from different manufacturers, because different manufacturers manufacture different different types of drug in a basic class. They take all of those and then they distribute it through their distribution chain down to pharmacies, so they are a middleman between the manufacturers and the patient care patient
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards of these opioid drugs if they are being used improperly? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I am. BY MR. LANIER: Q. I mean, if somebody takes an extra vitamin pill, maybe it's just passed out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished form of the drug from the manufacturers, from different manufacturers, because different manufacturers manufacture different different types of drug in a basic class. They take all of those and then they distribute it through their distribution chain down to pharmacies, so they are a middleman between the manufacturers and the patient care patient care entities, like, pharmacies, hospitals.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards of these opioid drugs if they are being used improperly? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I am. BY MR. LANIER: Q. I mean, if somebody takes an extra vitamin pill, maybe it's just passed out through the urine or something, I don't know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished form of the drug from the manufacturers, from different manufacturers, because different manufacturers manufacture different different types of drug in a basic class. They take all of those and then they distribute it through their distribution chain down to pharmacies, so they are a middleman between the manufacturers and the patient care patient care entities, like, pharmacies, hospitals. Q. All right. In my drawing, that's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You're not a medical doctor, correct? A. That is correct, sir. Q. You don't know when it's right to prescribe or when it's not right to prescribe a medicine from the extent of giving a medical doctor's diagnosis, fair? A. That's fair. Q. But you are a licensed pharmacist, aren't you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And as a licensed pharmacist, are you trained and aware of the harms and hazards of these opioid drugs if they are being used improperly? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, I am. BY MR. LANIER: Q. I mean, if somebody takes an extra vitamin pill, maybe it's just passed out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	put it into categories, you have got a group of folks who make these pills. What are they called in DEA speak? A. Those would be manufacturers. They manufacture the raw material and also the dosage forms. Q. All right. And in the chain from the manufacturers, what comes next? A. Distributors. Q. And the distributors explain what the distributors do so I can draw a good picture. A. Distributors obtain the finished form of the drug from the manufacturers, from different manufacturers, because different manufacturers manufacture different different types of drug in a basic class. They take all of those and then they distribute it through their distribution chain down to pharmacies, so they are a middleman between the manufacturers and the patient care patient care entities, like, pharmacies, hospitals.

	- · · · ·		
1	Page 441	1	Page 443
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	that's a pill. So the distributors are kind of,	2	I put quotation marks around this in the notes. That is not I think you said all
$\frac{2}{3}$	like, the tree. It takes those pills from the	$\frac{2}{3}$	of that stuff, but I don't think it is a direct
4	manufacturer and sends them out?	4	quote. I need to put some dots in there.
5	A. Yes, sir. Through a system of	5	And my what I do need to do to
6	ordering, they will be sent to pharmacies,	6	make this something you agree with?
7	hospitals.	7	A. Well, the legal obligation is to
8	Q. And I assume the distributor is	8	maintain effective controls against diversion,
9	making money off of distribution?	9	and the regulations set out what those
10	A. I believe so, yes.	10	obligations are. Those obligations include
11	MS. McCLURE: Objection.	11	security of the supply chain, the physical
12	BY MR. LANIER:	12	security of the manufacture and distribution
13	Q. Manufacturers are making money off	13	facilities, the pharmacies, the recordkeeping.
14	manufacture?	14	Each each level of the supply
15	MR. EPPICH: Objection.	15	chain has a recordkeeping obligation that must
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.	16	be maintained. The system of auditing, where
17	BY MR. LANIER:	17	each individual registrant must audit, must
18	Q. And then this distribution goes from	18	inventory, and the system of recordkeeping,
19	the distributors to is that how the	19	where they must account for all the drugs that
20	pharmacies get them?	20	were either sent downstream, destroyed, taken
21	A. Pharmacies, hospitals, yes.	21	back and moved to another entity, but that
22	Q. So this is my storefront for the	22	system, that system of control which is
23	pharmacy.	23	basically what it is, from scheduling the drugs
24	By the way, do you know what Rx	24	to putting them in classes so the pharmacists
25	stands for?	25	understand what their obligations are, through
	Page 442		Page 444
1	A. Well, it stands for prescription,	1	Page 444 security, recordkeeping, auditing and
1 2	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but	2	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that
2 3	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from?	2 3	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled
2 3 4	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but	2 3 4	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that
2 3 4 5	 A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that 	2 3 4 5	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies
2 3 4 5 6	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea.	2 3 4 5 6	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in	2 3 4 5 6 7	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to object to this demonstrative because that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups? MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to object to this demonstrative because that I don't know if you meant to do this, but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: All registrants must
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to object to this demonstrative because that I don't know if you meant to do this, but the quote you have up there, the system is for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: All registrants must maintain effective controls against diversion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to object to this demonstrative because that I don't know if you meant to do this, but the quote you have up there, the system is for legal obligation to secure, keep records and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: All registrants must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to object to this demonstrative because that I don't know if you meant to do this, but the quote you have up there, the system is for legal obligation to secure, keep records and control against diversion, you have that in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: All registrants must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. So that envelopes all of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to object to this demonstrative because that I don't know if you meant to do this, but the quote you have up there, the system is for legal obligation to secure, keep records and control against diversion, you have that in quotes, but that is not actually a quote from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: All registrants must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. So that envelopes all of these groups, fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to object to this demonstrative because that I don't know if you meant to do this, but the quote you have up there, the system is for legal obligation to secure, keep records and control against diversion, you have that in quotes, but that is not actually a quote from Mr. Rannazzisi.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: All registrants must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. So that envelopes all of these groups, fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Leading.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to object to this demonstrative because that I don't know if you meant to do this, but the quote you have up there, the system is for legal obligation to secure, keep records and control against diversion, you have that in quotes, but that is not actually a quote from Mr. Rannazzisi. MR. LANIER: You are right. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: All registrants must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. So that envelopes all of these groups, fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Leading. THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to object to this demonstrative because that I don't know if you meant to do this, but the quote you have up there, the system is for legal obligation to secure, keep records and control against diversion, you have that in quotes, but that is not actually a quote from Mr. Rannazzisi. MR. LANIER: You are right. I apologize. It's my mistake.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: All registrants must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. So that envelopes all of these groups, fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Leading. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to object to this demonstrative because that I don't know if you meant to do this, but the quote you have up there, the system is for legal obligation to secure, keep records and control against diversion, you have that in quotes, but that is not actually a quote from Mr. Rannazzisi. MR. LANIER: You are right. I apologize. It's my mistake. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: All registrants must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. So that envelopes all of these groups, fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Leading. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Okay. Now you have said here in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Well, it stands for prescription, but Q. Where on earth did it come from? A. I, you know, all my years as a pharmacist, I have never been asked that question. I have no idea. Q. They didn't, like, teach you that in Pharmacy 101? A. No. We were too bogged down with Latin. Q. Okay. All right. MR. EPPICH: Before we shift to another line, Mr. Lanier, I just wanted to object to this demonstrative because that I don't know if you meant to do this, but the quote you have up there, the system is for legal obligation to secure, keep records and control against diversion, you have that in quotes, but that is not actually a quote from Mr. Rannazzisi. MR. LANIER: You are right. I apologize. It's my mistake.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	security, recordkeeping, auditing and accountability, all of that is a system that creates the infrastructure for the Controlled Substances Act. Q. So there is a lot that the companies can do to maintain effective control; is that right? A. Yes. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And this obligation that you are talking about applies to which of these three groups? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: All registrants must maintain effective controls against diversion. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. So that envelopes all of these groups, fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Leading. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER:

	D 445		5 445
1	Page 445 the key components of the distribution chain.	1	Page 447 to them, this responsibility is critical.
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	· ·	2	* *
	Did I read that correctly?		Am I reading that correctly?
3	A. Yes, sir.	3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And that's this chain that you have	4	Q. As Congress has expressly declared
5	told us about, manufacturers, distributors,	5	that the illegal distribution of controlled
6	retailers, hospitals, others, right?	6	substances has a substantial and detrimental
7	A. Yes, sir.	7	effect on the health and general welfare of the
8	Q. All right. Distributors are one of	8	American people.
9	the key components. What makes the	9	Did I read that correctly?
10	distributors a key component?	10	A. Yes, sir.
11	A. They act as a bridge from the	11	Q. When you talk about how this is
12	manufacturers to the pharmacies. They they	12	critical because of its effect on the health
13	have this view of the distribution chain that,	13	and welfare of the American people, did you
14	you know, is wide-ranging. They understand	14	mean that?
15	the customers downstream are their customers.	15	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
16	They could see what is going on downstream.	16	THE WITNESS: Absolutely. If if
17	Q. You call them a bridge. I'll put	17	the system is not followed according to the
18	that in quotation marks. It's your word. I	18	regulations, then diversion will occur. The
19	will put down here key component. That is	19	system is set up to prevent diversion.
20	another one of yours.	20	If diversion occurs and the drugs
21	Then you also say, if the closed	21	get out into the, you know, to those who
22	system is to function properly as Congress	22	shouldn't have the drugs, those who are drug
23	envisioned, distributors must be vigilant in	23	seekers, people will be harmed and I think
24	deciding whether a prospective customer can be	24	that's in the preamble in 801, I think that is
25	trusted to deliver controlled substances only	25	what Congress was getting at in my opinion.
	Page 446		Page 448
1	for lawful purposes.	1	They wanted us to prevent diversion and that's
2	Did I read that correct?	2	why they set the Act up in the manner they did
3	A. Yes, sir.	3	BY MR. LANIER:
4			
	Q. Explain what you meant by "must be	4	Q. All right. In that regard, you talk
5	Q. Explain what you meant by "must be vigilant."	5	Q. All right. In that regard, you talk about the statutory scheme, how the statute
5 6			
	vigilant."	5	about the statutory scheme, how the statute
6	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each	5 6	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as
6 7	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within	5 6 7	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants.
6 7 8	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To	5 6 7 8	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors
6 7 8 9	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due	5 6 7 8 9	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal
6 7 8 9 10	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at	5 6 7 8 9 10	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as
6 7 8 9 10 11	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are suspicious. As a pharmacist, you would be	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion. Do you see that?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are suspicious. As a pharmacist, you would be looking at individual prescriptions to under	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are suspicious. As a pharmacist, you would be looking at individual prescriptions to under the doctrine of corresponding responsibility,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Second time you have used that word
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are suspicious. As a pharmacist, you would be looking at individual prescriptions to under the doctrine of corresponding responsibility, you must determine that a prescription is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Second time you have used that word "reiterate," isn't it?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are suspicious. As a pharmacist, you would be looking at individual prescriptions to under the doctrine of corresponding responsibility, you must determine that a prescription is effective and valid before you could dispense.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Second time you have used that word "reiterate," isn't it? A. Yes, sir.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are suspicious. As a pharmacist, you would be looking at individual prescriptions to under the doctrine of corresponding responsibility, you must determine that a prescription is effective and valid before you could dispense. Every manufacturer sending drugs	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Second time you have used that word "reiterate," isn't it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Again, is this something that was
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are suspicious. As a pharmacist, you would be looking at individual prescriptions to under the doctrine of corresponding responsibility, you must determine that a prescription is effective and valid before you could dispense. Every manufacturer sending drugs downstream, they are supposed to be vigilant.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Second time you have used that word "reiterate," isn't it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Again, is this something that was brand new?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are suspicious. As a pharmacist, you would be looking at individual prescriptions to under the doctrine of corresponding responsibility, you must determine that a prescription is effective and valid before you could dispense. Every manufacturer sending drugs downstream, they are supposed to be vigilant. Know what your middleman customers are doing.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Second time you have used that word "reiterate," isn't it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Again, is this something that was brand new? MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	vigilant." A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are suspicious. As a pharmacist, you would be looking at individual prescriptions to under the doctrine of corresponding responsibility, you must determine that a prescription is effective and valid before you could dispense. Every manufacturer sending drugs downstream, they are supposed to be vigilant. Know what your middleman customers are doing. Everybody has to be vigilant. The only way the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Second time you have used that word "reiterate," isn't it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Again, is this something that was brand new? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. Again, these
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are suspicious. As a pharmacist, you would be looking at individual prescriptions to under the doctrine of corresponding responsibility, you must determine that a prescription is effective and valid before you could dispense. Every manufacturer sending drugs downstream, they are supposed to be vigilant. Know what your middleman customers are doing. Everybody has to be vigilant. The only way the supply chain works, the only way that the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Second time you have used that word "reiterate," isn't it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Again, is this something that was brand new? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. Again, these requirements have been in place since the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Those are the requirements for each individual each individual registrant within the system. To be vigilant is just that. To know your customers. To make sure you do due diligence on your customers. You look at orders. You look at orders that are suspicious. As a pharmacist, you would be looking at individual prescriptions to under the doctrine of corresponding responsibility, you must determine that a prescription is effective and valid before you could dispense. Every manufacturer sending drugs downstream, they are supposed to be vigilant. Know what your middleman customers are doing. Everybody has to be vigilant. The only way the supply chain works, the only way that the infrastructure control works in the Controlled	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about the statutory scheme, how the statute works and the legal duties of distributors as well as registrants. You say, although most distributors are already well aware of the following legal principles, they are reiterated here as additional background for this discussion. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Second time you have used that word "reiterate," isn't it? A. Yes, sir. Q. Again, is this something that was brand new? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. Again, these requirements have been in place since the nascent stages of the Controlled Substances

1	Page 449	1	Page 451
1	stages." I am from Lubbock, Texas. I'm not	1	A distributor, you reiterate this.
2	sure on that word. The early stages?	2	Excuse me. A distributor, and those are the
3	A. Early stages, yes.	3	middlemen, right?
4	Q. Natient.	4	A. Yes.
5	A. Nascent.	5	Q. A distributor has a statutory
6	Q. Nascent. All right. Got it.	6	responsibility to exercise due diligence to
7	Your second page, and I'm not going	7	avoid filling suspicious orders that might be
8	to go through the whole letter because we don't		diverted into other than legitimate medical,
9	have time, but the second page has another	9	scientific and industrial channels; is that
10	sentence I want to make sure that we	10	correct?
11	understand.	11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Moreover, all registrants,	12	Q. Did you find that all of the
13	manufacturers, distributors, pharmacies,	13	distributors were living up to what you
14	practitioners share responsibility for	14	understood to be their legal responsibility
15	maintaining appropriate safeguards against	15	here?
16	diversion.	16	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
17	Do you stand by that?	17	Foundation.
18	A. Yes, sir.	18	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
19	Q. And so if we are back to our picture	19	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
20	in that closed system, manufacturers,	20	You are not authorized to disclose
21	distributors, retailers, the doctors writing	21	any information regarding specific nonpublic
22	the prescriptions and others, what do you mean		DEA investigations or activities in answering
23	when you say that all of them "share	23	that question.
24	responsibility for maintaining appropriate	24	THE WITNESS: We found that some
25	safeguards against diversion?"	25	distributors were not and that's why we issued
	P. 450		D 452
1	Page 450 MS_MAINIGI: Objection	1	Page 452
1 2	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	1 2	orders to show cause and immediate suspension
2	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at	2	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders.
2 3	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had	2	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are,	2 3 4	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as
2 3 4 5	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the	2 3 4 5	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do,
2 3 4 5 6	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a	2 1 3 4 5 6	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical	2 3 4 5 6 7	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional	2 1 3 4 5 6 7 8	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective.	2 1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that	2 1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that and ordering significant amounts of drugs and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious circumstances; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that and ordering significant amounts of drugs and they're suspicious, the distributor must report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious circumstances; is that right? MR. EPPICH: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that and ordering significant amounts of drugs and they're suspicious, the distributor must report that suspicious order and he must do due	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious circumstances; is that right? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that and ordering significant amounts of drugs and they're suspicious, the distributor must report that suspicious order and he must do due diligence and find out what is going on at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious circumstances; is that right? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that and ordering significant amounts of drugs and they're suspicious, the distributor must report that suspicious order and he must do due diligence and find out what is going on at that pharmacy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious circumstances; is that right? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you concerned that you needed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that and ordering significant amounts of drugs and they're suspicious, the distributor must report that suspicious order and he must do due diligence and find out what is going on at that pharmacy. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious circumstances; is that right? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you concerned that you needed to reiterate this and say it over and over?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that and ordering significant amounts of drugs and they're suspicious, the distributor must report that suspicious order and he must do due diligence and find out what is going on at that pharmacy. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Let me interrupt you for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious circumstances; is that right? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you concerned that you needed to reiterate this and say it over and over? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that and ordering significant amounts of drugs and they're suspicious, the distributor must report that suspicious order and he must do due diligence and find out what is going on at that pharmacy. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Let me interrupt you for a moment because you say some of that in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious circumstances; is that right? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you concerned that you needed to reiterate this and say it over and over? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I was I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that and ordering significant amounts of drugs and they're suspicious, the distributor must report that suspicious order and he must do due diligence and find out what is going on at that pharmacy. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Let me interrupt you for a moment because you say some of that in the letter and I want to make sure that we've got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious circumstances; is that right? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you concerned that you needed to reiterate this and say it over and over? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I was I was concerned, but I was trying to reinforce what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that and ordering significant amounts of drugs and they're suspicious, the distributor must report that suspicious order and he must do due diligence and find out what is going on at that pharmacy. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Let me interrupt you for a moment because you say some of that in the letter and I want to make sure that we've got it in your writings of what you provided to all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious circumstances; is that right? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you concerned that you needed to reiterate this and say it over and over? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I was I was concerned, but I was trying to reinforce what the requirements were. Just because somebody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: What I was getting at was everybody within that delivery system had an obligation, and appropriate safeguards are, you are following the regulations and the statutory requirements, so for a doctor, a doctor must prescribe for legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice, but if the doctor is not doing that, the pharmacist has a corresponding responsibility under 1304 to ensure that the prescription is valid and effective. If the pharmacist is not doing that and ordering significant amounts of drugs and they're suspicious, the distributor must report that suspicious order and he must do due diligence and find out what is going on at that pharmacy. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Let me interrupt you for a moment because you say some of that in the letter and I want to make sure that we've got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	orders to show cause and immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. We will look at some as an example here in a moment, but before we do, I want to look at what you said in the next paragraph. Given the requirement under the law that a distributor maintain effective controls against diversion, a distributor may not simply rely on the fact that the person placing the suspicious order is also registered and cannot turn a blind eye to the suspicious circumstances; is that right? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you concerned that you needed to reiterate this and say it over and over? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I was I was concerned, but I was trying to reinforce what

Page 453 Page 455 1 law. between 9 and 12 percent of the drugs of 2 BY MR. LANIER: 2 controlled substances and this pharmacy is 3 Q. All right. In the third page, you 3 ordering 40 or 50 percent and it is limited, it go on to say, here are some circumstances that is limited to oxycodone, hydrocodone --4 4 5 might indicate there is diversion going on; is oxycodone, hydrocodone and hydromorphone, that 5 6 that right? should set up red flags. It even goes deeper 6 7 7 if they were ordering a high dose of those A. Yes, sir. 8 8 Q. And these -- you gave four examples, drugs. 9 much like you have told us in here before, were 9 Q. All right. Order excessive 10 concerns that you had or that people could look 10 quantities of limited varieties in combination at -- strike that. Let me start that question with excessive quantities of lifestyle drugs. 11 11 12 12 Another red flag? again. 13 You give four circumstances that 13 A. Yes. That was tapping into the might indicate diversion; is that fair to say? 14 14 three drug combination, the panel that we were 15 A. Yes, sir. 15 seeing, things like alprazolam, hydrocodone and Q. Ordering excessive quantities of a 16 16 carisoprodol or hydrocodone -- or oxycodone, 17 limited variety of controlled substance while carisoprodol and alprazolam, diazepam, 17 18 ordering few, if any, other drugs. 18 clonazepam, any of those different combinations 19 Why would that perhaps be indicative 19 of drugs that is unusual. 20 or perhaps indicate diversion? 20 Q. You are throwing out a bunch of 21 21 A. Well, for the most part, pharmacies words that most of us have never heard of in 22 generally follow a pattern of ordering for 22 our life because we just get told, go buy Advil 23 controlled substances and depending on what we 23 or something like that. 24 have read, it could be anywhere as low as 9 24 You're -- are these those -- these 25 percent to up to 12 or 13 percent as the 25 are the chemical names that you are using for Page 454 Page 456 1 average. So it is a red flag when a pharmacy 1 these drugs? is ordering, you know, 40, 50 percent of their 2 2 A. Yeah. So, for instance, 3 drugs has controlled substances, you know, and 3 benzodiazepines -- the three most used 4 looking at the legend or the noncontrolled benzodiazepines would be alprazolam, clonazepam 5 drugs, you've got to ask questions. 5 and diazepam. Those are antianxiety agents 6 Why are you not ordering? If you 6 and --7 7 are a full service pharmacy, why are you not Q. Chill pills? 8 ordering noncontrolled legend drugs? 8 A. Yeah, I guess you could call them 9 Q. All right. So we've got a red flag 9 chill pills. 10 on that. 10 When -- in and of themselves, they 11 The second one you gave is ordering are fine, but when you take them with a potent 11 12 a limited variety of controlled substances in 12 opioid like hydrocodone or oxycodone, both of 13 quantities that are disproportionate, not in the effects are enhanced. 13 14 proportion, to the quantity of noncontrolled 14 Q. All right. So that becomes a thrill 15 medications ordered. 15 pill at that point, I guess, instead of a chill pill. I will move to strike. 16 Is that also a red flag? 16 17 A. Again, same concept. No. 1 handles, 17 All right. And No. 4 is ordering 18 you know, where we are only ordering large 18 the same controlled substance for multiple 19 quantities of oxycodone, hydrocodone, nothing 19 distributors, multiple middlemen. 20 20 else or oxycodone, hydrocodone, alprazolam. Now explain why that should -- might 21 The second one is again, you are 21 be a red flag? 22 22 A. The pharmacy is trying or attempting taking the hydrocodone and the oxycodone and it 23 is far exceeding what you are ordering normal to not raise suspicions with one distributor. 23 24 noncontrolled drugs. So it's disproportional. 24 Q. All right. 25 If the normal pharmacy is ordering 25 So if they order for multiple, they

Page 457 Page 459 than just these? are thinking that they could fly under the 1 1 2 2 A. Yes, sir. radar. 3 Q. So if we look at a distributor's 3 Q. How would a distributor know if 4 program that they have in place by 2006, we 4 someone uses multiple distributors? 5 MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. 5 should already see some of this due diligence 6 BY MR. LANIER: 6 but we may see other things as well, fair? 7 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 7 Q. Based on your experience with the DEA that you are allowed to talk to us about. 8 THE WITNESS: I am just reading. 8 9 Yes. That's fair. 9 MR. EPPICH: Same objections. 10 10 THE WITNESS: There's -- there's BY MR. LANIER: Q. But by the same token, once you have 11 several ways. One is knowing your customer and 11 12 sent this out, would it -- would you agree with doing due diligence. When you on board your 12 customer, ask them where they are getting their 13 the proposition that surely a responsible 13 14 -- who was previously supplying your drugs. 14 distributor would then incorporate these types 15 of questions into their due diligence, just 15 Are you still supplying -- still getting 16 their routine. Would you agree? 16 supplies from them. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. 17 Sending out questionnaires. Looking 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what the 18 at third-party aggregator information, seeing 18 19 19 basis of this letter was. We were trying to if that pharmacy has purchased drugs or you 20 know how much you have supplied that pharmacy, 20 explain how, you know, how we believe, you 21 21 know, questions that we believe are important but look and see how much is being dispensed 22 22 out of the pharmacy. If they are dispensing to be asking, in addition to whatever questions 23 they felt important to ask their customers. 23 more than you supplied, chances are there is a 24 third party somewhere, a fourth party. 24 BY MR. LANIER: 25 25 BY MR. LANIER: Q. So did I write it right? Earlier Page 458 Page 460 1 Q. Now, you told us this earlier when you told us -- let me find the note card that 1 2 you were talking about these distributors and 2 we had. 3 why they must be vigilant that they need to 3 That -- when I asked you what 4 know their customers and you just said that is 4 compliance was required, you said all the 5 part of knowing the customers, but you also 5 registrants are to maintain effective control said they are supposed to look at suspicious 6 6 against diversion, that they are required to 7 orders. 7 report a suspicious order and then you said 8 You put in this letter you sent to 8 they are to maintain a system to detect 9 all of them, a list of things that they may 9 suspicious orders and they don't ship them 10 wish to inquire about if they have a suspicion, 10 without full due diligence that resolves their 11 if they're -- to determine whether a suspicious 11 12 order indicates diversion, then they may wish 12 So this is -- do you remember 13 to inquire. testifying about this earlier? 13 14 Are these some questions that they 14 A. Yes. 15 could put into their policies to make sure that 15 Q. And so this list that you gave them, they are doing their due diligence? 16 this nonexhaustive list are things that they 16 should have had in their approach to make sure 17 MS. MAINIGI: Objection. 17 18 THE WITNESS: This is a 18 before they sell these drugs that they are 19 nonexhaustive list of questions that are 19 being sold properly. Fair? 20 suggested they ask to make a determination if MS. MAINIGI: Objection. 20 21 they are -- the pharmacy they are supplying is 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 operating within the confines of the Act or if 22 BY MR. LANIER: 23 they are actually diverting. 23 Q. And you even told the industry these 24 BY MR. LANIER: 24 questions are not all-inclusive, nor will the 25 Q. By nonexhaustive, there are more 25 answer to any of these necessarily determine

1	Page 461	1	Page 463
1	the answer. Consider the totality of the	1	A. Yes, sir.
2	circumstances, correct?	2	Q. And you sent it to a number but I
3	A. Yes, sir.	3	have pulled out one of the samples so the jury
4	Q. Now, again, if by the way, let me	4	can see how you specifically addressed these
5	digress for a minute.	5	various letters.
6	Do you think industry, and by that I	6	I would like to give you the letter.
7	mean manufacturers, distributors and sellers,	7	We will mark it as Exhibit No. 2.
8	were tuned in to the fact that these drugs are	8	Here is a copy for you, Counsel.
9	dangerous drugs?	9	(Deposition Exhibit 2 was marked for
10	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	10	identification.)
11	Foundation. Calls for speculation.	11	BY MR. LANIER:
12	THE WITNESS: I yes, I do.	12	Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 2 in front
13	BY MR. LANIER:	13	of you?
14	Q. And you don't have to speculate on	14	A. Yes, sir.
15	that, do you? You know that. Why do you know	15	Q. All right. Exhibit No. 2 is a
16	that to be true?	16	sample letter that you sent out to McKesson
17	A. Again, because when we sat down and	17	Corporation.
18	talked to the distributors, when we went out	18	Do you see that?
19	and did presentations for the industry, we	19	A. Yes, sir.
20	talked about the drugs and the dangers of the	20	Q. And in our buckets, McKesson or
21	drugs, the dangers of addiction, the dangers,	21	in our distribution center, is McKesson a
22	all the way through all the way up until I	22	manufacturer, a distributor or a retailer?
23	was I left in 2015. That was standard in	23	A. They would be a distributor.
24	our presentations, plus the fact that the news	24	Q. The middleman?
25	media were reporting on this on a regular	25	A. Yes, sir.
	Page 462		Daga 464
	1 450 102	1	rage 404
1	basis, the states were sending out guidance and	1	Page 464 Q. All right. And so you sent out
1 2		1 2	-
	basis, the states were sending out guidance and		Q. All right. And so you sent out
2	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are	2	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson,
2 3	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the	2 3	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of
2 3 4	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't	2 3 4	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about
2 3 4 5	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out	2 3 4 5	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all
2 3 4 5 6	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on.	2 3 4 5 6	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before?
2 3 4 5 6 7	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And again, were you trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And again, were you trying to reiterate responsibilities that they had?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. MR. EPPICH: Foundation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And again, were you trying to reiterate responsibilities that they had? A. Yes. We were trying, again, explain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. MR. EPPICH: Foundation. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And again, were you trying to reiterate responsibilities that they had? A. Yes. We were trying, again, explain to them what their legal obligations were under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. MR. EPPICH: Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you aware of that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And again, were you trying to reiterate responsibilities that they had? A. Yes. We were trying, again, explain to them what their legal obligations were under the Act and the regulations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. MR. EPPICH: Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you aware of that? A. In volume-wise, yes. I think I think throughout the chain, that's money is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And again, were you trying to reiterate responsibilities that they had? A. Yes. We were trying, again, explain to them what their legal obligations were under the Act and the regulations. Q. And you have been in here throwing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. MR. EPPICH: Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you aware of that? A. In volume-wise, yes. I think I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And again, were you trying to reiterate responsibilities that they had? A. Yes. We were trying, again, explain to them what their legal obligations were under the Act and the regulations. Q. And you have been in here throwing around numbers of laws and all the rest of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. MR. EPPICH: Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you aware of that? A. In volume-wise, yes. I think I think throughout the chain, that's money is made on volume sales, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And again, were you trying to reiterate responsibilities that they had? A. Yes. We were trying, again, explain to them what their legal obligations were under the Act and the regulations. Q. And you have been in here throwing around numbers of laws and all the rest of this stuff just from memory, but did you actually put down, for example, the law that is entitled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. MR. EPPICH: Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you aware of that? A. In volume-wise, yes. I think I think throughout the chain, that's money is made on volume sales, yes. Q. Now, we have looked at the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And again, were you trying to reiterate responsibilities that they had? A. Yes. We were trying, again, explain to them what their legal obligations were under the Act and the regulations. Q. And you have been in here throwing around numbers of laws and all the rest of this stuff just from memory, but did you actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. MR. EPPICH: Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you aware of that? A. In volume-wise, yes. I think I think throughout the chain, that's money is made on volume sales, yes. Q. Now, we have looked at the first follow up letter of the 2006 letter, and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And again, were you trying to reiterate responsibilities that they had? A. Yes. We were trying, again, explain to them what their legal obligations were under the Act and the regulations. Q. And you have been in here throwing around numbers of laws and all the rest of this stuff just from memory, but did you actually put down, for example, the law that is entitled 21 Code of Federal Regulations 1301.74(b) that specifically requires.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	basis, the states were sending out guidance and notifications of different problems that are occurring with these opioids and the benzodiazepines, so the information was out there. It was offered. It was I can't imagine that they didn't know what was going on. Q. Were you aware during the time you wrote this letter, that the way the economics of this closed system was working, the more the distributors sold, the more money they made? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Objection to form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. MR. EPPICH: Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Were you aware of that? A. In volume-wise, yes. I think I think throughout the chain, that's money is made on volume sales, yes. Q. Now, we have looked at the first follow up letter of the 2006 letter, and that was Exhibit No. 1.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. All right. And so you sent out these letters and this is a sample to McKesson, did you send this letter, in fact, to all of the registrants that you were talking about before? A. This letter went to all manufacturers and distributors. Q. In fact, you say that at the beginning. This letter is being sent to every entity registered with the DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And again, were you trying to reiterate responsibilities that they had? A. Yes. We were trying, again, explain to them what their legal obligations were under the Act and the regulations. Q. And you have been in here throwing around numbers of laws and all the rest of this stuff just from memory, but did you actually put down, for example, the law that is entitled 21 Code of Federal Regulations 1301.74(b) that

	D 4/5		D 467
1	A. It's a regulation. It's required,	1	Page 467 set it aside in the interest of time.
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	-	2	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
3	yes. Q. Is it optional?	3	BY MR. LANIER:
4	Q. Is it optional? A. No.	4	Q. But I am going to ask you whether or
5	Q. Requires that a registrant, quote,	5	not, even after these letters, you had any
6	design and operate a system to disclose to the	6	issues or problems with the companies doing
7	registrant suspicious orders of controlled	7	what they were obligated to do?
8	substances; is that true?	8	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.
9	A. Yes, sir.	9	· ·
10		10	Vague.
	Q. Well, who whose responsibility is	11	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: There were cases that
11 12	it to design that system?		
	A. The individual registrant.	12	were done that were that were reported out
13	Q. You say that the regulation clearly	13	that involved manufacturers and distributors
14	indicates it's the sole responsibility of the	14	that or manufacturers and distributors that
15	registrant to design and operate such a system,	15	were not doing their obligations even after the
16	true?	16	letters and after the face-to-face visits,
17	A. Yes, sir.	17	meetings.
18	Q. And you are reiterating this. Is	18	BY MR. LANIER:
19	this new law, is this some new guideline?	19	Q. All right. In this regard for
20	A. It's the same regulation, same	20	follow up, what types of actions did let me
21	statutory provision that has been in place	21	get a piece of paper.
22	since the beginning of the Controlled	22	When the DEA under your oversight
23	Substances Act.	23	found that there were problems and issues
24	Q. Well, what if the lawyers or the	24	before the law was changed
25	the defendant companies want to tell the jury,	25	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
			-
	Page 466		Page 468
1	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the	1	BY MR. LANIER:
2	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the	1 2	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and
	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true?		BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines
2	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the	2	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us.
2 3	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form.	2 3	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
2 3 4	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection.	2 3 4	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us.
2 3 4 5	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form.	2 3 4 5	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the
2 3 4 5 6 7	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No. The DEA would not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Those of us who don't do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No. The DEA would not tell them to do something outside of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Those of us who don't do what you do need to make sure we understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No. The DEA would not tell them to do something outside of the regulation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Those of us who don't do what you do need to make sure we understand what these are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No. The DEA would not tell them to do something outside of the regulation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And did you specifically warn them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Those of us who don't do what you do need to make sure we understand what these are. So what is an order to show cause? A. A show cause order is basically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No. The DEA would not tell them to do something outside of the regulation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And did you specifically warn them of this, that the DEA does not approve or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Those of us who don't do what you do need to make sure we understand what these are. So what is an order to show cause? A. A show cause order is basically notice. It's notice of violations, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No. The DEA would not tell them to do something outside of the regulation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And did you specifically warn them of this, that the DEA does not approve or otherwise endorse any specific system for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Those of us who don't do what you do need to make sure we understand what these are. So what is an order to show cause? A. A show cause order is basically notice. It's notice of violations, and the show cause gives them an opportunity for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No. The DEA would not tell them to do something outside of the regulation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And did you specifically warn them of this, that the DEA does not approve or otherwise endorse any specific system for reporting suspicious orders?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Those of us who don't do what you do need to make sure we understand what these are. So what is an order to show cause? A. A show cause order is basically notice. It's notice of violations, and the show cause gives them an opportunity for the registrant to appear before an administrative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No. The DEA would not tell them to do something outside of the regulation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And did you specifically warn them of this, that the DEA does not approve or otherwise endorse any specific system for reporting suspicious orders? MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Those of us who don't do what you do need to make sure we understand what these are. So what is an order to show cause? A. A show cause order is basically notice. It's notice of violations, and the show cause gives them an opportunity for the registrant to appear before an administrative law judge and show cause why the government
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No. The DEA would not tell them to do something outside of the regulation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And did you specifically warn them of this, that the DEA does not approve or otherwise endorse any specific system for reporting suspicious orders? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Those of us who don't do what you do need to make sure we understand what these are. So what is an order to show cause? A. A show cause order is basically notice. It's notice of violations, and the show cause gives them an opportunity for the registrant to appear before an administrative law judge and show cause why the government should not take action against their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No. The DEA would not tell them to do something outside of the regulation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And did you specifically warn them of this, that the DEA does not approve or otherwise endorse any specific system for reporting suspicious orders? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Those of us who don't do what you do need to make sure we understand what these are. So what is an order to show cause? A. A show cause order is basically notice. It's notice of violations, and the show cause gives them an opportunity for the registrant to appear before an administrative law judge and show cause why the government should not take action against their registration.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hey, don't blame us, the DEA didn't it's the DEA responsibility to design and operate the system. Would that be true? MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: No, that is just incorrect. It is very specific. The regulation is specific. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, what if they say, oh, but the DEA told us it is okay to do it this way? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No. The DEA would not tell them to do something outside of the regulation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And did you specifically warn them of this, that the DEA does not approve or otherwise endorse any specific system for reporting suspicious orders? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LANIER: Q did you ever have to try and enforce the law and again, within the confines of what you can publicly say to us. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: Depending on the violations, depending on the scope of the violations and the potential for imminent danger, yes, we did. We would issue orders to show cause and in cases of imminent danger, we would issue immediate suspension orders. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Those of us who don't do what you do need to make sure we understand what these are. So what is an order to show cause? A. A show cause order is basically notice. It's notice of violations, and the show cause gives them an opportunity for the registrant to appear before an administrative law judge and show cause why the government should not take action against their

27 (Pages 465 - 468)

	D 460		D 471
1	Page 469 of an ALJ at the DEA.	1	Page 471 BY MR. LANIER:
2	Q. An ALJ is an administrative law	2	Q. And I want to ask you a number of
3	judge?	3	these questions now as some examples, and I
4	A. Yes.	4	will make it real clear, I am not being
5	Q. And you all have those as well at	5	exhaustive. All I am talking about are
6	the that is in the DOJ, in the Department of	6	examples that are public that you are allowed
7	Justice, right?	7	to talk about. Okay?
8	A. No. The administrative law judges	8	A. (Witness nodding head.) Yes, sir.
9	actually sit at DEA.	9	Q. So examples. I saw a settlement
10	Q. Oh, at DEA?	10	agreement with AmerisourceBergen that we will
11	A. Yes, sir.	11	mark as Exhibit No. 3.
12	Q. So you notice a violation and give	12	(Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked for
13	the company a chance to come in, in essence,	13	identification.)
14	and defend themselves?	14	BY MR. LENIER:
15	A. Yes. And during the proceedings,	15	Q. I am sorry to be throwing documents
16	they are allowed to maintain their business and		at you, sir.
17	continue to handle and distribute or	17	A. That's fine.
18	manufacture, dispense controlled substances.	18	MS. McCLURE: Excuse me, do you have
19	Q. All right. And then the suspension	19	another one of those documents?
20	order, what is that?	20	MR. LANIER: Yes. Ms. Singletary
21	A. Well, an immediate suspension order	21	Fitzpatrick is going to be passing those out.
22	is part of an order to show cause. A show	22	Thank you. She has got a full set to make sure
23	cause order, like I said, they are allowed to	23	we can get as many out as possible.
24	continue to do business. But an immediate	24	BY MR. LANIER:
25	suspension order attached to an order to show	25	Q. So I am marking this as Exhibit No.
	Page 470		Page 472
1	cause, it's at that point in time, the	1	3. This is a settlement and release agreement
2	violations are presenting an imminent danger to	2	entered into in June of '07 between
3	public health, public safety.	3	AmerisourceBergen and the DEA.
4	So at that point in time, we take	4	MS. McCLURE: Objection. Form.
5	the registration, the administrator of DEA	5	BY MR. LANIER:
6	finds that there is an imminent danger, that	6	Q. Is that correct?
7	finding is incorporated into the show cause	7	A. Yes.
8	order and we immediately take their	8	Q. And so we are clear,
9	registration, we take their drugs, whatever	9	AmerisourceBergen in the closed system, what
10	drugs they have on hand, secure their drugs, in	10	are they?
11	some cases take their files, make sure that	11	A. They are a
12	their order forms and make sure that they are	12	MS. McCLURE: Objection. Form.
13	not operating until the conclusion of all the	13	THE WITNESS: Sorry. They are a
14	proceedings related to the show cause order.	14	distributor.
15	Q. So you put a stop to their business	15	BY MR. LANIER:
16	on those drugs; is that right?	16	Q. All right. So an example of someone
17	A. Yes.	17	where you had to issue this, would be the
18	Q. Did you ever have to do this for any	18	distributor AmerisourceBergen?
19	distributors because of the opioid problems?	19	MS. McCLURE: Form.
20	A. Yes.	20	BY MR. LANIER:
21	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.	21	Q. Is that fair?
22	To the extent it has been made	22	A. Yes, sir.
23	public, you may answer.	23	Q. Another example, McKesson. Do you
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.	24	recall having to do this for McKesson?
25	MR. EPPICH: Objection.	25	A. Yes, sir.

mr. EPPICH: Object to form. DYMR. LANIER: OR Dring toging to give you a document we will mark as Fshibit No. 4. Put it up here so the jury can see it. (Deposition Exhibit 4 was marked for it identification.) BYMR. LANIER: OR Did the DEA enter into a settlement and release agreement, an administrative memorandum of agreement between McKesson and release agreement, an administrative memorandum of agreement between McKesson and release agreement, an administrative memorandum of agreement between McKesson and the DEA? A. Yes, sir. OR And McKesson is what type of a company in our closed system? A. They are a distributor. OR Another example of how you had to enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be violations? THE WITNESS: Ves, sir. THE WIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record. The time is 11:07. (A short recess was taken.) THE WIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on the record. Beginning of Media File No. 5. The time is 11:19. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) The time is 11:07. (A short recess was taken.) THE WIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on the record. Beginning of Media File No. 5. The time is 11:19. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) MR. EPPICH: Object to form. The with McKesson after you left the DEA in 2016, correct. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. I have a second with the DA of with the DA of with the DEA on the record. The time is 11:07. A. Yes, sir. De you see that? A. Yes, sir. De you ware of this agreement before you handed it to me. Page 476 With McKesson after you left. The date on it is a made to the provided to us. I want to read you some statements that were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed		D 472		D 475
DEA investigations or activities. To the extent you can answer that question based on publicly available information, you may answer. To the extent you can answer that question based on publicly available information, you may answer. To the extent you can answer that question based on publicly available information, you may answer. The WITNESS: Could I have a second with the DOI attorney? MR. LANIER: MR. LANIER: Sure. Go off the record. THE WITNESS: Could I have a second with the DOI attorney? MR. LANIER: Sure. Go off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record. The time is 11:07. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on the record. Beginning of Media File No. 5. The time is 11:19. The time is 11:10. The time is 11:00. The time is 11:10. The time is 11:00. The time is 11:10. The time is 11:00. The time is	1	Page 473 MR EPPICH: Object to form	1	Page 475
3		ž		
4 will mark as Exhibit No. 4. Put it up here so the jury can see it. Copposition Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) 8 BY MR. LANIER: 9 Q. Did the DEA enter into a settlement and release agreement, an administrative memorandum of agreement between McKesson 12 Corporation and the DEA? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And McKesson is what type of a company in our closed system? 15 company in our closed system? 16 A. They are a distributor. 17 Q. Another example of how you had to enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 11 the WINDLOGRAPHER: Going off record. 12 THE VIDLOGRAPHER: We are going back on the record. Beginning of Media File No. 5. 15 the time is 11:19. 16 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 17 (A short recess was taken.) 18 BY MR. LANIER: 19 Q. Another example of how you had to enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 17 HE WIDLOGRAPHER: Going off record. 18 the VIDLOGRAPHER: We are going back on the record. Beginning of Media File No. 5. 19 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 19 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 10 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 11 the will receive a substance. 12 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 12 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 (D. And McKesson after No left the DEA in 20 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 21 A. Tis, October of 2015. 22 THE VIDLOGRAPHER: We are going back on the record. Beginning of Media File No. 5. 23 The time is 11:19. 24 (A. Tis, October of 2015. 25 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 26 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 27 (A. Tis, October of 2015. 29 (A. Tis, No left the DEA in 20 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 29 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 20 (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 21				•
the jury can see it. (Deposition Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Dy Did the DEA enter into a settlement and release agreement, an administrative memorandum of agreement between McKesson Continued to violate the law and bring. A. Yes, sir. Q. And McKesson is what type of a company in our closed system? A. They are a distributor. Q. Another example of how you had to enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be violations? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on the record. Beginning of Media File No. 5. The time is 11:19. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) The time is 11:19. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) The time is 11:19. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) The was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. You left the DEA in 2016, correct? A. '15, October of 2015. Q. Sorry. My mistake. 2015. The document itself is confidential and not public. MR. LANIER: Okay. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? MR. LANIER: Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? A. Yes, sir. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record. The time is 11:07. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. You left the DEA in 2016, correct? A. '15, October of 2015. Q. Sorry. My mistake. 2015. Q. Sorry. My mistake. 2015. Q. Sorry. My mistake. 2015. Q. With McKesson after you left. The date on it is January 2017. Do you see that? A. '8, So, Sir. Q. Were you aware of this agreement before you handed it to me. BY MR. LANIER: Q. With McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to estify about concerning those actions.				•
Composition Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) The WITNESS: Could I have a second with the DOJ attorney? Wit		*		
7 identification.) 8 BY MR. LANIER: 9 Q. Did the DEA enter into a settlement and release agreement, an administrative memorandum of agreement between McKesson 12 corporation and the DEA? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And McKesson is what type of a company in our closed system? 15 A. They are a distributor. 16 enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 17 Q. Another example of how you had to enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 18 document is confidential and to the extent 25 there was any confusion in the record earlier, 19 the document itself is confidential and not the extent 2 public. 20 J. By MR. LANIER: Okay. 31 MR. LANIER: Okay. 42 BY MR. LANIER: Okay. 43 BY MR. LANIER: Okay. 44 BY MR. LANIER: Okay. 45 BY MR. LANIER: Okay. 46 G. 2008? 47 A. Yes, and the document itself is confidential and not be extent 2 public. 48 D. J. Stable of the DEA in 2 confidential and not be extent 2 confidential and not 3 conf		v v	_	
BY MR. LANIER: Q. Did the DEA enter into a settlement and release agreement, an administrative memorandum of agreement between McKesson 12 Corporation and the DEA? 12 Corporation and the DEA? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And McKesson is what type of a company in our closed system? 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 A. They are a distributor. 17 Q. Another example of how you had to company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 18 enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 19 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 20 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this document is confidential and to the extent the document itself is confidential and not public. 21 the document itself is confidential and not public. 22 mark is when McKesson paid a \$13.25 or million fine? 23 MR. LANIER: Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 or million fine? 24 M. Yes, that's correct. 25 Q. 2008? 26 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 27 A. Yes. 28 Q. 2008? 29 A. Yes, that's correct. 30 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in 19 place since the 1970s? 31 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 32 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 33 MR. LANIER: 44 M. Yes, sir. 55 Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 20 DEA? 28 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 29 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 30 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 31 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 32 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 33 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 34 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 35 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 36 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 36 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 37 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 38 MR. LANIER: We are going back on the record. Beginning of Media File No. 5. The time is 11:19. 39 The time is 11:19. 30 The time is 11:19. 40 Cheposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 41 The time is 11:07. 41 The time is 11:19. 40 Cheposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identifica				
9 C. Did the DEA enter into a settlement and arelease agreement, an administrative memorandum of agreement between McKesson in in the page 474 and release agreement between McKesson in the record and release agreement between McKesson in the page 474 and release agreement between McKesson in the record and release agreement between McKesson in the page 474 and release agreement between McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? 1				•
10 and release agreement, an administrative 11 memorandum of agreement between McKesson 12 Corporation and the DEA? 12 Corporation and the DEA? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And McKesson is what type of a 15 company in our closed system? 15 company in our closed system? 16 A. They are a distributor. 17 Q. Another example of how you had to enforce the law and bring an action against the 20 violations? 18 BY MR. LANIER: 19 company for what the DEA considered to be 20 violations? 21 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this 23 document is confidential and to the extent 25 there was any confusion in the record earlier, 25 MR. LANIER: 26 MR. LANIER: 27 A. Yes. 28 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 ft million fine? 29 A. Yes, that's correct. 20 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in 19 place since the 1970s? 18 Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.				
11 memorandum of agreement between McKesson 12 Corporation and the DEA? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And McKesson is what type of a 15 company in our closed system? 16 A. They are a distributor. 17 Q. Another example of how you had to 18 enforce the law and bring an action against the 19 company for what the DEA considered to be 20 violations? 21 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 22 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this 23 MR. LANIER: 24 document is confidential and to the extent 25 there was any confusion in the record earlier, 26 million fine? 27 A. Yes. 28 Q. 2008? 39 A. Yes, that's correct. 40 Q. One year after your 2006 letter, after all the 29 years after your 2006 letter, after all the 20 years after your 2006 letter, after all the 21 meetings you all had, after the law had been in 22 place since the 1970s? 31 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 32 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 33 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 44 BY MR. LANIER: 55 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 56 million fine? 57 A. Yes. 58 Q. 2008? 58 Q. 2008? 59 A. Yes, that's correct. 59 Q. The WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's 50 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the 20 years after your 2006 letter, after all the 21 meetings you all had, after the law had been in 22 place since the 1970s? 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 25 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 26 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 27 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 28 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 29 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 30 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 41 With McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are after they entered into this agreement with the greater with the DEA to distribute or ontolled substances. 29 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 30 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 41 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the packed on the subject with McKesson, and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlle		-		
12 Corporation and the DEA? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And McKesson is what type of a 15 company in our closed system? 16 A. They are a distributor. 17 Q. Another example of how you had to 18 enforce the law and bring an action against the 19 company for what the DEA considered to be 10 violations? 11 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 12 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 13 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this 14 document is confidential and to the extent 15 the document itself is confidential and not 16 the document itself is confidential and not 17 public. 18 MR. LANIER: Okay. 19 MR. LANIER: Okay. 19 MR. LANIER: Okay. 10 Q. 2008? 11 the document itself is confidential and not 12 public. 13 MR. LANIER: Okay. 15 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 16 million fine? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. 2008? 18 Q. 2008? 19 A. Yes, that's correct. 10 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two 11 years after your 2006 letter, after all the 12 meetings you all had, after the law had been in 13 place since the 1970s? 16 A. They are a distributor. 17 dentification.) 18 BY MR. LANIER: 29 (2008? 20 volations? 20 All right. You left the DEA in 20 (20 sorry. My mistake. 2015. 20 Sorry. My mistake. 2015. 21 The document that I've handed you 22 now is Exhibit No. 5, is an administrative 23 agreement that was entered into McKesson - 24 with McKesson after you left. The date on it 25 is January 2017. 26 with McKesson after you left. The date on it 27 by our see that? 28 A. Yes, sir. 29 Q. Were you aware of this agreement 29 before I handed it to you? 20 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 21 HE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's 22 DEA? 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 BY MR. LANIER: 25 Q. By the way, while we are on the 26 subject with McKesson, did you know that 29 McKesson continued to violate the law even 21 after they entered into this agreement with the 22 DEA? 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 McKesson continued to violate the law even 25 after they entered into this agreement with the 26 DEA? 27 A. Yes, in. 28 Q. Were you aware of this agreement were agreed to by McKesson and a				_
13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And McKesson is what type of a 15 company in our closed system? 16 A. They are a distributor. 17 Q. Another example of how you had to 18 enforce the law and bring an action against the 19 company for what the DEA considered to be 20 violations? 21 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this 24 document is confidential and to the extent 25 there was any confusion in the record earlier, 26 million fine? 27 A. Yes. 28 Q. 2008? 3 MR. LANIER: Okay. 4 BY MR. LANIER: 5 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 6 million fine? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. 2008? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 9 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? 4 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's 6 correct. 17 BY MR. LANIER: 18 Q. By the way, while we are on the 19 subject with McKesson, did you know that 4 McKesson continued to violate the law even 21 after they entered into this agreement with the 22 DEA? 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 25 AN WE SENDETT: Objection Scope. 26 And MeKesson is what type of a 15 The time is 11:19. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 8 BY MR. LANIER: 9 Q. All right, You left the DEA in 20 2016, correct? 21 A. '15, October of 2015. 22 The document that I've handed you 23 mow is Exhibit No. 5, is an administrative 24 agreement that was entered into McKesson. 25 In the document that I've handed you 26 Now is Exhibit. 5 was marked for 27 A. '15, October of 2015. 28 A. '15, October of 2015. 29 C. Sorry. My mistake. 2015. 30 The document that I've handed you 31 mow is Exhibit. 5 was marked for 32 identification.) 31 BY MR. LANIER: 32 Q. Sorry. My mistake. 2015. 33 The document that I've handed you 34 now is Exhibit. 5. 5, is a administrative 35 all fill of the DEA in 36 (C. Sorry. My mistake. 2015. 31 The document that I've handed it to we is a fill of the DEA in 38 MR. LANIER: 39 Q. Sorry. My m		_		
14 Q. And McKesson is what type of a company in our closed system? 15 company in our closed system? 16 A. They are a distributor. 17 Q. Another example of how you had to enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 19 company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 20 violations? 21 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this document is confidential and to the extent there was any confusion in the record carlier, 24 document itself is confidential and not public. 25 MR. LANIER: Okay. 26 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 27 MR. LANIER: Okay. 28 MR. LANIER: Okay. 39 MR. LANIER: Okay. 40 BY MR. LANIER: Okay. 40 Do you see that? 41 with McKesson after you left. The date on it is January 2017. 42 Do you see that? 43 A. Yes. 44 M. Yes. 45 Q. 2008? 46 Q. 2008? 47 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 47 A. Yes. 48 Q. 2008? 49 A. Yes, that's correct. 40 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? 40 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 41 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 42 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 43 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 44 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 45 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 46 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 47 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 48 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 49 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 40 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 40 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 40 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 41 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 42 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 43 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 44 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 45 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 46 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 47 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 48 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 49 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 40 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 40 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 40 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 41 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 41 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 42 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 43 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 44 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 45		*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15 company in our closed system? A. They are a distributor. Q. Another example of how you had to enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 20 violations? 21 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this 23 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this 24 document is confidential and to the extent 25 there was any confusion in the record earlier, 25 The document that I've handed you now is Exhibit No. 5, is an administrative agreement that was entered into McKesson 26 Page 474 1 the document itself is confidential and not public. 3 MR. LANIER: Okay. 4 BY MR. LANIER: 5 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 6 million fine? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. 2008? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 10 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? 14 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. 16 correct. 17 BY MR. LANIER: 18 Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 22 DEA? 2 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 3 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 4 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 5 Go if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement after the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson and its pagiested with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.				
16 A. They are a distributor. Q. Another example of how you had to enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 10 violations? 21 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 MR. LANIER: Og. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA considered to be identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. You left the DEA in 2016, correct? A. '15, October of 2015. The document that I've handed you now is Exhibit No. 5, is an administrative agreement that was entered into McKesson Page 474 with McKesson after you left. The date on it is January 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you aware of this agreement before I handed it to you? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the Subject with McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 2DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.				ū ū
17 Q. Another example of how you had to enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 20 violations? 21 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this document is confidential and to the extent there was any confusion in the record earlier, 24 document isclf is confidential and not public. 25 public. 26 MR. LANIER: Okay. 27 A. Yes. 28 Q. 2008? 29 A. Yes, that's correct. 20 Q. Sorry. My mistake. 2015. 21 The document that I've handed you now is Exhibit No. 5, is an administrative agreement that was entered into McKesson Page 474 25 the document itself is confidential and not public. 3 MR. LANIER: Okay. 4 BY MR. LANIER: 5 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 6 million fine? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. 2008? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 9 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? 10 Q. Sy the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 20 DEA? 20 All right. You left the DEA in 2016, correct? A. '15, October of 2015. The document that I've handed you now is Exhibit No. 5, is an administrative agreement that was entered into McKesson 24 with McKesson after you left. The date on it with McKesson after you left. The date on it is January 2017. 25 with McKesson after you left. The date on it is January 2017. 4 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. Were you aware of this agreement before I handed it to you? 8 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 19 With McKesson after you left. The date on it is January 2017. 10 Q. Were you aware of this agreement before I handed it to you? 11 With McKesson after you left. The date on it is January 2017. 22 Q. All right. You Jeft the DEA to work agreement before I handed it to were agreement before you handed it to me. 12 Q. All right. You Jeft the DEA to work and a with M		= -		
18 enforce the law and bring an action against the company for what the DEA considered to be company for what the DEA considered to be violations? 20 violations? 21 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this 24 document is confidential and to the extent there was any confusion in the record earlier, 25 Page 474 1 the document itself is confidential and not public. 2 public. 3 MR. LANIER: Okay. 4 BY MR. LANIER: 5 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 6 million fine? 7 A. Yes, 8 Q. 2008? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 10 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? 14 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. 16 Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson and the DEA, or any applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any before lamided controlled substances. 18 Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson and the DEA, or any applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any otherwise handle controlled substances.		-		
company for what the DEA considered to be violations? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this document is confidential and to the extent there was any confusion in the record earlier, the document itself is confidential and not public. MR. LANIER: Okay. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 of million fine? A. Yes. Q. 2008? A. Yes. Q. 2008? A. Yes. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 21 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 22 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 22 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 24 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the 25 McKesson and the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.		= -		*
20 violations? 21 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this 24 document is confidential and to the extent 25 there was any confusion in the record earlier, Page 474 1 the document itself is confidential and not 2 public. MR. LANIER: Okay. 4 BY MR. LANIER: 5 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 6 million fine? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. 2008? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 10 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two 11 years after your 2006 letter, after all the 12 meetings you all had, after the law had been in 13 place since the 1970s? 14 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's 16 correct. 17 BY MR. LANIER: 18 Q. By the way, while we are on the 19 subject with McKesson, did you know that 19 MCKesson continued to violate the law even 21 after they entered into this agreement with the 22 DEA? 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 25 Q. 3 The document that've handed you 10 now is Exhibit No. 5, is an administrative 22 agreement that was entered into McKesson 24 with McKesson after you left. The date on it 25 is January 2017. 26 with McKesson after you left. The date on it 26 is January 2017. 27 with McKesson after you left. The date on it 28 is January 2017. 28 Q. Were you aware of this agreement 29 before I handed it to you? 30 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 40 Were you aware of this agreement 41 before I handed it to you? 41 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 41 this is an agreement that's been provided 42 to us. I want to read you some statements that 43 were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether 44 or not you have any awareness that you are 45 allowed to testify about concerning those 46 to us. I want to read you some statements that 47 to us. I want to read you some statements that 48 this is an agreement that's been provided 49 to us. I want to read you some statements that 49 with McKesson and ask you whether 40 or not you have any awareness that you are 41 allowed to testify about concerning those 41 actions. 40 20 Office of the plane				
21 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 23 MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this 24 document is confidential and to the extent 25 there was any confusion in the record earlier, 26 the document itself is confidential and not 27 public. 28 MR. LANIER: Okay. 29 A. Yes, LANIER: 20 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? 29 A. Yes. 20 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two 20 years after your 2006 letter, after all the 21 meetings you all had, after the law had been in 22 place since the 1970s? 23 MR. LANIER: 24 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's 26 correct. 27 BY MR. LANIER: 28 Q. By the way, while we are on the 29 subject with McKesson, did you know that 30 MR. LANIER: 31 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 32 MR. LANIER: 33 Do you see that? 44 A. Yes, sir. 45 Q. Were you aware of this agreement 46 before I handed it to you? 47 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 48 PY MR. LANIER: 40 A. '15, October of 2015. 40 C. Sorry. My mistake. 2015. 41 with McKesson after you is apreement that was entered into McKesson 40 with McKesson after you left. The date on it 41 is January 2017. 41 A. '15, October of 2015. 42 Were banded you 43 A. '47s, sin. 44 A. Yes, sir. 45 Q. Were you aware of this agreement 46 before I handed it to you? 47 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 48 PY MR. LANIER: 40 A. '15, October of 2015. 41 A. '15, October of 2015. 42 A. '15, October of 2015. 43 The document that I've handed you 44 now is Exhibit No. 5, is an administrative 45 agreement that was entered into McKesson 40 With McKesson after you left. The date on it 41 is January 2017. 41 A. Yes, sir. 42 A. Yes, sir. 43 Pericument is agreement agreement before you landed it to you? 44 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 45 Product of the way of this agreement before you handed it to me. 46 User of the adde on it 47 One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the 48 One years after your 2006 letter, after all the 49 One year after your 2006 letter, after all the 40 One year after your 2006 letter		= -		-
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this document is confidential and to the extent there was any confusion in the record earlier, the document itself is confidential and not public. MR. LANIER: Okay. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? A. Yes. Q. 2008? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's Correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. AR. EPPICH:				-
MR. EPPICH: Mr. Lanier, this document is confidential and to the extent there was any confusion in the record earlier, Page 474 the document itself is confidential and not public. MR. LANIER: Okay. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? A. Yes. Q. 2008? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's Correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.		3		
document is confidential and to the extent there was any confusion in the record earlier, Page 474 the document itself is confidential and not public. MR. LANIER: Okay. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? A. Yes. Q. 2008? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement that was entered into McKesson - Page 474 with McKesson after you left. The date on it is January 2017. Q. Were you aware of this agreement before I handed it to you? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: No. I have never seen this agreement before you handed it to me. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I will represent to you that this is an agreement that's been provided to us. I want to read you some statements that were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.		-		
there was any confusion in the record earlier, Page 474 the document itself is confidential and not public. 3 MR. LANIER: Okay. 4 BY MR. LANIER: 5 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? 6 million fine? 7 A. Yes. 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 10 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? 14 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's 16 Correct. 17 BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? 25 agreement that was entered into McKesson Page 476 with McKesson after you left. The date on it is January 2017. Were you aware of this agreement before you aware of this agreement before I handed it to you? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 10 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the 11 place since the 1970s? 10 Q. All right. I will represent to you that his is an agreement that's been provided to us. I want to read you some statements that were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
Page 474 1 the document itself is confidential and not public. 3 MR. LANIER: Okay. 4 BY MR. LANIER: 5 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 6 million fine? 6 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 7 A. Yes, that's correct. 9 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? 14 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's 16 Correct. 17 BY MR. LANIER: 18 Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? 24 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 25 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 26 Were you aware of this agreement before I handed it to you? 27 A. Yes, sir. 28 Q. 2008? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 9 THE WITNESS: No. I have never seen this agreement before you handed it to me. 19 BY MR. LANIER: 10 Q. All right. I will represent to you that this is an agreement that's been provided to us. I want to read you some statements that were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. 18 So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.				
the document itself is confidential and not public. MR. LANIER: Okay. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? A. Yes. Q. 2008? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. The with McKesson after you left. The date on it is January 2017. A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you aware of this agreement before I handed it to you? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right: I will represent to you that this is an agreement that's been provided to us. I want to read you some statements that were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are actions. So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.	25	there was any confusion in the record earlier,	25	agreement that was entered into McKesson
2 public. 3 MR. LANIER: Okay. 4 BY MR. LANIER: 5 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 6 million fine? 6 Landation. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. 2008? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 10 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? 14 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. 16 Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? 2 is January 2017. 3 Do you see that? 4 A. Yes, sir. 9 Q. Were you aware of this agreement before I handed it to you? 7 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 8 Foundation. 9 THE WITNESS: No. I have never seen this agreement before you handed it to me. 12 Q. All right. I will represent to you that this is an agreement that's been provided to us. I want to read you some statements that were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.		· ·		
MR. LANIER: Okay. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? A. Yes. Q. 2008? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's Correct. BY MR. LANIER: BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MCKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPP				-
BY MR. LANIER: Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 million fine? A. Yes. Q. 2008? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's Correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5 Q. Is this when McKesson paid a \$13.25 6 million fine? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. 2008? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 10 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two 11 years after your 2006 letter, after all the 12 meetings you all had, after the law had been in 13 place since the 1970s? 14 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's 16 correct. 17 BY MR. LANIER: 18 Q. By the way, while we are on the 19 subject with McKesson, did you know that 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even 21 after they entered into this agreement with the 22 DEA? 24 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 25 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 26 before I handed it to you? 27 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 28 Foundation. 29 THE WITNESS: No. I have never seen 20 this agreement before you handed it to me. 20 Hay WR. LANIER: 21 Q. All right. I will represent to you 22 to us. I want to read you some statements that 23 were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether 24 or not you have any awareness that you are 25 actions. 26 Q. Were you aware of this agreement 27 before I handed it to you? 27 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 28 Foundation. 29 THE WITNESS: No. I have never seen 20 this agreement before you handed it to me. 29 Us agreement before you handed it to me. 29 THE WITNESS: No. I have never seen 20 this agreement before you handed it to me. 20 All right. I will represent to you 21 that this is an agreement that's been provided 20 to us. I want to read you some statements that 21 were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether 20 or not you have any awareness that you are 21 allowed to testify about concerning those 22 actions. 23 So if you will look on first the 24 facility owned or operated by McKesson that is 25 registered with the DEA to distribute or 26 otherwise handle controlled substances.		· ·		-
million fine? A. Yes. Q. 2008? A. Yes, that's correct. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? Amage agreement before you handed it to me. By MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I will represent to you that this is an agreement that's been provided to us. I want to read you some statements that were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. By MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.				·
7 A. Yes. 8 Q. 2008? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 10 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two 11 years after your 2006 letter, after all the 12 meetings you all had, after the law had been in 13 place since the 1970s? 14 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's 16 correct. 17 BY MR. LANIER: 18 Q. By the way, while we are on the 19 subject with McKesson, did you know that 19 McKesson continued to violate the law even 20 after they entered into this agreement with the 21 DEA? 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 26 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 27 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 28 Foundation. 29 THE WITNESS: No. I have never seen 10 this agreement before you handed it to me. 20 BY MR. LANIER: 21 Law it is an agreement that's been provided 22 to us. I want to read you some statements that 23 were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether 24 or not you have any awareness that you are 25 actions. 26 front page, you will look on first the 27 forting page, you will see that this agreement 28 actions. 29 mR. EPPICH: Object to form. 20 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 21 after they entered into this agreement with the 22 DEA? 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 25 meetings you handed it to me. 26 DEA MR. LANIER: 27 Q. All right. I will represent to you 28 that this is an agreement that's been provided 29 to us. I want to read you some statements that 29 were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether 20 or not you have any awareness that you are 21 allowed to testify about concerning those 22 actions. 23 actions. 24 actions. 25 Gradility owned or operated by McKesson that is 26 registered with the DEA to distribute or 27 otherwise handle controlled substances.				
8 Q. 2008? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 10 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two 11 years after your 2006 letter, after all the 12 meetings you all had, after the law had been in 13 place since the 1970s? 14 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's 16 correct. 17 BY MR. LANIER: 18 Q. By the way, while we are on the 19 subject with McKesson, did you know that 19 McKesson continued to violate the law even 20 after they entered into this agreement with the 21 DEA? 22 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 26 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 27 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 28 Foundation. 29 THE WITNESS: No. I have never seen 10 this agreement before you handed it to me. 11 BY MR. LANIER: 12 Q. All right. I will represent to you 13 that this is an agreement that's been provided 14 to us. I want to read you some statements that 15 were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether 16 or not you have any awareness that you are 17 allowed to testify about concerning those 18 actions. 19 So if you will look on first the 19 front page, you will see that this agreement 20 applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any 21 facility owned or operated by McKesson that is 22 registered with the DEA to distribute or 23 otherwise handle controlled substances.				*
A. Yes, that's correct. Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: 12 Q. All right. I will represent to you that this is an agreement that's been provided to us. I want to read you some statements that were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.			· '	, and the second
10 Q. One year after your 2007 letter, two 11 years after your 2006 letter, after all the 12 meetings you all had, after the law had been in 13 place since the 1970s? 14 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's 16 correct. 17 BY MR. LANIER: 18 Q. By the way, while we are on the 19 subject with McKesson, did you know that 19 McKesson continued to violate the law even 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even 21 after they entered into this agreement with the 22 DEA? 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 26 this agreement before you handed it to me. 17 BY MR. LANIER: 18 Q. All right. I will represent to you 19 that this is an agreement that's been provided to us. I want to read you some statements that were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. 19 So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.				
years after your 2006 letter, after all the meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: 12 Q. All right. I will represent to you 13 that this is an agreement that's been provided 14 to us. I want to read you some statements that 15 were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether 16 or not you have any awareness that you are 17 allowed to testify about concerning those 18 actions. 19 So if you will look on first the 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even 21 after they entered into this agreement with the 22 DEA? 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 24 Sequence of the law had been in 12 Q. All right. I will represent to you 13 that this is an agreement that's been provided 14 to us. I want to read you some statements that 15 were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether 16 or not you have any awareness that you are 18 allowed to testify about concerning those 18 actions. 19 So if you will look on first the 20 front page, you will see that this agreement 21 applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any 22 facility owned or operated by McKesson that is 23 registered with the DEA to distribute or 24 otherwise handle controlled substances.			_	
meetings you all had, after the law had been in place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA; MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.				-
place since the 1970s? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 13 that this is an agreement that's been provided to us. I want to read you some statements that were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.		· ·		
MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's Correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA; MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 14 to us. I want to read you some statements that were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. 15 were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. 18 So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.				
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's correct. BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA; MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 15 were agreed to by McKesson and ask you whether or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.		_		
16 correct. 17 BY MR. LANIER: 18 Q. By the way, while we are on the 19 subject with McKesson, did you know that 20 McKesson continued to violate the law even 21 after they entered into this agreement with the 22 DEA? 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 16 or not you have any awareness that you are allowed to testify about concerning those actions. 19 So if you will look on first the 20 front page, you will see that this agreement 21 applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any 22 facility owned or operated by McKesson that is 23 registered with the DEA to distribute or 24 otherwise handle controlled substances.				-
BY MR. LANIER: Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 17 allowed to testify about concerning those actions. 19 So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.		THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, that's		
Q. By the way, while we are on the subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA; MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 18 actions. 19 So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
subject with McKesson, did you know that McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. So if you will look on first the front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.				·
McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 20 front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.		Q. By the way, while we are on the		
21 after they entered into this agreement with the DEA; or any DEA? 22 DEA? 23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 24 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 25 applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.			10	So if you will look on first the
DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 22 facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.	19	•		-
MR. EPPICH: Object to form. 23 registered with the DEA to distribute or 24 otherwise handle controlled substances.	19 20	McKesson continued to violate the law even	20	front page, you will see that this agreement
MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 24 otherwise handle controlled substances.	19 20 21	McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the	20 21	front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any
	19 20 21 22	McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA?	20 21 22	front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is
You are not authorized to disclose 25 Do you see that?	19 20 21 22 23	McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	20 21 22	front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or
	19 20 21 22 23 24	McKesson continued to violate the law even after they entered into this agreement with the DEA? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.	20 21 22 23 24	front page, you will see that this agreement applies to McKesson and the DEA, or any facility owned or operated by McKesson that is registered with the DEA to distribute or otherwise handle controlled substances.

29 (Pages 473 - 476)

	Dags 477		Page 479
1	Page 477 MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	1	not you had that job description because I
2	Foundation.	2	wrote your name down?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	3	A. No, sir.
4	BY MR. LANIER:	4	Q. I thought you told us that long ago.
5	Q. And you will notice in Paragraph 2	5	We looked at two of those letters, didn't we?
6	under background, it references the fact that	6	A. Yes, sir.
7	this is not, as we would say in Lubbock, not	7	Q. You sent these letters, including to
8	their first rodeo. They had done this before.	8	McKesson, we even used the McKesson example for
9	Do you see that?	9	the 2007 letter, didn't we? Exhibit 3.
10	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	10	A. Yes, sir, we did.
11	Foundation. Characterization.	11	Q. The DEA letters contained, among
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	12	other things, guidance for the identification
13	BY MR. LANIER:	13	and reporting of suspicious orders to the DEA
14	Q. May 2008, McKesson entered into a	14	as required under the law.
15	settlement and release agreement.	15	That is, in fact, what your letters
16	That's the one that was happening	16	did. Would you agree with that
17	under your watch that is referenced here in	17	characterization?
18	background Paragraph No. 2; is that fair?	18	A. Yes, sir, that's why they were sent
19	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation.	19	out.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	20	MR. EPPICH: Object to foundation.
21	BY MR. LANIER:	21	BY MR. LANIER:
22	Q. If you will switch over to Page No.	22	Q. McKesson acknowledges that at
23	3, there is a paragraph entitled: "Acceptance	23	various times during the period from January
24	of Responsibility."	24	2009 up through and including the effective
25	Do you see that paragraph?	25	date of this agreement, it did not identify or
	Page 478		Page 480
1	Page 478 A. Yes, sir.	1	Page 480 report to DEA certain orders placed by certain
1 2		1 2	
	A. Yes, sir.		report to DEA certain orders placed by certain
2	A. Yes, sir.Q. On or about September 27, 2006,	2	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by
2 3	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's	2 3	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance
2 3 4	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of	2 3 4	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters.
2 3 4 5	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity	2 3 4 5	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to	2 3 4 5 6	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances	2 3 4 5 6 7	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion control, that is you, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion control, that is you, isn't it? A. Back then, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements? A. Yes. Q. Now at any point while you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion control, that is you, isn't it? A. Back then, yes. MR. EPPICH: I will object.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements? A. Yes. Q. Now at any point while you were still in the DEA for the almost eight years or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion control, that is you, isn't it? A. Back then, yes. MR. EPPICH: I will object. MR. LANIER: I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements? A. Yes. Q. Now at any point while you were still in the DEA for the almost eight years or so since you sent that last the 2007 letter,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion control, that is you, isn't it? A. Back then, yes. MR. EPPICH: I will object. MR. LANIER: I'm sorry. MR. EPPICH: My objection is, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements? A. Yes. Q. Now at any point while you were still in the DEA for the almost eight years or so since you sent that last the 2007 letter, that you're allowed to tell us about, did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion control, that is you, isn't it? A. Back then, yes. MR. EPPICH: I will object. MR. LANIER: I'm sorry. MR. EPPICH: My objection is, you are writing his name before he even responds to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements? A. Yes. Q. Now at any point while you were still in the DEA for the almost eight years or so since you sent that last the 2007 letter, that you're allowed to tell us about, did McKesson ever come to you and say, hey, we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion control, that is you, isn't it? A. Back then, yes. MR. EPPICH: I will object. MR. LANIER: I'm sorry. MR. EPPICH: My objection is, you are writing his name before he even responds to the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements? A. Yes. Q. Now at any point while you were still in the DEA for the almost eight years or so since you sent that last the 2007 letter, that you're allowed to tell us about, did McKesson ever come to you and say, hey, we are not doing it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion control, that is you, isn't it? A. Back then, yes. MR. EPPICH: I will object. MR. LANIER: I'm sorry. MR. EPPICH: My objection is, you are writing his name before he even responds to the question. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements? A. Yes. Q. Now at any point while you were still in the DEA for the almost eight years or so since you sent that last the 2007 letter, that you're allowed to tell us about, did McKesson ever come to you and say, hey, we are not doing it. MR. EPPICH: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion control, that is you, isn't it? A. Back then, yes. MR. EPPICH: I will object. MR. LANIER: I'm sorry. MR. EPPICH: My objection is, you are writing his name before he even responds to the question. BY MR. LANIER: Q. That is you, Joe Rannazzisi, isn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements? A. Yes. Q. Now at any point while you were still in the DEA for the almost eight years or so since you sent that last the 2007 letter, that you're allowed to tell us about, did McKesson ever come to you and say, hey, we are not doing it. MR. EPPICH: Object to the form. Foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion control, that is you, isn't it? A. Back then, yes. MR. EPPICH: I will object. MR. LANIER: I'm sorry. MR. EPPICH: My objection is, you are writing his name before he even responds to the question. BY MR. LANIER: Q. That is you, Joe Rannazzisi, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements? A. Yes. Q. Now at any point while you were still in the DEA for the almost eight years or so since you sent that last the 2007 letter, that you're allowed to tell us about, did McKesson ever come to you and say, hey, we are not doing it. MR. EPPICH: Object to the form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, sir. Q. On or about September 27, 2006, February 7, 2007, and December 27, 2007, DEA's deputy assistant administrator, office of diversion control, sent letters to every entity in the U.S. that was registered with DEA to manufacture or distribute controlled substances including McKesson. Those are called the DEA letters. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, this DEA deputy administrator, assistant administrator, office of diversion control, that is you, isn't it? A. Back then, yes. MR. EPPICH: I will object. MR. LANIER: I'm sorry. MR. EPPICH: My objection is, you are writing his name before he even responds to the question. BY MR. LANIER: Q. That is you, Joe Rannazzisi, isn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	report to DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in your letters. Do you see that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. At any time that you are allowed to tell us about, based on the guidance in your letters about the requirements of the law, I left that part out. You see where it says requirements? A. Yes. Q. Now at any point while you were still in the DEA for the almost eight years or so since you sent that last the 2007 letter, that you're allowed to tell us about, did McKesson ever come to you and say, hey, we are not doing it. MR. EPPICH: Object to the form. Foundation.

	Page 481		Page 483
1	say, we are not following the law?	1	as examples.
2	BY MR. LANIER:	2	Are they the only ones?
3	Q. Yeah. Did they self-report?	3	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
4	MR. EPPICH: Same objections.	4	MS. McCLURE: Objection. Form.
5	MR. BENNETT: You can you are	5	Foundation.
6	authorized to disclose your personal	6	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form.
7	recollection regarding your interactions with	7	Objection scope.
8	manufacturers and distributors during your	8	Same instructions regarding
9	tenure at office of diversion control and your	9	nonpublic investigations.
10	recollection of communication with registrants	10	THE WITNESS: No. There were other
11	regarding what makes an order suspicious.	11	investigations that resulted that were publicly
12	So to the extent you had	12	reported out that resulted in suspensions
13	communications that you recall, you may answer	13	orders to show cause, suspension orders and
14	those. You may not disclose specific	14	suspensions.
15	investigative activities that are nonpublic.	15	BY MR. LANIER:
16	THE WITNESS: Okay. No. No, I	16	Q. We could look at CVS for example.
17	never received any kind of self-reporting from	17	Do you remember anything about CVS
18	McKesson.	18	and their admissions in May of 2015?
19	BY MR. LANIER:	19	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
20	Q. Did they ever tell you, this is	20	Foundation.
21	McKesson in 2017, this where they admit that	21	THE WITNESS: I remember the CVS
22	they had done that?	22	case, yes.
23	MR. EPPICH: Objection.	23	BY MR. LANIER:
24	Characterization.	24	Q. Let me give you a copy of what we
25	MR. LANIER: All right. You are	25	will mark as Exhibit No. 6.
	Page 482	,	Page 484
1	right. Let me be more careful. BY MR. LANIER:	1	(Deposition Exhibit 6 was marked for
2			· 1
		2	identification.)
3	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits	3	BY MR. LANIER:
3 4	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to	3 4	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front
3 4 5	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain	3 4 5	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you?
3 4 5 6	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by	3 4 5 6	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir.
3 4 5 6 7	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance	3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury.
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the	3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Just in the media,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of registrants would CVS be?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Just in the media, that was reported out.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of registrants would CVS be? A. CVS would be a pharmacy or a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Just in the media, that was reported out. BY MR. LANIER:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of registrants would CVS be? A. CVS would be a pharmacy or a retailer under that diagram.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Just in the media, that was reported out. BY MR. LANIER: Q. The media reported the fine of 150	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of registrants would CVS be? A. CVS would be a pharmacy or a retailer under that diagram. Q. Did you know whether or not they
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Just in the media, that was reported out. BY MR. LANIER: Q. The media reported the fine of 150 million?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of registrants would CVS be? A. CVS would be a pharmacy or a retailer under that diagram. Q. Did you know whether or not they were also a distributor for their own
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Just in the media, that was reported out. BY MR. LANIER: Q. The media reported the fine of 150 million? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of registrants would CVS be? A. CVS would be a pharmacy or a retailer under that diagram. Q. Did you know whether or not they were also a distributor for their own pharmacies and retailers?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Just in the media, that was reported out. BY MR. LANIER: Q. The media reported the fine of 150 million? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. Calls for speculation.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of registrants would CVS be? A. CVS would be a pharmacy or a retailer under that diagram. Q. Did you know whether or not they were also a distributor for their own pharmacies and retailers? A. They did distribute as well.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Just in the media, that was reported out. BY MR. LANIER: Q. The media reported the fine of 150 million? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: That's what the media	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of registrants would CVS be? A. CVS would be a pharmacy or a retailer under that diagram. Q. Did you know whether or not they were also a distributor for their own pharmacies and retailers? A. They did distribute as well. Q. So CVS might actually be in two of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Just in the media, that was reported out. BY MR. LANIER: Q. The media reported the fine of 150 million? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: That's what the media reported.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of registrants would CVS be? A. CVS would be a pharmacy or a retailer under that diagram. Q. Did you know whether or not they were also a distributor for their own pharmacies and retailers? A. They did distribute as well. Q. So CVS might actually be in two of these categories, fair?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Just in the media, that was reported out. BY MR. LANIER: Q. The media reported the fine of 150 million? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: That's what the media reported. BY MR. LANIER:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of registrants would CVS be? A. CVS would be a pharmacy or a retailer under that diagram. Q. Did you know whether or not they were also a distributor for their own pharmacies and retailers? A. They did distribute as well. Q. So CVS might actually be in two of these categories, fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. This is 2017, where McKesson admits that it, quote, did not identify or report to the DEA certain orders placed by certain pharmacies which should have been detected by McKesson as suspicious based on the guidance contained in the DEA letters about the requirements set forth in the law. Now my question to you is, did you ever hear about the size of the fine that was assessed in 2017? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. THE WITNESS: Just in the media, that was reported out. BY MR. LANIER: Q. The media reported the fine of 150 million? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: That's what the media reported.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit No. 6 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Let's mark it for the jury. This is a settlement agreement entered into between the DEA and CVS Health and all of its subsidiaries and affiliates. Do you see that? A. Yes, sir. Q. Now, what part of our chain of registrants would CVS be? A. CVS would be a pharmacy or a retailer under that diagram. Q. Did you know whether or not they were also a distributor for their own pharmacies and retailers? A. They did distribute as well. Q. So CVS might actually be in two of these categories, fair?

	Page 485		Page 487
1	BY MR. LANIER:	1	identification.)
2	Q. Okay. Now, if we look at this	2	BY MR. LANIER:
3	settlement agreement, and it's signed by CVS,	3	Q. All right. I want to put Exhibit 7
4	it says on Page 3: "CVS acknowledges that	4	on the ELMO so the jury can look at it, too.
5	certain CVS pharmacy retail stores did	5	This is another settlement agreement
6	dispense" let's make this a little bit	6	that the DEA entered into with Mallinckrodt.
7	bigger so people can read it.	7	Can you see that on this first page?
8	"Did dispense certain controlled	8	A. Yes, sir.
9	substances in a manner not fully consistent	9	Q. And Mallinckrodt, from the time you
10	with their compliance obligations under the	10	were working in the biz of the DEA dealing with
11	Controlled Substances Act and its implementing	11	industry, what part of the chart would they be,
12	regulations."	12	the chain?
13	Do you see that?	13	A. Manufacturer.
14	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation.	14	Q. Manufacturer.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	15	A. Yes.
16	BY MR. LANIER:	16	Q. In this exhibit, you will notice on
17	Q. And were you part of the reason CVS	17	page it's got an appendix that's attached
18	agreed to pay the \$22 million fine pursuant to	18	and the appendix has got a Page 3.
19	this?	19	If you are looking at the real
20	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	20	little numbers in the corner, those real little
21	MR. STEPHENS: Objection.	21	numbers end with 630, if that helps you find
22	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.	22	the page.
23	THE WITNESS: I think the fine was	23	A. I got it. Yes, sir.
24	based on the investigations done by people	24	Q. It is talking about what
25	within my office in the Miami field division.	25	Mallinckrodt actually said here.
	Page 486		Page 488
1	BY MR. LANIER:	1	MR. O'CONNOR: Objection.
2	Q. Now, did you know anything about CVS	2	BY MR. LANIER:
3	being written up and settling again in February		
1 5	being written up and setting again in rebruary	3	Q. "Acceptance of responsibility. This
		3 4	Q. "Acceptance of responsibility. This settlement agreement is not an admission of
4 5	of 2016, or was that after your departure?		settlement agreement is not an admission of
4		4	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the
4 5	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.	4 5	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see
4 5 6 7	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation.	4 5 6	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the
4 5 6	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in	4 5 6 7	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir.
4 5 6 7 8	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15.	4 5 6 7 8	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir.
4 5 6 7 8 9	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER:	4 5 6 7 8 9	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now. Mallinckrodt. Did Mallinckrodt have	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and detect suspicious orders did not meet the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now. Mallinckrodt. Did Mallinckrodt have problems, are they another example?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and detect suspicious orders did not meet the standards outlined in letters from the DEA
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now. Mallinckrodt. Did Mallinckrodt have problems, are they another example? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and detect suspicious orders did not meet the standards outlined in letters from the DEA deputy administrator, office of diversion
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now. Mallinckrodt. Did Mallinckrodt have problems, are they another example? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Form.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and detect suspicious orders did not meet the standards outlined in letters from the DEA deputy administrator, office of diversion control," and that is you?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now. Mallinckrodt. Did Mallinckrodt have problems, are they another example? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Mallinckrodt, yes,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and detect suspicious orders did not meet the standards outlined in letters from the DEA deputy administrator, office of diversion control," and that is you? A. Well, I got a promotion. The deputy
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now. Mallinckrodt. Did Mallinckrodt have problems, are they another example? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Mallinckrodt, yes, they there was an investigation of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and detect suspicious orders did not meet the standards outlined in letters from the DEA deputy administrator, office of diversion control," and that is you? A. Well, I got a promotion. The deputy administrator would have been my boss, so it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now. Mallinckrodt. Did Mallinckrodt have problems, are they another example? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Mallinckrodt, yes, they there was an investigation of Mallinckrodt as well.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and detect suspicious orders did not meet the standards outlined in letters from the DEA deputy administrator, office of diversion control," and that is you? A. Well, I got a promotion. The deputy administrator would have been my boss, so it should be deputy assistant administrator.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now. Mallinckrodt. Did Mallinckrodt have problems, are they another example? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Mallinckrodt, yes, they there was an investigation of Mallinckrodt as well. BY MR. LANIER: Q. 2011 is the first one that we've	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and detect suspicious orders did not meet the standards outlined in letters from the DEA deputy administrator, office of diversion control," and that is you? A. Well, I got a promotion. The deputy administrator would have been my boss, so it should be deputy assistant administrator. Q. Deputy assistant. So misstated
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now. Mallinckrodt. Did Mallinckrodt have problems, are they another example? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Mallinckrodt, yes, they there was an investigation of Mallinckrodt as well. BY MR. LANIER:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and detect suspicious orders did not meet the standards outlined in letters from the DEA deputy administrator, office of diversion control," and that is you? A. Well, I got a promotion. The deputy administrator would have been my boss, so it should be deputy assistant administrator. Q. Deputy assistant. So misstated here, but those were your letters dated
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now. Mallinckrodt. Did Mallinckrodt have problems, are they another example? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Mallinckrodt, yes, they there was an investigation of Mallinckrodt as well. BY MR. LANIER: Q. 2011 is the first one that we've got. Well, no, let's digress.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and detect suspicious orders did not meet the standards outlined in letters from the DEA deputy administrator, office of diversion control," and that is you? A. Well, I got a promotion. The deputy administrator would have been my boss, so it should be deputy assistant administrator. Q. Deputy assistant. So misstated here, but those were your letters dated September 27 and December 27 of '06 and '07,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of 2016, or was that after your departure? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I was I retired in October of '15. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. I'm going to leave that one out for now. Mallinckrodt. Did Mallinckrodt have problems, are they another example? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Mallinckrodt, yes, they there was an investigation of Mallinckrodt as well. BY MR. LANIER: Q. 2011 is the first one that we've got. Well, no, let's digress. In the interest of well, let me	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	settlement agreement is not an admission of liability for civil penalties covered under the CSA. However, Mallinckrodt agrees" you see where I am reading? A. Yes, sir. Q. "At certain times during the covered time period prior to January 1, 2012, certain aspects of Mallinckrodt's system to monitor and detect suspicious orders did not meet the standards outlined in letters from the DEA deputy administrator, office of diversion control," and that is you? A. Well, I got a promotion. The deputy administrator would have been my boss, so it should be deputy assistant administrator. Q. Deputy assistant. So misstated here, but those were your letters dated September 27 and December 27 of '06 and '07, right?

32 (Pages 485 - 488)

	Page 489		Page 401
1	at any point in time, come to you and	1	Page 491 Q. Okay. Sir, I want to ask you about
2	self-report and say, we are not doing what you	2	some explanations and excuses that have been
3	have told us and made clear to us the law	3	used by the defendants in this case and give
4	requires us to do.	4	you a chance to explain them. Okay?
5	MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Form.	5	MS. McCLURE: Object to the
6	THE WITNESS: Up until the time of	6	commentary for the record.
7	my retirement, no, I did not hear anything from	7	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
8	Mallinckrodt about that.	8	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
9	BY MR. LANIER:	9	MR. STEPHENS: Objection.
10	Q. These are not the only ones, if we	10	BY MR. LANIER:
11	want to tell the Cardinal story and we will	11	Q. Let me ask you this: If the
12	wait to ten the Cardinal story and we will wait and see what Cardinal lawyers ask you, but	12	defendants were to try to make a case that only
13	there is a story behind Cardinal as well, isn't	13	registrants get ARCOS data and they only get
14	there?	14	their own ARCOS data, does that have anything
15	MR. SMITH: Object to form.	15	at all to do with what a registrant's
16	MR. O'CONNOR: I'm going to object	16	responsibility is under the law?
17	to the 11 that you wrote down next to	17	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
18	Mallinckrodt, and the fact that you are	18	MS. McCLURE: Objection.
19	including this on a document that described	19	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
20	order to show cause.	20	THE WITNESS: No, it doesn't.
21	MR. LANIER: I think it was actually	21	BY MR. LANIER:
22	entered into in '17, 2017. I will change that,	22	Q. Explain.
23	or I will just take that out if it makes you	23	A. ARCOS data is a tool that we use,
24	feel better. We will just leave it as	24	it's a statutory requirement under 827, and we
25	Mallinckrodt.	25	use it for any number of things, but it's
I	Page 490		Page 492
1	Page 490 BY MR. LANIER:	1	Page 492 retrospective data. It's generally it could
1 2		1 2	Page 492 retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay.
	BY MR. LANIER:		retrospective data. It's generally it could
2	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others	2	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay.
2 3	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair?	2 3	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for
2 3 4	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and
2 3 4 5	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form.	2 3 4 5	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However,
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like	2 3 4 5 6 7	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers and these are what I am going to call roadblocks. These are questions of whether or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have ARCOS, so ARCOS, you know, or we need ARCOS,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers and these are what I am going to call roadblocks. These are questions of whether or not your testimony should be believed, whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have ARCOS, so ARCOS, you know, or we need ARCOS, well, you could use ARCOS but if you notice the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers and these are what I am going to call roadblocks. These are questions of whether or not your testimony should be believed, whether or not you should be believed, whether or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have ARCOS, so ARCOS, you know, or we need ARCOS, well, you could use ARCOS but if you notice the cases that we have done, we have never ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers and these are what I am going to call roadblocks. These are questions of whether or not your testimony should be believed, whether or not you should be believed, whether or not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have ARCOS, so ARCOS, you know, or we need ARCOS, well, you could use ARCOS but if you notice the cases that we have done, we have never ever done cases where we have looked at a particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers and these are what I am going to call roadblocks. These are questions of whether or not your testimony should be believed, whether or not you should be believed, whether or not the DEA was at fault, whether or not any number of different things.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have ARCOS, so ARCOS, you know, or we need ARCOS, well, you could use ARCOS but if you notice the cases that we have done, we have never ever done cases where we have looked at a particular distributor or a manufacturer and said, oh,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers and these are what I am going to call roadblocks. These are questions of whether or not your testimony should be believed, whether or not you should be believed, whether or not the DEA was at fault, whether or not any number of different things. MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have ARCOS, so ARCOS, you know, or we need ARCOS, well, you could use ARCOS but if you notice the cases that we have done, we have never ever done cases where we have looked at a particular distributor or a manufacturer and said, oh, well, in addition to what you have sold, these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers and these are what I am going to call roadblocks. These are questions of whether or not your testimony should be believed, whether or not you should be believed, whether or not the DEA was at fault, whether or not any number of different things. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have ARCOS, so ARCOS, you know, or we need ARCOS, well, you could use ARCOS but if you notice the cases that we have done, we have never ever done cases where we have looked at a particular distributor or a manufacturer and said, oh, well, in addition to what you have sold, these other pharmacies, these other distributors have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers and these are what I am going to call roadblocks. These are questions of whether or not your testimony should be believed, whether or not you should be believed, whether or not you should be believed, whether or not the DEA was at fault, whether or not any number of different things. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you follow what I am saying?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have ARCOS, so ARCOS, you know, or we need ARCOS, well, you could use ARCOS but if you notice the cases that we have done, we have never ever done cases where we have looked at a particular distributor or a manufacturer and said, oh, well, in addition to what you have sold, these other pharmacies, these other distributors have sold as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers and these are what I am going to call roadblocks. These are questions of whether or not your testimony should be believed, whether or not you should be believed, whether or not gifferent things. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you follow what I am saying? What I am asking you about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have ARCOS, so ARCOS, you know, or we need ARCOS, well, you could use ARCOS but if you notice the cases that we have done, we have never ever done cases where we have looked at a particular distributor or a manufacturer and said, oh, well, in addition to what you have sold, these other pharmacies, these other distributors have sold as well. We only concentrate on what they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers and these are what I am going to call roadblocks. These are questions of whether or not your testimony should be believed, whether or not you should be believed, whether or not the DEA was at fault, whether or not any number of different things. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you follow what I am saying? What I am asking you about? MS. McCLURE: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have ARCOS, so ARCOS, you know, or we need ARCOS, well, you could use ARCOS but if you notice the cases that we have done, we have never ever done cases where we have looked at a particular distributor or a manufacturer and said, oh, well, in addition to what you have sold, these other pharmacies, these other distributors have sold as well. We only concentrate on what they sold, so ARCOS wouldn't help in that respect. The fact is that ARCOS helps us to do certain things within our requirements, under our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Walgreens. I mean, there are others beyond these, fair? A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Now, what I would like to do is before we finish with my time with you and I pass you as a witness, I want to deal with some things that have already been asked of you in testimony by the companies' lawyers and these are what I am going to call roadblocks. These are questions of whether or not your testimony should be believed, whether or not you should be believed, whether or not gifferent things. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you follow what I am saying? What I am asking you about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	retrospective data. It's generally it could be anywhere from four to six months old. Okay. We use ARCOS not necessarily for cases, although it has been used for cases and we have developed cases from ARCOS. However, suspicious orders are the key because those are real time. They are when discovered, and that gives us insight into what is happening now, not what's happened eight, ten months ago, six months ago. So the idea that well, you have ARCOS, so ARCOS, you know, or we need ARCOS, well, you could use ARCOS but if you notice the cases that we have done, we have never ever done cases where we have looked at a particular distributor or a manufacturer and said, oh, well, in addition to what you have sold, these other pharmacies, these other distributors have sold as well. We only concentrate on what they sold, so ARCOS wouldn't help in that respect. The fact is that ARCOS helps us to do certain

33 (Pages 489 - 492)

	D 403		D 405
1	Page 493 they could help us with by identifying	1	Page 495 That is what a suspicious order is for.
2	potential registrants that are diverting.	2	BY MR. LANIER:
3	So the idea that they need ARCOS,	3	Q. All right. Next roadblock question.
4	well, it is helpful, it could be helpful to	4	What if by the way, you have given six hours
5	them. It's really not a they shouldn't	5	of testimony to industry lawyers before I got
6	necessarily rely on ARCOS. They should rely on	6	started, right?
7	the suspicious order monitoring.	7	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
8	Q. All right. To make sure we put this	8	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
9	into a time perspective, so we have got a	9	BY MR. LANIER:
10	person ultimately who says to the distributor,	10	Q. And they have made already an
11	the manufacturer, whomever we are looking at,	11	accusation or at least an allegation that the
12	the person says, get me opioids to sell.	12	quota levels increased under your watch.
13	Are you tracking with me?	13	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
14	A. Yes.	14	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
15	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	15	BY MR. LANIER:
16	BY MR. LANIER:	16	Q. Do you remember that testimony?
17	Q. And then the distributor will say,	17	A. Yes, sir.
18	the middleman?	18	Q. First of all, I want you to explain
19	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	19	to the jury what a quota level is, and then I'm
20	BY MR. LANIER:	20	going to have you explain a few other things
21	Q supplies the opioids. Okay?	21	about this.
22	Now, some of these opioids, this may be a	22	What is a quota level?
23	suspicious order if someone is actually looking	23	MR. BENNETT: You can answer.
24	at it.	24	THE WITNESS: Under Statute 21 USC
25	Are you following my hypothetical?	25	826, Congress set up a system to limit the
	Page 494		
1	A. Yes.	1	Page 496
1 2	A. Yes.	1 2	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the
2	A. Yes.Q. You told the jury that the	1 2 3	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through
	A. Yes.	2	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota.
2 3	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right?	2 3	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through
2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these	2 3 4	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I
2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	2 3 4 5	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony.	2 3 4 5 6	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to six months later after the sale of the product;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with manufacturers establishes a ceiling and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to six months later after the sale of the product; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. How can the companies' inability to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with manufacturers establishes a ceiling and that would be the aggregate production quota and at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to six months later after the sale of the product; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. How can the companies' inability to see data four to six months after the sale ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with manufacturers establishes a ceiling and that would be the aggregate production quota and at that point in time, manufacturers can draw from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to six months later after the sale of the product; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. How can the companies' inability to see data four to six months after the sale ever be something that alleviates or helps them with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with manufacturers establishes a ceiling and that would be the aggregate production quota and at that point in time, manufacturers can draw from that ceiling. Think of the aggregate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to six months later after the sale of the product; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. How can the companies' inability to see data four to six months after the sale ever be something that alleviates or helps them with their responsibility to stop the sale to start	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with manufacturers establishes a ceiling and that would be the aggregate production quota and at that point in time, manufacturers can draw from that ceiling. Think of the aggregate production as a pie and then each manufacturer starts drawing from that pie. We can never go above that ceiling. We can definitely go below
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to six months later after the sale of the product; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. How can the companies' inability to see data four to six months after the sale ever be something that alleviates or helps them with their responsibility to stop the sale to start with?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with manufacturers establishes a ceiling and that would be the aggregate production quota and at that point in time, manufacturers can draw from that ceiling. Think of the aggregate production as a pie and then each manufacturer starts drawing from that pie. We can never go above that ceiling, but we can never go above that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to six months later after the sale of the product; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. How can the companies' inability to see data four to six months after the sale ever be something that alleviates or helps them with their responsibility to stop the sale to start with? MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with manufacturers establishes a ceiling and that would be the aggregate production quota and at that point in time, manufacturers can draw from that ceiling. Think of the aggregate production as a pie and then each manufacturer starts drawing from that pie. We can never go above that ceiling. We can definitely go below
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to six months later after the sale of the product; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. How can the companies' inability to see data four to six months after the sale ever be something that alleviates or helps them with their responsibility to stop the sale to start with? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with manufacturers establishes a ceiling and that would be the aggregate production quota and at that point in time, manufacturers can draw from that ceiling. Think of the aggregate production as a pie and then each manufacturer starts drawing from that pie. We can never go above that ceiling, but we can never go above that ceiling. And then quota is also established
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to six months later after the sale of the product; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. How can the companies' inability to see data four to six months after the sale ever be something that alleviates or helps them with their responsibility to stop the sale to start with? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. Objection. Incomplete hypothetical.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with manufacturers establishes a ceiling and that would be the aggregate production quota and at that point in time, manufacturers can draw from that ceiling. Think of the aggregate production as a pie and then each manufacturer starts drawing from that pie. We can never go above that ceiling, but we can never go above that ceiling. And then quota is also established for procurement where dosage form manufacturers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to six months later after the sale of the product; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. How can the companies' inability to see data four to six months after the sale ever be something that alleviates or helps them with their responsibility to stop the sale to start with? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. Objection. Incomplete hypothetical. THE WITNESS: I'm I'm not sure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with manufacturers establishes a ceiling and that would be the aggregate production quota and at that point in time, manufacturers can draw from that ceiling. Think of the aggregate production as a pie and then each manufacturer starts drawing from that pie. We can never go above that ceiling, but we can never go above that ceiling. And then quota is also established for procurement where dosage form manufacturers take the amount of raw material or active
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. You told the jury that the distributor has an obligation to police these suspicious orders and tell the DEA, right? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Misstates prior testimony. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Am I right so far? A. Yes. Q. All right. Now, ARCOS data, as the jury will learn, is data that comes out four to six months later after the sale of the product; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. How can the companies' inability to see data four to six months after the sale ever be something that alleviates or helps them with their responsibility to stop the sale to start with? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. Objection. Incomplete hypothetical.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 496 amount of drugs that are potentially in the system at any one time and we do that through quota. Quota is schedule for Schedule I and II and certain Schedule III controlled substances, and what it is basically is DEA establishing an aggregate production quota for each basic class of drug, so what is a basic class. Hydrocodone would be a basic class of drug, oxycodone would be a basic class of drug. So DEA through a system with manufacturers establishes a ceiling and that would be the aggregate production quota and at that point in time, manufacturers can draw from that ceiling. Think of the aggregate production as a pie and then each manufacturer starts drawing from that pie. We can never go above that ceiling, but we can never go above that ceiling. And then quota is also established for procurement where dosage form manufacturers

Page 497 Page 499 1 They could produce dosage forms from that which 1 and development, they have to do stability 2 2 are then sent downstream. studies, there is an increase in prescriptions, 3 and the amount of prescriptions that are going 3 BY MR. LANIER: 4 out the door have to be -- have to be accounted 4 Q. All right. I'm sorry. So there is 5 a pie. There is a total amount and the 5 for. That's basically the quota. 6 6 different manufacturers can cut pieces out of We might be exporting large 7 7 that pie but that pie is the quota amount, quantities and at one point in time, we also 8 had a quota increase, not to put quota out on 8 fair? 9 9 the street, but to maintain a contingency quota That's the basic class quota amount 10 so if there was a catastrophic event within the 10 for each basic class, that's the amount of the 11 delivery system, a catastrophic event with the 11 12 manufacture or distribution system, we could 12 Q. That's the ceiling? 13 13 immediately reissue quota to make up for the A. Yes. 14 14 loss of drug that's in -- that was in the Q. All right. So the point was made in your deposition earlier by the industry lawyers 15 system at the time. That's what quota is. 15 that the quota levels increased under your 16 Quota is not a tool to stop 16 17 17 diversion. Quota is a tool to limit the amount watch. 18 of drug available, you know, from a 18 Lest the jury think you did anything 19 manufacturer, a distributor, but in the end, wrong, I want to ask you point blank, did you 19 20 do something wrong, Joe Rannazzisi? 20 the reason Congress set it up that way and the 21 MS. MAINIGI: Objection. 21 reason it was explained to me was that if there 22 is not enough quota to meet the legitimate 22 THE WITNESS: Absolutely not. 23 medical, scientific and industrial needs of the 23 BY MR. LANIER: 24 24 country, legitimate patients could not --Q. Explain why you say, "absolutely 25 would not be able to get their medicine. 25 not." Page 498 Page 500 1 A. Well, there -- there are certain 1 That is, if we have a quota and we weaknesses in the quota system by statute. One decide to cut the quota by 20 percent, you 2 2 3 is that I could not set quota by individual 3 still have the same amount of people kind of 4 drawing from that quota. Well, if it's 20 4 dosage form. I can't -- I can't tell a 5 5 manufacturer what they -- what they can and percent less, patients might not get their 6 can't manufacture. They are the only ones, 6 medication. If it's a drug seeker, you know, 7 7 it's a business decision. no one really cares, but if it's a -- if it's a 8 But secondly and more importantly, 8 person who actually needs that opioid, a 9 9 Congress set up a roadmap in 826 to explain hospice care patient, a palliative care 10 exactly what we have to look at in order to set 10 patient, somebody that indeed needs opioids for 11 the quota, and as long as the industry sets 11 transition or whatever, you know, their final 12 justifications for that amount that they are 12 stages of life. If they can't get that 13 seeking, I have to give it. 13 medication, they are in pain, then we haven't 14 Because in 826, 826 requires the 14 met our obligations under 826. 15 Drug Enforcement Administration to establish or 15 Q. Okay. Thank you. Next roadblock set a quota that meets the legitimate medical, 16 issue. If there is an allegation that was made 16 17 scientific, industrial and export needs of the 17 that pain is undertreated in America, and you 18 country. When Congress set that up, they also 18 were asked questions about this in your 19 laid out what we should be looking for and our 19 deposition by the company lawyers, do you 20 quota is set basically on 826. It's very 20 remember those questions? 21 difficult to deviate from 826 because it's 21 MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Object 22 pretty straightforward in the statute what we 22 to characterization. 23 23 are required to do. THE WITNESS: I don't remember the

35 (Pages 497 - 500)

exact question, but I do remember us talking

24

25

about it.

So if the quota increased, generally

it's because, one, there is increased research

24

25

	Page 501		Page 503
1	BY MR. LANIER:	1	Q. I mean, is it the DEA's job to give
2	Q. Yeah, they showed you the website	2	legal advice to these companies?
3	from the DEA about pain being undertreated or	3	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
4	something to that effect and you said you	4	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
5	hadn't noticed it before?	5	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say we give
6	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.	6	legal advice, no.
7	Mischaracterization.	7	BY MR. LANIER:
8	THE WITNESS: It was an old form.	8	Q. In fact, have the companies been
9	BY MR. LANIER:	9	able to hire people from the DEA and actually
10	Q. Do you remember that?	10	bring them inside their companies?
11	A. I do remember that, yes.	11	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation.
12	Q. All right. First of all, are you	12	Calls for speculation.
13	qualified as a medical doctor to talk about	13	MS. MAINIGI: Also scope.
14	when opioids are proper treatment and when they	14	THE WITNESS: Some of the companies
15	are not?	15	have hired DEA former DEA employees, some
16	A. I am not a medical doctor.	16	within the office of diversion control, to
17	Q. So if we need to know medical doctor	17	to work on their systems or work in their
18	diagnoses and treatments, you are not the right	18	companies in compliance.
19	guy to be asking about that. Fair?	19	BY MR. LANIER:
20	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	20	Q. Is that a problem that you have
21	THE WITNESS: That is correct.	21	seen? Has that caused a problem that you have
22	BY MR. LANIER:	22	seen?
23	Q. Is there, in fact though, times	23	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
24	where you recognize as a pharmacist that	24	Foundation. Vague.
25	opioids have an important role, namely end	25	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope.
	Page 502		Page 504
1	stage cancer patients?	1	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.
2	MR. EPPICH: Objection.	2	THE WITNESS: I don't know if it
3	THE WITNESS: That is correct.	3	necessarily presents a problem. I would hope
		_	needsburing processes a processing 1 we onthe nept
4	BY MR. LANIER:	4	
4 5	BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next roadblock. If		that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants
		4	that those former employees are trying to guide
5	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If	4 5	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants
5 6	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made	4 5 6	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what
5 6 7	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the	4 5 6 7	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are.
5 6 7 8	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused,	4 5 6 7 8	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER:
5 6 7 8 9	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed	4 5 6 7 8 9	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the
5 6 7 8 9	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: No.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: The company has al
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: The company has al the companies, all the registrants have many
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Most of the distributors that you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: The company has al the companies, all the registrants have many avenues to try and get their their questions
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Most of the distributors that you have dealt with, the big ones, most of the big	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: The company has al the companies, all the registrants have many avenues to try and get their their questions answered, through the local DEA offices, the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Most of the distributors that you have dealt with, the big ones, most of the big manufacturers you have dealt with, do they have	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: The company has al the companies, all the registrants have many avenues to try and get their their questions answered, through the local DEA offices, the headquarters has a liaison and policy section
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Most of the distributors that you have dealt with, the big ones, most of the big manufacturers you have dealt with, do they have law firm lawyers working within them or law	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: The company has al the companies, all the registrants have many avenues to try and get their their questions answered, through the local DEA offices, the headquarters has a liaison and policy section and that liaison and policy section, their sole
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Most of the distributors that you have dealt with, the big ones, most of the big manufacturers you have dealt with, do they have law firm lawyers working within them or law firms on retainers?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: The company has al the companies, all the registrants have many avenues to try and get their their questions answered, through the local DEA offices, the headquarters has a liaison and policy section and that liaison and policy section, their sole job is to provide guidance to the distributors.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Most of the distributors that you have dealt with, the big ones, most of the big manufacturers you have dealt with, do they have law firm lawyers working within them or law firms on retainers? MS. McCLURE: Form. Foundation.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: The company has al the companies, all the registrants have many avenues to try and get their their questions answered, through the local DEA offices, the headquarters has a liaison and policy section and that liaison and policy section, their sole job is to provide guidance to the distributors. We have on-site people, on-site people who go in to do inspections at the at
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Most of the distributors that you have dealt with, the big ones, most of the big manufacturers you have dealt with, do they have law firm lawyers working within them or law firms on retainers? MS. McCLURE: Form. Foundation. MR. EPPICH: Form. Foundation.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: The company has al the companies, all the registrants have many avenues to try and get their their questions answered, through the local DEA offices, the headquarters has a liaison and policy section and that liaison and policy section, their sole job is to provide guidance to the distributors. We have on-site people, on-site
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right. Next roadblock. If there is a suggestion to the jury that is made that, gee, the distributors or the manufacturers or the pharmacies were confused, they just didn't know what they were supposed to do. Would that be acceptable in your mind? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Most of the distributors that you have dealt with, the big ones, most of the big manufacturers you have dealt with, do they have law firm lawyers working within them or law firms on retainers? MS. McCLURE: Form. Foundation. MR. EPPICH: Form. Foundation. BY MR. LANIER:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that those former employees are trying to guide or help guide the the particular registrants through what their requirements are and what their obligations are. BY MR. LANIER: Q. If a company is confused about the law, should they just keep selling the opioids in the midst of their confusion? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: The company has al the companies, all the registrants have many avenues to try and get their their questions answered, through the local DEA offices, the headquarters has a liaison and policy section and that liaison and policy section, their sole job is to provide guidance to the distributors. We have on-site people, on-site people who go in to do inspections at the at the distributors and they can ask those people.

	Page 505		Page 507
1	representing what is up on the board	1	the supply chain that was continuing to not
2	MR. LANIER: I'm clarifying. No,	2	follow their obligations under the law.
3	I'm not. I'm about	3	BY MR. LANIER:
4	MS. McCLURE: that the witness	4	Q. All right. Question. If the
5	has not actually stated in advance of him	5	companies don't break the law, does the DEA
6	suggesting that, so I also object to the fact	6	even have a job to do on diversion through
7	that your writing tends to be leading.	7	corporate channels?
8	BY MR. LANIER:	8	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.
9	Q. Sir, if you will look at my writing	9	Vague.
10	and excuse the interruption for a moment.	10	THE WITNESS: DEA's job is to to
11	My question to you is: If they are	11	investigate and and try to prevention
12	confused, should they stop selling until their	12	diversion from it happening, so even if the
13	questions are answered?	13	companies the companies continue to to
14	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope.	14	meet their obligations, their legal obligations
15	BY MR. LANIER:	15	under the Act, DEA would still be there because
16	Q. Yes or no?	16	we'd still have to do inspections, we'd still
17	MS. MAINIGI: Foundation. Form.	17	have to do scheduling actions, we'd still have
18	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Incomplete	18	to do accountability audits. DEA is always
19	hypothetical.	19	going to be there whether they are, you know,
20	MS. MAINIGI: Vague.	20	meeting their obligations under the law or not.
21	THE WITNESS: If they are confused	21	MS. McCLURE: I repeat my prior
22	and they're and they and they continue to	22	request that you stop leading the witness and
23	sell in the midst of their confusion, no, they	23	putting testimony on the board in advance. I
24	shouldn't be selling.	24	do see that you have, in fact, covered up now
25	BY MR. LANIER:	25	what you have written previously, but you
	Page 506		Page 508
1	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is	1	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that
2	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was	1 2	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask
2 3	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA?	2 3	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the
2	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after	2	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him.
2 3 4 5	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired.	2 3	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg,	2 3 4 5 6	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the	2 3 4 5	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said? MR. SMITH: Object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it clear, you will have this, so I want to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said? MR. SMITH: Object to form. Characterization.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it clear, you will have this, so I want to make sure you are clear on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said? MR. SMITH: Object to form. Characterization. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it clear, you will have this, so I want to make sure you are clear on that. MS. McCLURE: Special Master Cohen,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said? MR. SMITH: Object to form. Characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. First of all, do you believe that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it clear, you will have this, so I want to make sure you are clear on that. MS. McCLURE: Special Master Cohen, I request a instruction given to counsel to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said? MR. SMITH: Object to form. Characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. First of all, do you believe that the DEA was part of the problem?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it clear, you will have this, so I want to make sure you are clear on that. MS. McCLURE: Special Master Cohen, I request a instruction given to counsel to advise him to stop writing on a sheet that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said? MR. SMITH: Object to form. Characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. First of all, do you believe that the DEA was part of the problem? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it clear, you will have this, so I want to make sure you are clear on that. MS. McCLURE: Special Master Cohen, I request a instruction given to counsel to advise him to stop writing on a sheet that is visible to the screen, to the jury, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said? MR. SMITH: Object to form. Characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. First of all, do you believe that the DEA was part of the problem? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it clear, you will have this, so I want to make sure you are clear on that. MS. McCLURE: Special Master Cohen, I request a instruction given to counsel to advise him to stop writing on a sheet that is visible to the screen, to the jury, to counsels' room, and most importantly especially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said? MR. SMITH: Object to form. Characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. First of all, do you believe that the DEA was part of the problem? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it clear, you will have this, so I want to make sure you are clear on that. MS. McCLURE: Special Master Cohen, I request a instruction given to counsel to advise him to stop writing on a sheet that is visible to the screen, to the jury, to counsels' room, and most importantly especially to the witness in advance of the witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said? MR. SMITH: Object to form. Characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. First of all, do you believe that the DEA was part of the problem? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it clear, you will have this, so I want to make sure you are clear on that. MS. McCLURE: Special Master Cohen, I request a instruction given to counsel to advise him to stop writing on a sheet that is visible to the screen, to the jury, to counsels' room, and most importantly especially to the witness in advance of the witness providing that testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said? MR. SMITH: Object to form. Characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. First of all, do you believe that the DEA was part of the problem? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I do not believe that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it clear, you will have this, so I want to make sure you are clear on that. MS. McCLURE: Special Master Cohen, I request a instruction given to counsel to advise him to stop writing on a sheet that is visible to the screen, to the jury, to counsels' room, and most importantly especially to the witness in advance of the witness providing that testimony. SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: So you can despect the street of the screen is supplying to the screen in the street of the witness providing that testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Thank you. Next roadblock. Who is this gentleman named Mr. Rosenberg that was with the DEA? A. He was an acting administrator after Administrator Lynn Hart retired. Q. So the acting ad man, Mr. Rosenberg, supposedly said that the DEA is part of the problem. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. And that's not an exact quote, but that's the essence of what has been suggested Mr. Rosenberg said? MR. SMITH: Object to form. Characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. First of all, do you believe that the DEA was part of the problem? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Vague. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wouldn't be in a position of having to do that if you would simply let the witness you ask the question with the witness supplying the answer rather than supplying them for him. MR. LANIER: With due respect to the way you are reciting the record here, I am able to write down questions that I'm going to be asking, as well as questions that I have asked and if I am doing that and I'm covering it up, that's a fine thing to do. And if there is a question that's down here that is not one that he agrees with, he will make it clear. You all will make it clear, you will have this, so I want to make sure you are clear on that. MS. McCLURE: Special Master Cohen, I request a instruction given to counsel to advise him to stop writing on a sheet that is visible to the screen, to the jury, to counsels' room, and most importantly especially to the witness in advance of the witness

37 (Pages 505 - 508)

Page MR. LANIER: Very good. Thank you. SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: And may better way of doing it is to allow the witness to testify before writing it, so that you avoid	1	Q. If someone speeds through the school zone, a police officer might be there with a
2 SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: And may 3 better way of doing it is to allow the witness	I	
3 better way of doing it is to allow the witness	00 a 2	
, ,	3	radar gun, might catch them, might write them a
4 to lestify before writing it. So that you avoid	4	ticket. That is something you can foresee
5 what could be an objection that is sustained by	5	happening, fair?
6 the Court, so that you are not allowed to play	6	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
7 it.	7	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
8 MR. LANIER: Thank you.	8	BY MR. LANIER:
9 BY MR. LANIER:	9	Q. If a person speeds through a school
Q. Sir, so here is my question: If the	10	zone and the police officer doesn't happen to
company stopped the diversion, the DEA is or	11	be there that day with a radar gun that day and
12 isn't the issue?	12	doesn't catch that person, does that mean that
MS. McCLURE: Form.	13	the person is innocent?
MS. MAINIGI: Same objection.	14	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.	15	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
16 BY MR. LANIER:	16	THE WITNESS: No.
Q. In other words let me ask it this	17	BY MR. LANIER:
18 way: If the companies do their job properly,	18	Q. Can the person blame the cop because
19 they follow the law, does the DEA have the	19	the person sped through the school zone and the
20 problem of telling the companies you didn't	20	cop wasn't there?
21 follow the law?	21	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
22 MS. McCLURE: Object to form.	22	THE WITNESS: No.
23 MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	23	BY MR. LANIER:
MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Let the	24	Q. If the if the companies are not
25 record reflect that Mr. Lanier engaged in the	25	diverting or allowing diversion of the product,
Page	e 510	Page 512
1 same conduct in terms of leading the witness.	1	then the DEA's job gets a whole lot easier,
2 The witness was shown what Mr. Lanier wanted	d 2	doesn't it?
3 the answer to be, and then after the fact, Mr.	3	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
4 Lanier added additional words.	4	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
5 MS. McCLURE: Same question.	5	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
6 THE WITNESS: If the companies were	6	MR. LANIER: Next. I'm going to
7 following the law, the DEA would not have to	7	pass the witness and save my time for redirect.
8 take the measures that it took to try and bring	8	Thank you.
9 them into compliance, which is issuing orders	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record.
10 to show cause, issuing immediate suspension	10	The time is 12:02.
11 orders, sending out countless reminders. If	11	(A lunch recess was taken.)
12 they were following the law, that wouldn't	12	MR. LANIER: I have marked for the
13 happen.	13	court reporter as Exhibit 8 the handwritten
14 BY MR. LANIER:	14	notes and attached those, which I understand
15 Q. Let me use an example that is maybe	15	defense has gotten a copy of, a color copy, so
16 more everyday. Do you drive a car?	16	that they can them use as well as being
17 A. Yes, sir.	17	attached to the exhibit.
18 Q. You are aware of speed limits?	18	Thank you.
19 A. Yes, sir.	19	(Deposition Exhibit 8 was marked for
Q. You are aware that sometimes there	20	identification.)
21 are school zones where the speed limit is	21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back
22 reduced for the school zone.	22	on record.
100	23	Beginning of Media File No. 6.
23 A. Yes, sir.	l l	
23 A. Yes, sir. 24 MS. MAINIGI: Objection. 25 BY MR. LANIER:	24	Time is 1:11.

38 (Pages 509 - 512)

	Page 513		Page 515
1	BY MS. MAINIGI:	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Rannazzisi.	2	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you were asked a
3	My name is Enu Mainigi. And I	3	bunch of questions about a bill that got passed
4	represent Cardinal Health. I questioned you on	4	by Congress.
5	day one of your deposition.	5	Do you remember that?
6	Do you recall that?	6	A. Yes, ma'am.
7	A. Yes, ma'am.	7	Q. And that was called that bill was
8	Q. And you recall there were some other	8	I don't recall if the name came up. Was it
9	questioners in that day as well.	9	called the Marino bill?
10	Do you remember that?	10	A. No, ma'am.
11	A. Yes, ma'am.	11	Q. What bill were you referring to?
12	Q. So I'm going to start off the	12	A. The Ensuring Patient Access and
13	questioning following Mr. Lanier's questioning	13	Effective Drug Enforcement Act of 2016.
14	of you. And there will be several others that	14	Q. Okay. So that bill was passed in 2
15	follow after me.	15	2016.
16	Is that okay?	16	A. Yes. Which
17	A. Yes, ma'am.	17	Q. And I'm sorry. Go ahead.
18	Q. Mr. Lanier asked you, Mr.	18	A. Yes.
19	Rannazzisi, about your time in enforcement.	19	Q. And so the bill that Mr. Lanier
20	Do you recall that?	20	asked you about that that essentially
21	A. Yes, sir ma'am. Yes, ma'am.	21	hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, I
22	Q. And I think he was talking about	22	think were the word that were used, that was
23	when you were in the field in part for DEA	23	passed in 2016?
24	enforcement.	24	A. Yes.
25	Do you remember that?	25	Q. And that was prior you left prior
1	Page 514 A. Yes.	1	Page 516
1	A. Yes.		
)		1	to 2016, correct?
2	Q. And I think that was when he was	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you	2 3	A. Yes.Q. So that bill was passed after you
3 4	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the	2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA?
3 4 5	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls.	2 3 4 5	A. Yes.Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA?A. That is correct.
3 4 5 6	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that?	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any
3 4 5 6 7	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the '80s and '90s.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of that bill related to manufacturers and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the '80s and '90s. Q. Okay. And when you took over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of that bill related to manufacturers and distributors.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the '80s and '90s. Q. Okay. And when you took over antidiversion at headquarters, that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of that bill related to manufacturers and distributors. So I believe, yes, that's probably
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the '80s and '90s. Q. Okay. And when you took over antidiversion at headquarters, that was starting in the 2004 time period, really 2005,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of that bill related to manufacturers and distributors. So I believe, yes, that's probably correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the '80s and '90s. Q. Okay. And when you took over antidiversion at headquarters, that was starting in the 2004 time period, really 2005, right? A. Yes. Q. And I think, as we talked about on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of that bill related to manufacturers and distributors. So I believe, yes, that's probably correct. Q. So you have firsthand knowledge
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the '80s and '90s. Q. Okay. And when you took over antidiversion at headquarters, that was starting in the 2004 time period, really 2005, right? A. Yes. Q. And I think, as we talked about on day one of your testimony, it was in that time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of that bill related to manufacturers and distributors. So I believe, yes, that's probably correct. Q. So you have firsthand knowledge since 2016 as to how this 2016 bill has
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the '80s and '90s. Q. Okay. And when you took over antidiversion at headquarters, that was starting in the 2004 time period, really 2005, right? A. Yes. Q. And I think, as we talked about on day one of your testimony, it was in that time period that you began to deal with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of that bill related to manufacturers and distributors. So I believe, yes, that's probably correct. Q. So you have firsthand knowledge since 2016 as to how this 2016 bill has hampered DEA's antidiversion efforts? A. I again, I I said that, based on the news reporting, there was only one
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the '80s and '90s. Q. Okay. And when you took over antidiversion at headquarters, that was starting in the 2004 time period, really 2005, right? A. Yes. Q. And I think, as we talked about on day one of your testimony, it was in that time period that you began to deal with distributors, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of that bill related to manufacturers and distributors. So I believe, yes, that's probably correct. Q. So you have firsthand knowledge since 2016 as to how this 2016 bill has hampered DEA's antidiversion efforts? A. I again, I I said that, based on the news reporting, there was only one instance where an immediate suspension order
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the '80s and '90s. Q. Okay. And when you took over antidiversion at headquarters, that was starting in the 2004 time period, really 2005, right? A. Yes. Q. And I think, as we talked about on day one of your testimony, it was in that time period that you began to deal with distributors, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of that bill related to manufacturers and distributors. So I believe, yes, that's probably correct. Q. So you have firsthand knowledge since 2016 as to how this 2016 bill has hampered DEA's antidiversion efforts? A. I again, I I said that, based on the news reporting, there was only one instance where an immediate suspension order was executed post-bill. And that immediate
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the '80s and '90s. Q. Okay. And when you took over antidiversion at headquarters, that was starting in the 2004 time period, really 2005, right? A. Yes. Q. And I think, as we talked about on day one of your testimony, it was in that time period that you began to deal with distributors, correct? A. Yes. Q. And just so the record is clear, by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of that bill related to manufacturers and distributors. So I believe, yes, that's probably correct. Q. So you have firsthand knowledge since 2016 as to how this 2016 bill has hampered DEA's antidiversion efforts? A. I again, I I said that, based on the news reporting, there was only one instance where an immediate suspension order was executed post-bill. And that immediate suspension order was withdrawn. It was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And I think that was when he was asking you about some of the gear that you might have worn, the the jumpsuit, the overalls. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Now, that took place before you took over as head of antidiversion at headquarters, correct? A. Yes. That would have been in the '80s and '90s. Q. Okay. And when you took over antidiversion at headquarters, that was starting in the 2004 time period, really 2005, right? A. Yes. Q. And I think, as we talked about on day one of your testimony, it was in that time period that you began to deal with distributors, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. So that bill was passed after you left the DEA? A. That is correct. Q. So you don't necessarily have any firsthand knowledge of how that bill hampered DEA's ability to control diversion, correct? A. DEA hasn't issued an immediate suspension order that I'm aware of, except for one, which was withdrawn, since the passage of that bill related to manufacturers and distributors. So I believe, yes, that's probably correct. Q. So you have firsthand knowledge since 2016 as to how this 2016 bill has hampered DEA's antidiversion efforts? A. I again, I I said that, based on the news reporting, there was only one instance where an immediate suspension order was executed post-bill. And that immediate

Page 517 Page 519 1 know what's been reported. And generally DEA 1 on what investigation we're doing. 2 reports when they execute immediate suspension 2 Q. With respect to investigating orders in order to show cause. And I -- I 3 antidiversion, would you use ARCOS data? 3 A. We can use it historically to 4 pretty much keep up with the news and make sure 4 5 that I keep up with what's going on. 5 support information that we received. But we O. But you haven't been inside DEA 6 didn't have any current ARCOS data. 6 7 since when? 7 Q. With respect to the use of ARCOS 8 A. October of 2015. 8 data, the DEA has used ARCOS data to show 9 Q. Okay. So you don't know what was 9 distributors where they could do better, 10 going on at DEA that may or may not have 10 resulted in them pursuing suspension orders, 11 11 A. We have -- yes. 2005 meetings we did show ARCOS data, yes. 12 12 correct? 13 Q. Is there any type of other data that 13 A. That is correct. 14 could be used that DEA had access to that would 14 Q. But you were at DEA from 2005 15 through October 2015, right? 15 be more timely? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. 16 A. Yes. 16 17 Q. And you were the head of 17 You're not authorized to disclose 18 antidiversion at DEA in that time period, 18 confidential law enforcement investigative or 19 19 intelligence gathering and dissemination 20 A. The Office of Diversion Control, 20 techniques whose effectiveness would be 21 21 impaired by the disclosure. yes. 22 Q. And -- and tell us again, just in 22 To the extent that there is publicly 23 case we didn't get it last time, what is the 23 available information regarding databases that 24 role of the Office of Diversion Control? you could use or information you could use, you 24 25 The Office of Diversion Control 25 may answer. Page 518 Page 520 1 oversees all pharmaceutical, chemical, 1 THE WITNESS: And that's not a 2 synthetic drug investigations related to 2 question I could answer. 3 controlled substances, listed chemicals and --3 BY MS. MAINIGI: 4 and any type of analog or synthetic. 4 Q. How about answering "yes" or "no"? 5 5 We monitor, inspect the -- the Was there other types of data that regulated industry, be it the registrants or could be used by the DEA to investigate 6 6 7 the chemical manufacturers and distributors, to 7 diversion, yes or no? 8 ensure that diversion does not occur in those 8 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. 9 9 You can answer "yes" or "no" only. supply chains. 10 10 Q. And so you -- part of what you do is THE WITNESS: Yes. monitor the registrants in the Office of 11 11 BY MS. MAINIGI: Diversion Control, correct? 12 12 Q. But you can't tell me what that data 13 is? Yes. 13 14 14 And if the registrants were not A. I'm prohibited from telling you what 15 doing their job, then you would take action, 15 that data is. 16 correct? 16 Q. Now, coming back to this 2016 17 A. We would conduct investigations, 17 bill -- or rather let -- let's go to the time period before that. Because that -- that 18 yes. 18 19 19 happened after you left, that 2016 bill. Q. And one of the ways you would 20 conduct investigations is by looking at data; 20 So from 2005 to 2015, there wasn't 21 21 is that right? any sort of bill that Congress passed that 22 A. There's -- there's many methods to 22 hampered the DEA's ability to control 23 look at -- to conduct investigations. Data 23 diversion, was there? 24 collection, data review is part of it. It 24 A. Well, that bill was introduced on at 25 depends on the type of investigation. Depends 25 least two occasions, from '14 up until I left

Page 521 Page 523 1 in '15, before it was passed in -- in the form 1 to say that, "The administration has not made a 2 2 it was passed in '16. -- not taken a position on that. We'll have to 3 get back to you." 3 Q. So only when a bill becomes a law does it take effect, right? 4 So, you know, we -- we're 4 5 A. That's correct. 5 constrained from talking about certain things O. And so it took effect sometime in 6 within the hearing process. 6 7 Q. Did you ever advocate to Congress 7 '16 or thereafter, correct? 8 that quota should be decreased? 8 A. Yes. 9 A. I never advocated to Congress that 9 Q. So let me ask my question again, Mr. 10 quota should be in -- decreased. I did 10 Rannazzisi. advocate, after requests that the quota 826 11 From 2005 to 2015, did Congress pass 11 12 could be changed, to make it -- to make the any sort of legislation that hampered DEA's 12 ability to control diversion? 13 statute stronger. But I've never advocated for 13 14 A. Up until that point in time, no. 14 a decrease in quota. Because I have to follow 15 15 Q. Now, while you were head of the the 826, the statute. 16 Q. Did you ever advocate to Congress 16 office of antidiversion at the DEA, Mr. that the definition of "suspicious order" in 17 Rannazzisi, from 2005 to 2015, did you make any 17 the regulation should be clarified? 18 efforts to change the law -- or the laws 18 19 A. No. And Congress never asked for 19 related to antidiversion yourself? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. 20 that. Again, we only -- we only provide 21 21 technical guidance when Congress asks us for THE WITNESS: When Congress --22 Congress has asked us to review certain 22 it. 23 Q. Did you ever advocate the Congress 23 provisions of the act, and we have provided 24 comments. But we don't generally tell Congress 24 that there should be greater clarity provided as to what an adequate suspicious order 25 what changes need to be made unless we're 25 Page 522 Page 524 1 asked, unless we're asked to provide technical monitoring system should be? 1 guidance, at which point in time then we -- we 2 A. Again, we don't advocate to 2 3 can. 3 Congress. What we do is, when Congress asks us 4 BY MS. MAINIGI: 4 or the administration decides that there might 5 5 Q. Well, I think you told Mr. Lanier need to be a change, it's brought to the you testified in front of Congress about 33 6 attention of Congress. 6 7 7 times? But generally Congress makes a 8 A. Yes, ma'am. 8 decision to review certain provisions of any 9 Q. And in any of those 33 times, did 9 act, not just the Controlled Substances Act. 10 you suggest to Congress that there could be 10 Q. So the answer is no, Mr. Rannazzisi, 11 enhancements to the antidiversion laws you never went in front of Congress and 11 12 instituted to help abate diversion? 12 advocated for greater clarity as to what would 13 A. I don't necessarily remember every be appropriate for an adequate suspicious order 13 14 time we testified. However, at a congressional 14 monitoring system? 15 request, we did send them opinions on what 15 A. Again, that would be an could be changed in the law to make it more 16 administration decision. And no, I personally 16 17 effective. And it was all throughout the 17 have never advocated for that. 18 Controlled Substances Act. As long as they are 18 Q. And -- and is that, in part, because 19 making the request and asking us what needed to 19 your view, as I understood it from last time, 20 be done, we -- we could provide guidance. Mr. Rannazzisi, is you think that the 20 21 In a hearing there's certain 21 regulation is very clear, right? 22 limitations that we have on how we could 22 A. I think the regulation is very 23 23 straightforward and clear, yes. testify regarding proposed legislation or 24 proposed legislative changes. If you look at 24 MS. MAINIGI: Okay. We'll mark the most people when they're testifying, they have 25 -- let me go ahead and mark an exhibit, Mr. 25

		1	
	Page 525	.	Page 527
1	Rannazzisi. In fact, I'll I'll go ahead and	1	not in the Controlled Substances Act either,
2	mark a couple at the same time.	2	right?
3	We'll mark this as Exhibit 9.	3	A. That is correct.
4	And I'm going to give you a copy of	4	Q. Are the words "site inspections" or
5	the Controlled Substances Act.	5	"site visits" are those in the Controlled
6	(Deposition Exhibit 9 was marked for	6	Substances Act?
7	identification.)	7	A. I believe inspections are in the act
8	MS. MAINIGI: Here you go.	8	or in the regs. Its' been a while since I I
9	And I'm going to give you a copy as	9	rolled through that section. But I'm pretty
10	Exhibit 10 of the C.F.R.	10	sure there's a there's a section that
11	(Deposition Exhibit 10 was marked	11	authorizes the attorney general to do on-site
12	for identification.)	12	inspections.
13	MS. MAINIGI: There you go, Mr.	13	Q. Okay. Do you see that in so
14	Rannazzisi. Sorry.	14	right now we're on the Controlled Substances
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	15	Act.
16	BY MS. MAINIGI:	16	Are site inspections or site visits
17	Q. So tell us what let's let's	17	in the Controlled Substances Act?
18	look at Exhibit 9 first.	18	A. I don't have the full act. I just
19	Tell us what the Controlled	19	have Section 823.
20	Substances Act is.	20	Q. An that's the section that governs
21	A. The Controlled Substances Act is the	21	the registration of manufacturers, distributors
22	federal statute that governs laws and	22	and dispensers of controlled substance,
23	provisions contain laws and provisions that	23	correct?
24	pertain to controlled substances and listed	24	A. Yes.
25	chemicals.	25	Q. Okay. And in sections or
23		23	Q. Okay. This in sections of
1	Page 526	1	Page 528
1	Q. And does the Controlled Substances	1	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site
2	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors,	2	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"?
2 3	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other	2 3	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm
2 3 4	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants?	2 3 4	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act.
2 3 4 5	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're
2 3 4	 Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it 	2 3 4 5 6	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted from legitimate channels? A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right? A. Yes. Q. And then Subparagraph E relates to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted from legitimate channels?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right? A. Yes. Q. And then Subparagraph E relates to Schedule III, IV and V substances, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted from legitimate channels? A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right? A. Yes. Q. And then Subparagraph E relates to Schedule III, IV and V substances, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted from legitimate channels? A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question one more time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right? A. Yes. Q. And then Subparagraph E relates to Schedule III, IV and V substances, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted from legitimate channels? A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question one more time. MS. MAINIGI: Could you read it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right? A. Yes. Q. And then Subparagraph E relates to Schedule III, IV and V substances, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted from legitimate channels? A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question one more time. MS. MAINIGI: Could you read it back, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right? A. Yes. Q. And then Subparagraph E relates to Schedule III, IV and V substances, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, within the Controlled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted from legitimate channels? A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question one more time. MS. MAINIGI: Could you read it back, please. (The record was read as requested.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right? A. Yes. Q. And then Subparagraph E relates to Schedule III, IV and V substances, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, within the Controlled Substances Act, and in particular those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted from legitimate channels? A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question one more time. MS. MAINIGI: Could you read it back, please. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: No. It's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right? A. Yes. Q. And then Subparagraph E relates to Schedule III, IV and V substances, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, within the Controlled Substances Act, and in particular those those subparagraphs the words "know your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted from legitimate channels? A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question one more time. MS. MAINIGI: Could you read it back, please. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: No. It's not expressly outlined in the Controlled Substances
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right? A. Yes. Q. And then Subparagraph E relates to Schedule III, IV and V substances, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, within the Controlled Substances Act, and in particular those those subparagraphs the words "know your customer" does not appear, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted from legitimate channels? A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question one more time. MS. MAINIGI: Could you read it back, please. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: No. It's not expressly outlined in the Controlled Substances Act. But it falls under maintenance, effective
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And does the Controlled Substances Act speak to obligations of distributors, manufacturers, pharmacies and other registrants? A. Yes. Q. Now, if you take a look at it, it it appears that subparagraphs B and E of the Controlled Substances Act relate to distributors. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And Subsection B or Subparagraph B relates to Schedule I, Schedule II controlled substances, right? A. Yes. Q. And then Subparagraph E relates to Schedule III, IV and V substances, correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, within the Controlled Substances Act, and in particular those those subparagraphs the words "know your customer" does not appear, correct? A. The words "know your customer" is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	subparagraphs B and E, do you see "site inspections" or "site visits"? A. No. It's not I I'm I'm sure it's not within this section of the act. Q. The words "do not shift," they're not in the Controlled Substances Act in sections B and E, are they? A. No. Q. Does the Controlled Substances Act expressly say that registrants must conduct an independent analysis of suspicious orders prior to completing a sale to determine whether the controlled substances are likely to be diverted from legitimate channels? A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question one more time. MS. MAINIGI: Could you read it back, please. (The record was read as requested.) THE WITNESS: No. It's not expressly outlined in the Controlled Substances Act. But it falls under maintenance, effective controls against diversion.

Page 529 Page 531 1 Substances Act? 1 a binder full of information. 2 A. No. But to maintain effective 2 Q. And that binder full of information 3 controls against diversion, there are steps you 3 set out particular requirements; is that right? 4 have to take or -- you know, so if you're not 4 A. I wasn't at -- I wasn't at the 5 monitoring your customers, if you're not doing 5 meetings. But from what I understood, it -due diligence, if you're not doing on-site 6 everything that was put in those letter was 7 inspections, if you're not doing anything like 7 just a reflection of what came out of those 8 that, you're not truly maintaining effective 8 meetings. 9 9 controls against diversion. And if we have the binder -- I don't 10 Q. And what you just said, where is 10 have the binders handy. But if I had the 11 that written down? binders, I -- I could look through and find it. 11 12 A. It was -- it's not in the Act. But 12 But the binders basically discuss --13 it was reinforced in our meetings and our or those meetings discussed what due diligence 13 14 letters with the industry and in the other 14 was, what a suspicious order was, and what the 15 on-site inspections that were done and our case 15 requirements were. 16 law that was established through the O. So let's leave aside the binder. We 16 17 administrative proceedings. 17 can --18 Q. So all of that stuff, the letters 18 A. Uh-huh. 19 you're referring to, those were the letters 19 Q. -- pick up on the binder later. 20 that Mr. Lanier showed you? 20 Prior to the fall of 2005 and since 21 A. Yes. 21 1970, where were the requirements you spoke of 22 And those were letters from the end 22 written down somewhere and given to 23 of 2006, end of 2007; is that right? 23 distributors? 24 A. Yes. 24 A. I don't recall any -- any type of 25 O. And the Controlled Substances Act 25 document or guidance document where the Page 530 Page 532 1 was passed when? distributors were told to do certain things 1 2 In the '70s. 2 prior to 2005 that were related to maintaining 3 So prior --3 effective controls against diversion. 4 A. Early '70s. 4 Q. Now, let's turn to Exhibit 10. That 5 I'm sorry. 5 O. is the Code of Federal Regulations. 6 A. Yeah. 6 Can you explain what the Code of 7 Q. Prior to those letters, where are 7 Federal Regulations is, just generally? 8 the requirements you spoke of written down 8 A. Code of Federal Regulations is a 9 somewhere? 9 more detailed -- more detailed regulation 10 regarding certain statutory provisions. So if A. It's -- again, it's part of due 10 diligence. It's not -- it's not written in the 11 11 a statute is passed, Congress might say in the Act, but it's part of their due diligence 12 12 statute and any regulation that DEA promulgates 13 obligations. It's been developed over time. 13 pursuant to this -- or DEA must promulgate 14 O. So it's not written in the Act, 14 regulations pursuant to this section. 15 right? 15 And what the regulations are are 16 A. It's not in the Act. 16 just that. It's a more detailed analysis of 17 Was there some other sort of 17 what the statute is. guidance or document? 18 18 Q. So you've got the Controlled 19 Is that what you referred to got 19 Substances Act, which is the statute. 20 written down in prior to 2006? 20 A. Yes. 21 Well, the meetings in 2005. 21 Q. And then you've got the C.F.R. 22 Q. Was there a handout provided to provision related to the Controlled Substances 22 23 distributors that had those requirements on it? 23 Act, which provides more detail about what to 24 A. If I remember correctly, the 24 do. 25 distributors -- distributors were provided with 25 Fair?

	Page 533		Page 535
1	A. Yes.	1	Rannazzisi.
2	Q. So let's take a look at Exhibit 10,	2	Actually, I I apologize. Why
3	the the C.F.R. provision.	3	don't you put it back in front of you for one
4	You're familiar with this	4	moment.
5	regulation, obviously based on your work in	5	A. Okay.
6	antidiversion, correct?	6	Q. How does this regulation, the C.F.R.
7	A. Yes.	7	provision, define a suspicious order?
8	Q. And specifically what section of the	8	A. An order of unusual size, orders
9	C.F.R. is this provision from?	9	deviating substantially from a normal pattern,
10	A. The security requirements under	10	and orders of unusual frequency.
11	Section 1301.	11	Q. The phrase "unusual size," does this
12	Q. 1301, and it's specifically 1301.74;	12	regulation do anything more to explain what
13	is	13	"unusual size" could mean?
14	A. Yes.	14	A. The regulation is "of unusual size."
15	Q that right?	15	That's all it says.
16	Okay. Now, does this does this	16	Q. So it doesn't do anything to further
17	regulation set forth the obligation to design	17	explain what "unusual size" means, correct?
18	and operate a system to disclose to the	18	A. No. That was explained in the
19	registrant suspicious orders of controlled	19	meetings and the letters that we sent out. The
20	substances?	20	meetings in 2005 that we had face to face with
21	A. Yes.	21	each individual distributor, the big three, and
22	Q. And where is that?	22	then some of the others; as well as the letters
23	A. 1301.74(b).	23	that we sent out to every manufacturing,
24	Q. So that's where the obligation to	24	distribution entity in the United States.
25	design and operate a system to disclose	25	Q. Well, we went over last time so I
	Page 534		Page 536
1	suspicious orders comes from.	1	won't belabor it
1 2		1	Woll t belabol it
2	Fair?	2	A. Uh-huh.
3	A. Yes.		A. Uh-huh.Q where "unusual size" was
	A. Yes.Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does	2 3 4	A. Uh-huh.Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications.
3	A. Yes.Q. Okay. Now, in that section, doesthe word or words "know your customer" appear?	2 3 4 5	A. Uh-huh.Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications.Do you remember that?
3 4	A. Yes.Q. Okay. Now, in that section, doesthe word or words "know your customer" appear?A. No, ma'am.	2 3 4 5 6	A. Uh-huh.Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications.Do you remember that?A. Uh-huh.
3 4 5	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear 	2 3 4 5	 A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size,"
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. A. No, ma'am. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer questionnaire" appear in this regulation? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings? A. That's right.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer questionnaire" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings? A. That's right. Q. Okay. But Mr. Mapes and Mr. Wright,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer questionnaire" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings? A. That's right. Q. Okay. But Mr. Mapes and Mr. Wright, they attend a lot of the distributor briefers,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer questionnaire" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "electronic order monitoring system" appear in this regulation? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings? A. That's right. Q. Okay. But Mr. Mapes and Mr. Wright, they attend a lot of the distributor briefers, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer questionnaire" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "electronic order monitoring system" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. A. No, ma'am. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings? A. That's right. Q. Okay. But Mr. Mapes and Mr. Wright, they attend a lot of the distributor briefers, correct? A. Yes. They ran the distributor
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer questionnaire" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "electronic order monitoring system" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "do not ship" appear in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings? A. That's right. Q. Okay. But Mr. Mapes and Mr. Wright, they attend a lot of the distributor briefers, correct? A. Yes. They ran the distributor briefings
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer questionnaire" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "electronic order monitoring system" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "do not ship" appear in this regulation? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings? A. That's right. Q. Okay. But Mr. Mapes and Mr. Wright, they attend a lot of the distributor briefers, correct? A. Yes. They ran the distributor briefings Q. Okay. And
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer questionnaire" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "electronic order monitoring system" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "do not ship" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings? A. That's right. Q. Okay. But Mr. Mapes and Mr. Wright, they attend a lot of the distributor briefers, correct? A. Yes. They ran the distributor briefings Q. Okay. And A for a while, yeah.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer questionnaire" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "electronic order monitoring system" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "do not ship" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the word "dispensing data" appear 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings? A. That's right. Q. Okay. But Mr. Mapes and Mr. Wright, they attend a lot of the distributor briefers, correct? A. Yes. They ran the distributor briefings Q. Okay. And A for a while, yeah. Q. I'm sorry.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer questionnaire" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "electronic order monitoring system" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "do not ship" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the word "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings? A. That's right. Q. Okay. But Mr. Mapes and Mr. Wright, they attend a lot of the distributor briefers, correct? A. Yes. They ran the distributor briefings Q. Okay. And A for a while, yeah. Q. I'm sorry. And and to the extent then they
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, in that section, does the word or words "know your customer" appear? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "due diligence" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "dispensing data" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "customer questionnaire" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "electronic order monitoring system" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the words "do not ship" appear in this regulation? A. No, ma'am. Q. Do the word "dispensing data" appear 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Uh-huh. Q where "unusual size" was appearing in the various communications. Do you remember that? A. Uh-huh. Q. And with respect to "unusual size," I think I think well, I think you told me that you only attend one of the distributor briefings, right? A. It was a follow-up briefing. Q. So it wasn't one of the original briefings? A. That's right. Q. Okay. But Mr. Mapes and Mr. Wright, they attend a lot of the distributor briefers, correct? A. Yes. They ran the distributor briefings Q. Okay. And A for a while, yeah. Q. I'm sorry.

	Page 537		Page 539
1	of what actually got said in those meetings.	1	years
2	Fair?	2	A. Uh-huh.
3	A. No. There were other people in	3	Q and then all of a sudden it
4	those meetings. But I think consistently they	4	bumped up into the 20,000 range or the
5	were the ones who were in each each meeting.	5	A. Uh-huh.
6	Q. Okay. And I think you told me that	6	Q 50,000 range. And then it bumped
7	you did not typically, in any interactions you	7	up to the 100,000 range.
8	had with distributors or registrants, define	8	Do you recall that
9	yourself what "unusual size" meant, correct?	9	A. Yes.
10	A. No. I I personally didn't have	10	Q testimony?
11	any contact with them discussing that. That	11	And you defined the jump all of a
12	was done through the liaison and policy section	12	sudden from 5,000 to 20,000 as perhaps an order
13	or the E-commerce section, the sections that	13	of unusual size, correct?
14	handled requests or questions from the	14	A. Yes.
15	industry.	15	Q. And that was a pretty
16	Q. And I think you told me last time	16	straightforward example, in your mind, right?
17	that there was not necessarily some sort of	17	A. Yes.
18	internal set of talking points that allowed	18	Q. But you also acknowledged that there
19	folks within DEA to further define the term	19	could be circumstances that were a bit less
20	"unusual size," correct?	20	straightforward, correct?
21	A. Those questions went to E-commerce	21	A. I don't recall that. I said that in
22	or liaison and policy. And they were the	22	some cases you it could be a crossover
23	people who were running the meetings. So I	23	between two of the three. So an unusual size
24	I I think they just ran off exactly what	24	and an unusual frequency could cross over
25	they were telling the distributors during the	25	together, so
	Page 538		Page 540
1	meetings.	1	Q. Well, you agree with me that, in
2	Q. But there was no guidebook or	2	some instances, it's harder to define what
3	playbook that they could refer to that allowed	3	unusual size is, correct, and to determine
4	them to specifically define "unusual size" a	4	whether unusual size has been met?
5	certain way, right?	5	A. No. I don't if you know your
6	A. I don't believe there was any	6	customer, you should know what an unusual size
7	guidebook. Yes. No.	7	is.
8	Q. Did you ever think about putting one	8	Q. So it can vary from vary to customer
9	together?	9	what an unusual size is.
1			
10	A. No. We thought it was pretty	10	Fair?
10 11	straightforward. An unusual size is just that.	10 11	
10 11 12	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that.	11 12	Fair?
10 11 12 13	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave	11 12 13	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example.
10 11 12 13 14	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave me an example of unusual size.	11 12 13 14	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example. A. Uh-huh.
10 11 12 13 14 15	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave me an example of unusual size. A. Uh-huh.	11 12 13 14 15	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example. A. Uh-huh. Q. 5,000 Hydrocodone a month.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave me an example of unusual size. A. Uh-huh. Q. You gave me probably several	11 12 13 14 15 16	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example. A. Uh-huh. Q. 5,000 Hydrocodone a month. Would an order the following month
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave me an example of unusual size. A. Uh-huh. Q. You gave me probably several examples of unusual size.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example. A. Uh-huh. Q. 5,000 Hydrocodone a month. Would an order the following month of 10,000 be suspicious?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave me an example of unusual size. A. Uh-huh. Q. You gave me probably several examples of unusual size. Do you remember that?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example. A. Uh-huh. Q. 5,000 Hydrocodone a month. Would an order the following month of 10,000 be suspicious? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Incomplete
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave me an example of unusual size. A. Uh-huh. Q. You gave me probably several examples of unusual size. Do you remember that? A. Yes.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example. A. Uh-huh. Q. 5,000 Hydrocodone a month. Would an order the following month of 10,000 be suspicious? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Incomplete hypothetical.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave me an example of unusual size. A. Uh-huh. Q. You gave me probably several examples of unusual size. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And one of those examples, as I	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example. A. Uh-huh. Q. 5,000 Hydrocodone a month. Would an order the following month of 10,000 be suspicious? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Incomplete hypothetical. THE WITNESS: I would say it should
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave me an example of unusual size. A. Uh-huh. Q. You gave me probably several examples of unusual size. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And one of those examples, as I recall it, was a pharmacy that had been	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example. A. Uh-huh. Q. 5,000 Hydrocodone a month. Would an order the following month of 10,000 be suspicious? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Incomplete hypothetical. THE WITNESS: I would say it should trigger a questions. If you've gone from
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave me an example of unusual size. A. Uh-huh. Q. You gave me probably several examples of unusual size. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And one of those examples, as I recall it, was a pharmacy that had been ordering 5,000 pills of Hydrocodone every	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example. A. Uh-huh. Q. 5,000 Hydrocodone a month. Would an order the following month of 10,000 be suspicious? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Incomplete hypothetical. THE WITNESS: I would say it should trigger a questions. If you've gone from 5,000 to 10,000, you've doubled your purchases.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave me an example of unusual size. A. Uh-huh. Q. You gave me probably several examples of unusual size. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And one of those examples, as I recall it, was a pharmacy that had been ordering 5,000 pills of Hydrocodone every month	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example. A. Uh-huh. Q. 5,000 Hydrocodone a month. Would an order the following month of 10,000 be suspicious? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Incomplete hypothetical. THE WITNESS: I would say it should trigger a questions. If you've gone from 5,000 to 10,000, you've doubled your purchases. I think that should trigger some questions,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	straightforward. An unusual size is just that. An unusual frequency or is just that. Q. So when we met last time, you gave me an example of unusual size. A. Uh-huh. Q. You gave me probably several examples of unusual size. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And one of those examples, as I recall it, was a pharmacy that had been ordering 5,000 pills of Hydrocodone every	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Fair? A. Within reason. Q. So let's let's take your 5,000 example. A. Uh-huh. Q. 5,000 Hydrocodone a month. Would an order the following month of 10,000 be suspicious? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Incomplete hypothetical. THE WITNESS: I would say it should trigger a questions. If you've gone from 5,000 to 10,000, you've doubled your purchases.

diligence might, in fact, reveal good reasons 2 dissemination techniques whose the 2 diligence might, in fact, reveal good reasons 3 for that bump from 5,000 to 10,000 or not. Fair? 5 A. It - again, it's a hypothetical. I don't know exactly what the reason is. I - flike like the distributors, it's their customer, it's their business decision. They have to look at it, perform due diligence and make that decision whether it's appropriate or 1 not. 2 Q. What what can you imagine could 3 be a reason that a bump from 5,000 to 10,000 after some due diligence might be legitimate and not cause for concern? M. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I I wouldn't know. I mean in each in each instance is different. It's fact-specific on what they're doing at the time. 2 So no. I couldn't answer that. I - I would have to see a particular set of facts. If have to look at all the information that's available to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could Page 542 make a decision. Page 542 make a decision. Page 542 make a decision. Page 544				
diligence might, in fact, reveal good reasons for that bump from 5,000 to 10,000 or not. Fair? A. It again, it's a hypothetical. I odon't know exactly what the reason is. I-like like the distributors, it's their usiness decision. They have to look at it, perform due diligence and make that decision whether it's appropriate or not. Q. What what can you imagine could after some due diligence might be legitimate and not cause for concern? MR. BENNITT: Objection. Vague. Imean in each in each instance is different. It's fact-specific on what they're doing at the time. The WITNESS: I I wouldn't know. Imake a decision. The WITNESS: I I wouldn't know. The WITNESS: I I would wate an expectation that the bear a wailable to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could that's awailable to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could that's awailable to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could the know and the would a would water and the would water	1	Page 541	1	Page 543
for that bump from 5,000 to 10,000 or not. Fair? A. It again, it's a hypothetical. I don't know exactly what the reason is. I like the distributors, it's their customer; it's their business decision. They have to look at it, perform due diligence and make that decision whether it's appropriate or not. Q. What what can you imagine could be a reason that a bump from 5,000 to 10,000 after some due diligence might be legitimate and not cause for concern? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I I wouldn't know. I mean in each in each instance is different. It's fact-specific on what they're doing at the time. So no. I couldn't answer that. I I would have to see a particular set of facts. It have to look at all the information that's available to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could that answer that question just looking at the pure numbers? A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's my customer, I should know all of this stuff. Page 542 THE WITNESS: Leart tell you how the information is followed up because I'm prohibitive from doing so. THE WITNESS: I can't tell you how the information is followed up because I'm prohibitive from doing so. PW Well, it's fair to say that DEA followed up on reports of suspicious orders, a right? A. DEA would follow up on suspicious orders, yeah. Ye So a company that report a suspicious could have an expectation that the DEA would do some follow-up on that suspicious order mexperiation that the DEA would on some follow-up on that suspicious order. Page 542 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Soppe. What would objection. Soppe. THE WITNESS: DEA would follow up on suspicious orders, reported? A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" The pharmaci		•		
4 Fair? 5 A. It again, it's a hypothetical. I 6 don't know exactly what the reason is. I 1 like like the distributors, it's their 7 customer; it's their business decision. They 9 have to look at it, perform due diligence and 10 make that decision whether it's appropriate or 1 11 not. 12 Q. What what can you imagine could 13 be a reason that a bump from 5,000 to 10,000 14 after some due diligence might be legitimate and not cause for concern? 16 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. 17 THE WITNESS: I I wouldn't know. 18 I mean in each in each instance is different. It's fact-specific on what they're doing at the time. 19 different. It's fact-specific on what they're doing at the time. 20 a company that report a suspicious orders, yeah. 21 So no. I couldn't answer that. 22 I would have to see a particular set of facts. I'd have to look at all the information that's available to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could 10 make a decision. 21 Page 542 22 But just hypothetically, no, I couldn't answer that question just looking at the pure numbers? 22 But just looking at the pure numbers? 23 A. A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 24 I would document everything. I would make sure that, if if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to refer the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 25 I would document everything. I would make sure that, if if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA, would a field investigator go from DEA and go do some further follow-up on that order? 26 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for speculation. Objection. Scope. 27 Son A. A and on't it's suspicious order was followed up on reports of suspicious orders, rejbrility from doing so. The probability from doing so. The probabi			1	
South know exactly what the reason is. I like like the distributors, it's their sustemer; it's their business decision. They have to look at it, perform due diligence and make that decision whether it's appropriate or not. A water of look at it, perform due diligence and make that decision whether it's appropriate or not. Q. What what can you imagine could be a reason that a bump from 5,000 to 10,000 after some due diligence might be legitimate and not cause for concern? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I - I wouldn't know. If different. It's fact-specific on what they're doing at the time. Q. So no. I couldn't answer that. I - I would have to see a particular set of facts. I'd have to look at all the information that's available to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could Page 542 MR. BENNETT: Objection to form. Page 542 Sorry. THE WITNESS: DEA would follow up on DEA would follow up on DEA would follow up on Page 542 Sorry. THE WITNESS: DEA would follow up on DEA would fol				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6 don't know exactly what the reason is. 1—7 7 like — like he distributors, it's their 2 customer; it's their business decision. They 3 have to look at it, perform due diligence and 4 make that decision whether it's appropriate or 10 not. 10 not. 11 not. 12 Q. What — what can you imagine could 3 be a reason that a bump from 5,000 to 10,000 after some due diligence might be legitimate 15 and not cause for concern? 16 MR, BENNETT: Objection. Vague. 17 THE WITNESS: I—I wouldn't know. 17 HE WITNESS: I—I wouldn't know. 17 HE WITNESS: I—I wouldn't know. 18 different. It's fact-specific on what they're 20 doing at the time. 19 different. It's fact-specific on what they're 20 doing at the time. 20 The would have to look at all the information that's available to me for that one registrant 25 that's making the purchase. And then I could 10 make a decision. 20 But just hypothetically, no, I 20 But just hypothetically, no, I 21 make a decision. 21 make a decision. 22 But in a but purchase. And then I could 10 make at decision. 24 By MS. MAINIGI: 25 Q. You couldn't answer that question 26 just looking at the pure numbers? 27 A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that — it's 9 my customer. If it's my customer, I should 10 know all of this stuff: 11 they harmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 11 would document everything. I would make sure there's documents showing why I did release 12 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to 17 ensure that DEA has that information. 17 not make and they prove the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 18 purchased they are the pharmacist. Twelf decision. 20 make and they are the pharmacist. Twelf decision. 21 the pharmacist. Twelf decision and the province of			1	
tike — like the distributors, it's their customer; it's their business decision. They have to look at it, perform due diligence and make that decision whether it's appropriate or not. Q. What — what can you imagine could after some due diligence might be legitimate and not cause for concern? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I — I wouldn't know. It mean in each — in each instance is different. It's fact-specific on what they're doing at the time. So no. I couldn't answer that. I — I would have to see a particular set of facts. It's dhave to look at all the information is followed up on reports of suspicious orders, right? A. DEA would follow up on suspicious orders, yeah. DEA would follow up on suspicious orders, yeah. Q. So a company that report a suspicious could have an expectation that the DEA would do some follow-up on that suspicious order reporting that they made, correct? MR. BENNETT: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: DEA would — MR. BENNETT: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: DEA would follow up on that suspicious order. But i — I don't understand what — Page 542 The was a decision. Page 542 The with DEA, would a field in recrease this?" A. Again, I would have to look at the sparmacy, where it's situated. Is that — it's my customer, I should Tid — I'd want to go and talk to there's documents showing why I did release that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, if if's suspicious, I file it with DEA to ensure that DEA has that information. The WITNESS: The DEA position is that they would follow up on all suspicious orders was followed up on the prohibitive from DEA and go do some further follow—up on that order? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for speculation. Objection. Scope. When the information is followed up because I'm prohibitive from doing so. BY MS. MAINIGI: A. DEA would dolow up on suspicious orders negotious order follow—up on that suspicious order make a suspicious order. BUE would a field investigator go for my DEA and go do some further follow—up on that order?				
secustomer; it's their business decision. They have to look at it, perform due diligence and make that decision whether it's appropriate or not. Q. What what can you imagine could abe a reason that a bump from 5,000 to 10,000 to 10,		*	1	
have to look at it, perform due diligence and make that decision whether it's appropriato or not. Q. What what can you imagine could after some due diligence might be legitimate and not cause for concern? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I - I wouldn't know. THE WITNESS: I - I wouldn't know. THE WITNESS: I - I wouldn't know. The word of facts. I'd have to look at all the information duat's available to me for that one registrant that's available to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could be will answer that question. The word of the word of the surprise of the surprise of the surprise of the surprise of the suspicious orders. The word of the surprise of the suspicious could have an expectation that the suspicious could have an expectation that the portange of the suspicious could have an expectation that the suspicious could have an expectation that the portange of the suspicious could have an expectation that the portange of the word of the suspicious could have an expectation that the suspicious could have an expectation that the portange of the word of the suspicious could have an expectation that the portange of the word of the suspicious could have an expectation that the suspicious could have an expectation that the portange of the word of the suspicious could have an expectation that the portange of the word of the suspicious could have an expectation that the portange of the word of the word of the word of the suspicious could have an expectation that the portange of the word of the wo			1	
make that decision whether it's appropriate or not. Q. What what can you imagine could be a reason that a bump from 5,000 to 10,000 after some due diligence might be legitimate and not cause for concern? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I - I wouldn't know. The doing at the time. The world have to see a particular set of facts. I'd have to look at all the information that's available to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could that's making the purchase that question just looking at the pure numbers? A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" Jer - I'd want to go and talk to the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" Jer - I'd want to go and talk to the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" Jer - I'd want to go and talk to the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" Jer - I'd want to go and talk to the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" Jer - I'd want to go and talk to the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" Jer - I'd want to go and talk to the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" Jer - I'd want to go and talk to the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" Jer - I'd want to go and talk to the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" Jer - I'd wa			1	
11 not. 12 Q. What what can you imagine could 12 after some due diligence might be legitimate 13 after some due diligence might be legitimate 14 after some due diligence might be legitimate 15 and not cause for concern? 16 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. 17 THE WITNESS: I I wouldn't know. 18 I mean in each in each instance is 18 different. It's fact-specific on what they're 19 different. It's fact-specific on what they're 20 doing at the time. 20 doing at the time. 21 So no. I couldn't answer that. I 22 I would have to see a particular set of 23 facts. I'd have to look at all the information 24 that's available to me for that one registrant 25 that's making the purchase. And then I could 25 that's making the purchase. And then I could 25 that's making the purchase. And then I could 26 that's available to me for that one registrant 27 that's making the purchase. And then I could 28 that's making the purchase. And then I could 27 that's making the purchase. And then I could 28 that's making the purchase. And then I could 28 that's making the purchase. And then I could 29 that's available to me for that one registrant 29 that's available to me for that one registrant 29 that's available to me for that one registrant 20 that's available to me for that one registrant 21 that's making the purchase. And then I could 25 that's making the purchase. And then I could 27 that's making the purchase. And then I could 28 that's available to me for that one registrant 29 that's available to me for that one registrant 20 that's available to me for that one registrant 21 that's making the purchase. And then I could 25 that's available to me for that one registrant 27 that's available to me for that one registrant 28 that's available to me for that one registrant 29 that's available to me for that one registrant 20 that's available to me for that one registrant 21 that's available to me for that one registrant 22 that's available to			1	<u>*</u>
Q. What what can you imagine could be a reason that a bump from 5,000 to 10,000 and after some due diligence might be legitimate and not cause for concern? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I I wouldn't know. Is and in the cach in each instance is different. It's fact-specific on what they're doing at the time. So no. I couldn't answer that. I I would have to see a particular set of facts. I'd have to look at all the information that's available to me for that one registrant tat's making the purchase. And then I could that's available to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could by the subjection of the suspicious order. Page 542 make a decision. But just hypothetically, no, I so couldn't answer that question. By MS. MAINIGI: Q. You couldn't answer that question just looking at the pure numbers? A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's my customer, I should know all of this stuff. I red - I'd want to go and talk to the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" I would odocument everything. I would make sure there's documents showing why I did release the there's documents showing why I did release that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, if if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA, would a filed investigator go from DEA and go do some further follow-up on that suspicious orders was followed up on. Q. And so if it's suspicious and you increase this?" I what they would follow up on suspicious orders, yeah. Q. And so if it's suspicious and you increase this?" I would a fell investigator go from DEA and go do some further follow-up on that suspicious orders was followed up on. By MS. MAINIGI: Q. My question was slightly more nuanced. Did the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders was followed up on. By MS. MAINIGI: Q. My question was slightly more nuanced. Did the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders was followed up on. Here The Witness in I would follow up on suspicious orders was followed up				•
be a reason that a bump from 5,000 to 10,000 after some due diligence might be legitimate and not cause for concern? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I I wouldn't know. I mean in each in each instance is different. It's fact-specific on what they're doing at the time. So no. I couldn't answer that. I I would have to see a particular set of facts. I'd have to look at all the information that's making the purchase. And then I could that's available to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase and then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a by that's making the purchase. And then I could a branch that's making the purchase. And then I could a branch that's making the purchase. And then I could a branch that's making the purchase. And then I could a branch that's making the purchase. And then I could a branch that's making the purchase. And then I could a branch that's making the purchase. And then I could a branch that's making the purchase. And then I could a branch that's making the purchase. And then I could a branch that's making the purchase. And then I could a branch that's making the purchase. And then I could a branch that's making the purchase. And then I could a bra			1	
after some due diligence might be legitimate and not cause for concern? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I I wouldn't know. I mean in each in each instance is different. It's fact-specific on what they're doing at the time. So no. I couldn't answer that. I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15 and not cause for concern? 16 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. 17 THE WITNESS: I I wouldn't know. 18 I mean in each in each instance is 19 different. It's fact-specific on what they're 20 doing at the time. 21 So no. I couldn't answer that. I 22 I would have to see a particular set of facts. I'd have to look at all the information that's available to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could 25 But just hypothetically, no, I 26 Ouldn't answer that question. 27 But just hypothetically, no, I 28 But just hypothetically, no, I 39 couldn't answer that question. 40 BY MS. MAINIGI: 41 BY MS. MAINIGI: 42 A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's my customer. If it's my customer, I should look wall of this stuff. 31 I would document everything. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or off off off off off off off off off				
16 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I I wouldn't know. 18 I mean in each in each instance is 3 different. It's fact-specific on what they're 3 doing at the time. 20 doing at the time. 21 So no. I couldn't answer that. I 22 I would have to see a particular set of 4 facts. I'd have to look at all the information 24 that's available to me for that one registrant 25 that's making the purchase. And then I could 25 make a decision. 26 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 27 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 28 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 29 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 20 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 21 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 22 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 23 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 24 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 25 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 26 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 27 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 28 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 29 Mr. Bennett': Objection to form. 29 Mr. Bennett': And scope. 29 Mr. Bennett': Objection to form. 29 Mr. Bennett': Objection form. 29 Mr. Benn				•
THE WITNESS: I — I wouldn't know. I mean in each — in each instance is different. It's fact-specific on what they're doing at the time. So no. I couldn't answer that. I	16	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Vague.	16	
18	17	THE WITNESS: I I wouldn't know.	17	· · ·
doing at the time. 1	18	I mean in each in each instance is	18	
So no. I couldn't answer that. I 21	19	different. It's fact-specific on what they're	19	DEA would do some follow-up on that suspicious
22 I would have to see a particular set of 23 facts. I'd have to look at all the information 24 that's available to me for that one registrant 25 that's making the purchase. And then I could 26	20	doing at the time.	20	order reporting that they made, correct?
23 facts. I'd have to look at all the information that's available to me for that one registrant 25 that's making the purchase. And then I could 25 that's making the purchase. And then I could 26 make a decision. 2 But just hypothetically, no, I 2 2 But just hypothetically, no, I 3 couldn't answer that question. 4 BY MS. MAINIGI: 4 guess I'm not catching what what the question is 10 just looking at the pure numbers? 4 A. Again, I would have to look at the 8 pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's 9 my customer. If it's my customer, I should 10 know all of this stuff. 11 I'd I'd want to go and talk to 12 the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 13 I would document everything. I would make sure 14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that there that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 10 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 22 you are not authorized to disclose 24 MR. MAINIGI: 25 Sorry. THE WITNESS: DEA would follow up on 24 Sorry. THE WITNESS: DEA would follow up on 25 Sorry. THE WITNESS: DEA would follow up on 26 Sorry. THE WITNESS: DEA would follow up on 27 what would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not catching what what the question is. 3 what would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not catching what what the question is. 4 guestion is. 5 What would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not catching what what the question is. 5 What would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not catching what what the question is. 5 What would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not catching what what the question is. 5 What would he DEA follow up on all suspicious orders reported? 9 A. The MR. BENNETT: Objection. 5 Popt. 11 Objection. Sopp. 12 What would he DEA follow up on suspicious orders, yes. 12 What would all the propo		So no. I couldn't answer that. I	21	MR. BENNETT: Objection to form.
that's available to me for that one registrant that's making the purchase. And then I could Page 542 make a decision. But just hypothetically, no, I couldn't answer that question. BYMS. MAINIGI: Q. You couldn't answer that question just looking at the pure numbers? A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's my customer. If it's my customer, I should know all of this stuff. I I I'd I'd want to go and talk to the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" I would document everything. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or ensure that DEA has that information. Q. And so if it's suspicious and you file it with DEA, would a field investigator go from DEA and go do some further follow-up on that order? You are not authorized to disclose And then I could the suspicious order. But I I don't understand what what would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not eatching what what the question is. Ry MS. MAINIGI: Q. Would the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders reported? A. The MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: DEA would follow up on Mant assupicious order. Ry Mat Would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not eatching what what the question is. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: The DEA position is that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. THE WITNESS: The DEA position is that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. MR. BENNETT: Objection. THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell you if every suspicious order was followed up on. BY MS. MAINIGI: THE WITNESS: DEA would of I'm what I'm what would the company I'm just not I guestion is. Ry MS. MAINIGI: Do Would the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders reported? A. The Do Would the DEA follow up on suspicious orders, yes. THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell you if every suspicious order was followed up on. THE WITNESS: I I could				THE WITNESS: DEA would
that's making the purchase. And then I could Page 542 make a decision. But just hypothetically, no, I BYMS. MAINIGI: Q. You couldn't answer that question just looking at the pure numbers? A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's my customer. If it's my customer, I should know all of this stuff. Tid I'd want to go and talk to the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" I would document everything. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or ensure that DEA has that information. Q. And so if it's suspicious and you file it with DEA, would a field investigator go from DEA and go do some further follow-up on that order? You are not authorized to disclose THE WITNESS: DEA would follow up on Page 542 the suspicious order. But I I don't understand what what the suspicious order. But I I don't understand what what the question is the suspicious order. But I I don't understand what what the guess I'm not catching what what the question is. By MS. MAINIGI: Q. Would the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders reported? A. The MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: The DEA position is that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. By MS. MAINIGI: Q. My question was slightly more nuanced. Did the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders that were reported to it? MR. BENNETT: Objection. THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell you if every suspicious order was followed up on.			1	MR. BENNETT: And scope.
Page 542 I make a decision. But just hypothetically, no, I Couldn't answer that question. By MS. MAINIGI: Q. You couldn't answer that question just looking at the pure numbers? A. Again, I would have to look at the spharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's my customer. If it's my customer, I should know all of this stuff. Ither's documents showing why I did release there's documents showing why I did release there's documents showing why I did release that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, or sit if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to ensure that DEA has that information. Q. And so if it's suspicious and you file it with DEA, would a field investigator go from DEA and go do some further follow-up on that order? You are not authorized to disclose Page 544 the suspicious order. But I I don't understand what what would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not catching what what the question is. BY MS. MAINIGI: A. Again, I would have to look at the suspicious orders reported? A. The MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: The DEA position is that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. My question was slightly more nuanced. Did the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders that were reported to it? MR. BENNETT: Objection. THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell you if every suspicious order was followed up on. BY MS. MAINIGI:		-	1	
1 make a decision. 2 But just hypothetically, no, I 3 couldn't answer that question. 4 BY MS. MAINIGI: 5 Q. You couldn't answer that question 6 just looking at the pure numbers? 7 A. Again, I would have to look at the 8 pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's 9 my customer. If it's my customer, I should 10 know all of this stuff. 11 I'd I'd want to go and talk to 12 the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 13 I would document everything. I would make sure 14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that DEA has that information. 16 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 17 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 18 Q. And so go do some further follow-up on 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 1 the suspicious order. 2 But I I don't understand what 3 what would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not catching what what the question is. 8 BY MS. MAINIGI: 9 A. The 4 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 10 Objection. Scope. 11 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 12 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 13 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 G. Wy question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:	25	that's making the purchase. And then I could	25	THE WITNESS: DEA would follow up on
But just hypothetically, no, I couldn't answer that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. You couldn't answer that question just looking at the pure numbers? A. Again, I would have to look at the pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's my customer. If it's my customer, I should know all of this stuff. I'd I'd want to go and talk to lithe pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" I would document everything. I would make sure there's documents showing why I did release that or why I didn't. I would make sure that DEA has that information. Q. And so if it's suspicious and you file it with DEA, would a field investigator go from DEA and go do some further follow-up on that order? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for speculation. Objection. Scope. You are not authorized to disclose 2 But I I don't understand what what would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not catching what what the guestion is. BY MS. MAINIGI: 7 Q. Would the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders, yes. 12 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 14 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. My question was slightly more nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders that were reported to it? MR. BENNETT: Objection. THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell you if every suspicious order was followed up on.		=		
3 couldn't answer that question. 4 BY MS. MAINIGI: 5 Q. You couldn't answer that question 6 just looking at the pure numbers? 7 A. Again, I would have to look at the 8 pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's 9 my customer. If it's my customer, I should 10 know all of this stuff. 11 I'd I'd want to go and talk to 12 the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 13 I would document everything. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or that DEA has that information. 16 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 17 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 18 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 19 Grom DEA and go do some further follow-up on 20 that order? 21 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for speculation. Objection. Scope. 23 You are not authorized to disclose 24 You are not authorized to disclose 25 what would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not catching what what the question is. 26 BY MS. MAINIGI: 27 Q. Would the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders reported? 28 what would the company I'm just not I guess I'm not catching what what the question is. 29 guestion is. 20 BY MS. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 21 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. 28 BY MS. MAINIGI: 29 A. The 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection is that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. 21 Did the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders that were reported to it? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 23 Suspicious orders that were reported to it? 24 WITNESS: I I couldn't tell you if every suspicious order was followed up on. 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:			1	
4 BY MS. MAINIGI: 5 Q. You couldn't answer that question 6 just looking at the pure numbers? 7 A. Again, I would have to look at the 8 pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's 9 my customer. If it's my customer, I should 10 know all of this stuff. 11 I'd I'd want to go and talk to 12 the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 13 I would document everything. I would make sure 14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to 17 ensure that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 10 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 11 that order? 12 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 13 Lyould a field investigator go 14 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 15 that order? 16 BY MS. MAINIGI: 17 Q. Would the DEA follow up on all 18 suspicious orders reported? 10 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 11 Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 13 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 Q. My question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 19 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:			1	
5 Q. You couldn't answer that question 6 just looking at the pure numbers? 7 A. Again, I would have to look at the 8 pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's 9 my customer. If it's my customer, I should 10 know all of this stuff. 11 I'd I'd want to go and talk to 12 the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 13 I would document everything. I would make sure 14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that or why I didn't. I would make sure that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 10 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 11 that order? 12 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 13 speculation. Objection. Scope. 14 you are not authorized to disclose 15 question is. 6 BY MS. MAINIGI: 7 Q. Would the DEA follow up on all 8 suspicious orders reported? 9 A. The 10 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 11 Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 13 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 Q. My question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:		<u>*</u>	1	
6 just looking at the pure numbers? 7 A. Again, I would have to look at the 8 pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's 9 my customer. If it's my customer, I should 10 know all of this stuff. 11 I'd I'd want to go and talk to 12 the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 13 I would document everything. I would make sure 14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, 16 if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to 17 ensure that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 6 BY MS. MAINIGI: 7 Q. Would the DEA follow up on all 8 suspicious orders reported? 9 A. The 10 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 11 Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 13 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 Q. My question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 17 Q. Would the DEA follow up on all 28 suspicious orders that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 Q. My question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:			1	
A. Again, I would have to look at the 8 pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's 9 my customer. If it's my customer, I should 10 know all of this stuff. 11 I'd I'd want to go and talk to 12 the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 13 I would document everything. I would make sure 14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, 16 if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to 17 ensure that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 7 Q. Would the DEA follow up on all 8 suspicious orders reported? 9 A. The 10 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 11 Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 13 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 Q. My question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 19 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 You are not authorized to disclose				*
8 pharmacy, where it's situated. Is that it's 9 my customer. If it's my customer, I should 10 know all of this stuff. 11 I'd I'd want to go and talk to 12 the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 13 I would document everything. I would make sure 14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, 16 if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to 17 ensure that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 25 speculation. Objection. Scope. 26 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 27 speculation. Objection. Scope. 28 suspicious orders reported? A. The MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 10 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 11 Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 13 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 Q. My question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:				
9 my customer. If it's my customer, I should 10 know all of this stuff. 11 I'd I'd want to go and talk to 12 the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 13 I would document everything. I would make sure 14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, 16 if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to 17 ensure that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 10 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 11 Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 13 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 Q. My question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 25 MR. MAINIGI: 26 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 27 on. 28 MR. MAINIGI: 29 MR. MAINIGI:		e ·		- ·
10 know all of this stuff. 11 I'd I'd want to go and talk to 12 the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 13 I would document everything. I would make sure 14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, 16 if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to 17 ensure that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 26 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 10 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 11 Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 13 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 Q. My question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:		±		-
11 I'd I'd want to go and talk to 12 the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 13 I would document everything. I would make sure 14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, 16 if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to 17 ensure that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 10 Objection. Scope. 12 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 13 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 Q. My question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 25 Did they would follow up on suspicious orders, 26 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 27 Use. 28 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is 29 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, 20 My question was slightly more 21 nuanced. 22 Did the DEA follow up on all 23 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 24 You are not authorized to disclose				
the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" I would document everything. I would make sure there's documents showing why I did release that, or why I didn't. I would make sure that, if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to ensure that DEA has that information. Q. And so if it's suspicious and you that order? Interest of the pharmacist. "Why did you increase this?" 12 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. 13 that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. My question was slightly more nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders that were reported to it? MR. BENNETT: Objection. 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. 18 Q. My question was slightly more nuanced. 19 Suspicious orders that were reported to it? MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 Q. My question was slightly more nuanced. 17 Did the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders that were reported to it? MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: The DEA position is that they would follow up on suspicious orders, yes. 22 Why question was slightly more nuanced. 23 Suspicious orders that were reported to it? 24 You if every suspicious order was followed up on all suspicious orders that were reported to it? 22 Why are not authorized to disclose and you are n			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13 I would document everything. I would make sure 14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to 17 ensure that DEA has that information. 17 nuanced. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 19 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 that order? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:			1	
14 there's documents showing why I did release 15 that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, 16 if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to 17 ensure that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 14 yes. 15 BY MS. MAINIGI: 16 Q. My question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:			1	
that or why I didn't. I would make sure that, if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to ensure that DEA has that information. Q. And so if it's suspicious and you file it with DEA, would a field investigator go from DEA and go do some further follow-up on that order? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for speculation. Objection. Scope. You are not authorized to disclose BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. My question was slightly more nuanced. BY MS. MAINIGI: MR. DEA MS. MAINIGI: Nuanced. MR. Did the DEA follow up on all suspicious orders that were reported to it? MR. BENNETT: Objection. THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell you if every suspicious order was followed up on. BY MS. MAINIGI:			1	
16 if it's suspicious, I file it with DEA to 17 ensure that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 16 Q. My question was slightly more 17 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:			1	•
17 ensure that DEA has that information. 18 Q. And so if it's suspicious and you 19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 27 nuanced. 18 Did the DEA follow up on all 29 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:		•		
18Q. And so if it's suspicious and you18Did the DEA follow up on all19file it with DEA, would a field investigator go19suspicious orders that were reported to it?20from DEA and go do some further follow-up on20MR. BENNETT: Objection.21that order?21THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell22MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for22you if every suspicious order was followed up23speculation. Objection. Scope.23on.24You are not authorized to disclose24BY MS. MAINIGI:				
19 file it with DEA, would a field investigator go 20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 19 suspicious orders that were reported to it? 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:				
20 from DEA and go do some further follow-up on that order? 21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for speculation. Objection. Scope. 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 20 MR. BENNETT: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 22 you if every suspicious order was followed up 23 on. 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:			1	
21 that order? 22 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for 23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 25 THE WITNESS: I I couldn't tell 26 you if every suspicious order was followed up 27 on. 28 BY MS. MAINIGI:			1	
23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 23 on. 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23 speculation. Objection. Scope. 24 You are not authorized to disclose 23 on. 24 BY MS. MAINIGI:	22	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Calls for	22	you if every suspicious order was followed up
	23	speculation. Objection. Scope.	23	on.
25 investigative or intelligence gathering and 25 O. Was it your intent that all	24	You are not authorized to disclose	1	BY MS. MAINIGI:
		:	25	O Was it your intent that all

	Page 545		Page 547
1	suspicious orders be followed up on?	1	A. Again
2	A. It was any intent that the	2	MR. BENNETT: Same objections.
3	suspicious order, as they came in, would be	3	THE WITNESS: Again, I can't get
4	looked at and followed up on	4	into that type of detail on suspicious orders.
5	Q. And that	5	BY MS. MAINIGI:
6	A yes.	6	Q. I'm just asking generically. I'm
7	Q. I'm sorry.	7	not asking about any
8	And the suspicious orders that got	8	A. Generically
9	reported were followed up by DEA by the local	9	Q particular
10	field offices?	10	A. I I can't we would follow up.
11	A. That's who would get the suspicious	11	We would follow up.
12	orders, yes.	12	Q. So there would be a lot of different
13	Q. Okay. And so local field office	13	permutations as to how you could have follow
14	agents would do the follow-up on the suspicious	14	up, correct?
15	order reporting, correct?	15	A. Yes.
16	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.	16	Q. And where was all this documented?
17	THE WITNESS: It would be at the	17	A. It it's part of their training.
18	local level, yes.	18	Q. So are there is is there
19	BY MS. MAINIGI:	19	documentation that exists of the follow-up of
20	Q. And they would send perhaps special	20	suspicious orders?
21	agents to the facility that had been reported	21	A. I I don't know if I I don't
22	as part of the suspicious order?	22	recall if there's any documentation within the
23	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.	23	manual on follow-up of suspicious orders. It's
24	Objection. Speculation.	24	an investigative tool.
25	THE WITNESS: I I can't answer	25	Q. There was no requirement that the
	Page 546		Page 548
- 4			E
1	that. I they have they have their own	1	local office DEA agents document their
2	methods of of following up. And I think I'm	2	local office DEA agents document their follow-up?
2 3	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question.	2 3	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That's it's that's again,
2 3 4	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI:	2 3 4	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That's it's that's again, how they document is at the the division
2 3 4 5	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically.	2 3 4 5	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That's it's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're
2 3 4 5 6	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a	2 3 4 5 6	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That's it's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue
2 3 4 5 6 7	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to	2 3 4 5 6 7	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That's it's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That's it's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That's it's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That's it's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants further investigation, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to, they'd choose not to document a follow-up on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants further investigation, yes. Q. And so would they actually visit the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to, they'd choose not to document a follow-up on a suspicious order?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants further investigation, yes. Q. And so would they actually visit the facilities?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to, they'd choose not to document a follow-up on a suspicious order? MR. BENNETT: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants further investigation, yes. Q. And so would they actually visit the facilities? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to, they'd choose not to document a follow-up on a suspicious order? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Speculation. Objection. Scope.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants further investigation, yes. Q. And so would they actually visit the facilities? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Objection. Speculation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to, they'd choose not to document a follow-up on a suspicious order? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Speculation. Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I I again, each
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants further investigation, yes. Q. And so would they actually visit the facilities? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Objection. Speculation. THE WITNESS: It just again, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to, they'd choose not to document a follow-up on a suspicious order? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Speculation. Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I I again, each division operates differently. I think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants further investigation, yes. Q. And so would they actually visit the facilities? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Objection. Speculation. THE WITNESS: It just again, it's fact-specific. Just depends on the order.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to, they'd choose not to document a follow-up on a suspicious order? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Speculation. Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I I again, each division operates differently. I think that most of the investigators are are trained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants further investigation, yes. Q. And so would they actually visit the facilities? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Objection. Speculation. THE WITNESS: It just again, it's fact-specific. Just depends on the order. Depends on on on the particular customer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to, they'd choose not to document a follow-up on a suspicious order? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Speculation. Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I I again, each division operates differently. I think that most of the investigators are are trained to when they do their follow-up, if if it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants further investigation, yes. Q. And so would they actually visit the facilities? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Objection. Speculation. THE WITNESS: It just again, it's fact-specific. Just depends on the order. Depends on on on the particular customer. They may; they may not. Just depends.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to, they'd choose not to document a follow-up on a suspicious order? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Speculation. Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I I again, each division operates differently. I think that most of the investigators are are trained to when they do their follow-up, if if it is indeed warrants further investigation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants further investigation, yes. Q. And so would they actually visit the facilities? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Objection. Speculation. THE WITNESS: It just again, it's fact-specific. Just depends on the order. Depends on on on the particular customer. They may; they may not. Just depends. BY MS. MAINIGI:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to, they'd choose not to document a follow-up on a suspicious order? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Speculation. Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I I again, each division operates differently. I think that most of the investigators are are trained to when they do their follow-up, if if it is indeed warrants further investigation, there's something documented.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	methods of of following up. And I think I'm prohibited from answering that question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Well, I'm just asking generically. What are the different things that a that the local field offices might do to follow up on a suspicious order? A. Again, the local field office would take the suspicious order and do follow follow whatever investigative process they deem appropriate to look at the order and and determine if the order if the order warrants further investigation, yes. Q. And so would they actually visit the facilities? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. Objection. Speculation. THE WITNESS: It just again, it's fact-specific. Just depends on the order. Depends on on on the particular customer. They may; they may not. Just depends.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	local office DEA agents document their follow-up? A. That'sit's that's again, how they document is at the the division level, what the division decides how they're going to document, how they're going to pursue a suspicious order. But there's nothing written that says, once you do a suspicious order, this is what you must do. It's part of the investigative process. Q. Is it possible that they would also just choose because they didn't need to, they'd choose not to document a follow-up on a suspicious order? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Speculation. Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I I again, each division operates differently. I think that most of the investigators are are trained to when they do their follow-up, if if it is indeed warrants further investigation,

	D 540		D 551
1	Page 549 BY MS. MAINIGI:	1	Page 551 confident that a jump from 5,000 to 20,000 all
		2	
2 3	Q. Okay. So let me let's come back to the numbers we were talking about.	3	of a sudden would be cause for reporting a suspicious order, correct?
4		4	•
	So you you thought that an	5	A. Again, just giving me numbers
5	increase from 5,000 Hydrocodone a month to		without giving me the what is actually going
6	10,000 Hydrocodone a month may be cause for at	6 7	on, what where the pharmacy is situated,
7	least further due diligence, right?	8	what the pharmacy is doing, who the pharmacist
8	A. I believe yes. Absolutely.	1	is there's just so many different variables
9	Q. And it could wind up being a	9	that is should it trigger a due diligence
10	suspicious order that's reported, or there may	10	analysis? Absolutely. Should it trigger a
11	be reasons why it didn't need to be reported as	11	a a suspicious order? Maybe. Probably.
12	a suspicious order, correct?	12	Because going from 5- to 20,000, that's
13	A. If they	13	that's quite a bit.
14	MR. BENNETT: Objection.	14	But until you do the whole analysis,
15	Mischaracterizes testimony.	15	until you look at the pharmacy, until you look
16	THE WITNESS: If they resolve the	16	at what their patterns were, I can't make that
17	suspicious the suspicions within the order,	17	statement.
18	then they wouldn't have to notify DEA. But if	18	Q. What about a jump from 5,000 one
19	they didn't resolve the suspicious nature of	19	month Hydrocodone to 8,000 the next month of
20	the order, then they would.	20	Hydrocodone?
21	BY MS. MAINIGI:	21 22	A. The the answer's
22	Q. So a jump from 5,000 to 10,000 after	1	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Incomplete
23	some due diligence could, in fact, result in	23	hypothetical. THE WITNESS: The answer is the
24 25	there not being a suspicious order that needed	24 25	same. I have to see the the whole idea
23	to be reported, correct?	23	same. I have to see the the whole idea
	Page 550		D 552
1		1	Page 552
1	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the	1	behind this is the distributor does their due
2	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times.	2	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they
2 3	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again.	2 3	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding
2 3 4	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's	2 3 4	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order.
2 3 4 5	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what	2 3 4 5	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where
2 3 4 5 6	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I	2 3 4 5 6	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular	2 3 4 5 6 7	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know what drugs there are. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should trigger due diligence. Maintaining effective	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a reporting of a suspicious order based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should trigger due diligence. Maintaining effective controls against diversion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a reporting of a suspicious order based on unusual size.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should trigger due diligence. Maintaining effective controls against diversion. Looking at your customer, trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a reporting of a suspicious order based on unusual size. Fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should trigger due diligence. Maintaining effective controls against diversion. Looking at your customer, trying to figure out what they are doing. Why did they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a reporting of a suspicious order based on unusual size. Fair? MR. BENNETT: Object objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should trigger due diligence. Maintaining effective controls against diversion. Looking at your customer, trying to figure out what they are doing. Why did they have this increase? What's why is it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a reporting of a suspicious order based on unusual size. Fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should trigger due diligence. Maintaining effective controls against diversion. Looking at your customer, trying to figure out what they are doing. Why did they have this increase? What's why is it necessary? What are they doing with the drugs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a reporting of a suspicious order based on unusual size. Fair? MR. BENNETT: Object objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I think that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should trigger due diligence. Maintaining effective controls against diversion. Looking at your customer, trying to figure out what they are doing. Why did they have this increase? What's why is it necessary? What are they doing with the drugs that they are sending to this this pharmacy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a reporting of a suspicious order based on unusual size. Fair? MR. BENNETT: Object objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I think that that would trigger the company to do a due diligence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should trigger due diligence. Maintaining effective controls against diversion. Looking at your customer, trying to figure out what they are doing. Why did they have this increase? What's why is it necessary? What are they doing with the drugs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a reporting of a suspicious order based on unusual size. Fair? MR. BENNETT: Object objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I think that that would trigger the company to do a due diligence analysis. That's what it would trigger. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should trigger due diligence. Maintaining effective controls against diversion. Looking at your customer, trying to figure out what they are doing. Why did they have this increase? What's why is it necessary? What are they doing with the drugs that they are sending to this this pharmacy or hospital, whatever.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a reporting of a suspicious order based on unusual size. Fair? MR. BENNETT: Object objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I think that that would trigger the company to do a due diligence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should trigger due diligence. Maintaining effective controls against diversion. Looking at your customer, trying to figure out what they are doing. Why did they have this increase? What's why is it necessary? What are they doing with the drugs that they are sending to this this pharmacy or hospital, whatever. So no. I can't based on that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a reporting of a suspicious order based on unusual size. Fair? MR. BENNETT: Object objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I think that that would trigger the company to do a due diligence analysis. That's what it would trigger. And if they can't justify, if they can't explain or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. UTTER: Object. He answered the question three times. Go ahead. You can answer again. THE WITNESS: No. Again, it's hypothetical. I don't know. I don't know what I don't know what the presentation was. I don't know all the background or the particular pharmacy. I don't know what they've done in the past. I don't know where they're situated. I don't know what drugs there are. I mean there's so many different variables. So no, I can't I can't answer that. A an increase from 5 to 10 should trigger due diligence. Maintaining effective controls against diversion. Looking at your customer, trying to figure out what they are doing. Why did they have this increase? What's why is it necessary? What are they doing with the drugs that they are sending to this this pharmacy or hospital, whatever. So no. I can't based on that hypothetical, I can't answer that question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	behind this is the distributor does their due diligence. To do their due diligence, they have to look at all the variables surrounding the particular order. Without that, without knowing where the pharmacy is, what the location is, I I couldn't answer the question. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So just looking at the numbers, let's say 10,000 excuse me. Just looking at the numbers of 5,000 jumping to 8,000 would not be enough the trigger, in your mind, automatically a reporting of a suspicious order based on unusual size. Fair? MR. BENNETT: Object objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I think that that would trigger the company to do a due diligence analysis. That's what it would trigger. And if they can't justify, if they can't explain or resolve why that increase occurred, then, you

48 (Pages 549 - 552)

Page 555 Page 553 1 BY MS. MAINIGI: 1 Q. Now, the documentation, they 2 Q. And then DEA would follow up and 2 certainly could have documentation at the time 3 they were investigating a potential suspicious 3 investigate that suspicious order, right? 4 A. DEA would investigate suspicious 4 order, correct? 5 orders as they come in, yes. 5 A. It would be in the customer files or 6 Q. And if DEA found there to be 6 due diligence files. 7 validity to a suspicious order that was 7 Q. Is there any requirement in the DEA reported, DEA would take some sort of action, 8 8 regulations or guidance to maintain due 9 correct? 9 diligence documentation for a certain period of 10 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Incomplete 10 hypothetical. Objection. Scope. 11 11 A. There's no requirements. But it's 12 THE WITNESS: Again, it's very 12 in the company's best interest to do it. 13 fact-specific. You have to -- it's -- you 13 Because, if -- if you continue to send drugs 14 14 downstream and there's no explanation why you know, a suspicious order doesn't necessarily 15 give us the -- doesn't necessarily give us what 15 do that and those orders are suspicious in we need to open an investigation, take a nature, they're -- they're unusual -- unusual 16 16 17 registration. That -- that requires 17 size, frequency or substantially deviating, at 18 investigation. 18 that point in time you've got to explain why 19 What a suspicious order does is 19 you're doing it. 20 provide us with a -- a starting point -- it's a 20 So if you don't have due diligence 21 21 pointer system -- so we could start our files, it becomes pretty -- the -- the 22 investigation if that is indeed appropriate. 22 investigators are in the dark. 23 So yes. Somewhere down the line 23 Q. So you -- you gave some good advice 24 there would be some kind of potential order to 24 with your 2006, 2007 letters, right? 25 show cause if -- if we find that their 25 A. I believe we did, yes. Page 554 Page 556 1 orders -- their suspicious orders were leading Q. Did you put into your letters that 1 2 to or were involved in diversion, yes. 2 distributors ought to hold onto their due 3 But I mean, again, it's a very 3 diligence files for a certain period of time? 4 4 fact-specific -- I need the details. I need to A. I believe that due diligence files 5 know exactly what that pharmacy was doing in 5 had come up in the -- in the meetings and we 6 their ordering patterns. 6 mentioned due diligence in all of those 7 BY MS. MAINIGI: 7 letters. 8 Q. And is it fair to say that 8 And obviously, when you mention due 9 reasonable minds could vary on whether 9 diligence, you should have some kind of 10 something's a suspicious order? 10 documentation of your due diligence. But that 11 MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. 11 was mentioned in the meetings. 12 THE WITNESS: Again, I think that, 12 Q. Okay. So let's -- let's just talk 13 because it's a suspicious order, and it's a about with respect to the letters. 13 14 decision that's made by the company, it 14 I -- you mentioned due diligence in 15 involves further investigation by the company 15 the letters, right? before they send out a suspicious order or send 16 A. Yes. 16 17 it. 17 Q. But you didn't say in the letters 18 But in either case, they should have 18 "Keep your due diligence for a certain period 19 documentation showing what they have. So if --19 of time" or anything like that, did you? 20 if it was suspicious, and they sent it anyway, 20 A. It would -- it would be prudent and 21 they should explain why. Did they resolve the 21 common sense to, knowing that, if their --22 suspicion. If not, then they should send us 22 their methodology was questioned on why they 23 the suspicious order. 23 were shipping drugs downstream without filing 24 It's pretty straightforward. 24 suspicious orders, that you would have some 25 BY MS. MAINIGI: 25 documentation showing why, showing that you

	Dags 557		Page 559
1	Page 557 reconciled your suspicions.	1	assistant secretary of health and former head
2	But if that's not there, I have	2	of the FDA.
3	nothing to look at. I can't make that	3	Q. He recently stepped down as head of
4	determination other than my investigation,	4	the FDA, right?
5	which is separate.	5	A. Yes.
6	So if you're not covering yourself,	6	Q. Do you remember, before he stepped
7	if you don't have suspicious you don't have	7	down, he spoke to the issue of opioids and said
8	due diligence files that contains	8	something to the effect of that that FDA
9	reconciliation of a suspicious order, then	9	certainly shared some responsibility for the
10	there's I I don't know how you would	10	opioid crisis?
11	explain yourself.	11	Do you remember that?
12	Q. So everything you just said right	12	A. I don't remember that. I don't have
13	now about holding onto due diligence, is that	13	any doc
14	something you put into your letter?	14	Q. You missed that?
15	A. I don't recall if it was in the	15	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form.
16	letter or not. But the term "due diligence"	16	BY MS. MAINIGI:
17	was. And the explanation of what due diligence	17	
18	was. And the explanation of what due difference was was in the face-to-face meetings.	18	Q. You don't remember seeing the news about that?
19	Q. And what record do you have of the	19	A. No. If you have a news article, I'd
20	explanation that was provided about holding on	20	be happy to look at it. But no, I don't have
21	to due diligence files in these meetings that	21	that.
22	were held that you didn't attend?	22	Q. Do you you've referenced Mr.
23	A. I don't have any records on those	23	Rosenberg in your discussions with Mr. Lanier.
24	meetings. I when I left, I didn't, you	24	Do you remember that?
25	know, pack up the binders and move them with	25	A. Yes.
-			
1	Page 558		Page 560
1 1	me	1	O And Mr Rosenberg was your boss for
2	me. I'm sure that if we could find the	1 2	Q. And Mr. Rosenberg was your boss for some period of time?
2 3	I'm sure that, if we could find the	2	some period of time?
3	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there	2 3	some period of time? A. Briefly.
3 4	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting	2 3 4	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a
3 4 5	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under	2 3 4 5	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right?
3 4 5 6	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations.	2 3 4 5 6	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection.
3 4 5 6 7	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in	2 3 4 5 6 7	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony.
3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the	2 3 4 5 6	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports. But due diligence was discussed in that meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a roadblock.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports. But due diligence was discussed in that meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a roadblock. A. I never said he was a roadblock.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports. But due diligence was discussed in that meeting. Q. But you don't have a record of it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a roadblock. A. I never said he was a roadblock. Q. Okay. Mr. Rosenberg testified in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports. But due diligence was discussed in that meeting. Q. But you don't have a record of it right now?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a roadblock. A. I never said he was a roadblock. Q. Okay. Mr. Rosenberg testified in front of Congress as well.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports. But due diligence was discussed in that meeting. Q. But you don't have a record of it right now? A. No, ma'am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a roadblock. A. I never said he was a roadblock. Q. Okay. Mr. Rosenberg testified in front of Congress as well. Do you remember that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports. But due diligence was discussed in that meeting. Q. But you don't have a record of it right now? A. No, ma'am. Q. Now, you said you follow the news on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some period of time? A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a roadblock. A. I never said he was a roadblock. Q. Okay. Mr. Rosenberg testified in front of Congress as well. Do you remember that? A. I don't remember when he testified.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports. But due diligence was discussed in that meeting. Q. But you don't have a record of it right now? A. No, ma'am. Q. Now, you said you follow the news on opioids, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a roadblock. A. I never said he was a roadblock. Q. Okay. Mr. Rosenberg testified in front of Congress as well. Do you remember that? A. I don't remember when he testified. And I don't have the content of his testimony.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports. But due diligence was discussed in that meeting. Q. But you don't have a record of it right now? A. No, ma'am. Q. Now, you said you follow the news on opioids, right? A. Somewhat, yes. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a roadblock. A. I never said he was a roadblock. Q. Okay. Mr. Rosenberg testified in front of Congress as well. Do you remember that? A. I don't remember when he testified. And I don't have the content of his testimony. Q. Do you remember Mr. Rosenberg saying
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports. But due diligence was discussed in that meeting. Q. But you don't have a record of it right now? A. No, ma'am. Q. Now, you said you follow the news on opioids, right? A. Somewhat, yes. Yes. Q. And do you remember do you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a roadblock. A. I never said he was a roadblock. Q. Okay. Mr. Rosenberg testified in front of Congress as well. Do you remember that? A. I don't remember when he testified. And I don't have the content of his testimony. Q. Do you remember Mr. Rosenberg saying that the DEA could have done a better job when
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports. But due diligence was discussed in that meeting. Q. But you don't have a record of it right now? A. No, ma'am. Q. Now, you said you follow the news on opioids, right? A. Somewhat, yes. Yes. Q. And do you remember do you know who Scott Gottlieb is? A. Yes. Q. Who's Scott Gottlieb?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a roadblock. A. I never said he was a roadblock. Q. Okay. Mr. Rosenberg testified in front of Congress as well. Do you remember that? A. I don't remember when he testified. And I don't have the content of his testimony. Q. Do you remember Mr. Rosenberg saying that the DEA could have done a better job when it came to the oversight of diversion?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm sure that, if we could find the binders I I don't know. Because there was a lot of discussion within that meeting that on what their obligations were under the Act and under the regulations. I don't know if it was in the in the PowerPoint. I don't know if it was in the separate documents. I don't know if it was in embedded when we talked about specific purchase purchases that were in the ARCOS transaction reports. But due diligence was discussed in that meeting. Q. But you don't have a record of it right now? A. No, ma'am. Q. Now, you said you follow the news on opioids, right? A. Somewhat, yes. Yes. Q. And do you remember do you know who Scott Gottlieb is? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Briefly. Q. And now, you indicated he was a potential roadblock; is that right? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Mischaracterizes testimony. THE WITNESS: I never said he was a roadblock. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Oh, I thought your discussion with Mr. Lanier was that Mr. Rosenberg was a roadblock. A. I never said he was a roadblock. Q. Okay. Mr. Rosenberg testified in front of Congress as well. Do you remember that? A. I don't remember when he testified. And I don't have the content of his testimony. Q. Do you remember Mr. Rosenberg saying that the DEA could have done a better job when it came to the oversight of diversion? MR. UTTER: Object to form.

50 (Pages 557 - 560)

1	Page 561		Page 563
1	I don't have the document in front of me. I	1	A. Not by letter, no.
2	don't have his transcripts. But I assume that	2	Q. By any other written format?
3	those are correct. So if he said that.	3	A. Well, 2008 is when everybody
4	MS. MAINIGI: Let me let me see	4	well, some of the companies '7 and '8, when
5	if we can play	5	they signed their original MOAs with the
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.	6	government. So I think there was guidance
7	MS. MAINIGI: a clip. If we can't	7	built into that MOA in the form of attorneys
8	get it working right now, we'll just go	8	talking to the DEA attorneys and investigators
9	THE WITNESS: Sure.	9	on what's expected of them. That's why they
10	MS. MAINIGI: off the record and	10	signed the MOAs and they said they would comply
11	play it in a moment.	11	with the Act.
12	MS. McNAMARA: We have sound issues.	12	Q. And that's that's DEA talking to
13	We should go off the record.	13	individual registrants, correct?
14	MS. MAINIGI: Why don't we go off	14	A. Yes.
15	the record for one moment. But just bear	15	Q. Was there any sort of written
16	bear with us. Just if everyone could sit	16	guidance after the MOAs saying "Everybody take
17	tight, let's see if we can make this work.	17	a look at the MOAs and learn from it"?
18	THE WITNESS: Could you tell me what	18	A. The the written guidance the
19	the date was on that?	19	2007 written guidance had a reference to
20	MS. MAINIGI: Sure. We we can	20	Southwood Chemical, which explained DEA's
21	THE WITNESS: Great. Okay.	21	position in an agency decision.
22	MS. MAINIGI: in just a	22	I'm not I'm not I don't
23	second.	23	believe there was any other written
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We're	24	documentation after the MOAs and the three
25	going off record.	25	letters and the face-to-face visits.
	Page 562		Page 564
			1 age 304
1	Time is 2:06.	1	Q. And the three letters, two of them
1 2		1 2	_
-	Time is 2:06.		Q. And the three letters, two of them
2	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.)	2	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right?
2 3	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back	2 3	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right?A. Yes.
2 3 4	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record.	2 3 4	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right?A. Yes.Q. So there were really two letters,
2 3 4 5	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7.	2 3 4 5	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right?A. Yes.Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times?
2 3 4 5 6	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI:	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in 2015?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that. Q. And with respect to the and you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in 2015? A. I don't recall any other letters	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that. Q. And with respect to the and you would know, right, because you were head of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in 2015? A. I don't recall any other letters being sent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that. Q. And with respect to the and you would know, right, because you were head of Office of Diversion Control?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in 2015? A. I don't recall any other letters being sent. Q. So and I forget what you told me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that. Q. And with respect to the and you would know, right, because you were head of Office of Diversion Control? A. I would hope so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in 2015? A. I don't recall any other letters being sent. Q. So and I forget what you told me last time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that. Q. And with respect to the and you would know, right, because you were head of Office of Diversion Control? A. I would hope so, yes. Q. Okay. Now, with respect to these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in 2015? A. I don't recall any other letters being sent. Q. So and I forget what you told me last time. Did you review those letters from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that. Q. And with respect to the and you would know, right, because you were head of Office of Diversion Control? A. I would hope so, yes. Q. Okay. Now, with respect to these settlements, the settlements related to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in 2015? A. I don't recall any other letters being sent. Q. So and I forget what you told me last time. Did you review those letters from 2006 and 2007 as as guidance of sorts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that. Q. And with respect to the and you would know, right, because you were head of Office of Diversion Control? A. I would hope so, yes. Q. Okay. Now, with respect to these settlements, the settlements related to individual facts and circumstances of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in 2015? A. I don't recall any other letters being sent. Q. So and I forget what you told me last time. Did you review those letters from 2006 and 2007 as as guidance of sorts? A. They they were DEA's position on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that. Q. And with respect to the and you would know, right, because you were head of Office of Diversion Control? A. I would hope so, yes. Q. Okay. Now, with respect to these settlements, the settlements related to individual facts and circumstances of those registrants, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in 2015? A. I don't recall any other letters being sent. Q. So and I forget what you told me last time. Did you review those letters from 2006 and 2007 as as guidance of sorts? A. They they were DEA's position on controlled on suspicious orders. So I guess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that. Q. And with respect to the and you would know, right, because you were head of Office of Diversion Control? A. I would hope so, yes. Q. Okay. Now, with respect to these settlements, the settlements related to individual facts and circumstances of those registrants, right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in 2015? A. I don't recall any other letters being sent. Q. So and I forget what you told me last time. Did you review those letters from 2006 and 2007 as as guidance of sorts? A. They they were DEA's position on controlled on suspicious orders. So I guess you could call them guidance, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that. Q. And with respect to the and you would know, right, because you were head of Office of Diversion Control? A. I would hope so, yes. Q. Okay. Now, with respect to these settlements, the settlements related to individual facts and circumstances of those registrants, right? A. Yes. Q. And you were aware that different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Time is 2:06. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning Media File 7. Time is 2:22. BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, you you've referenced a few times the letters that you sent to registrants in 2006 and 2007, correct? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Do you recall sending any more letters like that prior to your departure in 2015? A. I don't recall any other letters being sent. Q. So and I forget what you told me last time. Did you review those letters from 2006 and 2007 as as guidance of sorts? A. They they were DEA's position on controlled on suspicious orders. So I guess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And the three letters, two of them were identical, right? A. Yes. Q. So there were really two letters, correct, sent at different times? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And then you don't recall any other letters of that variety being sent to registrants than between 2008 and 2015 when you departed? A. I don't recall of any letters sent. I don't have knowledge of any letters sent at that point after that. Q. And with respect to the and you would know, right, because you were head of Office of Diversion Control? A. I would hope so, yes. Q. Okay. Now, with respect to these settlements, the settlements related to individual facts and circumstances of those registrants, right? A. Yes.

	Page 565		Page 567
1	A. In in 2008 the '6, '7, and '8,	1	same. Depends on what violations were found
2	I don't know what suspicious order monitoring		during those investigations.
3	systems they were using. But they weren't	3	Q. But the DEA never took the learnings
4	filing suspicious orders. So I I don't	4	from each one of those settlements and issued
5	know.	5	some sort of further letter or guidance
6	Q. Okay. So registrants and let's	6	explaining what the takeaway was, correct?
7	focus on the period where you didn't send any	7	MR. UTTER: Object to form.
8	letters.	8	Go ahead.
9	So you didn't send any letters from	9	THE WITNESS: I don't recall of any
10	2008 to 2015, right?	10	I don't recall of anything that was written
11	A. As far as I'm aware, that wouldn't	11	that discussed each individual's
12	I didn't send any letters	12	registrant's violations and what resulted from
13	Q. Okay.	13	those violations.
14	A back at that point in time.	14	I can tell you that the third letter
15	Q. And in that time period, 2008 to	15	directed the attention of the reader to the
16	2015, you were aware that different registrants		Southwood Pharmaceutical case, which basically
17 18	were using different suspicious order	17 18	explained the agency's position and told them in what to look for in those cases.
19	monitoring systems, right? A. Well, the monitoring the systems are	19	BY MS. MAINIGI:
20	tailored to the each individual registrant's	20	Q. And after that, no more discussion
21	needs. Again, the the regulation is	21	to the registrants about particular
22	specific. The registrant shall create, operate	22	settlements, correct?
23	a suspicious order or a a system which	23	A. I don't believe we talked to we
24	identifies suspicious orders to the registrant.	24	talked to the registrants about particular
25	I'm sure they all tailored theirs to	25	settlements, no.
-	•		
1	Page 566 their own specific needs. So I I guess	1	Page 568 Q. And there was a period of time where
2	that's accurate. Yes. They all have	2	you were not having distributor briefings; is
3	different	3	that right?
4	Q. So any settlement related to	4	A. I'm not aware of that. But that
5	specific facts and circumstances for that	5	came up in the last deposition. I still am not
6	registrant, correct?	6	aware of any time where we didn't there
7	A. I I I would be guessing.	7	were there was times where we did more than
8	Because I I just I haven't gone back and	8	normal, and there's times that we did less.
9	looked at, in detail, each settlement to make a	9	But I don't recall a time where we
10	determination.	10	never did a a a briefing.
11	Q. Well, you just went over those	11	Q. Well, from 2008 to 2015, when did
12	settlement agreement	12	you do more distributor briefings?
13	A. I didn't	13	A. It depends on the year. It depends
14	Q with Mr. Lanier	14	on who was conducting the briefs and how many
15	A read them.	15	we could schedule in one year. It
16	Q right?	16	there's there was no it was just
17	A. I didn't read them in detail. I was	17	dependent on on what we could schedule in
18	just looking at certain areas of the	18	headquarters during that time period, so
19	settlement. I mean I could I could spend	19	Q. Why not get more distributors
20	time and go through each settlement.	20	reached by setting out specific written
21	I know that, again, the facts and	21	guidance to everybody?
22	circumstances of each individual case that DEA	22	A. Well, we did send out specific
23	does is fact-specific. And there's there's	23	written guidance in 2006 and 2007. But we
24	differences between the cases. So I can't	24	wanted face-to-face meetings so we could answer
25	guarantee that each settlement is exactly the	25	their questions, the questions that they may

Page 569 Page 571 1 have. 1 impossible unless we were able to leave 2 We wanted to show them very specific 2 somebody there for a long period of time, 3 instances of orders that were suspicious. We 3 weeks. 4 wanted to lay out, you know, the ARCOS 4 Q. And from -- after your December 2007 5 transaction reports. We wanted to explain to 5 letter, you're not -- other than these them what their obligations were. 6 individual distributor briefings you're 7 So it wasn't a question of -- we 7 referring to, DEA didn't elaborate in written 8 wanted for them to have access to our -- our form how to identify orders of unusual size, 8 9 experts, and, "This is the time. Ask your 9 correct? 10 questions." 10 A. Again, it was pretty straightforward 11 Q. And so there were some distributors what an order of unusual size or frequently or 11 12 that you may not have even met with for the deviating substantially from the normal 12 13 first time until 2010, 2011, correct? 13 ordering pattern is. 14 A. Some of the smaller distributors, 14 Q. What was it? 15 yes. But I believe that they were offered mini 15 A. Orders that were unusual size, 16 briefings when they were inspected. 16 frequency or deviating substantially from the 17 So it wasn't a full headquarters 17 normal ordering pattern. It's in the regs. 18 briefing, but they were -- they were asked 18 It's been there for 40 years. 19 at -- at the inspection level with diversion 19 Q. That same language. 20 investigators, "Do you have any questions 20 A. That same language. Never changed. 21 or" -- and just going over different things 21 The language has been there since the beginning 22 that the diversion investigators were seeing in 22 of the Controlled Substances Act. 23 Q. Since 1970? that area, so... 23 24 Q. And so the diversion investigators 24 '73, I believe. '72, '73. A. 25 came and did inspections of the distributors. 25 Q. Okay. And from 2008 to the time you Page 570 Page 572 1 Fair? left, DEA didn't elaborate on how to identify 1 orders of unusual frequency, right? 2 A. Yes. 2 3 Q. And they would have taken a look at 3 A. No. I -- I -- I can't tell you how suspicious order monitoring systems in many many calls came into liaison and policy or to 4 4 5 5 cases, correct? regulatory affairs or to local offices. I know 6 A. I would say they would do a cursory 6 there were meetings. I know there were 7 7 review. You -- you can't look at a suspicious meetings with distributors where that was 8 order monitoring system unless -- I -- I --8 discussed. I wasn't present at those meetings. 9 9 unless you're actually watching it work. And But I -- I -- I know that there was 10 to watch it work, you have to execute. And to 10 contact between industry, between distributors, 11 execute, you have to follow your protocols and 11 manufacturers and -- and DEA personnel during 12 procedures. 12 that time period. 13 So you might have a great suspicious 13 Q. Did you attend any of those meetings 14 order monitoring system. But if you're not --14 personally? 15 if you're not actually executing what's in your 15 A. No. protocols and procedures, the system is 16 Q. Did you attend any of those phone 16 17 worthless. 17 calls personally? 18 Q. And what follow-up did you do to 18 A. No. 19 determine whether the procedures were being 19 Q. Are you aware of any elaboration 20 that was provided in written form related to followed? 20 21 A. The only way to follow up on whether 21 how to define an order of unusual frequency 22 the procedures were being followed was to sit from 2008 till the time you left? 22 somebody there and watch a period of time 23 A. I don't recall of any written 23 24 orders coming in and how they were adjudicated. 24 document that chose what order of unusual

25

frequency is.

And -- and that -- that's almost

25

1	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any written	1	Page 575 the government; is that true?
2	document that elaborated on how to identify ar		A. I guess they're an investigative arm
$\frac{2}{3}$	order that deviates substantially from a normal		of Congress.
4	ordering pattern from 2008 to 2015?	4	Q. Okay. Do you recall a GAO report
5	A. I believe that was in one of the	5	related to the DEA from the 2015 time period?
6	letters.	6	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
7	Q. There was a letter from 2008	7	THE WITNESS: I recall GAO issuing a
8	A. No. I mean	8	final report during that time period, yes.
9	Q to 2015?	9	BY MS. MAINIGI:
10	A the 2 it was in the 2007	10	Q. Do you recall being interviewed for
11	letter.	11	that report?
12	Q. Okay. Let from 2008 to 2015, Mr.	12	A. I was interviewed for that report.
13	Rannazzisi, was there ever an elaboration by	13	Q. And do you recall submitting a
14	DEA on how to identify an order that deviates	14	written response for that report?
15	substantially from a normal ordering pattern?	15	A. Yes.
16	A. I don't recall any letter after	16	MR. BENNETT: I'm going to have a
17	2007.	17	if it's okay a continuing objection to
18	Q. Is it fair to say, when new	18	questions regarding the GAO report as being
19	leadership came into the DEA after you left,	19	outside the scope of authorization.
20	that they made a commitment to have greater	20	MS. MAINIGI: Okay.
21	communication with registrants about the	21	MR. BENNETT: If that's okay,
22	various requirements registrants were subject	22	Counsel.
23	to?	23	MS. MAINIGI: Sure.
24	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.	24	MR. BENNETT: So I don't have to
25	THE WITNESS: I don't really know	25	keep objecting and
	Page 574		Page 576
1	what the I I don't really know what	1	MS. MAINIGI: Yes. That's fine.
2	their their objectives were. I always	2	We'll take the continuing objection.
3	thought that we were very clear in what their	3	I'm going to give you, Mr.
4	obligations were dating back to 2005 and	4	Rannazzisi, Exhibit 11, which is a copy, I
5	before.	5	believe, of that GAO report.
6 7	I think we had an open lines of	6	THE WITNESS: Sure.
8	communication. I don't you know, if that's what they said or that's fine. But I think	7 8	(Deposition Exhibit 11 was marked for identification.)
9	that that would take away from all of the	9	BY MS. MAINIGI:
10	conversations and all of the contacts we had	10	Q. Tell me what this GAO report from
11	with the industry, the distributors,	11	June 2015 is called.
12	manufacturers, pharmacies, and even the	12	A. "Prescription Drugs, More DEA
13	physicians through that whole time period,	13	Information About Registrants' Controlled
14	so	14	Substance Roles Could Improve Their
15	Q. What's the GAO?	15	Understanding and Help Ensure Access."
16	A. Government Accountability Office.	16	Q. What does that title mean?
17	Q. And what does the Government	17	MR. BENNETT: Objection.
18	Accountability Office do?	18	THE WITNESS: I I don't know. I
19	A. That's a great question. I don't	19	didn't
20	know. Other than investigate investigate	20	MR. BENNETT: Calls for speculation.
40	Miovi. Other than investigate investigate	1 -	1
21		21	THE WITNESS: write the report.
	federal agencies, audit federal agencies to determine how they're operating.	21 22	THE WITNESS: write the report. BY MS. MAINIGI:
21	federal agencies, audit federal agencies to		÷
21 22	federal agencies, audit federal agencies to determine how they're operating.	22	BY MS. MAINIGI:
21 22 23	federal agencies, audit federal agencies to determine how they're operating. Q. That's essentially their job, right?	22 23	BY MS. MAINIGI: Q. So the name of the report itself is

	D 577		D 670
1	Page 577 Understanding and Help Ensure Access," true?	1	Page 579 I think our communication was pretty
2	A. That's what it says, yes.	2	transparent. We we communicated fine.
		3	
3	Q. Okay. And do you recall that one of the themes in this report was that DEA		So again, my response and I don't
4	•	4	recall exactly what I put in the response
5	registrants would do better with a greater	5	but I could tell you that I felt that we were
6	amount of information provided by DEA?	6	communicating very well.
7	A. I I haven't read this report in a	7	Q. And you told that to the GAO, right?
8	while. So I I can't tell you exactly what	8	A. I during my interview, I did.
9	the report says.	9	Q. And 2015, that's the same year that
10	Q. Do you remember	10	you left the agency; is that right?
11	A. If you	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. I'm sorry.	12	Q. And Mr. Rosenberg came to the agency
13	A. If you	13	in 2015?
14	Q. Go ahead.	14	A. Yes. May of 2015.
15	A. If you want to direct me to where	15	MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Let's go ahead
16	that is in the report, I could actually	16	and show the clip from Mr. Rosenberg. And tell
17	Q. Well, do you recall what the gist of	17	me if you recall it.
18	the report was?	18	(Video clip played.)
19	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form.	19	MR. ROSENBERG: "So we have 1.6
20	THE WITNESS: The the	20	million registrants in the United States."
21	BY MS. MAINIGI:	21	SPEAKER: "Right."
22	Q. Let me let me put it this way:	22	MR. ROSENBERG: "And frankly, if you
23	Do you recall that one of the criticisms that	23	think about it, you know, logically and
24	the GAO had of the DEA was that DEA was not	24	holistically, the overwhelming majority,
25	communicating enough with its registrants.	25	99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing.
	Page 578		Page 580
1	A Wall I I dowlt name and an that		
1	A. Well, I I don't remember that	1	And I think historically we"
2	being in here. Again, I have to look at it.	2	(Video clip malfunction.)
2 3	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the	2 3	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6
3 4	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I	2 3 4	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States.
3	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that.	2 3	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right.
3 4 5 6	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA	2 3 4 5 6	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you
3 4 5	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants?	2 3 4 5	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and
3 4 5 6 7 8	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to the medical community. We held pharmaceutical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my folks, 'How many times have we met with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to the medical community. We held pharmaceutical we held distributor conferences,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my folks, 'How many times have we met with industry, with organizations? How many have we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to the medical community. We held pharmaceutical we held distributor conferences, manufacturer conferences. We did all that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my folks, 'How many times have we met with industry, with organizations? How many have we set down sat down with prescribers or
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to the medical community. We held pharmaceutical we held distributor conferences, manufacturer conferences. We did all that during this time period.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my folks, 'How many times have we met with industry, with organizations? How many have we set down sat down with prescribers or doctors, with pharmacists?' And we had 300
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to the medical community. We held pharmaceutical we held distributor conferences, manufacturer conferences. We did all that during this time period. I don't know what more can our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my folks, 'How many times have we met with industry, with organizations? How many have we set down sat down with prescribers or doctors, with pharmacists?' And we had 300 such interactions or 339 such interactions
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to the medical community. We held pharmaceutical we held distributor conferences, manufacturer conferences. We did all that during this time period. I don't know what more can our our web site had information on it. We had a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my folks, 'How many times have we met with industry, with organizations? How many have we set down sat down with prescribers or doctors, with pharmacists?' And we had 300 such interactions or 339 such interactions in all of 2015.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to the medical community. We held pharmaceutical we held distributor conferences, manufacturer conferences. We did all that during this time period. I don't know what more can our our web site had information on it. We had a call center where a distributor or manufacturer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my folks, 'How many times have we met with industry, with organizations? How many have we set down sat down with prescribers or doctors, with pharmacists?' And we had 300 such interactions or 339 such interactions in all of 2015. "So far, just in the first six
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to the medical community. We held pharmaceutical we held distributor conferences, manufacturer conferences. We did all that during this time period. I don't know what more can our our web site had information on it. We had a call center where a distributor or manufacturer or a pharmacist could call the call center. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my folks, 'How many times have we met with industry, with organizations? How many have we set down sat down with prescribers or doctors, with pharmacists?' And we had 300 such interactions or 339 such interactions in all of 2015. "So far, just in the first six months of this year, we're up to 300. We
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to the medical community. We held pharmaceutical we held distributor conferences, manufacturer conferences. We did all that during this time period. I don't know what more can our our web site had information on it. We had a call center where a distributor or manufacturer or a pharmacist could call the call center. We had a liaison and policy group that would take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my folks, 'How many times have we met with industry, with organizations? How many have we set down sat down with prescribers or doctors, with pharmacists?' And we had 300 such interactions or 339 such interactions in all of 2015. "So far, just in the first six months of this year, we're up to 300. We we're going to probably double the number of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to the medical community. We held pharmaceutical we held distributor conferences, manufacturer conferences. We did all that during this time period. I don't know what more can our our web site had information on it. We had a call center where a distributor or manufacturer or a pharmacist could call the call center. We had a liaison and policy group that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my folks, 'How many times have we met with industry, with organizations? How many have we set down sat down with prescribers or doctors, with pharmacists?' And we had 300 such interactions or 339 such interactions in all of 2015. "So far, just in the first six months of this year, we're up to 300. We we're going to probably double the number of interactions. Now, that doesn't mean they're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	being in here. Again, I have to look at it. But I would say that, if that was one of the cases, I think that they're mistaken. And I think my response would have said that. Q. So it was not your view that the DEA needed to communicate more with registrants? A. We were communicating with registrants up and down the pharmaceutical chain. We were the first office to hold pharmacy diversion awareness conferences where both pharmacists and distributors attended. We were the first ones to hold you know, going out into the medical community and talking to the medical community. We held pharmaceutical we held distributor conferences, manufacturer conferences. We did all that during this time period. I don't know what more can our our web site had information on it. We had a call center where a distributor or manufacturer or a pharmacist could call the call center. We had a liaison and policy group that would take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Video clip malfunction.) Mr. Rosenberg: "So we have 1.6 million registrants in the United States. SPEAKER: "Right. Mr. Rosenberg: "And frankly, if you think about it, you know, logically and holistically, the overwhelming majority, 99-plus percent, are our allies in this thing. And I think historically we've done a very good job of alienating them. I'm being sarcastic. What we need is them as partners. "Just give you a simple metric. But in 2015 we had sort of I I asked my folks, 'How many times have we met with industry, with organizations? How many have we set down sat down with prescribers or doctors, with pharmacists?' And we had 300 such interactions or 339 such interactions in all of 2015. "So far, just in the first six months of this year, we're up to 300. We we're going to probably double the number of

	Page 581		Page 583
1	listening to them, we're going to get better.	1	We met last time during your first
2	"We've also been opaque. I think	2	deposition.
3	we've been slow. I think we've been opaque. I	3	Do you remember our discussion?
4	think we haven't responded to them. We're	4	A. Yes, sir.
5	trying to issue guidelines for them more	5	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, have you have had
6	quickly. We're trying to answer their	6	any meetings with plaintiff's counsel since our
7	questions."	7	last deposition on on April 26?
8	BY MS. MAINIGI:	8	A. No.
9	Q. Do you remember hearing that	9	Q. Now, you you testified earlier
10	testimony from Mr. Rosenberg?	10	you didn't meet with Mr. Lanier, correct?
11	A. I I saw clips of that. But I	11	A. Yes.
12	you know, I didn't I haven't seen the	12	Q. Have you had any kind of
13	whole	13	communications with any of the plaintiff's
14	Q. Were you still at the agency at that	14	lawyer between your last deposition and today?
15	point in time?	15	A. No.
16	A. I don't remember when it was, to be	16	O. No no conversations at all?
17	honest with you. I don't remember if it was in	17	A. No.
18	2015, '16, or before he left. I I just	18	Q. No phone calls?
19	Q. I gath	19	A. No.
20	A. Do you have the date on that?	20	Q. No e-mails?
21	Q. It's 2015.	21	A. No.
22	A. When is it?	22	Q. No texts?
23	Q. Oh, I'm sorry. 2016.	23	A. No. I quite frankly, I don't
24	A. Okay. What was the date in 2016?	24	know most of these people. The only contact I
25	Q. June 22nd, 2016.	25	had was when we were here last time.
	Page 582		Page 584
1	So after your departure, correct?	1	Q. Thank you.
2	A. Yeah.	2	Now, when when Mr. Lanier was
3	Q. I take	3	speaking with you earlier this morning, he
4	A. So he's been there for about a year.	4	introduced what he calls a demonstrative and
5			
6	Q. I take it you did not agree with his	5	marked as Exhibit 8.
0	Q. I take it you did not agree with his comments that the agency had been too opaque	5 6	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document?
7		5	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes.
	comments that the agency had been too opaque	5 6	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic.
7	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in
7 8	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that.	5 6 7 8 9 10	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic.
7 8 9	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on.
7 8 9 10	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure.
7 8 9 10 11	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure. Q. Now, the first the first is this
7 8 9 10 11 12	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure. Q. Now, the first the first is this
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. Time is 2:43.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure. Q. Now, the first the first is this definition that that Mr. Lanier went over
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. Time is 2:43. (A short recess was taken.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure. Q. Now, the first the first is this definition that that Mr. Lanier went over with you about controlled substances.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. Time is 2:43. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure. Q. Now, the first the first is this definition that that Mr. Lanier went over with you about controlled substances. And you testified earlier that there
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. Time is 2:43. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure. Q. Now, the first the first is this definition that that Mr. Lanier went over with you about controlled substances. And you testified earlier that there is a schedule under the Controlled Substances
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. Time is 2:43. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning of Media File No. 8.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure. Q. Now, the first the first is this definition that that Mr. Lanier went over with you about controlled substances. And you testified earlier that there is a schedule under the Controlled Substances Act for classifying different controlled
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. Time is 2:43. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning of Media File No. 8. Time is 2:45.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure. Q. Now, the first the first is this definition that that Mr. Lanier went over with you about controlled substances. And you testified earlier that there is a schedule under the Controlled Substances Act for classifying different controlled substance, correct? A. Yes. Q. A Schedule I, a Schedule II.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. Time is 2:43. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning of Media File No. 8. Time is 2:45. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR McKESSON	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure. Q. Now, the first the first is this definition that that Mr. Lanier went over with you about controlled substances. And you testified earlier that there is a schedule under the Controlled Substances Act for classifying different controlled substance, correct? A. Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. Time is 2:43. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning of Media File No. 8. Time is 2:45. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR McKESSON BY MR. EPPICH:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure. Q. Now, the first the first is this definition that that Mr. Lanier went over with you about controlled substances. And you testified earlier that there is a schedule under the Controlled Substances Act for classifying different controlled substance, correct? A. Yes. Q. A Schedule I, a Schedule II.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	comments that the agency had been too opaque and needed to issue more guidance? A. No, I did not agree with that. MS. MAINIGI: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pass the witness to one of my colleagues. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off record. Time is 2:43. (A short recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back on record. Beginning of Media File No. 8. Time is 2:45. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR McKESSON BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Rannazzisi.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	marked as Exhibit 8. Remember this this document? A. Yes. Q. See if we can work our magic. Now, there's a couple pages in Exhibit 8 that I'd like to follow up with you on. A. Sure. Q. Now, the first the first is this definition that that Mr. Lanier went over with you about controlled substances. And you testified earlier that there is a schedule under the Controlled Substances Act for classifying different controlled substance, correct? A. Yes. Q. A Schedule I, a Schedule II. That's what we have written here?

	D 505		D 607
1	Page 585 Q. There's there's five schedules?	1	Page 587 Now, there was some discussion
2	A. Yes.	2	earlier today about your interview with "60
3	Q. There's also a Schedule III,	3	Minutes."
4	correct?	4	Do you remember that?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. And and what what types of	6	Q. And you interviewed with a Mr. Bill
7	drugs do we find under Schedule III?	7	Bill Whitaker.
8	A. Schedule III would have, as far as	8	A. Yes, sir.
9	opioids, Tylenol with codeine, acetaminophen	9	Q. How many times did you meet with Mr.
10	with codeine.	10	Whitaker?
11	Q. And, sir, let me interrupt you. I'm	11	A. I met with him for the initial
12	not asking for what kind of drugs are under	12	interview. And then I met with him when he was
13	there.	13	in D.C. a few months later I guess.
14	What what is a broader definition	14	Q. And how long was your initial
15	of what type of drugs are under Schedule III?	15	interview with Mr. Whitaker?
16	A. A Schedule III drug has a legitimate	16	A. A few hours.
17	medical use but a less of a risk of physical	17	Q. Was that interview recorded?
18	and psychological dependence than than a	18	A. I I don't know exactly how
19	Schedule II drug.	19	they they do their those interviews.
20	Q. And you're aware, sir, that	20	Q. Was there
21	Hydrocodone was listed under Schedule III until	21	A. I
22	2014, correct?	22	Q a video camera present?
23	A. Yes, sir.	23	A. There was a video camera present.
24	Q. And I want to, if I could, go to	24	And I'm sure it was recorded because obviously
25	another one of Mr. Lanier's demonstratives.	25	it it was taped.
	Page 586		Page 588
1	And and you'll recall this one because he	1	Q. And you met again with him in DC; is
2	drew a a person on the on the page. And	2	that what you said?
3	he he this this person's depicted on	3	A. Yes.
4	Plaintiff's Demonstrative No. 8.	4	Q. Do you remember what date you met
5	My my and I my question for	5	with him in D.C.?
6	you, sir, is distributors don't distribute	6	A. No, I don't recall.
7	controlled substances directly to patients,	7	Q. And how long did you meet with
8	correct?	8	Mr. Whitaker when you met with him in D.C.?
9	A. Distribute to DEA registrants that	9	A. Maybe an hour or so.
10	sell or administer to patients, yes.	10	Q. So you
11	Q. And those registrants are	11	A. He was outside. We were talking.
12	pharmacies, doctors, hospitals?	12	Q. So you spent two or three hours with
13	A. Yes, among others.	13	Mr. Whitaker?
14	Q. So this is actually a doctor or a	14	A. Probably a little more than that.
15	hospital or a pharmacy, right?	15	But yeah, you know, several hours.
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	Q. Did Mr. Whitaker ask you if there
17	Q. The the hospitals, doctors and	17	was anything DEA could have done differently or
18	pharmacies, they don't ask, "Get me opioids to		better to combat the opioid crisis?
19	sell," correct?	19	A. I don't recall that specific
20	They actually submit order forms to	20	questions. He asked me a lot of question. I
21	distributors?	21	just don't recall that specific question.
22	A. Orders order forms on	22	Q. Did he ask you any questions similar
23	Schedule II. Invoices on Schedule III, IV and	23	to my question?
24	V.	24	A. I just don't recall. It was a while
25	Q. Thank you.	25	ago.

	D #00		D 501
1	Page 589 Q. Did Mr. Whitaker or the "60 Minutes"	1	A. Yes.
2	organization provide you with a tape of your	2	Q. Now, during you time as the head of
3	interviews?	3	the ODC, the Office of Diversion Control, DEA
4	A. No.	4	did not issue an immediate suspension order
5	Q. A transcript?	5	against McKesson, correct?
6	A. No.	6	A. I I just I don't recall what
7	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, did you receive	7	if I know we issued orders to show cause
8	authorization from the DOJ before you spoke to	8	against McKesson facilities. I don't recall if
9	Mr. Whitaker or "60 Minutes" or the Washington	9	we did an immediate suspension order.
10	Post?	10	Q. You don't recall if you if if
11	A. No. I was retired.	11	you issued an immediate suspension order
12	Q. Earlier today you spoke with Mr.	12	against McKesson?
13	Lanier about immediate suspension orders.	13	That's your testimony here today?
14	A. Yes, sir.	14	A. Again, we issued orders to show
15	Q. Let me find the demonstrative that	15	cause, which are part of the administrative
16	he placed in front of you, sir.	16	process. I don't recall if there was an
17	I believe this was it.	17	immediate suspension order issued or not.
18	Do you remember that discussion?	18	Q. Now, it's true that DEA did not
19	A. Yes.	19	issue an an even an order to show cause
20	Q. Now, the immediate suspension order	20	against McKesson leading to the 2017 settlement
21	is an enforcement power possessed by DEA,	21	agreement, true?
22	correct?	22	A. I was talking about 2008.
23	A. It's an administrative enforcement	23	2017, they were negotiating after I
24	tool.	24	left. So I'm not sure what
25	Q. And and an immediate suspension	25	Q. You're not
	Page 590		Page 592
1	order is a process by which DEA immediately	1	A they did.
2	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled	2	Q sure?
2 3	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct?	2 3	Q sure? A. Yes.
2 3 4	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4	Q sure?A. Yes.Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's
2 3 4 5	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the	2 3 4 5	Q sure?A. Yes.Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these
2 3 4 5 6	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension	2 3 4 5 6	 Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause
2 3 4 5 6 7	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA? A. It's imminent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA? A. It's imminent. Q. Imminent. Excuse me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA? A. It's imminent. Q. Imminent. Excuse me. A. There's a difference between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, in in 2008,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA? A. It's imminent. Q. Imminent. Excuse me. A. There's a difference between "imminent" and "immediate."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, in in 2008, I know they issued orders to show cause.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA? A. It's imminent. Q. Imminent. Excuse me. A. There's a difference between "imminent" and "immediate." Q. So let's me ask my question again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, in in 2008, I know they issued orders to show cause. Because I signed them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA? A. It's imminent. Q. Imminent. Excuse me. A. There's a difference between "imminent" and "immediate." Q. So let's me ask my question again. While you were at the DEA, DEA could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, in in 2008, I know they issued orders to show cause. Because I signed them. I don't know what happened in 2017.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA? A. It's imminent. Q. Imminent. Excuse me. A. There's a difference between "imminent" and "immediate." Q. So let's me ask my question again. While you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an imminent suspension excuse me an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, in in 2008, I know they issued orders to show cause. Because I signed them. I don't know what happened in 2017. And I was under the impression that we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA? A. It's imminent. Q. Imminent. Excuse me. A. There's a difference between "imminent" and "immediate." Q. So let's me ask my question again. While you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an imminent suspension excuse me an imminent suspension let me strike that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, in in 2008, I know they issued orders to show cause. Because I signed them. I don't know what happened in 2017. And I was under the impression that we were talking about administrative actions, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA? A. It's imminent. Q. Imminent. Excuse me. A. There's a difference between "imminent" and "immediate." Q. So let's me ask my question again. While you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an imminent suspension let me strike that. While you were at DEA, DEA could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, in in 2008, I know they issued orders to show cause. Because I signed them. I don't know what happened in 2017. And I was under the impression that we were talking about administrative actions, which would be an order to show cause or an immediate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA? A. It's imminent. Q. Imminent. Excuse me. A. There's a difference between "imminent" and "immediate." Q. So let's me ask my question again. While you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an imminent suspension excuse me an imminent suspension let me strike that. While you were at DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, in in 2008, I know they issued orders to show cause. Because I signed them. I don't know what happened in 2017. And I was under the impression that we were talking about administrative actions, which would be an order to show cause or an immediate suspension order attached to an order to show
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	suspends or revokes a registrant's controlled substance license, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, while while you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an immediate suspension order if there is an immediate danger to the public health or safety, correct? A. No. It's Q. That that wasn't A an imminent Q the standard while you were at the DEA? A. It's imminent. Q. Imminent. Excuse me. A. There's a difference between "imminent" and "immediate." Q. So let's me ask my question again. While you were at the DEA, DEA could issue an imminent suspension let me strike that. While you were at DEA, DEA could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q sure? A. Yes. Q. So if if we look at Mr. Lanier's demonstrative, Exhibit 8, it's true that these really aren't examples of orders to show cause or immediate suspension orders because you can't remember if McKesson received an mediation suspension order, and if there was an order to show cause, certainly wasn't to 2017, correct? A. Well MR. UTTER: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, in in 2008, I know they issued orders to show cause. Because I signed them. I don't know what happened in 2017. And I was under the impression that we were talking about administrative actions, which would be an order to show cause or an immediate

	D 502		D 505
1	Page 593 an immediate suspension, and the other one is	1	Page 595 BY MR. EPPICH:
2	just a a notice.	2	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, if you could turn to
3	Q. Well, let me let me just clarify	3	Page 3 with me of of Exhibit 12. I'd like
4	your testimony	4	to call your attention to what is identified as
5	A. Okay.	5	Privileged Doc 4 in Exhibit 12.
6	Q for the record.	6	It's it's identified as a
7	Sitting here today, you don't recall	7	510-page e-mail from July 25, 2005, from
8	if the DEA issued an immediate suspension order	8	yourself to NA.
9	against McKesson during your tenure at the DEA,	9	Do you see that?
10	correct?	10	A. Okay.
11	A. I don't recall that.	11	Q. And I'm sorry. It's it's a
12		12	document, not an e-mail. Pardon me.
13	Q. And you don't recall or know if the DEA issued an order to show cause against	13	
14		14	And you see the file name, it says
15	McKesson leading to the 2017 settlement agreement, correct?	15	"Rannazzisi Home Files, Redwell No. 6"? A. Uh-huh.
		16	
16	A. I don't know that for sure. Again, that settlement happened well after I was gone.	17	Q. Sir, do you keep redwells of of files at your home with documents from the
17 18	Q. Thank you.	18	DEA
19	Now, last time we were together, Mr.	19	A. I don't
20	Rannazzisi, we discussed some e-mails that you	20	Q collected in them?
21	sent from DEA your DEA government account to		A. I don't know what a redwell is.
22	your personal account.	22	Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to
23	Do you remember that discussion?	23	doubt this this document wasn't provided to
24	A. I believe so, yes.	24	the DOJ when they collected documents from you?
25	Q. And your personal e-mail address is	25	A. I don't
-			
1	Page 594 JRALKES	1	Page 596 MR. BENNETT: Objection to form.
2	A. Uh-huh.	2	THE WITNESS: I mean this
3	Q @AOL.com	3	MR. EPPICH: I'll strike
4	A. Yes.	4	THE WITNESS: Document's
5	Q correct?	5	MR. EPPICH: the question.
6	A. Yes.	6	THE WITNESS: from this
7	Q. Besides yourself, who has access to	7	document's from 2005. During that time period,
8	your AOL e-mail account?	8	a lot of documents were being sent back and
9	A. Just me.	9	forth. Because this this is an Internet
10	Q. No one else has the password to your	10	strategy. And, you know, I we're required
11	account?	11	to work on these Internet strategy. We're
12	A. No.	12	required to work on every strategy.
	Q. In addition to forwarding documents	13	So I would be looking at it, making
13			
13	to your personal account, you also took copies	14	changes and then sending it back. That's
1		15	that's common.
14	to your personal account, you also took copies		
14 15	to your personal account, you also took copies of confidential DEA documents home from your	15	that's common.
14 15 16	to your personal account, you also took copies of confidential DEA documents home from your DEA office, correct?	15 16	that's common. BY MR. EPPICH:
14 15 16 17	to your personal account, you also took copies of confidential DEA documents home from your DEA office, correct? A. No.	15 16 17	that's common. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. So, sir, the DOJ, under "Description
14 15 16 17 18	to your personal account, you also took copies of confidential DEA documents home from your DEA office, correct? A. No. MR. EPPICH: No? Okay.	15 16 17 18	that's common. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. So, sir, the DOJ, under "Description of this Document" and I I don't have a
14 15 16 17 18 19	to your personal account, you also took copies of confidential DEA documents home from your DEA office, correct? A. No. MR. EPPICH: No? Okay. Well, since your deposition, DOJ has	15 16 17 18 19	that's common. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. So, sir, the DOJ, under "Description of this Document" and I I don't have a copy of this document. I apologize. But the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to your personal account, you also took copies of confidential DEA documents home from your DEA office, correct? A. No. MR. EPPICH: No? Okay. Well, since your deposition, DOJ has identified another 128 documents that you took	15 16 17 18 19 20	that's common. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. So, sir, the DOJ, under "Description of this Document" and I I don't have a copy of this document. I apologize. But the DOJ describes the document as "DEA Internet
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to your personal account, you also took copies of confidential DEA documents home from your DEA office, correct? A. No. MR. EPPICH: No? Okay. Well, since your deposition, DOJ has identified another 128 documents that you took from DEA headquarters. Let me introduce to you what we'll mark as Exhibit 12.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's common. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. So, sir, the DOJ, under "Description of this Document" and I I don't have a copy of this document. I apologize. But the DOJ describes the document as "DEA Internet Strategy Draft, July 25, '05, Drug Threat" A. Uh-huh. Q "Need for an Internet Strategy."
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to your personal account, you also took copies of confidential DEA documents home from your DEA office, correct? A. No. MR. EPPICH: No? Okay. Well, since your deposition, DOJ has identified another 128 documents that you took from DEA headquarters. Let me introduce to you what we'll	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that's common. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. So, sir, the DOJ, under "Description of this Document" and I I don't have a copy of this document. I apologize. But the DOJ describes the document as "DEA Internet Strategy Draft, July 25, '05, Drug Threat" A. Uh-huh.

	D 507		P 500
1	Page 597 Q. The DOJ further describes the	1	Page 599 THE WITNESS: I have no idea. I
2	document as discussing, one you see the	2	don't have the document handy. This was from
3	No. 1 there "DEA Internet strategy, July 25,	3	2005.
4	2005, which details law enforcement strategies	4	MR. EPPICH: Yes, sir.
5	to identify major drug supply organizations in	5	BY MR. EPPICH:
6	order to fully dismantle drug trafficking	6	Q. Now, the you you see the
7	supply organizations."	7	notes. The DEA is withholding these documents
8	Do you see that?	8	based on the law enforcement privilege and the
9	A. Yes.	9	attorney-client privilege, among other things.
10	Q. Now, Mr. Rannazzisi, who are the	10	And DEA further provides that
11	major drug supply organizations that are	11	Exhibit 12 in in Exhibit 12, under the
12	referred to in this document?	12	Basis, that Basis column, that the contents of
13	MR. BENNETT: Objection. I believe	13	this document, if disclosed, would unduly
14	that I believe that is privileged	14	compromise the agency's deliberative process
15	information. And I would need to talk to Mr.	15	and jeopardize sensitive predecisional
16	Rannazzisi to determine what he knows about the	16	communications.
17	document that was withheld.	17	Do you see that?
18	To the extent that it was withheld	18	A. Yes.
19	based on deliberative process, law enforcement	19	Q. Yet you've been keeping these
20	and attorney-client privileged communication,	20	DEA-sensitive communications in your home for
21	he would not be able to testify to the contents	21	the past 14 years; isn't that true?
22	of that document.	22	A. They were I guess they were on my
23	MR. EPPICH: I'll move on, Mr.	23	I guess they were on my Internet account.
24	Bennett.	24	But again, they're documents from 2005. We did
25	BY MR. EPPICH:	25	a lot of business that way in 2005.
	Page 598		Page 600
1	Q. Sir, did	1	Q. Now, sir
2	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to	2	Q. Now, sirA. And throughout my tenure at we
2 3	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page	2 3	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way.
2 3 4	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there	2 3 4	Q. Now, sirA. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way.Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to
2 3 4 5	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were	2 3 4 5	 Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is MR. EPPICH: I missed that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive law enforcement financial data concerning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is MR. BENNETT: No problems there. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive law enforcement financial data concerning manufacturers, distributors and pharmacies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is MR. EPPICH: I missed that. MR. BENNETT: No problems there. So i believe the remaining 502 pages were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive law enforcement financial data concerning manufacturers, distributors and pharmacies monitored for civil litigation, procedural and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is MR. EPPICH: I missed that. MR. BENNETT: No problems there. So i believe the remaining 502 pages were provided.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive law enforcement financial data concerning manufacturers, distributors and pharmacies monitored for civil litigation, procedural and regulatory compliance of controlled substances.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is MR. EPPICH: I missed that. MR. BENNETT: No problems there. So i believe the remaining 502 pages were provided. BY MR. EPPICH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive law enforcement financial data concerning manufacturers, distributors and pharmacies monitored for civil litigation, procedural and regulatory compliance of controlled substances. Do you see that, sir?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is MR. EPPICH: I missed that. MR. BENNETT: No problems there. So i believe the remaining 502 pages were provided. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Now, Mr. Rannazzisi, did the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive law enforcement financial data concerning manufacturers, distributors and pharmacies monitored for civil litigation, procedural and regulatory compliance of controlled substances. Do you see that, sir? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is MR. EPPICH: I missed that. MR. BENNETT: No problems there. So i believe the remaining 502 pages were provided. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Now, Mr. Rannazzisi, did the strategies discussed in this document include	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive law enforcement financial data concerning manufacturers, distributors and pharmacies monitored for civil litigation, procedural and regulatory compliance of controlled substances. Do you see that, sir? A. Yes. Q. The DEA is not producing these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is MR. EPPICH: I missed that. MR. BENNETT: No problems there. So i believe the remaining 502 pages were provided. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Now, Mr. Rannazzisi, did the strategies discussed in this document include changes to the requirements for pharmaceutical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive law enforcement financial data concerning manufacturers, distributors and pharmacies monitored for civil litigation, procedural and regulatory compliance of controlled substances. Do you see that, sir? A. Yes. Q. The DEA is not producing these documents under the law enforcement privilege.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is MR. EPPICH: I missed that. MR. BENNETT: No problems there. So i believe the remaining 502 pages were provided. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Now, Mr. Rannazzisi, did the strategies discussed in this document include changes to the requirements for pharmaceutical distributors under the CSA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive law enforcement financial data concerning manufacturers, distributors and pharmacies monitored for civil litigation, procedural and regulatory compliance of controlled substances. Do you see that, sir? A. Yes. Q. The DEA is not producing these documents under the law enforcement privilege. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is MR. EPPICH: I missed that. MR. BENNETT: No problems there. So i believe the remaining 502 pages were provided. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Now, Mr. Rannazzisi, did the strategies discussed in this document include changes to the requirements for pharmaceutical distributors under the CSA? MR. BENNETT: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive law enforcement financial data concerning manufacturers, distributors and pharmacies monitored for civil litigation, procedural and regulatory compliance of controlled substances. Do you see that, sir? A. Yes. Q. The DEA is not producing these documents under the law enforcement privilege. Do you see that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Sir, did MR. BENNETT: And I I do want to clarify. I think you said it was a 510-page document that was withheld. I believe there were only 8 pages out of 510 that were withheld. MR. EPPICH: Thank you. That's not representing the document. So thank you for that. MR. BENNETT: It says: "Pages 18 through 25 and 142 have been withheld." MR. EPPICH: Thank you. MR. BENNETT: So it is MR. EPPICH: I missed that. MR. BENNETT: No problems there. So i believe the remaining 502 pages were provided. BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Now, Mr. Rannazzisi, did the strategies discussed in this document include changes to the requirements for pharmaceutical distributors under the CSA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Now, sir A. And throughout my tenure at we were doing business that way. Q. Sir, I'm not going to be able to review every document in this log with you today A. Uh-huh. Q with the time as short as it is. But let me direct you to the last page of the document. And these are documents these are two documents that are described in the Description column as having highly sensitive law enforcement financial data concerning manufacturers, distributors and pharmacies monitored for civil litigation, procedural and regulatory compliance of controlled substances. Do you see that, sir? A. Yes. Q. The DEA is not producing these documents under the law enforcement privilege. Do you see that?

60 (Pages 597 - 600)

	Page 601		Page 603
1	Documents 127 and 128 in this log	1	copies of DEA
2	contain the confidential financial data and	2	BY MR. EPPICH:
3	information of defendants to this lawsuit.	3	Q. You don't have a filing cabinet full
4	Do you see that?	4	of hard copy DEA documents?
5	A. Again, I I I see what it says.	5	A. No.
6	But I don't know what the documents are.	6	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, have you been
7	Q. But you have a copy of these	7	disciplined by the DEA for removing
8	documents in your home, correct?	8	DEA-sensitive documents and e-mails from the
9	A. I haven't looked at I haven't	9	DEA headquarters?
10	looked for these I I went through and	10	A. No.
11	gave them the documents that I had. I don't	11	Q. Last time we were together, we also
12	know what these documents are.	12	talked about your attention as a consultant and
13	I I could tell you that these	13	expert witness in the opioid litigations.
14	documents, I probably had them because we were	14	Do you remember that testimony?
15	either going into hearing or we had a court	15	A. Yes.
16	appearance where I was preparing for. So	16	Q. Now, you're aware that the
17	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, how many sensitive	17	plaintiffs have retained other consultants and
18	DEA documents and e-mails do you have in your	18	experts in this case and in the opioid
19	home?	19	litigations in general?
20	A. I	20	A. I'm yes.
21	MR. BENNETT: Objection to Form.	21	Q. You're familiar with Craig McCann?
22	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't	22	A. Yes, I am.
23	have any sensitive I as far as I know, I	23	Q. Jim Rafalski?
24	don't have any sensitive or or any any	24	A. Yes.
25	documents like that. I've got to go back and	25	Q. Seth Whitelaw?
	Page 602		Page 604
1	look. But I just don't think I have those	1	A. No.
2	documents.	2	Q. Frank Yonker?
3	BY MR. EPPICH:	3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, did you ask the	4	Q. Jim Geldhof?
5	manufacturers, distributors and pharmacies for	5	A. Yes.
6	permission to maintain copies of their	6	Q. David Schiller?
7	financial data and information at your home in	7	A. Yes.
8	your personal e-mail account?	8	Q. You attended a meeting in June 2008
9	A. I don't	9	with these experts and consultants along with
10	MR. BENNETT: Objection.	10	plaintiff's counsel, did you not?
11	Argumentative.	11	MR. UTTER: Object to time frame.
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know what	12	Go ahead.
13	those documents are. And obviously I didn't	13	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't
14	ask any permission because I don't even know what those documents are.	14	recall I've attended meetings with Craig
15	what those documents are. BY MR. EPPICH:	15	McCann. But I don't recall any of those people being there other than Craig McCann.
16		16 17	BY MR. EPPICH:
17 18	Q. Did you share any of these or other	18	
19	DEA documents with any other person or entity? A. No.	19	Q. When was your last meeting with Mr. McCann?
20		20	
20	Q. Where do you keep copies of hard copy sensitive DEA documents in your home?	21	A. It was probably around a year ago.Q. And where was that meeting held?
21 22		22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22 23	MR. BENNETT: Objection.	23	1 2
23	MR. UTTER: Object to form. MR. BENNETT: Argumentative.	24	Q. What did you discuss with Mr. McCann?
25	THE WITNESS: I don't have any hard	25	A. That if I recall, that if I
43	THE WITTNESS. I don't have any hard	43	A. That II I Iccall, that II I

	D (0)		D (05
1	Page 605	1	Page 607
1	recall, we were talking about ARCOS.	1	were approximately 30 people, plaintiffs'
2	Q. And what did you share with him	2	lawyers as well as some experts, where you were
3	about ARCOS?	3	in attendance sometime last summer?
4	A. I didn't share anything. We they	4	A. That was the meeting I was talking
5	they I was just listening to what they	5	about, yes, with Dr
6	were doing with ARCOS information.	6	Q. Oh, I see.
7	Q. What do you mean when you say what	7	A McCann.
8	were they doing with ARCOS information?	8	Q. So so it was Dr. McCann and
9	A. How they were looking at ARCOS	9	and several
10	information that was given to them in	10	A. And
11	discovery.	11	Q other people?
12	Q. Did Mr. McCann ask you any	12	A. Yeah. But that but none of I
13	questions?	13	don't recall any of the people that you talked
14	A. I believe the only question that	14	about were there.
15	came up was on benzodiazepines.	15	Q. So at that meeting with counsel,
16	Q. Did you discuss any of the	16	were there any presentations made?
17	methodologies that Dr. McCann was planning to	17	A. I believe there was a presentation
18	use in an expert report for this litigation?	18	made. But again, I wasn't there. I mean I
19	A. No. I'm not a I'm not a	19	I didn't do any presentation. I was just there
20	technical guy. And it's not he was doing	20	as an observer and to
21	all the tech work.	21	Q. Were there
22	Q. So you had a meeting with him in	22	A answer questions.
23	May approximately one year ago in 2018.	23	Q any documents provided to you?
24	What what other you mentioned	24	A. No.
25	you may have had other meetings with him.	25	Q. Any documents e-mailed to you after
	Page 606		D (00
	1 450 000		Page 608
1	What other meetings did you have	1	Page 608 the fact?
1 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 2	
	What other meetings did you have		the fact? A. There there were documents that
2	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann?	2	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes.
2 3	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him.	2 3	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes.
2 3 4	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting	2 3 4	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents
2 3 4 5	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this	2 3 4 5	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the
2 3 4 5 6	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation?	2 3 4 5 6	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that
2 3 4 5 6 7	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he	2 3 4 5 6 7	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I mean, again, I I'm not I'm not part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else A. No, no, no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else A. No, no, no Q sent to the attorneys?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I mean, again, I I'm not I'm not part of that MDL litigation, so BY MR. EPPICH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else A. No, no, no Q sent to the attorneys?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I mean, again, I I'm not I'm not part of that MDL litigation, so BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Did you provide Mr Dr. McCann	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else A. No, no, no Q sent to the attorneys? A no, no. I didn't send anything to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I mean, again, I I'm not I'm not part of that MDL litigation, so BY MR. EPPICH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else A. No, no, no Q sent to the attorneys? A no, no. I didn't send anything to Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I mean, again, I I'm not I'm not part of that MDL litigation, so BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Did you provide Mr Dr. McCann with any documentation? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else A. No, no, no Q sent to the attorneys? A no, no. I didn't send anything to Q. Okay. A the attorneys. I I was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I mean, again, I I'm not I'm not part of that MDL litigation, so BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Did you provide Mr Dr. McCann with any documentation? A. No. Q. Have you had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else A. No, no, no Q sent to the attorneys? A no, no. I didn't send anything to Q. Okay. A the attorneys. I I was not involved in any doc I was there to answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I mean, again, I I'm not I'm not part of that MDL litigation, so BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Did you provide Mr Dr. McCann with any documentation? A. No. Q. Have you had A. Absolutely not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else A. No, no, no Q sent to the attorneys? A no, no. I didn't send anything to Q. Okay. A the attorneys. I I was not involved in any doc I was there to answer questions if they had any. That's the extent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I mean, again, I I'm not I'm not part of that MDL litigation, so BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Did you provide Mr Dr. McCann with any documentation? A. No. Q. Have you had A. Absolutely not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else A. No, no, no Q sent to the attorneys? A no, no. I didn't send anything to Q. Okay. A the attorneys. I I was not involved in any doc I was there to answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I mean, again, I I'm not I'm not part of that MDL litigation, so BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Did you provide Mr Dr. McCann with any documentation? A. No. Q. Have you had A. Absolutely not. Q any communications that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else A. No, no, no Q sent to the attorneys? A no, no. I didn't send anything to Q. Okay. A the attorneys. I I was not involved in any doc I was there to answer questions if they had any. That's the extent of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	What other meetings did you have with Dr. McCann? A. I believe that was the only meeting I had with him. Q. Did you have any other meetings with experts that have been disclosed in this litigation? MR. UTTER: Object. I'm not sure he knows who they are. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I I don't know of any I'm just thinking to think of who I met with. I mean McCann definitely would I mean, again, I I'm not I'm not part of that MDL litigation, so BY MR. EPPICH: Q. Did you provide Mr Dr. McCann with any documentation? A. No. Q. Have you had A. Absolutely not. Q any communications that are written with Dr. McCann?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the fact? A. There there were documents that went to the attorneys, yes. Q. And what do you mean by "documents that went to the attorneys," sir? A. They were documents related to the case that went to the attorneys that that went to the attorneys in both the MDL and I'm sure the other litigation that was Q. But pardon me. A. Yeah. Q. And and those are documents that you sent to the attorneys or someone else A. No, no, no Q sent to the attorneys? A no, no. I didn't send anything to Q. Okay. A the attorneys. I I was not involved in any doc I was there to answer questions if they had any. That's the extent of my Q. And what was the

62 (Pages 605 - 608)

	Page 609		Page 611
1	PowerPoint presentation?	1	Q. Well, I'd just like to be a little
2	A. I just don't remember what it	2	bit
3	it was involved involving ARCOS. And I	3	A. Okay.
4	don't really recall. I just know it was an	4	Q more precise.
5	ARCOS presentation.	5	A. Okay.
6	MR. EPPICH: Thank you, Mr.	6	Q. Would you agree that, enforcing the
7	Rannazzisi. Let me	7	Controlled Substances Act, you believed that
8	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.	8	every individual is entitled to due process in
9	MR. EPPICH: pass you to my	9	every investigation DEA conducts?
10	colleagues.	10	A. I agree that, yeah, due process is a
11	We're let's go off the record.	11	very important part of the of the overall
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off record.	12	scheme, yes.
13	Time is 3:09.	13	Q. And do you believe that DEA must
14	(A short recess was taken.)	14	assess the facts as to each individual
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going back	15	registrant separately to determine whether that
16	on record.	16	specific registrant has violated the Controlled
17	Beginning of Media File 9.	17	Substances Act?
18	Time is 3:12.	18	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
19	MR. EPPICH: Mr. Rannazzisi, just	19	THE WITNESS: I think I made it
20	one quick housekeeping item.	20	pretty clear that each each investigation is
21	I I'd marked up the plaintiff's	21	fairly fact specific. And we could only go on
22	demonstrative, Exhibit 8. And I'm going to	22	the facts that are generated from the
23	mark that as Exhibit No. 13 of your deposition.	23	investigation, yes.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay.	24	BY MR. STEPHENS:
25	MR. EPPICH: Thank you again.	25	Q. So you would agree with that
	Page 610		Page 612
1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	1	statement?
1 2	THE WITNESS: Thank you. (Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked	1 2	A. Yes.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		A. Yes.Q. Okay. Would you also agree that
2	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked	2	A. Yes.Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail
2 3	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.)	2 3	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized
2 3 4	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART	2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being
2 3 4 5	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS:	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized
2 3 4 5 6	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being
2 3 4 5 6 7	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right. BY MR. STEPHENS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good. My time is short.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good. My time is short. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Each manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good. My time is short. A. Okay. Q. So I will try and be quick.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Each manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conduct before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good. My time is short. A. Okay. Q. So I will try and be quick. In your testimony earlier today, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Each manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conduct before being accused of potential violations of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good. My time is short. A. Okay. Q. So I will try and be quick. In your testimony earlier today, you had you were talking about whether certain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Each manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conduct before being accused of potential violations of the Controlled Substances Act.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good. My time is short. A. Okay. Q. So I will try and be quick. In your testimony earlier today, you had you were talking about whether certain registrants would comply. And you used terms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Each manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conduct before being accused of potential violations of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good. My time is short. A. Okay. Q. So I will try and be quick. In your testimony earlier today, you had you were talking about whether certain registrants would comply. And you used terms like "they" or "industry." Okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Each manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conduct before being accused of potential violations of the Controlled Substances Act.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good. My time is short. A. Okay. Q. So I will try and be quick. In your testimony earlier today, you had you were talking about whether certain registrants would comply. And you used terms like "they" or "industry." Okay? Do you recall that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Each manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conduct before being accused of potential violations of the Controlled Substances Act. A. I believe that the process of the order to show cause letter of admonition, memorandum of agreement is part of that review.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good. My time is short. A. Okay. Q. So I will try and be quick. In your testimony earlier today, you had you were talking about whether certain registrants would comply. And you used terms like "they" or "industry." Okay? Do you recall that? A. I I don't recall it. But "they"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Each manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conduct before being accused of potential violations of the Controlled Substances Act. A. I believe that the process of the order to show cause letter of admonition, memorandum of agreement is part of that review. So if you're asking me does a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Deposition Exhibit 13 was marked for identification.) EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR WALMART BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. It's good to see you again. How are you? A. Fine. How are you? Q. Good. My time is short. A. Okay. Q. So I will try and be quick. In your testimony earlier today, you had you were talking about whether certain registrants would comply. And you used terms like "they" or "industry." Okay? Do you recall that? A. I I don't recall it. But "they" or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would you also agree that every manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conducted by DEA before being accused for any potential violation of the Controlled Substances Act? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: I don't I don't think I would necessarily I repeat the question. I want to make sure I get that right. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Each manufacturer, distributor and retail chain pharmacy is entitled to individualized review of its own conduct before being accused of potential violations of the Controlled Substances Act. A. I believe that the process of the order to show cause letter of admonition, memorandum of agreement is part of that review.

63 (Pages 609 - 612)

1	Page 613	1	Page 615
$\frac{1}{2}$	investigation and just have DEA tell us tell	1	Q. Let me sharpen it one more time
2	them what their conduct is that violates the	2	A. Okay.
3	Act, that's what an order to show cause is for.	3	Q Mr. Rannazzisi.
4	Q. Okay. Let me re ask it a little	4	Let's assume that we're only looking
5	bit differently	5	at the transactions where Distributor A
6	A. Okay.	6	supplies Customer X. That's it for this
7	Q so I know that we're talking kind	7	A. Okay.
8	of apples	8	Q hypothetical.
9	A. Okay.	9	A. Uh-huh.
10	Q to apples.	10	Q. Distributor B is not involved.
11	Is that fair?	11	A. Okay.
12	A. Yeah.	12	Q. Distributor B does not do business
13	Q. And let let me do it this way:	13	with Distributor A. Distributor B does not
14	If Distributor A is supplying Customer X	14	supply Customer X.
15	A. Uh-huh.	15	A. Okay.
16	Q and DEA has concerns about what	16	Q. My point is is what I'm trying
17	Distributor A is doing with Customer X	17	to make is a what I think is a fairly simple
18	A. Uh-huh.	18	point.
19	Q is it fair to charge Distributor	19	DEA would not blame Distributor B
20	B with Distributor A's conduct?	20	for the conduct that it's investigating of
21	A. I I'm just curious. Where did	21	Distributor A and Customer X.
22	Distributor B come come from?	22	A. No. We wouldn't do that.
23	We were talking about A and the	23	Q. Okay. During your tenure as deputy
24	pharmacy, right? And all of a sudden you're	24	assistant administrator, if DEA learned about
25	talking about Distributor B. Maybe I'm I'm	25	potential diversion at a pain clinic, would you
1	Page 614	,	Page 616
1	just missing the point here.	1	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic?
2	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question.	2	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then
2 3	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay.	2 3	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that
2 3 4	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer	2 3 4	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an
2 3 4 5	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X.	2 3 4 5	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation.
2 3 4 5 6	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes."
2 3 4 5 6 7	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business	2 3 4 5 6 7	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of Distributor A and Customer X?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you would have been troubled as deputy assistant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of Distributor A and Customer X? A. Does distributor does Distributor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you would have been troubled as deputy assistant administrator if DEA received information about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of Distributor A and Customer X? A. Does distributor does Distributor B are they committing violations?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you would have been troubled as deputy assistant administrator if DEA received information about diversion at a pain clinic and failed to act on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of Distributor A and Customer X? A. Does distributor does Distributor B are they committing violations? Because again, a lot there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you would have been troubled as deputy assistant administrator if DEA received information about diversion at a pain clinic and failed to act on that information to stop the potential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of Distributor A and Customer X? A. Does distributor does Distributor B are they committing violations? Because again, a lot there's a lot of fact-specific questions I I need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you would have been troubled as deputy assistant administrator if DEA received information about diversion at a pain clinic and failed to act on that information to stop the potential diversion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of Distributor A and Customer X? A. Does distributor does Distributor B are they committing violations? Because again, a lot there's a lot of fact-specific questions I I need to ask.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you would have been troubled as deputy assistant administrator if DEA received information about diversion at a pain clinic and failed to act on that information to stop the potential diversion? MR. BENNETT: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of Distributor A and Customer X? A. Does distributor does Distributor B are they committing violations? Because again, a lot there's a lot of fact-specific questions I I need to ask. If Distributor B is not doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you would have been troubled as deputy assistant administrator if DEA received information about diversion at a pain clinic and failed to act on that information to stop the potential diversion? MR. BENNETT: Objection to form. MR. UTTER: Same objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of Distributor A and Customer X? A. Does distributor does Distributor B are they committing violations? Because again, a lot there's a lot of fact-specific questions I I need to ask. If Distributor B is not doing anything, not violating any kind of Controlled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you would have been troubled as deputy assistant administrator if DEA received information about diversion at a pain clinic and failed to act on that information to stop the potential diversion? MR. BENNETT: Objection to form. MR. UTTER: Same objection. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of Distributor A and Customer X? A. Does distributor does Distributor B are they committing violations? Because again, a lot there's a lot of fact-specific questions I I need to ask. If Distributor B is not doing anything, not violating any kind of Controlled Substances Act or regulation provision or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you would have been troubled as deputy assistant administrator if DEA received information about diversion at a pain clinic and failed to act on that information to stop the potential diversion? MR. BENNETT: Objection to form. MR. UTTER: Same objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I would be surprised
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of Distributor A and Customer X? A. Does distributor does Distributor B are they committing violations? Because again, a lot there's a lot of fact-specific questions I I need to ask. If Distributor B is not doing anything, not violating any kind of Controlled Substances Act or regulation provision or regulation, then I I guess no. But if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you would have been troubled as deputy assistant administrator if DEA received information about diversion at a pain clinic and failed to act on that information to stop the potential diversion? MR. BENNETT: Objection to form. MR. UTTER: Same objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I would be surprised if if DEA received information on a rogue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just missing the point here. Q. Okay. Let me re-ask the question. A. Okay. Q. Distributor A supplies to Customer X. A. Yes. Q. Distributor B does not do business with Distributor A and does not supply Customer X. Are you with me? A. Yes. Q. Do you think it's fair to lump Distributor B into any investigation of Distributor A and Customer X? A. Does distributor does Distributor B are they committing violations? Because again, a lot there's a lot of fact-specific questions I I need to ask. If Distributor B is not doing anything, not violating any kind of Controlled Substances Act or regulation provision or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	expect D DEA to investigate the pain clinic? A. DEA takes in information and then conducts investigations based on that information. So yes, there would be an investigation. Q. So that's a "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say A. Again, it's fact-specific. But for the most part, if it's a rogue pain clinic and they have good information, yes, they would initiate an investigation. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you would have been troubled as deputy assistant administrator if DEA received information about diversion at a pain clinic and failed to act on that information to stop the potential diversion? MR. BENNETT: Objection to form. MR. UTTER: Same objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I would be surprised

1	Page 617		Page 619
1	I'd be very surprised, yes.	1	prescribe, as you described earlier today
2	BY MR. STEPHENS:	2	A. Uh-huh.
3	Q. Earlier today we we talked about	3	Q DEA has the ability to in the
4	immediate suspension orders.	4	future, after getting the immediate suspension
5	A. Yes, sir.	5	order, to backtrack and work a criminal
6	Q. Right.	6	investigation to see if DEA wants to bring a
7	And you're familiar	7	criminal indictment against the doctor or the
8	A. Uh-huh.	8	pain clinic.
9	Q both on the questioning from	9	A. Yes. You could do that.
10	plaintiff's counsel and questioning from	10	Q. All right. When we met last time,
11	defendant's counsel. You received questions	11	you had mentioned that at times DEA delayed
12	about immediate suspensions orders, what they	l .	obtaining administrative actions like immediate
13	are, and how DEA obtains them.	13	suspension orders while a criminal
14	A. Yes.	14	investigation was ongoing.
15	Q. Fair? All right.	15	Do you recall that testimony?
16	Would you agree that an immediate	16	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Misstates
17	suspension order gives DEA the ability to	17	testimony.
18	immediately stop diversion and then backtrack	l .	THE WITNESS: No. I don't recall
19	and build a criminal case against the diverting	19	that. I I recall you discussing that and
20	doctor?	20	asking me if if we had delayed based
21	MR. UTTER: Object to form.	21	on based on active criminal investigations.
22	Go ahead.	22	MR. STEPHENS: Right.
23	THE WITNESS: Well, you'd we	23	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
24	separate our our administrative	24	BY MR. STEPHENS:
25	investigations from our criminal	25	Q. And and delayed pursuing
1	Page 618		Page 620
	investigations.	1	administrative relief, correct?
	investigations. Can parallel proceedings occur?	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	administrative relief, correct? A. That's
2	Can parallel proceedings occur?	2	A. That's
	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our	2 3	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he
2 3 4	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that,	2 3 4	A. That's
2 3	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations.	2 3 4 5	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of
2 3 4 5	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS:	2 3 4	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask
2 3 4 5 6	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an	2 3 4 5 6	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of
2 3 4 5 6 7	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS:	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an immediate well, an immediate suspension	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make sure the record's clear that he's not saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an immediate well, an immediate suspension order is not a seizure order. It's a it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make sure the record's clear that he's not saying that happened. He's and please correct me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an immediate well, an immediate suspension order is not a seizure order. It's a it's it's just an order that stops that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make sure the record's clear that he's not saying that happened. He's and please correct me if I'm wrong. He's saying you asked that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an immediate well, an immediate suspension order is not a seizure order. It's a it's it's just an order that stops that registrant suspends his ability to to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make sure the record's clear that he's not saying that happened. He's and please correct me if I'm wrong. He's saying you asked that question. So if you're asking whether you asked the question or not, he remembers that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an immediate well, an immediate suspension order is not a seizure order. It's a it's it's just an order that stops that registrant suspends his ability to to distribute controlled substances. And it gives	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make sure the record's clear that he's not saying that happened. He's and please correct me if I'm wrong. He's saying you asked that question. So if you're asking whether you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an immediate well, an immediate suspension order is not a seizure order. It's a it's it's just an order that stops that registrant suspends his ability to to distribute controlled substances. And it gives him, again, a opportunity of due process to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make sure the record's clear that he's not saying that happened. He's and please correct me if I'm wrong. He's saying you asked that question. So if you're asking whether you asked the question or not, he remembers that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an immediate well, an immediate suspension order is not a seizure order. It's a it's it's just an order that stops that registrant suspends his ability to to distribute controlled substances. And it gives him, again, a opportunity of due process to appear in an administrative hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make sure the record's clear that he's not saying that happened. He's and please correct me if I'm wrong. He's saying you asked that question. So if you're asking whether you asked the question or not, he remembers that. I think that's fine. But I believe in the transcript we we objected. But again, I don't have it in front of me, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an immediate well, an immediate suspension order is not a seizure order. It's a it's it's just an order that stops that registrant suspends his ability to to distribute controlled substances. And it gives him, again, a opportunity of due process to appear in an administrative hearing. I don't I can we use the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make sure the record's clear that he's not saying that happened. He's and please correct me if I'm wrong. He's saying you asked that question. So if you're asking whether you asked the question or not, he remembers that. I think that's fine. But I believe in the transcript we we objected. But again, I don't have it in front of me, so BY MR. STEPHENS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an immediate well, an immediate suspension order is not a seizure order. It's a it's it's just an order that stops that registrant suspends his ability to to distribute controlled substances. And it gives him, again, a opportunity of due process to appear in an administrative hearing. I don't I can we use the information obtained from that? I I that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make sure the record's clear that he's not saying that happened. He's and please correct me if I'm wrong. He's saying you asked that question. So if you're asking whether you asked the question or not, he remembers that. I think that's fine. But I believe in the transcript we we objected. But again, I don't have it in front of me, so BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, directing to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an immediate well, an immediate suspension order is not a seizure order. It's a it's it's just an order that stops that registrant suspends his ability to to distribute controlled substances. And it gives him, again, a opportunity of due process to appear in an administrative hearing. I don't I can we use the information obtained from that? I I that I Q. That's not my question. My question simply is, after DEA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make sure the record's clear that he's not saying that happened. He's and please correct me if I'm wrong. He's saying you asked that question. So if you're asking whether you asked the question or not, he remembers that. I think that's fine. But I believe in the transcript we we objected. But again, I don't have it in front of me, so BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, directing to your testimony to April 26, 2009, at Page 171, lines
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Can parallel proceedings occur? Yes. But for the most part, our our administrative investigations are just that, administrative investigations. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Okay. But after obtaining an immediate suspension order, could you backtrack and then work the criminal case? A. I'm sure you could yeah. You you could use the information obtained from an immediate well, an immediate suspension order is not a seizure order. It's a it's it's just an order that stops that registrant suspends his ability to to distribute controlled substances. And it gives him, again, a opportunity of due process to appear in an administrative hearing. I don't I can we use the information obtained from that? I I that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's MR. BENNETT: You're answer did he ask the question. I don't have the record in front of me, Counsel. But I believe that you did ask that question. And I believe that we instructed the witness that he was not authorized to answer that question. And I believe that was upheld by the special master. So I don't I just want to make sure the record's clear that he's not saying that happened. He's and please correct me if I'm wrong. He's saying you asked that question. So if you're asking whether you asked the question or not, he remembers that. I think that's fine. But I believe in the transcript we we objected. But again, I don't have it in front of me, so BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, directing to your

	D (21		D (22
1	Page 621 the witness?	1	Page 623
2	MR. STEPHENS: I yeah. I will	2	the way down the page where Mrs Mrs. Brooks
3	give that to him. Just one second.	$\frac{2}{3}$	says: "Are you saying that the U.S. Attorneys were asking as a former U.S. Attorney, are
4	BY MR. STEPHENS:	4	•
		5	you saying that's the U.S. Attorneys were
5	Q. Were you asked the this question?		asking or telling DEA not to issue ISOs?"
6	Did you give the following response?	6 7	Do you see that? A. Yes.
7	"Mr. Rannazzisi, did the Office of		
8 9	Diversion Control ever delay an administrative		Q. Did I read that accurately?
	action while a criminal investigation was	9	A. Yes. I'd like to read beforehand
10	ongoing?"	10	what was leading up to that though.
11	Answer: "Yes."	11	Q. Yeah. And Mr. Rannazzisi, what I'm
12	MR. LANIER: Note objection.	12	hoping to do here is refresh your recollection
13	Note the there's an objection	13	as to how long the delays were at the DEA on
14	that you're authorized to answer that question	14	filing administrative actions like immediate
15	"yes" or "no" only, is the instruction from Mr.	15	suspension orders.
16	Bennett.	16	MR. BENNETT: Objection. I don't
17	MR. BENNETT: Thank you, Counsel.	17	believe he is authorized to discuss that. I
18	BY MR. STEPHENS:	18	think that was the whole thing that came up in
19	Q. All right. Were you asked that	19	the last one. I know he did answer that he
20	question, and did you give that answer, sir?	20	doesn't remember.
21	A. I can I see the transcript? I	21	MR. STEPHENS: I think this door
22	yes.	22	MR. BENNETT: Even if it's
23	Q. It's 171, lines 9 to 15.	23	refreshed, I would object and say that's
24	A. Okay. Yes.	24	privileged, and he's not authorized to answer
25	Q. Were you asked that question? Did	25	it.
1	Page 622	1	Page 624
1	you give that response?	1	And I will note that congressional
2	you give that response? A. Yes.	2	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the
2 3	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could	2 3	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice.
2 3 4	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you	2 3 4	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open.
2 3 4 5	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall.	2 3 4 5	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so,
2 3 4 5 6	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true.	2 3 4 5 6	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree.
2 3 4 5 6 7	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight Investigations, Committee on Energy and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your attention to Page 55. And you'll see at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight Investigations, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your attention to Page 55. And you'll see at the top of the page Mrs. Brooks asked a question to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight Investigations, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives. And I would direct your attention to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your attention to Page 55. And you'll see at the top of the page Mrs. Brooks asked a question to Mr. Patterson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight Investigations, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives. And I would direct your attention to pages 54 and 55.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your attention to Page 55. And you'll see at the top of the page Mrs. Brooks asked a question to Mr. Patterson. Now, Mr. Patterson is Robert
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight Investigations, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives. And I would direct your attention to pages 54 and 55. (Deposition Exhibit 14 was marked)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your attention to Page 55. And you'll see at the top of the page Mrs. Brooks asked a question to Mr. Patterson. Now, Mr. Patterson is Robert Patterson, the acting administrator for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight Investigations, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives. And I would direct your attention to pages 54 and 55. (Deposition Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your attention to Page 55. And you'll see at the top of the page Mrs. Brooks asked a question to Mr. Patterson. Now, Mr. Patterson is Robert Patterson, the acting administrator for the Drug Enforcement Administration in 2018; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight Investigations, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives. And I would direct your attention to pages 54 and 55. (Deposition Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.) BY MR. STEPHENS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your attention to Page 55. And you'll see at the top of the page Mrs. Brooks asked a question to Mr. Patterson. Now, Mr. Patterson is Robert Patterson, the acting administrator for the Drug Enforcement Administration in 2018; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight Investigations, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives. And I would direct your attention to pages 54 and 55. (Deposition Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.) BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. All right. Mr. Rannazzisi, I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your attention to Page 55. And you'll see at the top of the page Mrs. Brooks asked a question to Mr. Patterson. Now, Mr. Patterson is Robert Patterson, the acting administrator for the Drug Enforcement Administration in 2018; is that right? A. Yes. He was the acting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight Investigations, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives. And I would direct your attention to pages 54 and 55. (Deposition Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.) BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. All right. Mr. Rannazzisi, I would direct your attention to Page 54 where there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your attention to Page 55. And you'll see at the top of the page Mrs. Brooks asked a question to Mr. Patterson. Now, Mr. Patterson is Robert Patterson, the acting administrator for the Drug Enforcement Administration in 2018; is that right? A. Yes. He was the acting administrator.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight Investigations, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives. And I would direct your attention to pages 54 and 55. (Deposition Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.) BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. All right. Mr. Rannazzisi, I would direct your attention to Page 54 where there is a discussion between Mrs. Brooks and Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your attention to Page 55. And you'll see at the top of the page Mrs. Brooks asked a question to Mr. Patterson. Now, Mr. Patterson is Robert Patterson, the acting administrator for the Drug Enforcement Administrator in 2018; is that right? A. Yes. He was the acting administrator. Q. That is the number one position in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you give that response? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I also ask you if you could recall how long those delays were, and you couldn't recall. A. That's true. Q. Remember that? All right. A. Yes, sir. MR. STEPHENS: I'd like to mark this as the next in order, Bonnie, No. 14. And I'll just describe it for the record. It is a March 20th, 2018 hearing before the Subcommittee of Oversight Investigations, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives. And I would direct your attention to pages 54 and 55. (Deposition Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.) BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. All right. Mr. Rannazzisi, I would direct your attention to Page 54 where there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And I will note that congressional testimony does not waive privilege by the Department of Justice. MR. STEPHENS: Door is wide open. MR. BENNETT: I don't believe so, Counsel. I disagree. MR. STEPHENS: Plaintiff's lawyers talked for for quite a bit this morning about immediate suspension orders. MR. BENNETT: That's fine. BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. So, Mr. Rannazzisi, as far as the the time of the delay, I would direct your attention to Page 55. And you'll see at the top of the page Mrs. Brooks asked a question to Mr. Patterson. Now, Mr. Patterson is Robert Patterson, the acting administrator for the Drug Enforcement Administration in 2018; is that right? A. Yes. He was the acting administrator.

ght to ove to annazzisi asel's nsion You car 'no" back to	testimony. If if he's going to be denied the right and and objected to the right answer this question, I'm going to move strike all of the testimony that Mr. Rann provided in response to plaintiff counse questions regarding immediate suspensitions orders. SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Y answer this question with a "yes" or "not answer. And the reporter can read it baryou if you need it read back to you at 15:28.04.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 625 A. Well, he was an acting administrator. Yes, he was acting in the number one position. Q. Okay. So and and let me just read this here to to kind of frame it for you. If you see Mrs. Brooks says: "And what is the new guidance? And I appreciate the importance of gathering evidence, but what is the new guidance relative to ISOs and criminal	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
ght to ove to annazzisi asel's nsion You car 'no" back to	the right and and objected to the right answer this question, I'm going to move strike all of the testimony that Mr. Rann provided in response to plaintiff counse questions regarding immediate suspension orders. SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Y answer this question with a "yes" or "not answer. And the reporter can read it bar you if you need it read back to you at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	administrator. Yes, he was acting in the number one position. Q. Okay. So and and let me just read this here to to kind of frame it for you. If you see Mrs. Brooks says: "And what is the new guidance? And I appreciate the importance of gathering evidence, but what is	2 3 4 5 6 7
ove to annazzisi asel's nsion You car 'no" back to	answer this question, I'm going to move strike all of the testimony that Mr. Rann provided in response to plaintiff counse questions regarding immediate suspension orders. SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Y answer this question with a "yes" or "not answer. And the reporter can read it baryou if you need it read back to you at	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	number one position. Q. Okay. So and and let me just read this here to to kind of frame it for you. If you see Mrs. Brooks says: "And what is the new guidance? And I appreciate the importance of gathering evidence, but what is	3 4 5 6 7
annazzisi asel's nsion You car 'no" back to	strike all of the testimony that Mr. Rann provided in response to plaintiff counse questions regarding immediate suspensioners. SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Y answer this question with a "yes" or "not answer. And the reporter can read it baryou if you need it read back to you at	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Okay. So and and let me just read this here to to kind of frame it for you. If you see Mrs. Brooks says: "And what is the new guidance? And I appreciate the importance of gathering evidence, but what is	4 5 6 7
sel's nsion You car 'no" back to	provided in response to plaintiff counse questions regarding immediate suspensioners. SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Y answer this question with a "yes" or "no answer. And the reporter can read it bar you if you need it read back to you at	5 6 7 8 9 10	read this here to to kind of frame it for you. If you see Mrs. Brooks says: "And what is the new guidance? And I appreciate the importance of gathering evidence, but what is	5 6 7
Nsion You car 'no" back to	questions regarding immediate suspension orders. SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Y answer this question with a "yes" or "not answer. And the reporter can read it baryou if you need it read back to you at	6 7 8 9 10	you. If you see Mrs. Brooks says: "And what is the new guidance? And I appreciate the importance of gathering evidence, but what is	6 7
You car 'no" back to	orders. SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Y answer this question with a "yes" or "no answer. And the reporter can read it bay you if you need it read back to you at	7 8 9 10	If you see Mrs. Brooks says: "And what is the new guidance? And I appreciate the importance of gathering evidence, but what is	7
'no" back to	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: Y answer this question with a "yes" or "no answer. And the reporter can read it bay you if you need it read back to you at	8 9 10	what is the new guidance? And I appreciate the importance of gathering evidence, but what is	
'no" back to	answer this question with a "yes" or "no answer. And the reporter can read it bac you if you need it read back to you at	9 10	importance of gathering evidence, but what is	0
back to	answer. And the reporter can read it bacyou if you need it read back to you at	10		9
	you if you need it read back to you at			
	·	11	•	10
l you	13:28.04.	12	investigations that you're contemplating or	11 12
ı you	THE WITNESS. Olean Carallan		that are in place now, and is that guidance in	13
	THE WITNESS: Okay. Could y	13	writing?"	
4-1)	please read it back.	14	Mr. Patterson responds right below	14
tea.)	(The record was read as requested	15	that: "So it is not formalized. This is	15
	MR. UTTER: Object to form.	16	conversations that I've been having with the	16
state my	MR. BENNETT: And I will resta	17	AGAC, the you know, the advisory."	17
! ~	objection.	18	And then, if you go down a couple	18
rs	But I understand Special Master's	19	paragraphs, you'll see that a a paragraph	19
	ruling.	20	there where Mr. Patterson states: "So I	20
T. 1 (THE WITNESS: I I I'm	21	understand that balance. The concern I have,	21
	uncomfortable answering this. Because	22	like I said, is, if we are using an ISO, it	22
-	-			
			*	
timony.	idea what this is Mr. Patterson's testing	25	Do you see that?	25
Page 628			Page 626	
		1		
ıt			e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
	_		- · ·	
very				
	_			
		8		
		9		
rect	an answer, but it's not necessarily the correc			
	answer.			
			longest time is.	12
	BY MR. STEPHENS:	12		
at	BY MR. STEPHENS: Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, in the 33 times that	12 13	Your new questions is what is has	13
			Your new questions is what is has it been a year or more.	
•	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, in the 33 times that	13	-	13
he	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, in the 33 times that you've testified to Congress, did you ever	13 14	it been a year or more.	13 14
he	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, in the 33 times that you've testified to Congress, did you ever notify Congress that DEA was delaying the	13 14 15	it been a year or more. I don't believe that's a proper	13 14 15 16 17
he	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, in the 33 times that you've testified to Congress, did you ever notify Congress that DEA was delaying the filing of immediate suspension orders to allow	13 14 15 16	it been a year or more. I don't believe that's a proper refresh. Because those are two different	13 14 15 16 17 18
he	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, in the 33 times that you've testified to Congress, did you ever notify Congress that DEA was delaying the filing of immediate suspension orders to allocriminal proceedings or investigations to	13 14 15 16 17	it been a year or more. I don't believe that's a proper refresh. Because those are two different answers.	13 14 15 16 17
he allow	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, in the 33 times that you've testified to Congress, did you ever notify Congress that DEA was delaying the filing of immediate suspension orders to allocriminal proceedings or investigations to proceed?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it been a year or more. I don't believe that's a proper refresh. Because those are two different answers. And I don't believe he has been	13 14 15 16 17 18
he allow	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, in the 33 times that you've testified to Congress, did you ever notify Congress that DEA was delaying the filing of immediate suspension orders to allocriminal proceedings or investigations to proceed? A. I don't recall in my	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I don't believe that's a proper refresh. Because those are two different answers. And I don't believe he has been authorized to discuss the length of the delay.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19
he allow	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, in the 33 times that you've testified to Congress, did you ever notify Congress that DEA was delaying the filing of immediate suspension orders to allocriminal proceedings or investigations to proceed? A. I don't recall in my pharmaceutical testimony, I don't recall ever	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I don't believe that's a proper refresh. Because those are two different answers. And I don't believe he has been authorized to discuss the length of the delay. We allowed him to say whether there had been	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
he allow ver	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, in the 33 times that you've testified to Congress, did you ever notify Congress that DEA was delaying the filing of immediate suspension orders to allocriminal proceedings or investigations to proceed? A. I don't recall in my pharmaceutical testimony, I don't recall ever making that statement to Congress.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I don't believe that's a proper refresh. Because those are two different answers. And I don't believe he has been authorized to discuss the length of the delay. We allowed him to say whether there had been delays or not but not the length of any delays.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
he allow ver	Q. Mr. Rannazzisi, in the 33 times that you've testified to Congress, did you ever notify Congress that DEA was delaying the filing of immediate suspension orders to allocriminal proceedings or investigations to proceed? A. I don't recall in my pharmaceutical testimony, I don't recall ever making that statement to Congress. Q. Did did you ever disclose to	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I don't believe that's a proper refresh. Because those are two different answers. And I don't believe he has been authorized to discuss the length of the delay. We allowed him to say whether there had been delays or not but not the length of any delays. So I believe that's outside the scope and	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
a	-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	feels awful weird to be signing that ISOs a year after we learned of that problem." Do you see that? Page 626 A. Yes. Q. Does that refresh your recollection that at times the Drug Enforcement Administration waited up to a year to file an ISO after learning of a problem with a doctor or a pain clinic who might have been diverting controlled substance? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Improper refreshing of recollection. Your question was what's the longest time. He answered he did not know what the longest time is.	23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

67 (Pages 625 - 628)

	Page 629		Page 631
1	DEA understood at that time there might be an	1	MR. BENNETT: Counsel, I believe we
2	imminent threat so that U.S. Attorney's Office	2	are now at the end of the time for the defense.
3	could investigate the subject of the ISO?	3	Thank you very much.
4	MR. SMITH: Objection to form.	4	I think it's plaintiff's turn now.
5	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall	5	MR. LANIER: All right. Let's go
6	ever talking to Congress, testifying to	6	off the record to make the shift, please.
7	Congress, presenting the Congress delays due to	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off
8	U.S. Attorney's active investigations. No, I	8	record.
9	don't.	9	Time is 3:33.
10	But again, this is Mr. Patterson's	10	(A short recess was taken.)
11	testimony. It's not my testimony. And I have	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back
12	no idea what he's drawing from when he makes	12	on record.
13	these statements.	13	Beginning of Media File 10.
14	BY MR. STEPHENS:	14	Time is 3:40.
15	Q. All right. As someone who's	15	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
16	testified 33 times before Congress, do you have	16	BY MR. LANIER:
17	any reason to doubt Acting Administrator	17	Q. Okay. Mr. Rannazzisi, Mark Lanier
18	Patterson's sworn testimony here?	18	again for the the claimants in this case.
19	A. I it's his sworn testimony. I	19	And what I'd like to do is give you,
20	I can't doubt that he's correct. But I don't	20	again, a roadmap of where we're going. It's
21	know what information he's drawing from, who	21	not complicated.
22	provided the information to him. I I have	22	A. Okay.
23	none of that background. So it's difficult for	23	Q. Basically it's clean-up. I want to
24	me to say what's correct.	24	clean up some questions you were asked and some
25	I'm sure Mr. Patterson didn't go	25	subject areas. Okay?
	Page 630		Page 632
1	before Congress an lie. But in the same token,	1	A. Yes, sir.
2	I don't know where this information came from.	2	Q. All right. In that regard, you were
3	Q. During your tenure as deputy admin	3	asked a set of questions by one of the lawyers
4	assistant administrator, did DEA provide	4	who ask you about did you ever make an effort
5	distributors with national monthly average of	5	to change the law.
6	dosage units of oxycodone purchased by all	6	Do you remember being asked those
7	registered pharmacies in the United States?	7	questions?
8	A. During my tenure, there was summary	8	A. Yes.
9	there were summary ARCOS reports that didn't	9	Q. Now, you testified over or around
10	identify there were summary ARCOS reports	10	33 times, was the best of your memory, correct?
11	with no identification of the distributors or	11	A. Yes.
12	pharmacies. It was by ZIP code. And it talks	12	Q. Was the law good as the law existed,
13	about how much of a base basic class	13	while you were there, as long as that law was
14	was going into specific areas within the	14	being followed?
17		1	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.
		15	MIX. ETTICIT. OUICCIOII. TOTIII.
15	country.		
15 16	country. Actually, all ZIP codes, if I'm not	16	Vague.
15 16 17	country. Actually, all ZIP codes, if I'm not mistaken. It's still on well, it was on the	16 17	Vague. THE WITNESS: The in my opinion,
15 16 17 18	country. Actually, all ZIP codes, if I'm not mistaken. It's still on well, it was on the web site up up to a year ago. I don't know	16 17 18	Vague. THE WITNESS: The in my opinion, the law was fine the way it was presented in
15 16 17 18 19	country. Actually, all ZIP codes, if I'm not mistaken. It's still on well, it was on the web site up up to a year ago. I don't know if it's still there.	16 17 18 19	Vague. THE WITNESS: The in my opinion,
15 16 17 18 19 20	country. Actually, all ZIP codes, if I'm not mistaken. It's still on well, it was on the web site up up to a year ago. I don't know if it's still there. Q. Would that include national monthly	16 17 18 19 20	Vague. THE WITNESS: The in my opinion, the law was fine the way it was presented in the Controlled Substances Act and regulations. BY MR. LANIER:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	country. Actually, all ZIP codes, if I'm not mistaken. It's still on well, it was on the web site up up to a year ago. I don't know if it's still there. Q. Would that include national monthly average of dosage units of a specific	16 17 18 19 20 21	Vague. THE WITNESS: The in my opinion, the law was fine the way it was presented in the Controlled Substances Act and regulations. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Is the key whether or not it was
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	country. Actually, all ZIP codes, if I'm not mistaken. It's still on well, it was on the web site up up to a year ago. I don't know if it's still there. Q. Would that include national monthly average of dosage units of a specific controlled substance?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Vague. THE WITNESS: The in my opinion, the law was fine the way it was presented in the Controlled Substances Act and regulations. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Is the key whether or not it was followed?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	country. Actually, all ZIP codes, if I'm not mistaken. It's still on well, it was on the web site up up to a year ago. I don't know if it's still there. Q. Would that include national monthly average of dosage units of a specific	16 17 18 19 20 21	Vague. THE WITNESS: The in my opinion, the law was fine the way it was presented in the Controlled Substances Act and regulations. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Is the key whether or not it was followed?

68 (Pages 629 - 632)

1	D (22		D (25
	Page 633 if the if the the statute and the	1	Page 635 Q. Do you remember this coming out from
2	regulations were followed, it would	2	the Washington Post in 2017?
3	significantly, not totally eliminate,	3	A. Yes.
4	diversion.	4	Q. And if you'll look at the second
5	BY MR. LANIER:	5	page, you'll see that the law and that
6	Q. All right. In this regard, sir, one	6	they're talking about: "Passing was the
7	more question about efforts to change the law.	7	crowning achievement of a multifaceted campaign
8	You understand that the woman asking	8	by the drug industry to weaken aggressive DEA
9	that represents one of the distributors in this	9	enforcement efforts against drug distribution
10	case, one of the big three distributors in the	10	companies that were supplying corrupt doctors
11	United States of America?	11	and pharmacists who peddled narcotics to the
12	MR. EPPICH: Objection.	12	black market. The industry worked behind the
13	BY MR. LANIER:	13	scenes with lobbyists and key members of
14	Q. Did you know that?	14	Congress, pouring more than a million dollars
15	MR. EPPICH: Objection.	15	into their election campaigns."
16	THE WITNESS: I yes.	16	Do you see that?
17	BY MR. LANIER:	17	MR. STEPHENS: Object to form.
18	Q. Yeah.	18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	My question is do did you at the	19	BY MR. LANIER:
20	DEA have the number, size, quantity, budget of	20	Q. And so when the the lawyer was
21	lobbyists that the pharmaceutical companies and	21	asking you did you make an effort to change the
22	their distributors had?	22	law, did you pour over a million dollars into
23	MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form.	23	election campaigns the way it was reported that
24	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Scope.	24	the drug industry did?
25	MR. BENNETT: Objection to form and	25	MR. STEPHENS: Object to the form.
	Page 634		Page 636
1	scope.	1	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
2	THE WITNESS: DEA is not allowed to	2	MR. LANIER: All right.
3	lobby. That would be a violation of federal		
1	roody. That would be a violation of federal	3	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to
4	statute.	3 4	<u> </u>
	-		THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to
4	statute.	4	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that.
4 5	statute. BY MR. LANIER:	4 5	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER:
4 5 6	statute. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you	4 5 6	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next
4 5 6 7	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change	4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject.
4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you	4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer
4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do?	4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	statute. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statute. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No. We're not allowed to lobby Congress. BY MR. LANIER:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes. Q. I've made a list of information that was available to the manufacturers and distributors and a list of information that was
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No. We're not allowed to lobby Congress. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we read things like the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes. Q. I've made a list of information that was available to the manufacturers and distributors and a list of information that was available to you and the DEA.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No. We're not allowed to lobby Congress. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we read things like the Washington Post putting out "The Drug	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes. Q. I've made a list of information that was available to the manufacturers and distributors and a list of information that was available to you and the DEA. What I'd like to do is go through
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No. We're not allowed to lobby Congress. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we read things like the Washington Post putting out "The Drug Industry's Triumph Over the DEA." Came out in	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes. Q. I've made a list of information that was available to the manufacturers and distributors and a list of information that was available to you and the DEA. What I'd like to do is go through the manufacturers and distributors and get you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No. We're not allowed to lobby Congress. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we read things like the Washington Post putting out "The Drug Industry's Triumph Over the DEA." Came out in 2017. It's got your picture on the front.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes. Q. I've made a list of information that was available to the manufacturers and distributors and a list of information that was available to you and the DEA. What I'd like to do is go through the manufacturers and distributors and get you to tell me whether or not the DEA had the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No. We're not allowed to lobby Congress. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we read things like the Washington Post putting out "The Drug Industry's Triumph Over the DEA." Came out in 2017. It's got your picture on the front. MR. BENNETT: Counsel, what exhibit	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes. Q. I've made a list of information that was available to the manufacturers and distributors and a list of information that was available to you and the DEA. What I'd like to do is go through the manufacturers and distributors and get you to tell me whether or not the DEA had the information or even knows what it is. Okay?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No. We're not allowed to lobby Congress. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we read things like the Washington Post putting out "The Drug Industry's Triumph Over the DEA." Came out in 2017. It's got your picture on the front. MR. BENNETT: Counsel, what exhibit number is this?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes. Q. I've made a list of information that was available to the manufacturers and distributors and a list of information that was available to you and the DEA. What I'd like to do is go through the manufacturers and distributors and get you to tell me whether or not the DEA had the information or even knows what it is. Okay? You tracking with me?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No. We're not allowed to lobby Congress. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we read things like the Washington Post putting out "The Drug Industry's Triumph Over the DEA." Came out in 2017. It's got your picture on the front. MR. BENNETT: Counsel, what exhibit	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes. Q. I've made a list of information that was available to the manufacturers and distributors and a list of information that was available to you and the DEA. What I'd like to do is go through the manufacturers and distributors and get you to tell me whether or not the DEA had the information or even knows what it is. Okay? You tracking with me? A. Yes, sir.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statute. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No. We're not allowed to lobby Congress. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we read things like the Washington Post putting out "The Drug Industry's Triumph Over the DEA." Came out in 2017. It's got your picture on the front. MR. BENNETT: Counsel, what exhibit number is this? MR. LANIER: This is Exhibit 15. I'm sorry.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes. Q. I've made a list of information that was available to the manufacturers and distributors and a list of information that was available to you and the DEA. What I'd like to do is go through the manufacturers and distributors and get you to tell me whether or not the DEA had the information or even knows what it is. Okay? You tracking with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. All right. So
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No. We're not allowed to lobby Congress. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we read things like the Washington Post putting out "The Drug Industry's Triumph Over the DEA." Came out in 2017. It's got your picture on the front. MR. BENNETT: Counsel, what exhibit number is this? MR. LANIER: This is Exhibit 15. I'm sorry. (Deposition Exhibit 15 was marked	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes. Q. I've made a list of information that was available to the manufacturers and distributors and a list of information that was available to you and the DEA. What I'd like to do is go through the manufacturers and distributors and get you to tell me whether or not the DEA had the information or even knows what it is. Okay? You tracking with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. All right. So MR. BENNETT: And, Counsel, before
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statute. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So when the pharma people ask you did you, in essence, make an effort to change the law or lobby to change the law, are you even allowed to lobby the way they do? MS. McNAMARA: Objection to form. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. THE WITNESS: No. We're not allowed to lobby Congress. BY MR. LANIER: Q. So we read things like the Washington Post putting out "The Drug Industry's Triumph Over the DEA." Came out in 2017. It's got your picture on the front. MR. BENNETT: Counsel, what exhibit number is this? MR. LANIER: This is Exhibit 15. I'm sorry.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: We're not allowed to do that. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next set of questions. Next subject. You were asked by the same lawyer about other data that the DEA could have used. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes. Q. I've made a list of information that was available to the manufacturers and distributors and a list of information that was available to you and the DEA. What I'd like to do is go through the manufacturers and distributors and get you to tell me whether or not the DEA had the information or even knows what it is. Okay? You tracking with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. All right. So

	D (27		P (20)
1	Page 637 has this information and it's not publicly	1	Q. If You can answer.
2	known, you are not authorized to answer about		A. Yeah. Yeah.
$\frac{2}{3}$	confidential information that you	3	MR. BENNETT: You can answer that.
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	
	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.		I believe the answer to this question has come
5	MR. BENNETT: do not have.	5	out in other depositions, and the
6	To the extent it's publicly known or		THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. BENNETT: DEA has authorized
7 8	you don't know what this is, then you may	7 8	
9	answer.	9	it.
	MR. LANIER: Thank you.		So I am going to object it's beyond
10	BY MR. LANIER:	10	the scope of yours. But I'm not going to
11	Q. 852 data?	11 12	instruct you not to answer. So you may answer
12	A. I have no idea what 852 data is.		that question
13	Q. So when the companies have their 852	13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	data, you don't even know what it is, do you? A. No.	14	MR. BENNETT: if you know.
15		15	THE WITNESS: Yes. We we have
16	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	16	used IMS, IQV data. BY MR. LANIER:
17	MR. STEPHENS: Objection.	17	
18	THE WITNESS: No. I have no idea.	18	Q. Rebate data?
19	BY MR. LANIER:	19	MS. McCLURE: Object to form.
20	Q. How about 867 data, as they call it?	20	MR. O'CONNOR: Objection.
21	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	21	THE WITNESS: Again, we we
22 23	THE WITNESS: I never heard of 867	22 23	wouldn't have access to that unless we actually
23	data. BY MR. LANIER:	24	subpoenaed it. BY MR. LANIER:
25		25	
23	Q. How about 844 data, as they call it?	23	Q. The patient savings card data?
1	Page 638 MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	1	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
2	THE WITNESS: No, sir.	2	MS. McCLURE: Form.
3	BY MR. LANIER:	3	THE WITNESS: Yeah no. We
4	Q. How about charge-back data that they	4	wouldn't have access to that.
5	get from their pharmacies?	5	BY MR. LANIER:
6	MS. McNAMARA: Objection. Form.	6	
7	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	7	Q. ARCOS data, we already know. A. Yes.
8	BY MR. LANIER:	8	Q. ILR data?
9	Q. Do you have access to that at the	9	MS. McCLURE: Form.
10	DEA that you know of, all of the charge-back	10	THE WITNESS: I don't know what ILR
11	data?	11	stands for.
12	A. We don't have charge-back data at	12	BY MR. LANIER:
13	our well, DEA did not have charge-back data	13	Q. Actual orders, the actual order
14	at its disposal when we were there unless we	14	sheets and forms that came in?
15	subpoenaed it.	15	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
16	Q. And you have to have good cause to	16	BY MR. LANIER:
	subpoena it?	17	Q. Were they ever supplied to you?
17			MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
17 18	*	18	Witt. El l'Icli. Object to loilli.
17 18 19	A. We'd have to have an invest	18 19	BY MR. LANIER:
18	*		BY MR. LANIER:
18 19	A. We'd have to have an invest MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: We'd have to have an	19	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Absent subpoenas?
18 19 20 21	A. We'd have to have an invest MR. EPPICH: Objection.	19 20	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Absent subpoenas? MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
18 19 20	A. We'd have to have an invest MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: We'd have to have an investigation. BY MR. LANIER:	19 20 21	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Absent subpoenas? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MS. McCLURE: Form.
18 19 20 21 22	A. We'd have to have an invest MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: We'd have to have an investigation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Okay. How about IMS or IQV data?	19 20 21 22	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Absent subpoenas? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MS. McCLURE: Form. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they
18 19 20 21 22 23	A. We'd have to have an invest MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: We'd have to have an investigation. BY MR. LANIER:	19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. LANIER: Q. Absent subpoenas? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. MS. McCLURE: Form.

	Page 641		Page 643
1	Q. 222 forms?	1	You remember that?
2	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	2	A. Yes, sir.
3	THE WITNESS: We maintained the 220	3	Q. Okay. But does the Act mandate that
4	the 222 forms, especially if it's	4	registrants have effective controls against
5	electronic.	5	diversion?
6	BY MR. LANIER:	6	Is that there?
7	Q. Suspicious order forms?	7	A. Yes. It's part of
8	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	8	MS. McCLURE: Form.
9	BY MR. LANIER:	9	THE WITNESS: It's part of the
10	Q. Do you know if they were all given	10	registration process. It's in 823. And it's
11	to you?	11	also in 1301 in the regulations.
12	MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Calls	12	BY MR. LANIER:
13	for speculation.	13	Q. And did the DEA give guidance on
14	THE WITNESS: I I I don't	14	what due diligence would be in that regard?
15	know. I mean they were there was a period	15	A. Yes. During the meetings and the
16	of time where we received very few suspicious	16	subsequent letters, yes.
17	orders. So I don't know.	17	Q. Did in other words, over and over
18	BY MR. LANIER:	18	again?
19	Q. Pharma center data?	19	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Leading.
20	MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague.	20	Form.
21	THE WITNESS: I I've never heard	21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	of pharma center data.	22	BY MR. LANIER:
23	BY MR. LANIER:	23	Q. Now, do does the DEA at any point
24	Q. So in terms of other data the DEA	24	approve of lawyers trying to read loopholes
25	could have used, would you agree with an	25	into the law and trying to figure out if
	Page 642		Page 644
1		1	
1	assessment that the manufacturers and	1	something's not said exactly the right way,
2	distributors had access to a lot of data that	1 2	something's not said exactly the right way, they can figure out a way to get away with
2	distributors had access to a lot of data that	2	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all
2 3	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and	2 3	they can figure out a way to get away with something?
2 3 4 5 6	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization.	2 3 4 5 6	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America?
2 3 4 5 6 7	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena?	2 3 4 5 6	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are harmed by these drugs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to it. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are harmed by these drugs. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to it. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are harmed by these drugs. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Related question: You were asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to it. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked a ton about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are harmed by these drugs. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Related question: You were asked the DEA could have done better with oversight.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to it. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked a ton about the Controlled Substances Act and what it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are harmed by these drugs. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Related question: You were asked the DEA could have done better with oversight. Do you remember that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to it. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked a ton about the Controlled Substances Act and what it specifically says and what it doesn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are harmed by these drugs. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Related question: You were asked the DEA could have done better with oversight. Do you remember that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to it. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked a ton about the Controlled Substances Act and what it specifically says and what it doesn't specifically say.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are harmed by these drugs. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Related question: You were asked the DEA could have done better with oversight. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And we got video clips and all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to it. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked a ton about the Controlled Substances Act and what it specifically says and what it doesn't specifically say. Do you remember those questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are harmed by these drugs. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Related question: You were asked the DEA could have done better with oversight. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And we got video clips and all the rest of the stuff.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to it. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked a ton about the Controlled Substances Act and what it specifically says and what it doesn't specifically say. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are harmed by these drugs. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Related question: You were asked the DEA could have done better with oversight. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And we got video clips and all the rest of the stuff. Do you remember my analogy about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to it. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked a ton about the Controlled Substances Act and what it specifically says and what it doesn't specifically say. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes, sir. Q. And you pointed out and the lawyer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are harmed by these drugs. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Related question: You were asked the DEA could have done better with oversight. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And we got video clips and all the rest of the stuff. Do you remember my analogy about driving through a school zone speeding?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	distributors had access to a lot of data that the DEA did not? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form and characterization. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Especially absent a subpoena? MS. McCLURE: Form. MR. EPPICH: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I don't know most of that I've never even heard of. So I didn't no. We wouldn't have access to it. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked a ton about the Controlled Substances Act and what it specifically says and what it doesn't specifically say. Do you remember those questions? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they can figure out a way to get away with something? Is that the joint approach you all were envisioning in dealing with this narcotic epidemic in America? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Leading. Argumentative. MR. BENNETT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: We were just trying to make sure that they comply with the Act, maintain effective controls against diversion, so we could limit the amount of people that are harmed by these drugs. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Related question: You were asked the DEA could have done better with oversight. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And we got video clips and all the rest of the stuff. Do you remember my analogy about

1	Page 645		Page 647
1	written more tickets for speeding than the	1	A. No.
2	police officer did, does that excuse someone	2	Q. Next, you got asked: "Well, you
3	who speeds, in your mind?	3	never instructed the companies to keep their
4	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Incomplete	4	files."
5	hypothetical.	5	Do you remember that?
6	THE WITNESS: No. Based on based	6	A. Yes, sir.
7	on your previous scenario, no.	7	Q. Would old files be important in
8	BY MR. LANIER:	8	monitoring in your ongoing monitoring?
9	Q. So if a speeder hits a pedestrian,	9	Would bit important that a company
10	would you ever accept from the speeder the idea	10	keep their files so that they can look back at
11	of, "Hey, don't blame me for speeding. The	11	them?
12	cops didn't ever write me a ticket"?	12	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
13	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Leading. A	13	Leading. And vague.
14	statement is written on the document.	14	THE WITNESS: Absolutely. That's
15	THE WITNESS: No.	15	the the whole idea behind maintaining a due
16	BY MR. LANIER:	16	diligence file is you have a history of
17	Q. And and as to the specific	17	purchases. That way you could see what they're
18	language, did it say A, B or C?	18	doing and where they're going with their
19	This is my daughter. It's Rachel.	19	purchases.
20	A. Oh, hi.	20	BY MR. LANIER:
21	Q. She's a lawyer too.	21	Q. So more to the point for me, I want
22	A. I've got a Rachel too.	22	to ask it this way: Did you ever tell a
23	Q. Do you?	23	company to destroy their records?
24	A. Yeah.	24	A. No.
25	Q. Well, I got four daughters but only	25	Q. Did you know that the company's knew
	Page 646		Page 648
1			
	one Rachel.	1	that they were supposed to keep their records?
2	If I tell my daughters, back when	2	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form.
2 3	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and	2 3	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for
2 3 4	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I	2 3 4	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation.
2 3 4 5	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I	2 3 4 5	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate.
2 3 4 5 6	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part	2 3 4 5 6	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear.
2 3 4 5 6 7	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, I started to do it this way:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Exhibit 16 are industry compliance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, I started to do it this way: If someone says "Don't murder," does the word	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Exhibit 16 are industry compliance guidelines. These are put out by the HDMA.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, I started to do it this way: If someone says "Don't murder," does the word "kill" have to appear?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Exhibit 16 are industry compliance guidelines. These are put out by the HDMA. You ever heard of those folks?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, I started to do it this way: If someone says "Don't murder," does the word "kill" have to appear? MR. EPPICH: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Exhibit 16 are industry compliance guidelines. These are put out by the HDMA. You ever heard of those folks? A. Yeah. Healthcare Distribution
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, I started to do it this way: If someone says "Don't murder," does the word "kill" have to appear? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Exhibit 16 are industry compliance guidelines. These are put out by the HDMA. You ever heard of those folks? A. Yeah. Healthcare Distribution Management Association.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, I started to do it this way: If someone says "Don't murder," does the word "kill" have to appear? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Exhibit 16 are industry compliance guidelines. These are put out by the HDMA. You ever heard of those folks? A. Yeah. Healthcare Distribution Management Association. Q. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, I started to do it this way: If someone says "Don't murder," does the word "kill" have to appear? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Someone says "Don't steal," do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Exhibit 16 are industry compliance guidelines. These are put out by the HDMA. You ever heard of those folks? A. Yeah. Healthcare Distribution Management Association. Q. Yeah. That's the one these companies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, I started to do it this way: If someone says "Don't murder," does the word "kill" have to appear? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Someone says "Don't steal," do you have to put in there "from a supermarket"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Exhibit 16 are industry compliance guidelines. These are put out by the HDMA. You ever heard of those folks? A. Yeah. Healthcare Distribution Management Association. Q. Yeah. That's the one these companies join together, and they fund this as their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, I started to do it this way: If someone says "Don't murder," does the word "kill" have to appear? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Someone says "Don't steal," do you have to put in there "from a supermarket"? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Exhibit 16 are industry compliance guidelines. These are put out by the HDMA. You ever heard of those folks? A. Yeah. Healthcare Distribution Management Association. Q. Yeah. That's the one these companies join together, and they fund this as their their group effort.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, I started to do it this way: If someone says "Don't murder," does the word "kill" have to appear? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Someone says "Don't steal," do you have to put in there "from a supermarket"? A. No. Q. Someone says "Don't trespass on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Exhibit 16 are industry compliance guidelines. These are put out by the HDMA. You ever heard of those folks? A. Yeah. Healthcare Distribution Management Association. Q. Yeah. That's the one these companies join together, and they fund this as their their group effort. You with me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If I tell my daughters, back when they were much younger and lived at home and cared what I said in in these regards, if I said, "Clean your room, please," do you think I need to specify "pick up your clothes" as part of that? MR. EPPICH: Object. To the hypothetical. MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. MR. EPPICH: Vague. Form. THE WITNESS: No. No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Well, I started to do it this way: If someone says "Don't murder," does the word "kill" have to appear? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Someone says "Don't steal," do you have to put in there "from a supermarket"? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. McCLURE: Objection to form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Calls for speculation. MR. LANIER: Let's don't speculate. Let's make it real clear. I want to show you Exhibit No. 16. (Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you have Exhibit 16 in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. Exhibit 16 are industry compliance guidelines. These are put out by the HDMA. You ever heard of those folks? A. Yeah. Healthcare Distribution Management Association. Q. Yeah. That's the one these companies join together, and they fund this as their their group effort.

	Page 649		Page 651
1	Characterization.	1	A of performing due diligence.
2	BY MR. LANIER:	2	Q. In that regard, if you'll look at
3	Q. So this is something that you'll	3	Page 11, the companies themselves, in their
4	find a lot of the opioid companies and	4	trade association, specify under Documentation:
5	distributors were members of, and it	5	"All investigations should be fully documented,
6	specifically talks about some of these issues.	6	and all records of the investigation should be
7	If you'll look at the front page	7	retained in an appropriate location within the
8	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.	8	firm."
9	Narrative.	9	Do you see that?
10	MR. O'CONNOR: Object to form.	10	MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
11	BY MR. LANIER:	11	Foundation.
12	Q it specifically says and this	12	MR. STEPHENS: Object to form.
13	is 2008, so that you got a and the jury's	13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
14	got a date on this.	14	BY MR. LANIER:
15	You with me?	15	Q. So before the lawyer ask you in
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	front of the jury, "Did you ever tell them they
17	Q. 2008.	17	had to keep their records?" did the lawyer
18	This says: "Reporting suspicious	18	inform you that the trade association had
19	orders and preventing diversion have been	19	already made it abundantly clear going back
20	developed as part of the members distributors	20	2008?
21	ongoing commitment to safe and efficient	21	MR. EPPICH: Objection form.
22	distribution of prescription medicines,	22	Misstates facts.
23	including controlled substance."	23	THE WITNESS: No.
24	You see that?	24	MR. LANIER: By the same token, if
25	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation.	25	we want to go back further than that, we can go
	Page 650		Page 652
1	THE WITNESS: Yes.	1	back to the predecessor trade association.
2	BY MR. LANIER:	2	And we'll mark this as Exhibit No.
3	Q. And it doesn't blame the DEA. It	3	17.
4	says: "At the center of a sophisticated supply	4	(Deposition Exhibit 17 was marked
5	chain, distributors are uniquely situated to	5	for identification.)
6			
_	perform due diligence"	6	BY MR. LANIER:
7	* *		BY MR. LANIER: Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this
8	perform due diligence"	6	
	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection.	6 7	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this
8 9 10	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER:	6 7 8	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down
8 9	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the	6 7 8 9	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the
8 9 10	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances."	6 7 8 9 10	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association.
8 9 10 11	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that?	6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that?
8 9 10 11 12	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that? MR. EPPICH: Objection.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that? A. I think they were the
8 9 10 11 12 13	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that? A. I think they were the MR. EPPICH: Objection.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that? A. I think they were the MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Form.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. BY MR. LANIER:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that? A. I think they were the MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Form. BY MR. LANIER:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that, sir?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that? A. I think they were the MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You think they were what?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Scope.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that? A. I think they were the MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You think they were what? A. I think they were the organization
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that, sir?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that? A. I think they were the MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You think they were what? A. I think they were the organization before HDMA and had.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Scope.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that? A. I think they were the MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You think they were what? A. I think they were the organization before HDMA and had. Q. Exactly.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Scope. BY MR. LANIER:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that? A. I think they were the MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You think they were what? A. I think they were the organization before HDMA and had. Q. Exactly. A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Scope. BY MR. LANIER: A. As I've testified before, the distributors know their customers. They're the ones that can make that determination	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that? A. I think they were the MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You think they were what? A. I think they were the organization before HDMA and had. Q. Exactly. A. Yes. Q. And if we look at what they had to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	perform due diligence" MR. EPPICH: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q "in order to help support the security of the controlled substances." Do you see where I read that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Foundation. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you agree with that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Scope. BY MR. LANIER: A. As I've testified before, the distributors know their customers. They're the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So here is Exhibit No. 17. And this is the suspicious it's upside down monitoring system for the NWDA, and that is the pharma trade association. You know about that? A. I think they were the MR. EPPICH: Objection. MS. McCLURE: Form. BY MR. LANIER: Q. You think they were what? A. I think they were the organization before HDMA and had. Q. Exactly. A. Yes. Q. And if we look at what they had to say, they specifically give the detail on Page

73 (Pages 649 - 652)

1	Page 653		Page 655
1	reported immediately. The submission of a	1	Q. Do you have that in front of you?
2	monthly printout of after-the-fact sales will	2	A. Yes.
3	not relieve a registrant from the	3	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope.
4	responsibility of reporting these single	4	Objection form.
5	excessive or suspicious orders. DEA has	5	BY MR. LANIER:
6	interpreted 'orders' to mean prior to	6	Q. Well, this is actually this is
7	shipment."	7	the 2000 let's make sure I've got the right
8	Do you see that?	8	one.
9	A. Yes.	9	This is the 2008 when you were
10	MR. EPPICH: Object. Form.	10	there, isn't it?
11	Foundation.	11 A. Yes, sir.	
12	BY MR. LANIER:	MR. LANIER: All right. Let me	
13	Q. And this is the date on this,	13	to the next one.
14	they knew about this in 1984 and 1993.	14	Here's the 2012 one. We'll mark it
15	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.	15	as Exhibit 18. I gave you the wrong one. And
16	MS. McCLURE: Foundation.	16	I will fix that.
17	BY MR. LANIER:	17	(Deposition Exhibit 18 was remarked
18	Q. Did you know that was known by them		for identification.)
19	way back then?	19	BY MR. LANIER:
20	MR. EPPICH: Objection to form.	20	Q. Exhibit 18. This is Cardinal.
21	Assumes facts.	21	You have Exhibit 18 in front of you?
22	THE WITNESS: This is the first time	22	A. Yes, sir.
23	I've seen this document.	23	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
24	BY MR. LANIER:	24	BY MR. LANIER:
25	Q. If they'd lived up to that, your	25	Q. Cardinal knew before you ever
	Page 654		Page 656
1	world would have been a different world,	1	left because you'll look at the date on this
2	wouldn't it?	2	one, 2012.
3	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. And	3	It's while you were there, right?
4	argumentative.	4	A. Yes, sir.
5	THE WITNESS: Okay.	5	Q. Cardinal knew before you left. They
6	BY MR. LANIER:	6	acknowledged and agreed the obligations
7	Q. Next. The Cardinal lawyer asked you	7	undertaken in this agreement don't fulfill the
8	about the objectives of the DEA after you left	8	totality of its obligations to maintain
9	and did they make an objective to try to	9	effective controls against diversion.
10	communicate more and things of that nature.	10	They already knew that, didn't they?
11	Recall that?	11	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Form.
12	A. Yes, sir.	12	Foundation. Scope.
13	Q. And that's the Cardinal lawyer. She	13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
14	represented Cardinal.	14	BY MR. LANIER:
15	You understand?	15	Q. They already knew and pledged that
16	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	16	they would try to enhance their existing
17	MR. LANIER: I'm going to hand you	17	processes and practices for conducting due
18	the Cardinal after you left agreement to	18	diligence reviews.
19	settle as marked as Exhibit No. 8 so we can see	l	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
20	with precision what was done after you left	20	BY MR. LANIER:
21	with Cardinal Health.	21	Q. Already done, right?
22	This is Exhibit No. 18.	22	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Form.
23	(Deposition Exhibit 18 was marked	23	Foundation. Scope.
24	for identification.)	24	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
25	BY MR. LANIER:	25	BY MR. LANIER:

	P. (57		D (70
1	Q. They already agreed to a continued	1	Page 659 MR. EPPICH: Object to form.
2	suspension of their authority to handle	2	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
$\frac{2}{3}$	controlled substances at Lakeland until May of		THE WITNESS: No, sir.
4	2014 so long as they meet the provisions of	4	BY MR. LANIER:
5	what this agreement said.	5	
6	Fair?	6	Q. Next subject.She asked you about the GAO report
7	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Form.	7	in 2015.
8	Foundation. Scope.	8	Do you remember those questions?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	9	A. Yes, sir.
10	BY MR. LANIER:	10	Q. And she suggested to you and that
11	Q. Did they seem to have any	11	was Exhibit 11. It's the GAO report.
12	communication problem with you in regard to	12	She suggested to you that the GAO
13	that?	13	report says that the DEA is not communicating
14	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Form.	14	with enough registrants.
15	Foundation. Scope.	15	I wrote it down. That's what she
16	THE WITNESS: No.	16	told you was the a takeaway from the report.
17	BY MR. LANIER:	17	Do you remember her saying that?
18	Q. Next subject.	18	A. Yes.
19	You were asked question about Mr.	19	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
20	Rosenberg's testimony, and he said that there	20	BY MR. LANIER:
21	were 1.6 million registrants, and 90-some-odd	21	Q. I've actually got it to show the
22	percent seemed to have no trouble complying.	22	jury instead of talk about it.
23	A. Yes.	23	Here's what it says on Page I in the
24	Q. You understand, at this point in	24	table of contents. It says: "Registrants vary
25	this case for these counties, we got 23 out of	25	in extent of interaction with DEA and awareness
	Page 658		Page 660
1	1 (:11: 41.4 4.6 44 41		
1	1.6 million that are defendants in this case?	1	of DEA resources. And while generally
2	A. Yes, sir.	1 2	of DEA resources. And while generally satisfied, some want additional information."
2 3	A. Yes, sir.Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every		
	A. Yes, sir.Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for	2	satisfied, some want additional information."
3	 A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a 	2 3	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that?
3 4 5 6	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow	2 3 4	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not.	2 3 4 5	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And just because most people do it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir. BY MR. LANIER:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And just because most people do it right, has that ever been an excuse for those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that, sir?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And just because most people do it right, has that ever been an excuse for those who do it wrong?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Object to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And just because most people do it right, has that ever been an excuse for those who do it wrong? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Object to the foundation.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And just because most people do it right, has that ever been an excuse for those who do it wrong? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Object to the foundation. MS. MAINIGI: Form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And just because most people do it right, has that ever been an excuse for those who do it wrong? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Object to the foundation. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And just because most people do it right, has that ever been an excuse for those who do it wrong? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Object to the foundation. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And just because most people do it right, has that ever been an excuse for those who do it wrong? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No, sir. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Object to the foundation. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. I mean that doesn't say that the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And just because most people do it right, has that ever been an excuse for those who do it wrong? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Just because they say, "But most of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Object to the foundation. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. I mean that doesn't say that the DEA's not communicating enough with registrants
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And just because most people do it right, has that ever been an excuse for those who do it wrong? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Just because they say, "But most of the people don't have the problems we have,"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Object to the foundation. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. I mean that doesn't say that the DEA's not communicating enough with registrants as a takeaway. It says: "Generally people are
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, sir. Q. I mean that 1.6 million is every doctor that can write a prescription for opioids; every hospital that can give them to a patient; every pharmacy, whether they follow the law or not. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And so out of that, you've got to sort the good apples from the bad apples. Fair? A. Yes, sir. Q. And just because most people do it right, has that ever been an excuse for those who do it wrong? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: No, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Just because they say, "But most of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	satisfied, some want additional information." MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that? MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope. This is beyond the authorization for him to talk about the GAO report. May I have a continuing objection to all your GAO report MR. LANIER: Yes. MR. BENNETT: questions? Thank you, sir. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Do you see that, sir? MR. EPPICH: Object to the foundation. MS. MAINIGI: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. I mean that doesn't say that the DEA's not communicating enough with registrants

	Page 661		Page 663
1	Fair?	1	Do you remember that?
2	MR. EPPICH: Object to the form.	2	A. Yes, sir.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	3	Q. And I don't see where their fixes
4	BY MR. LANIER:	4	are.
5	Q. By the same token, the same report	5	MR. EPPICH: Are you looking for
6	that she talked about but didn't show on Page 1	6	the original? Exhibit 13.
7	says that: "The Center For Disease Control and	7	MR. LANIER: Exhibit 13? Thank you.
8	Prevention has declared the U.S. is in the	8	MR. EPPICH: If you're going to make
9	midst of an epidemic of prescription overdose	9	a mark this Exhibit 13, can we make a quick
10	deaths. In 2013 more than 22,000 Americans	10	copy?
11	died from drug overdose as attributable to	11	MR. LANIER: No. We don't have time
12	prescription drugs, and most of those deaths,	for that. I've got a better solution. Thank	
13	more than 16,000, were attributed to	13	you though. I won't destroy your records.
14	prescription opioid pain relievers."	14	BY MR. LANIER:
15	Is that consistent with the	15	Q. Let's take the first one that he
16	testimony you gave?	16	marked up. He marked up this one where he
17	MR. O'CONNOR: Objection.	17	didn't like the idea that I said people say,
18	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.	18	"Get me opioids to sell," because he said,
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	19	"They're not people. They're doctors." And
20	BY MR. LANIER:	20	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Misstates.
21	Q. It said: "One study estimated that	21	BY MR. LANIER:
22	the opioid pain" reliver "reliever abuse	22	Q and hospitals.
23	cost health" insurance "insurers alone"	23	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Misstates.
24	this is just the health insurers. Doesn't	24	MR. LANIER: I don't think I'm
25	count police, county and city, first responders	25	misstating, but but we'll look at it.
	Page 662		Page 664
1	and all the other child care and and all	1	BY MR. LANIER:
2	the other expenses associated. It's only	2	Q. So here's what he says. He says:
3	health insurers alone.	3	"They're not people. They're doctors,
4	You follow me?	4	hospitals and pharmacists."
5	A. Yes, sir.	5	You see that?
6	MR. EPPICH: Objection to form.	6	A. Yes, sir.
7	MR. O'CONNOR: Objection. Form.	7	Q. How many pharmacists are people?
8	BY MR. LANIER:	8	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
9	Q. Up to 72.5	9	THE WITNESS: Every one that I know
10	MS. McCLURE: Objection. Narrative.	10	is a people is a person.
11	Commentary.	11	BY MR. LANIER:
12	BY MR. LANIER:	12	Q. How many doctors are people?
13	Q. Up to 72.5 billion per year. Per	13	A. Every one that it know is a person.
		14	Q. How many hospitals, not the building
14	year.	1	
	year. Sir, was this a good enough a big	15	itself but the folks that make it a hospital
14 15 16	Sir, was this a good enough a big	15 16	itself but the folks that make it a hospital instead of a zoo, how many of those folks
15	Sir, was this a good enough a big enough problem to where you were willing to put		instead of a zoo, how many of those folks
15 16 17	Sir, was this a good enough a big enough problem to where you were willing to put your reputation on the line to try and do	16	instead of a zoo, how many of those folks inside the hospital are people?
15 16 17 18	Sir, was this a good enough a big enough problem to where you were willing to put your reputation on the line to try and do something about it?	16 17 18	instead of a zoo, how many of those folks inside the hospital are people? A. All the patient caregivers are
15 16 17	Sir, was this a good enough a big enough problem to where you were willing to put your reputation on the line to try and do	16 17	instead of a zoo, how many of those folks inside the hospital are people? A. All the patient caregivers are people.
15 16 17 18 19 20	Sir, was this a good enough a big enough problem to where you were willing to put your reputation on the line to try and do something about it? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague.	16 17 18 19	instead of a zoo, how many of those folks inside the hospital are people? A. All the patient caregivers are people. Q. So I may have drawn a person instead
15 16 17 18 19	Sir, was this a good enough a big enough problem to where you were willing to put your reputation on the line to try and do something about it? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER:	16 17 18 19 20	instead of a zoo, how many of those folks inside the hospital are people? A. All the patient caregivers are people. Q. So I may have drawn a person instead of a doctor or a hospital full of people or a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Sir, was this a good enough a big enough problem to where you were willing to put your reputation on the line to try and do something about it? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next subject.	16 17 18 19 20 21	instead of a zoo, how many of those folks inside the hospital are people? A. All the patient caregivers are people. Q. So I may have drawn a person instead of a doctor or a hospital full of people or a pharmacy full of people, but the bottom line
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Sir, was this a good enough a big enough problem to where you were willing to put your reputation on the line to try and do something about it? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER:	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	instead of a zoo, how many of those folks inside the hospital are people? A. All the patient caregivers are people. Q. So I may have drawn a person instead of a doctor or a hospital full of people or a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Sir, was this a good enough a big enough problem to where you were willing to put your reputation on the line to try and do something about it? MR. EPPICH: Object to form. Vague. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Next subject. So another one of the lawyers for	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	instead of a zoo, how many of those folks inside the hospital are people? A. All the patient caregivers are people. Q. So I may have drawn a person instead of a doctor or a hospital full of people or a pharmacy full of people, but the bottom line doesn't change anything you and I talked about.

76 (Pages 661 - 664)

	Page 665		Page 667
1	eight months later.	1	physiological dependence?
2	Fair?	2	A. Yes.
3	MR. EPPICH: Objection to form.	3	Q. All right. Thank you.
4	Mischaracterization.	4	Next subject.
5	MS. McCLURE: Misstates prior	5	There was some implication that
6	testimony.	6	perhaps you've been stashing away some
7	MR. EPPICH: Leading.	7	documents in your house.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	8	MR. EPPICH: Objection to the
9	BY MR. LANIER:	9	narrative.
10	Q. All right. The other roadmap that	10	BY MR. LANIER:
11	he took issue with was this one right here.	11	Q. Do you remember those questions?
12	It's the definitions.	12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Remember that one?	13	Q. Have you been stashing away some
14	A. Yes, sir.	14	documents that you illegally took out of DEA at
15	Q. All right. Let's put his in in a	15	your house?
16	sleeve so I can write on it.	16	A. No
17	He said: "Wait. There were five	17	MR. EPPICH: Objection to the
18	categories of controlled substance, and I only	18	narrative. And misstates.
19	wrote two of them."	19	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
20	A. Yes, sir.	20	BY MR. LANIER:
21	Q. He and he wrote a third.	21	Q. If there's any suggestion made to
22	But you had already said there were	22	the jury that the lawyers for the industry want
23	five; I was just asking you about these two; is	23	to taint you with the idea that you've secretly
24	that fair?	24	taken documents home you weren't allowed to,
25	A. Yes, sir.	25	has that ever happened to you?
	Page 666		Page 668
1	Q. Were you playing hide the ball with	1	MR. EPPICH: Object to form and the
2	the other ones?	2	narrative.
3	MR. EPPICH: Objection.	3	THE WITNESS: I've never taken
4	THE WITNESS: No, sir.	4	documents home. I've I've worked on
5	BY MR. LANIER:	5	documents at home. I've worked on documents
6	Q. So No. 3, less risk of physical and	6	that were transmitted to me at my but I've
7	psychological dependency then a No. 2, does	7	never held them for some nefarious reason.
8	that mean no risk?	8	BY MR. LANIER:
9	A. No.	9	Q. So you go home, and you work in the
10	Q. So there's still risk?	10	late hours on these documents.
11	A. Yes.	11	Do you get paid overtime for that?
12		12	A. No.
1 4 4	Q. And Hydrocodone was there until		
13	Q. And Hydrocodone was there until 2014.	13	MR. EPPICH: Objection. Leading.
1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		MR. EPPICH: Objection. Leading. BY MR. LANIER:
13	2014.	13	· · ·
13 14	2014. Where did it get moved to?	13 14	BY MR. LANIER:
13 14 15	2014. Where did it get moved to? A. Schedule II.	13 14 15	BY MR. LANIER: Q. As a government worker that we're paying with our tax dollars to help keep our
13 14 15 16	2014. Where did it get moved to? A. Schedule II. Q. Why? A. Because	13 14 15 16	BY MR. LANIER: Q. As a government worker that we're paying with our tax dollars to help keep our country safe, you were working at home some
13 14 15 16 17	2014. Where did it get moved to? A. Schedule II. Q. Why?	13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. LANIER: Q. As a government worker that we're paying with our tax dollars to help keep our country safe, you were working at home some without getting paid overtime?
13 14 15 16 17 18	2014. Where did it get moved to? A. Schedule II. Q. Why? A. Because MR. STEPHENS: Objection. THE WITNESS: Based on the HHS, FDA	13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. LANIER: Q. As a government worker that we're paying with our tax dollars to help keep our country safe, you were working at home some
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	2014. Where did it get moved to? A. Schedule II. Q. Why? A. Because MR. STEPHENS: Objection.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. LANIER: Q. As a government worker that we're paying with our tax dollars to help keep our country safe, you were working at home some without getting paid overtime? MR. EPPICH: Objection.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	2014. Where did it get moved to? A. Schedule II. Q. Why? A. Because MR. STEPHENS: Objection. THE WITNESS: Based on the HHS, FDA and DEA analysis of the drug, it met the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. LANIER: Q. As a government worker that we're paying with our tax dollars to help keep our country safe, you were working at home some without getting paid overtime? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. And on the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Where did it get moved to? A. Schedule II. Q. Why? A. Because MR. STEPHENS: Objection. THE WITNESS: Based on the HHS, FDA and DEA analysis of the drug, it met the criteria of a Schedule II controlled substance rather than a Schedule III controlled	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. LANIER: Q. As a government worker that we're paying with our tax dollars to help keep our country safe, you were working at home some without getting paid overtime? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. And on the weekends too. BY MR. LANIER:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Where did it get moved to? A. Schedule II. Q. Why? A. Because MR. STEPHENS: Objection. THE WITNESS: Based on the HHS, FDA and DEA analysis of the drug, it met the criteria of a Schedule II controlled substance	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. LANIER: Q. As a government worker that we're paying with our tax dollars to help keep our country safe, you were working at home some without getting paid overtime? MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. And on the weekends too. BY MR. LANIER:

77 (Pages 665 - 668)

	Page 669		Page 671
1	DEA documents to anyone?	1	MR. EPPICH: Objection to form.
2	A. No.	2	Calls for speculation.
3	Q. The log that we were shown is what's	3	MS. MAINIGI: Scope.
4	called a privilege log.	4	THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
5	Do you know what that is?	5	BY MR. LANIER:
6	A. I believe it's a log that the	6	Q. Do you know if Linden Barber may
7	department keeps in order to explain why	7	have taken any?
8	they're not going to release a document.	8	MR. EPPICH: Objection.
9	Q. Yeah. In other words, that is we're	9	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Form.
10	not giving them out, at least in their	10	Scope.
11	entirety, right?	11	THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
12	A. Yes, sir.	MR. LANIER: We've got a ch	
13	Q. Now, if the McKesson lawyer wants	13	listen to Linden Barber.
14	those documents that the government has told	14	(Deposition Exhibit 19 was marked
15	you, your ex-employer told you are not to be	15	for identification.)
16	given out, are you going to voluntarily give	16	BY MR. LANIER:
17	them to the McKesson lawyer just because	17	Q. Let me show you a document that
18	they're begging you for them?	18	we'll mark as Exhibit No. 20, which is a
19	MR. EPPICH: Object to the form.	19	document he prepared or 19. Excuse me.
20	And misstates the testimony.	20	Exhibit 19.
21	THE WITNESS: No.	21	This is one he prepared when he was
22	BY MR. LANIER:	22	still working for the DEA before he went to
23	Q. Are you going to continue to	23	work for industry.
24	withhold those documents the way the government	24	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Counsel,
25	says should be done for the national interest	25	I'm not sure if you're aware of this, but the
	Page 670		Page 672
1	for our safety, health and welfare in America?	1	Department of Justice is seeking to claw this
2	MR. EPPICH: Object to the form.	2	document back, is my understanding.
3	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.	3	I believe that this document is
4	BY MR. LANIER:	4	privileged, contains attorney-client privileged
5	Q. Thank you, sir.	5	communications.
6	Next subject. No. Let's stay on	6	And so we intend to we are still
7	that subject for a moment.	7	tracking down how Cardinal Health got this
8	So you had mentioned before this	8	document. But we are intending to claw this
9	is still on documents from the DEA.	9	document back. And so I do not believe this
10	You had mentioned before that some	10	witness can answer any questions regarding this
11	DEA folks went to work for industry, right?	11	document.
12	A. Yes, sir.	12	MR. LANIER: This was now attached
13	Q. I think one example is Linden	13	to the Walgreens expert report. So this
14	Barber.	14	thing's like all over the place.
15	Do you know Linden Barber?	15	MR. BENNETT: I understand the
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	problem.
17	MR. LANIER: Want to see how much	17	MR. LANIER: So will you be
	4 I II II II 10 0 I	18	instructing him not to answer? And do I have a
18	time I got. Hold on. I have 12 minutes? I		
18 19	have time to burn.	19	right to appeal on that or
18 19 20	have time to burn. BY MR. LANIER:	20	MR. BENNETT: It will depend on what
18 19 20 21	have time to burn. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Did anybody from the pharma or did	20 21	MR. BENNETT: It will depend on what your question is.
18 19 20 21 22	have time to burn. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Did anybody from the pharma or did you ever know whether or not any of those	20 21 22	MR. BENNETT: It will depend on what your question is. MR. LANIER: Okay. I don't think
18 19 20 21 22 23	have time to burn. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Did anybody from the pharma or did you ever know whether or not any of those ex-DEA folks who went to work for the companies	20 21 22 23	MR. BENNETT: It will depend on what your question is. MR. LANIER: Okay. I don't think it's a bad one.
18 19 20 21 22	have time to burn. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Did anybody from the pharma or did you ever know whether or not any of those	20 21 22	MR. BENNETT: It will depend on what your question is. MR. LANIER: Okay. I don't think

78 (Pages 669 - 672)

	Page 673		Page 675
1	the transcript be redacted.	1	Did you, on your personal knowledge,
2	MR. LANIER: Deal. Deal. And then	2	know that to be true?
3	we don't have to retake a depo if I wind up	3	A. Yes.
4	getting	4	Q. The second paragraph, it says:
5	MR. BENNETT: I'd objection.	5	"While it's appropriate" and this is the
6	BY MR. LANIER:	6	second sentence.
7	Q. All right. So	7	"While it's appropriate for a
8	MR. BENNETT: And again, I don't	8	diversion investigator to provide guidance to
9	want this information shared.	9	registrants with respect to their suspicious
10	MS. MAINIGI: Mr. Bennett, we would	10	order reporting system, it remains the sole
11	have an ongoing objection to that line of	11	responsibility of the registrant to design and
12	questioning.	12	operate such a system."
13	MR. BENNETT: And I have an object	13	Do you agree with that assessment?
14	to this being used at all. If you want to ask	14	MR. BENNETT: You may answer that
15	him if he knows whether this was taken or not,	15	question.
16	I would let him answer that question "yes" or	16	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Form.
17	"no." To the extent that you're showing any of	17	Foundation.
18	the contents of this document, we would object	18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	to it.	19	BY MR. LANIER:
20	MR. LANIER: Object. But will you	20	Q. And do you know whether or not
21	instruct him not to answer, or can I still ask?	21	Linden Barber took that knowledge with him when
22	I don't know what the rules are.	22	he went to work for the distributor that he
23	MR. BENNETT: I don't know the	23	went the work for?
24	questions that you're going to ask.	24	A. I don't know if he took
25	SPECIAL MASTER COHEN: You got ask	25	MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Form.
	Page 674		Page 676
			rage 0/0
1	and see what happens.	1	Foundation. Scope.
1 2		1 2	
	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing
2	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and	2 3 4	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he
2 3	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet	2 3	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take.
2 3 4	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors	2 3 4 5 6	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER:
2 3 4 5 6 7	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are	2 3 4 5 6 7	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. I want to ask you some questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. MR. BENNETT: You can answer within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. I want to ask you some questions. He basically got you to say, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. MR. BENNETT: You can answer within your personal knowledge "yes" or "no," if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. I want to ask you some questions. He basically got you to say, if Distributor A or B is doing something wrong but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. MR. BENNETT: You can answer within your personal knowledge "yes" or "no," if you know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. I want to ask you some questions. He basically got you to say, if Distributor A or B is doing something wrong but the other distributor is not, would you impute
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. MR. BENNETT: You can answer within your personal knowledge "yes" or "no," if you know. THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. I want to ask you some questions. He basically got you to say, if Distributor A or B is doing something wrong but the other distributor is not, would you impute from one to the other.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. MR. BENNETT: You can answer within your personal knowledge "yes" or "no," if you know. THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question. I want to make sure I got it right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. I want to ask you some questions. He basically got you to say, if Distributor A or B is doing something wrong but the other distributor is not, would you impute from one to the other. Do you remember all of that talk?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. MR. BENNETT: You can answer within your personal knowledge "yes" or "no," if you know. THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question. I want to make sure I got it right. BY MR. LANIER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. I want to ask you some questions. He basically got you to say, if Distributor A or B is doing something wrong but the other distributor is not, would you impute from one to the other. Do you remember all of that talk? A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. MR. BENNETT: You can answer within your personal knowledge "yes" or "no," if you know. THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question. I want to make sure I got it right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, sir. And I'm basing it on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. I want to ask you some questions. He basically got you to say, if Distributor A or B is doing something wrong but the other distributor is not, would you impute from one to the other. Do you remember all of that talk? A. Yes, sir. Q. Here's my question: Would it matter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. MR. BENNETT: You can answer within your personal knowledge "yes" or "no," if you know. THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question. I want to make sure I got it right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, sir. And I'm basing it on the first sentence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. I want to ask you some questions. He basically got you to say, if Distributor A or B is doing something wrong but the other distributor is not, would you impute from one to the other. Do you remember all of that talk? A. Yes, sir. Q. Here's my question: Would it matter to you if the two had conspired to work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. MR. BENNETT: You can answer within your personal knowledge "yes" or "no," if you know. THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question. I want to make sure I got it right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, sir. And I'm basing it on the first sentence. "Investigation of wholesale	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. I want to ask you some questions. He basically got you to say, if Distributor A or B is doing something wrong but the other distributor is not, would you impute from one to the other. Do you remember all of that talk? A. Yes, sir. Q. Here's my question: Would it matter to you if the two had conspired to work together by joining the same hospital
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and see what happens. MR. LANIER: All right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Sir, did Linden Barber know and report I guess I shouldn't show it yet that investigations of wholesale distributors have revealed that many of the distributors are not filing suspicious order reports? MS. MAINIGI: Objection. Scope. Objection. Foundation. Form. MR. EPPICH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I Linden Barber MR. BENNETT: Hold on. Hold on. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. MR. BENNETT: You can answer within your personal knowledge "yes" or "no," if you know. THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question. I want to make sure I got it right. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Yes, sir. And I'm basing it on the first sentence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Foundation. Scope. THE WITNESS: I mean he knew what was going on with the distributors not filing suspicious orders. I I don't know what he took, what he didn't take. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. The Walmart lawyer went last, and he started asking you some hypotheticals about Distributor A and Distributor B. And I'm not sure I followed it, but I think I did. You with me? A. Yes, sir. Q. I want to ask you some questions. He basically got you to say, if Distributor A or B is doing something wrong but the other distributor is not, would you impute from one to the other. Do you remember all of that talk? A. Yes, sir. Q. Here's my question: Would it matter to you if the two had conspired to work

79 (Pages 673 - 676)

	D (77		D (70)
1	Page 677 further a plan?	1	Page 679 He showed it to you in the exhibit?
2	Would that matter?	2	A. It's yes. It's what it's what
3	MR. STEPHENS: Object to form.	3	Patterson testified to.
4	Calls for legal conclusion.	4	Q. What he left out when he showed you
5	THE WITNESS: If	5	that is this paragraph in the middle. It says:
6	MR. STEPHENS: Foundation.	6	"I understand that their desire in a lot of
7	MR. BENNETT: And I'll object to	7	these cases is to be able to get
8	scope.	8	contemporaneous evidence, use undercover as
9	You can answer.	9	opposed to having to use witnesses that have
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, it did it does	10	come in that may not have the best of
11	matter.	11	backgrounds."
12	BY MR. LANIER:	12	Do you see that?
13	Q. I mean you he kept saying would	13	A. Yes, sir.
14	you hold Distributor B if it was Distributor A	14	Q. Did you all have undercover agents?
15	and you kept saying, "Give me more data. Give	15	Or was that FBI agents? Or who had the
16	me more data. Give me more data."	16	undercover agents?
17	I'm giving you more data.	17	MR. O'CONNOR: Objection.
18	If they're in a conspiracy, does	18	MR. BENNETT: Objection. Scope.
19	that make a difference?	19	I'll remind you that you cannot
20	A. Yes, it does.	20	disclose confidential law enforcement
21	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	21	investigative techniques, the effectiveness of
22	BY MR. LANIER:	22	which would be impaired.
23	Q. Does it matter if they're both	23	You are authorized to answer that
24	supplying excessive opioid?	24	question "yes" or "no" only.
25	A. Yes.	25	THE WITNESS: So the the question
	Page 678		Page 680
1	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	1	is
2	BY MR. LANIER:	2	BY MR. LANIER:
3	Q. Does it matter if you've got two	3	Q. Is did you all have undercover
4	distributors that are supplying to the same	4	operatives?
5	retailer, and both of them know that the other	5	A. Yes.
6	one's supplying as well when they're trying to	6	Q. Okay. Then maybe you can answer
7	figure out orders of unusual size?	7	this one too: Do you wanted to rat out your
8	MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form.	8	undercover ops?
9	MS. MAINIGI: Objection.	9	A. You'd disclose their no.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.	10	Q. All right. And if the company is
10 11	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER:	10 11	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never
10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject.	10 11 12	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises.
10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked, if the DEA waited a	10 11 12 13	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises. Fair?
10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked, if the DEA waited a year to file.	10 11 12 13 14	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises. Fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.
10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked, if the DEA waited a year to file. Do you remember?	10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises. Fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked, if the DEA waited a year to file. Do you remember? A. Yes, sir.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises. Fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. BY MR. LANIER:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked, if the DEA waited a year to file. Do you remember? A. Yes, sir. I think this is	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises. Fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Or if a registrant's not. Let me
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked, if the DEA waited a year to file. Do you remember? A. Yes, sir. I think this is Q. And there	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises. Fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Or if a registrant's not. Let me put it that way.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked, if the DEA waited a year to file. Do you remember? A. Yes, sir. I think this is Q. And there A supposed to be ISOs.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises. Fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Or if a registrant's not. Let me put it that way. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked, if the DEA waited a year to file. Do you remember? A. Yes, sir. I think this is Q. And there A supposed to be ISOs. Q. ISOs not ASO.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises. Fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Or if a registrant's not. Let me put it that way. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Vague.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked, if the DEA waited a year to file. Do you remember? A. Yes, sir. I think this is Q. And there A supposed to be ISOs. Q. ISOs not ASO. And in that regard, there was	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises. Fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Or if a registrant's not. Let me put it that way. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Vague. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked, if the DEA waited a year to file. Do you remember? A. Yes, sir. I think this is Q. And there A supposed to be ISOs. Q. ISOs not ASO. And in that regard, there was evidently, at least in one circumstance, a	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises. Fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Or if a registrant's not. Let me put it that way. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Vague. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LANIER: Q. All right. Next subject. You got asked, if the DEA waited a year to file. Do you remember? A. Yes, sir. I think this is Q. And there A supposed to be ISOs. Q. ISOs not ASO. And in that regard, there was	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right. And if the company is not doing something illegal, this problem never arises. Fair? MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. MS. MAINIGI: Objection. BY MR. LANIER: Q. Or if a registrant's not. Let me put it that way. MR. EPPICH: Objection. Form. Vague. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 681 Rannazzisi. It has been a pleasure to get to know you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's deposition. Going off record. Time is 4:22. (Whereupon, the deposition was concluded at 4:22 p.m.)	Page 683 1 Veritext Legal Solutions 1100 Superior Ave 2 Suite 1820 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 3 Phone: 216-523-1313 4 May 20, 2019 5 To: Gregory Utter, Esq. 6 Case Name: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation 7 Veritext Reference Number: 3301884 8 Witness: Joseph Rannazzisi Deposition Date: 5/15/2019 9 10 Dear Sir/Madam: 11 Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness 12 review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the 13 included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and 14 the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and 15 forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address 16 shown 17 above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com. 18 If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of 19 this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived. 20 21 Sincerely, 22 Production Deportment
24 25		22 Production Department 23 24
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 682 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC I, Bonnie L. Russo, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	Page 684 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3301884 3 CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation DATE OF DEPOSITION: 5/15/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Joseph Rannazzisi 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of 6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Joseph Rannazzisi 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Notary Public in and tor the District of Columbia My Commission expires: June 30, 2020	this day of, 20 18

81 (Pages 681 - 684)

	Page 68	5
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW	
2	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS	
	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3301884	
3	CASE NAME: In Re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation DATE OF DEPOSITION: 5/15/2019	
	WITNESS' NAME: Joseph Rannazzisi	
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of	
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.	
7	, ,	
8	Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s).	
9	I request that these changes be entered	
10	as part of the record of my testimony.	
	I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well	
11	as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my	
12	testimony and be incorporated therein.	
13	Date Joseph Rannazzisi	
14	*	
1.5	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a	
15	Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear	
	and acknowledge that:	
17	They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections	
18	in the appended Errata Sheet;	
19	They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and	
	Their execution of this Statement is of	
20 21	their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal	
22	this day of, 20	
23		
24	Notary Public	
25	C E B .	I and the second
25	*	
25	Commission Expiration Date Page 68	6
25	Page 68	6
	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST	6
1	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884	6
1	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST	6
1	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON Date Joseph Rannazzisi SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON Date Joseph Rannazzisi SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DAY OF, 20	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON Date Joseph Rannazzisi SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DAY OF, 20	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON Date Joseph Rannazzisi SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DAY OF, 20 Notary Public	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON Date Joseph Rannazzisi SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DAY OF, 20 Notary Public	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 68 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST ASSIGNMENT NO: 3301884 PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON Date Joseph Rannazzisi SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DAY OF	

82 (Pages 685 - 686)

[& - 2005] Page 1

	1000 260.10	1201 72 410.6	10102 260.4 270.5
&	1000 369:19 10006 372:4	1301.72 419:6	19103 369:4 370:5
& 365:8 366:4		1301.74 374:3	1970 531:21
368:2,3,20 369:3,6	1001 366:21	416:25 419:7,7	571:23
369:11 370:8,12	10016 367:19	432:11 433:1	1970s 432:15
370:16,20 371:3,7	10022 367:11	464:22 533:12,23	474:13
371:11,20 372:3,8	10036 367:24	1304 450:11	1984 378:10
0	101 442:8	14 374:8 402:21	653:14
00010978-979	1095 367:23	426:24 520:25	1986 381:6
374:23	10:09 427:10	599:21 622:10,18	1988 382:23
0007027620-7650	10:13 431:1	1400 366:5	1993 653:14
	10:15 431:5	142 598:11	1995 384:2
373:22	10th 365:9	15 364:20 374:12	1999 370:12
00135203-5210	11 371:3 374:4	375:6 426:24	379:18
374:21	489:17 576:4,7	475:21 486:8	1:11 512:24
00869965-0002	651:3 659:11	521:1 620:24	2
374:19	1100 368:9 683:1	621:23 634:21,23	2 373:12 385:3
02199 370:22	111 371:7 372:4	150 482:17	408:13 427:9
371:8	112 367:18	15219 369:9	463:7,9,12,15
02467796-7799	11747 366:13	371:12	477:5,18 515:14
373:11	11937 682:19	15:28.04. 627:12	573:10 666:7
04 390:6,8	11:07 475:11	16 374:13 521:2,7	20 500:2,4 671:18
05 596:21	11:19 475:15	581:18 648:7,8,11	683:4 684:16
06 488:21	12 374:6 405:20	648:14	685:22 686:22
07 472:2 488:21	453:25 455:1	16,000 426:24	20,000 539:4,12
1	594:23,24 595:3,5	661:13	551:1,12
1 373:10 428:18,20	599:11,11 670:18	17 364:5,9 374:18	2000 384:9 387:18
428:22 429:2	12-27-07 373:12	489:22 652:3,4,7	388:18 393:10
433:16 454:17	126e 367:11	1701 369:3	655:7
462:23 488:10	127 601:1	171 620:23 621:23	20001 368:21
597:3 661:6	128 594:20 601:1	1717 370:4	370:9
1.6 579:19 580:3	12:02 512:10	1755 368:13	20004 366:21
657:21 658:1,3	12th 369:13	17th 368:4	20004 360.21 20005 369:14
10 374:2 525:10,11	13 374:7 402:21	18 364:11,13	370:17
532:4 533:2	453:25 609:23	374:20 598:10	
550:13 631:13	610:2 663:6,7,9	654:22,23 655:15	2001 388:18,19
10,000 540:17,22	13.25 474:5	655:17,20,21	2002 388:22 20036 369:20
541:3,13 549:6,22	1300 371:21	1800 369:19	
552:10	1301 419:9 533:11	1820 683:2	2004 389:7 514:15
10-15-17 374:12	533:12 643:11	19 374:22 671:14	514:24
10-13-1 7 374.12 100,000 539:7	1301.71. 411:15	671:19,20	2005 391:2,7
100,000 337.1			424:12 514:15,24
			517:14 519:11

[2005 - 4] Page 2

50 0 6 0 50 1 11 15	188 A4 AA 199 15	105.51	
520:20 521:11,17	475:21,22 483:18	495:24	3
530:21 531:20	517:8,15 520:20	212-421-2800	3 373:13 471:11,12
532:2 535:20	521:11,17 562:14	367:12	472:1 477:23
574:4 595:7 596:7	564:9 565:10,16	212-571-0550	479:9 485:4
597:4 599:3,24,25	568:11 573:4,9,12	372:5	487:18 595:3
2006 391:8,12	575:5 576:11	212-698-3593	666:6
428:7 430:9,16	579:9,13,14	367:24	3-1-07 374:22
432:20 433:22	580:14,20 581:18	212-784-6402	3-20-18 374:10
450:25 459:4	581:21 659:7	367:19	30 607:1 682:23
462:22 466:25	2016 393:11,12,13	215-241-7910	300 580:18,22
474:11 478:2	393:14 475:20	370:5	301 371:12
529:23 530:20	486:4 515:13,15	216-523-1313	304-525-9115
555:24 562:10,20	515:23 516:1,17	683:3	367:4
568:23	516:17 520:16,19	216-592-5000	305 366:13
2007 425:14 428:7	581:23,24,25	368:10	3100 370:4
474:10 478:3,3	2017 476:2 481:21	216-621-7860	312-269-4066
479:9 480:17	482:3,12 489:22	371:22	368:18
529:23 555:24	591:20,23 592:10	216-622-3988	312-494-4434
562:10,20 563:19	592:19 593:14	366:10	371:17
568:23 571:4	634:18 635:2	22 485:18	317-236-1313
573:10,17	2018 605:23	22,000 661:10	371:4
2008 474:8 477:14	622:12 624:19	220 641:3	33 399:19,21 522:6
562:25 563:3	2019 364:20 375:6	222 641:1,4	522:9 628:13,23
564:9 565:1,10,15	683:4	22nd 581:25	629:16 632:10
568:11 571:25	202-434-5000	23 657:25	3301884 364:25
572:22 573:4,7,12	369:14	25 595:7 596:21	683:7 684:2 685:2
591:22 592:16	202-662-6000	597:3 598:11	686:2
604:8 649:13,17	370:10	25701 367:4	339 580:19
651:20 655:9	202-778-1800	26 583:7 620:23	35th 371:11
2009 479:24	369:20	27 430:16 478:2,3	375 373:3
620:23	202-849-4960	488:21,21	39402 367:7
2010 569:13	366:22	28 366:17	3:09 609:13
2011 486:20	202-879-5907	2804 364:4,5	3:12 609:18
569:13	370:17	375:11	3:33 631:9
2012 488:10	202-942-5000	29464 366:17	3:40 631:14
655:14 656:2	368:22	2:06 562:1	
2013 661:10	2020 682:23	2:22 562:6	4
2014 585:22 657:4	20th 372:4 622:12	2:43 582:14	4 373:15 431:4
666:13	21 373:24 374:2	2:45 582:19	456:17 473:4,6
2015 374:5 381:12	390:20 405:17,19		595:5
381:16 461:23	417:1 464:22		

[40 - 90067] Page 3

40 432:13 433:3	50,000 539:6	610 368:4 373:7	565:1 582:18
454:2 455:3	500 394:9	374:7	584:5,10 586:4
571:18	502 598:16	617-342-4000	592:5 598:5
400 366:9,13	510 595:7 598:3,5	371:8	609:22 654:19
401 366:20	512 373:23	617-951-7000	8,000 551:19
412-338-4690	513 373:5	370:22	552:12
371:13	513-579-6540	622 374:8	80 415:8,9
412-560-7463	366:6	630 487:21	800 370:21 405:18
369:9	525 373:24 374:2	631 373:4	801 366:8 447:24
419 367:3	54 371:16 622:17	631-224-1133	80s 514:12
424-332-4764	622:22	366:14	811 405:19,20
370:13	55 622:17 624:14	634 374:12	823 373:25 432:11
428 373:10	56 367:10 394:14	648 374:13	527:19 643:10
44113 366:9 368:9	394:16,17	650-739-3939	826 495:25 498:9
44114 371:21	56th 367:11	368:14	498:14,14,20,21
683:2	576 374:4	652 374:18	500:14 523:11,15
45005 364:9	582 373:6	654 374:20	827 491:24
45090 364:13	595 374:6	655 370:16	843-216-9241
45132 364:11	6	66 390:19,19	366:18
45202 366:5		671 374:22	844 637:25
46204 371:4	6 373:20 425:15	6810 367:14	85 414:8 415:11
463 373:12	483:25 484:1,4	6th 367:11	850 365:9 370:9
471 373:13	512:23 565:1	7	852 637:11,12,13
473 373:15	595:14		86 381:15,21
475 373:18	6-21-93 374:19	7 373:21 425:15,15	382:25
484 373:20	60 376:23,24 377:2	478:3 486:24,25	867 637:20,22
486 373:21	377:5 396:16,21	487:3 562:5 563:4	88 381:21 382:25
4:22 681:7,9	397:1,9,13 398:4,6	I .	383:20,22
5	398:18 401:8,18	70s 433:2 530:2,4	8:43 364:21 375:7
	413:6 415:2,4	713-659-5200	8:54 384:25
5 373:18 475:14,16	416:4 423:13	367:15	8:58 385:4
475:24 550:13	427:14,16,21	72 571:24	9
551:12	587:2 589:1,9	72.5 662:9,13	-
5,000 538:22	622:25	725 369:13	9 373:24 453:24
539:12 540:12,15	601 368:21	73 571:24,24	455:1 525:3,6,18
540:22 541:3,13	601-467-0788	75 419:6	609:17 620:24
549:5,22 551:1,18	367:8	77 368:17	621:23
552:11	60601 368:17	77069 367:15	9-27-06 373:10
5/15/2019 683:8	60654 371:17	8	90 414:9 415:8,10
684:3 685:3	609-919-6688	8 373:23 425:15,15	415:11 657:21
50 454:2 455:3	369:4	512:13,19 563:4	90067 370:13

[90s - administer] Page 4

00 514.10	1 1 41		405 10 460 00
90s 514:12	abundantly	acknowledged	425:19 468:22
92660 368:5	651:19	539:18 656:6	473:18 518:15
94303 368:13	abuse 407:4	acknowledges	553:8 621:9
949-823-7963	433:20,21,23,24	479:22 485:4	682:12,17
368:5	434:5,24 435:2,3	acquired 414:19	actions 405:19
950 368:8	661:22	414:23	409:1 467:20
96 384:2,8	abused 405:23	act 373:25 397:25	476:18 507:17
97 367:7 384:9	426:18	401:23 405:14,15	592:21 619:12
99 379:25 579:25	abusing 409:18	405:16,21 408:12	623:14
580:9	abv.com 372:5	409:9 410:10	active 496:23
9:52 427:6	accept 645:10	411:10 432:13	619:21 629:8
9th 366:20 371:21	acceptable 502:10	435:7,14,19	activities 424:10
a	acceptance 477:23	436:11,14 437:22	451:22 475:2
a.m. 364:21 375:7	488:3	444:4 445:11	481:15
a.m. 304.21 373.7 a23 417:1,1	access 397:24	446:23,24 448:2	activity 409:22
a25 417.1,1 aaron 364:7	401:23 515:12	448:23 458:22	425:22
abate 522:12	519:14 569:8	464:17 465:23	actual 405:15
abbreviated 435:8	576:15 577:1	485:11 492:24	640:13,13
abdcmdl002798	594:7 638:9	507:15 515:13	ad 506:6
373:14	639:22 640:4	521:23 522:18	added 510:4
	642:2,13	524:9,9 525:5,20	addiction 406:21
ability 392:21 401:25 402:3,10	account 436:23	525:21 526:2,8,20	406:24 407:1,2,7
401:23 402:3,10	443:19 593:21,22	526:24 527:1,6,7	461:21
	594:8,11,14	527:15,17,18	addictive 439:16
409:10 515:21	599:23 602:8	528:4,6,9,22 529:1	addition 380:11
516:8 520:22	accountability	529:12,25 530:12	382:20 383:19
521:13 612:24,25	437:3,8,17 444:2	530:14,16 532:19	391:5 419:18
617:17 618:15	507:18 574:16,18	532:23 558:6	459:22 492:17
619:3	accounted 499:4	563:11 571:22	594:13
able 436:22	accurate 415:5	584:18 611:7,17	additional 448:11
499:25 503:9	566:2	612:8,19 613:3	510:4 660:2,24
508:6 571:1	accurately 402:7	614:22,25 616:16	address 593:25
597:21 600:4	623:8	616:25 632:19	683:15
618:25 679:7	accusation 495:11	642:18 643:3	addressed 463:4
absent 640:20	accused 612:7,18	644:11 684:14	adequate 523:25
642:8	acetaminophen	685:20	524:13 658:24
absolutely 412:4	585:9	acting 506:4,6	adjudicated
433:1 439:20	achievement	624:18,21 625:1,2	570:24
447:16 497:22,24	635:7	628:8 629:17	admin 630:3
512:5 549:8	acknowledge	action 382:18	administer 586:10
551:10 606:21	684:11 685:16	404:7,17,19	
647:14 670:3	3011 300.10		

[administration - amounts]

administration	adversarial	630:18	allegation 495:11
372:12 379:6	400:17	agree 443:6	500:16
381:20 389:3	advice 503:2,6	459:12,16 479:16	allergan 370:15
422:16 429:3,9	555:23	540:1 582:5,8	373:11
498:15 523:1	advil 455:22	611:6,10,25 612:3	alleviates 494:17
524:4,16 624:19	advise 508:18	617:16 641:25	allies 579:25 580:9
624:24 626:4	advisory 625:17	650:15,18 675:13	allow 509:3
administration's	advocate 523:7,11	agreed 476:15	628:16
374:9	523:16,23 524:2	485:18 656:6	allowed 386:4
administrative	advocated 523:9	657:1	413:25 414:18
373:16,18,21	523:13 524:12,17	agreement 373:14	457:8 469:16,23
374:20 402:3	affairs 572:5	373:16,16,18,20	471:6 476:17
404:19,22 468:20	affiliates 484:10	373:22 374:21	480:9,18 508:25
469:2,8 473:10	affixed 684:15	471:10 472:1	509:6 537:18
475:24 529:17	685:21	473:10,11 474:21	538:3 626:20
589:23 591:15	affording 404:6	475:25 476:5,10	634:2,9,12 636:3
592:21 617:24	afternoon 513:2	476:13,20 477:15	667:24
618:4,5,18 619:12	582:22 610:6,7	479:25 484:8	allowing 404:20
620:1 621:8	agac 625:17	485:3 487:5 488:4	511:25
623:14	agencies 574:21	566:12 591:21	alprazolam
administrator	574:21	593:15 612:22	454:20 455:15,17
380:15 389:18	agency 563:21	654:18 656:7	456:4
391:3,12,14	579:10,12 581:14	657:5	alto 368:13
409:21 419:2,5	582:6	agrees 488:6	amber 372:13
430:2,7 470:5	agency's 567:17	508:12	america 500:17
478:4,12,13	599:14	ahead 406:15	633:11 644:6
488:14,17,18	agent 381:3	515:17 524:25	670:1
506:4,5 615:24	382:23,25 383:20	525:1 550:3 567:8	american 447:8,13
616:15 624:18,22	385:22 387:9	577:14 579:15	americans 661:10
625:2 628:9	388:24	592:15 604:12	americas 367:23
629:17 630:4	agents 383:9,12	606:10 616:21	amerisourceberg
admission 488:4	385:21 456:5	617:22	370:2 414:8
admissions 483:18	545:14,21 548:1	aid 369:2	415:13 471:10
admit 481:21	679:14,15,16	al 364:8,10,12,13	472:3,9,18 482:25
admits 482:3	aggregate 496:7	419:11	amount 392:16,17
admonition	496:13,15	alcorta 372:12	496:1,23 497:5,7,9
612:21	aggregator 457:18	alert 381:8	497:10 498:12
ads 397:5	aggressive 635:8	alienating 580:11	499:3,17 500:3
advance 505:5	ago 375:25 479:4	alj 469:1,2	577:6 644:13
507:23 508:21	492:9,10 588:25	allegaert 372:3	amounts 450:14
	604:20 605:23		

[amphetamine - assistant]

amphetamine	627:22	appreciate 625:8	aside 467:1 531:16
383:25 388:8	answers 626:17	approach 460:17	534:25
analog 518:4	anthony 369:18	644:4	asked 385:7 391:4
analogy 644:22	antianxiety 456:5	appropriate	391:12 397:16,21
analysis 528:11	antidiversion	449:15,24 450:4	442:5 460:3
532:16 551:10,14	514:9,14 516:18	524:13 541:10	490:10 500:18
552:21 666:20	517:18 519:3	546:12 553:22	508:8 513:18
anda 371:6	521:16,19 522:11	651:7 675:5,7	515:2,20 521:22
andrew 370:19	533:6	approve 466:18	522:1,1 523:19
andrew.o'connor	anybody 383:15	643:24	569:18 580:14
370:23	670:21	approximately	588:20 620:14,17
angeles 370:13	anymore 426:1	605:23 607:1	621:5,19,25
answer 397:17	anyway 554:20	april 583:7 620:23	624:15 631:24
414:21,24 460:25	aol 594:8	arch 370:4	632:3,6 636:8
461:1 470:23	aol.com 594:3	arcos 491:13,14,23	642:17 644:16
475:3,5 478:25	apart 418:11	492:3,5,12,12,12	647:2 654:7
481:13 495:23	apologize 442:22	492:13,21,22	657:19 659:6
508:4 510:3	535:2 596:19	493:3,6 494:10	678:13
519:25 520:2,9	appeal 672:19	519:3,6,7,8,12	asking 397:14
524:10 541:21	appear 468:20	558:11 569:4	415:3 459:22
542:3,5 543:3,5	526:22 534:5,7,11	605:1,3,6,8,9	490:22 501:19
545:25 550:3,12	534:14,17,19,22	609:3,5 630:9,10	508:8 514:3
550:23 551:24	618:18 646:16	640:6	522:19 546:5
552:7 568:24	684:11 685:15	area 389:2 569:23	547:6,7 585:12
581:6 607:22	appearance	areas 566:18	612:23 619:20
608:20 620:3,9	601:16	630:14 631:25	620:16 623:3,5
621:11,14,20	appearances	argumentative	633:8 635:21
623:19,24 626:23	366:1 367:1 368:1	602:11,24 644:8	665:23 676:9
627:3,9,10 628:10	369:1 370:1 371:1	654:4	asks 523:21 524:3
628:11 637:2,8	372:1	arises 680:12	aso 678:20
639:1,3,4,11,11	appearing 536:4	arlington 389:11	aspects 488:11
672:10,18 673:16	appears 526:7	arm 574:25 575:2	assess 611:14
673:21 674:15	682:5	arnold 368:20	assessed 482:12
675:14 677:9	appended 685:11	arnoldporter.com	assessment 642:1
679:23 680:6	685:18	368:22	675:13
answer's 551:21	appendix 487:17	arrest 383:14	assignment 684:2
answered 504:16	487:18	439:11,13	685:2 686:2
505:13 550:1	apples 613:8,10	article 374:12	assistant 380:15
626:11	658:11,11	559:19	388:24 389:18
answering 451:22	applies 444:12	aruiz 369:21	391:13 430:2,7
520:4 546:3	476:21		478:4,13 488:18

[assistant - based] Page 7

100.10 550.1	auditing 112.16	277240magg 476.16	177.6 10 550.7
488:19 559:1	auditing 443:16	awareness 476:16	477:6,18 550:7
615:24 616:14	444:1	578:11 659:25	629:23
630:4	audits 437:4	awful 625:23	backgrounds
associated 662:2	507:18	b	679:11
association 374:15	authority 657:2	b 419:7 432:11,11	backtrack 617:18
648:18 651:4,18	authorization	433:1 464:22	618:8 619:5
652:1,10 676:24	423:20 575:19	526:7,12,13 528:1	bad 658:11 672:23
676:24	589:8 660:7	528:7 533:23	badala 366:12
assume 378:23	authorize 685:11	613:20,22,25	badge 381:3 383:1
394:6 399:22	authorized 423:24	614:7,13,16,20	429:20
441:8 561:2 615:4	424:6,8 451:20	615:10,12,13,19	badges 380:24
assumes 653:21	474:25 481:6	645:18 676:10,16	381:2
attached 469:25	519:17 542:24	677:14	balance 625:21
487:17 512:14,17	620:9 621:14	bacchus 372:9	ball 666:1
592:23 672:12	623:17,24 626:19	bachelor's 379:11	bam 384:12,12,13
685:7	637:2 639:7	back 385:9 388:23	384:13,16,16,16
attempting 456:22	679:23	389:7 393:16	384:16,18,18,18
attend 536:9,16	authorizes 527:11	395:9,11 399:9	384:18,22,22
557:22 572:13,16	automatically	424:25 427:8	bar 380:1,7
attendance 607:3	552:13	430:5 431:3	barber 369:7
attended 578:12	available 386:8	435:20 443:21	670:14,15 671:6
604:8,14	475:4 499:18	449:19 475:13	671:13 674:4,12
attention 524:6	519:23 541:24	478:15 512:21	675:21
567:15 595:4	636:13,15	520:16 523:3	barker 368:3
603:12 622:16,22	ave 683:1	528:18 535:3	barlitbeck.com
624:14	avenue 366:8	549:2 562:3	371:18
attorney 475:7	367:18,23 368:8	565:14 566:8	barnes 371:3
527:11 597:20	368:21 370:12	574:4 582:16	bartlit 371:16
599:9 623:3 672:4	371:7	596:8,14 601:25	base 414:18
682:15	avenues 504:15	609:15 627:10,11	423:17 630:13,13
attorney's 366:8	average 454:1	627:14 631:11	based 415:6,6,12
372:9 629:2,8	630:5,21	638:4,10,12,13	416:9 420:15
attorneys 563:7,8	avoid 451:7 509:4	646:2 647:10	422:2,4 457:7
608:3,5,7,8,13,15	aware 434:5,11,13	651:19,25 652:1	475:4 480:3,10
608:19 623:2,4	438:13 448:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	482:7 485:24
attributable	462:8,17 476:5	653:19 672:2,9,25 683:15	516:19 533:5
661:11	510:18,20 516:10		550:22 552:14
attributed 661:13	564:23 565:11,16	background 377:4 377:12,14 389:5	560:24 597:19
audience 395:18	568:4,6 572:19	396:13 399:9	599:8 616:3
audit 443:17	573:1 585:20		619:20,21 645:6,6
574:21	603:16 671:25	409:7 427:14,16	666:19
		430:5 448:11	

[basic - bonnie] Page 8

-			_
basic 440:17 496:8	459:20,21 506:17	560:6 573:24	bigger 485:7
496:8,9,10 497:9	506:23 516:14	575:6,16,21,24	bill 389:19 401:23
497:10 630:13	527:7 538:6 549:8	576:17,20 577:19	401:24 402:8,17
basically 382:8,9	555:25 556:4	596:1 597:13,24	402:20,23 403:1
385:14 389:23	563:23 567:23	598:2,10,13,15,23	404:10 408:24
393:7,15 397:12	569:15 571:24	601:21 602:10,22	515:3,7,9,11,14,19
404:16 413:23	573:5 576:5	602:24 611:18	516:3,7,12,17,22
443:23 468:17,24	589:17 593:24	612:9 616:19	520:17,19,21,24
496:6 498:20	597:13,14 598:4	619:16 620:3	521:3 587:6,7
499:5 506:25	598:16 605:14	621:16,17 623:16	billion 662:13
531:12 567:16	606:3 607:17	623:22 624:5,10	binder 531:1,2,9
631:23 632:25	611:13 612:20	626:8 627:17	531:16,19
676:15	620:6,7,10,18	631:1 633:25	binders 531:10,11
basing 674:21	623:17 624:5	634:11,19 636:23	531:12 557:25
basis 459:19 462:1	626:15,18,22	637:5 639:3,7,14	558:3
599:12,12	631:1 639:4	644:9 660:3,6,12	bit 377:18 384:14
beach 368:5	640:23 669:6	671:24 672:15,20	385:23 391:17
bear 561:15,16	672:3,9	672:24 673:5,8,10	399:5 439:25
beck 371:16	believed 414:10	673:13,23 674:13	485:6 539:19
began 514:20	417:8 490:15,16	674:15 675:14	551:13 611:2
begging 669:18	611:7	677:7 679:18	613:5 624:8 647:9
beginning 385:3	bennett 366:7	benzodiazepines	biz 487:10
402:19 427:9	386:2 414:16	403:18 439:5	black 635:12
431:4 464:9	416:13 423:23	456:3,4 462:4	blame 466:1
465:22 475:14	451:19 467:10	605:15	511:18 615:19
512:23 562:5	470:21 474:24	berger 372:3	645:11 650:3
571:21 582:18	481:5 483:6	best 536:25 555:12	blank 497:19
609:17 631:13	485:22 491:19	632:10 679:10	blind 452:13
behalf 365:13	494:21 495:23	better 489:24	bmasters 369:16
366:3,7,11,15	503:4 504:1	509:3 519:9	board 381:6
367:2,21 368:2,11	505:18 506:22	560:21 577:5	457:12 505:1
368:19 369:2,6,11	509:15 519:16	581:1 588:18	507:23
369:17 370:2,7,15	520:8 521:20	644:17 663:12	boards 434:23
370:19 371:2,6,10	540:18 541:16	beyond 379:11	bockius 369:3,6
371:14,19 372:2	542:22 543:21,23	388:20 389:6	bodies 385:15
beisell 368:15	544:10,20 545:16	490:3 639:9 660:7	body 439:6
belabor 536:1	545:23 546:17	big 385:8 407:25	bogged 442:9
believe 391:15	547:2 548:16	435:25 502:16,16	bonnie 364:24
393:12 399:19	549:14 551:22	535:21 633:10	375:14 622:10
404:24 405:19	552:17 553:10	662:15	682:2
415:5 441:10	554:11 559:15		

[boss - categories] Page 9

boston 370:22 btlaw.com 371:5 368:13 370:13 care 371:8 buckets 463:20 call 390:10 396:16 42 bottom 664:22 bucks 394:17 406:21 408:2 66 boulevard 366:17 budget 390:18 428:19 445:17 care boylston 370:21 633:20 456:8 490:12 care brad 369:13 build 617:19 562:23 578:21,22 care brand 432:19 building 664:14 578:22 595:4 care	72:7 e 413:24 40:21,22 500:9,9 62:1 ed 646:4 eful 482:1 egivers 664:18 es 500:7 isoprodol 55:16,17
371:8 buckets 463:20 call 390:10 396:16 42 bottom 664:22 bucks 394:17 406:21 408:2 66 boulevard 366:17 budget 390:18 428:19 445:17 card boylston 370:21 633:20 456:8 490:12 card brad 369:13 build 617:19 562:23 578:21,22 card brand 432:19 building 664:14 578:22 595:4 card	40:21,22 500:9,9 62:1 ed 646:4 eful 482:1 egivers 664:18 es 500:7 isoprodol
bottom 664:22 bucks 394:17 406:21 408:2 66 boulevard 366:17 budget 390:18 428:19 445:17 card boylston 370:21 633:20 456:8 490:12 card brad 369:13 build 617:19 562:23 578:21,22 card brand 432:19 building 664:14 578:22 595:4 card	62:1 ed 646:4 eful 482:1 egivers 664:18 es 500:7 isoprodol
boulevard 366:17 budget 390:18 428:19 445:17 card boylston 370:21 633:20 456:8 490:12 card brad 369:13 build 617:19 562:23 578:21,22 card brand 432:19 building 664:14 578:22 595:4 card	ed 646:4 eful 482:1 egivers 664:18 es 500:7 isoprodol
boylston 370:21 633:20 456:8 490:12 card brad 369:13 build 617:19 562:23 578:21,22 card brand 432:19 building 664:14 578:22 595:4 card	eful 482:1 egivers 664:18 es 500:7 isoprodol
brad 369:13 build 617:19 562:23 578:21,22 card brand 432:19 building 664:14 578:22 595:4 card	egivers 664:18 es 500:7 isoprodol
brand 432:19 building 664:14 578:22 595:4 care	es 500:7 isoprodol
	isoprodol
440.10 h-:11! 401.4 627.20.25	_
	55:16,17
break 385:6,7 bulldog 378:7 397:16,18,20 care	olina 366:17
418:10 427:4,12 bulldogs 378:4,5 399:17 401:23 car	ry 383:3
507:5 658:25 bump 541:3,13 402:1 405:16 car	rying 426:21
breathing 439:14 bumped 539:4,6 440:3 478:8 515:7 case	e 364:5,9,11,13
bridge 445:11,17 bunch 429:19 515:9 576:11 38	81:25 411:8
bridgeside 366:17 455:20 515:3 669:4 48	83:22 491:3,12
brief 385:6 393:16 burling 365:8 calling 376:23 51	17:23 529:15
briefers 536:16 370:8,12 397:14 423:20 54	48:25 554:18
briefing 536:11 burn 670:19 calls 421:23 56	66:22 567:16
568:10 569:18 business 410:3 439:17 461:11 60	03:18 608:7
briefings 536:10 412:17 420:2,4,5 482:20 503:12 61	17:19 618:9
536:13,19 568:2 420:14 469:16,24 542:22 572:4,17 62	27:24 631:18
568:12 569:16 470:15 498:7 576:20 578:24,25 63	33:10 657:25
571:6 541:8 599:25 583:18 584:4 65	58:1 683:6 684:3
briefly 560:3 600:3 614:7 641:12 648:3 68	85:3
briefs 568:14 615:12 671:2 677:4 case	es 383:24 384:1
bring 473:18 busts 383:7 camera 587:22,23 38	84:8 389:20
503:10 510:8 butler 377:24,25 campaign 635:7 41	13:22 467:11
619:6 378:3,9 campaigns 635:15 46	68:10 470:11
bringing 376:3 buy 455:22 635:23	92:4,4,5,14,15
386:14 cancer 502:1 53	39:22 566:24
	67:18 570:5
broadhollow cor 510:16	78:4 679:7
366:13 375:1 card 404:15 409:3 cata	astrophic
broadway 372:4 645:18 460:1 639:25 49	99:10,11
brooks 622:23 C.I.f. 525:10 cardinal 360:11 cate	ch 511:3,12
623.1 624.15 332.21 333.3,9 414.7 415.12 cate	ching 544:4
625.7 353:0 480:11.12.13 esta	egories 435:16
brought 426.3 Ca 003.23 512.25 513.4	40:1 484:22,25
524.5 cabinet 603:3 654.7 13 14 18 21 66	65:18
cah 374:19,21,23 655:20,25 656:5	
Varitant Lagal Salutions	

[categorized - clear]

	,		
categorized	524:8 532:1,10	channels 451:9	circumstances
435:15	538:5 555:9 556:3	507:7 528:14	452:14 453:4,13
category 407:18	556:18 566:18	characterization	461:2 539:19
408:13	610:17	477:11 479:17	564:20 566:5,22
cause 392:13,16	certainly 555:2	481:24 500:22	citation 414:14
404:22 410:1	559:9 592:10	506:15 642:6	city 364:10 661:25
425:14 439:8	certificate 682:1	649:1	citycenter 370:8
452:1 468:10,16	685:11	charge 388:24	civil 372:11 488:5
468:17,19,21	certification 684:1	394:8 613:19	600:16 684:5
469:22,23 470:1,7	685:1	638:4,10,12,13	685:5
470:14 483:13	certify 682:4	charges 492:24	claimants 376:3
489:20 510:10	cetera 420:17	charging 384:17	631:18
517:3 541:15	chain 382:16,18	chart 429:12	clandestine 383:24
549:6 551:2	409:15,16,20	487:11	386:24 387:4
553:25 591:7,15	434:1 440:7,19	check 394:16	388:6
591:19 592:6,10	443:11,15 445:1,4	chemical 387:20	clandestinely
592:17,22,24	445:13 446:21	387:22,25 455:25	388:7,10
593:13 612:21	462:19 484:13	518:1,7 563:20	clarification
613:3 638:16	487:12 507:1	chemicals 382:3	480:24
caused 503:21	578:10 612:5,16	386:25 387:1,6	clarified 523:18
causes 426:19,19	650:5	388:2 518:3	clarify 593:3
427:2	chains 518:9	525:25	598:3
cavitch 371:20	chance 469:13	chicago 368:17	clarifying 505:2
cavitch.com	491:4 671:12	371:17	clarity 523:24
371:22	chances 457:23	chief 387:24	524:12
ceiling 496:12,15	change 417:7	388:14,15,16	class 440:17 496:8
496:18,19,20	478:25 489:22	390:5,9,13,23	496:9,9,10 497:9
497:11,12	521:18 524:5	391:6	497:10 630:13
center 368:4	632:5 633:7 634:7	child 662:1	classes 443:24
463:21 578:21,22	634:8 635:21	children 395:7	classifying 584:18
641:19,22 650:4	664:23 683:13,14	chill 456:7,9,15	claw 672:1,8,25
661:7	685:8 686:3	choose 548:13,14	clean 377:13
centre 369:8	changed 388:12	chose 434:10	442:24 506:25
371:11	426:3 467:24	572:24	631:23,24 646:5
ceppich 370:14	522:16 523:12	chris 582:24	clear 415:1 471:4
certain 397:14	571:20	christopher	472:8 489:3
480:1,1 482:5,5	changes 521:25	370:11	508:13,14,15
485:5,8 488:9,10	522:24 596:14	cincinnati 366:5	514:23 524:21,23
492:22 496:5	598:21 683:12	circumstance	574:3 611:20
498:1 521:22	684:7 685:7,9	678:22	620:12 648:6
522:21 523:5			651:19

[clearly - compromise]

		T .	
clearly 465:13	collected 595:20	commitment	511:24 563:4
cleveland 364:10	595:24	573:20 649:21	633:21 635:10
366:9 368:9	collection 518:24	committee 401:2,3	637:13 647:3
371:21 683:2	colleen 369:12	622:14	648:20 649:4
client 597:20	college 378:8	committing	651:3 658:25
599:9 672:4	379:16,20,21	614:16	662:24 670:23
climate 409:17	color 440:25	common 556:21	company 391:10
clinic 615:25	512:15	596:15	391:10 393:7,12
616:1,10,16,24,24	columbia 682:21	commonly 406:21	393:16,18 412:17
619:8 626:6	column 599:12	408:1	420:3 422:10
clinics 425:17	600:13	communicate	469:13 473:15,19
clip 561:7 579:16	combat 436:15	578:7 654:10	500:19 502:25
579:18 580:2	588:18	communicated	504:9,13 509:11
clips 581:11	combating 374:9	579:2	543:17 544:3
644:20	combination	communicating	552:20 554:14,15
clock 397:5	455:10,14	577:25 578:8	647:9,23 680:10
clonazepam	combinations	579:6 659:13	company's 555:12
455:18 456:4	455:18	660:22	647:25
closed 436:16,19	come 397:10	communication	completed 683:15
436:21 437:18	399:17 413:10	424:3 481:10	completing 528:12
439:23 444:24	442:3 469:13	573:21 574:7	compliance
445:21 449:20	480:19,25 489:1	579:1 597:20	374:13,15 417:3
462:10 472:9	549:2 553:5 556:5	657:12	417:13 418:13
473:15	613:22,22 639:4	communications	460:4 485:10
clothes 386:16	679:10	424:7 481:13	503:18 510:9
646:6	comes 440:8	536:4 583:13	600:17 648:14
clothing 386:12	494:11 534:1	599:16,20 606:22	complicated
cmcnamara	coming 414:15	672:5	631:21
369:15	520:16 570:24	communities	complies 446:23
cocaine 388:4	635:1	392:17 426:22	comply 417:4,6
code 373:24 374:2	commentary	community 379:3	425:23 563:10
405:18 464:22	491:6 662:11	404:8 426:16	610:18 644:11
532:5,6,8 630:12	comments 521:24	578:14,15	complying 657:22
codeine 585:9,10	582:6	companies 409:2	component 445:10
codes 630:16	commerce 382:4	415:20 434:19	445:19
cohen 372:7	537:13,21 622:15	444:5 465:25	components 445:1
508:16,23 509:2	commercial	467:6 490:11	445:9
627:8 673:25	430:18 431:8	494:15 503:2,8,10	compounded
colleagues 582:11	commission	503:14,18 504:14	439:9
609:10	682:23 684:19	507:5,13,13	compromise
	685:25 686:25	509:18,20 510:6	599:14

[computerized - controlled]

computerized	confused 502:8	consultants	576:2 618:25
682:8	504:9 505:12,21	603:17 604:9	660:9
concentrate	confusion 473:25	contact 537:11	control 389:9,15
492:20	504:11 505:23	572:10 583:24	389:24 391:5,15
concentrating	congress 398:2	contacts 574:10	414:8 415:23
383:24	399:2,3,6,12,13,18	contain 525:23	416:11 417:9
concept 454:17	400:14,21 401:4	601:2	418:23 422:12
concern 403:13	401:11,22 402:8	contained 479:11	423:14 424:3
541:15 625:21	405:16 426:3	480:4 482:8	426:4 430:3,8
concerned 452:18	436:15 445:22	contains 557:8	442:18 443:22
452:22	447:4,25 495:25	672:4	444:6 446:22
concerning 476:17	498:9,18 499:20	contaminant	460:5 478:5,14
600:14	515:4 520:21	387:5	481:9 488:15
concerns 453:10	521:11,21,22,24	contaminants	503:16 515:21
613:16	522:6,10 523:7,9	386:18 387:5	516:8 517:20,24
concluded 681:9	523:16,19,21,23	contaminated	517:25 518:12
concludes 681:4	524:3,3,6,7,11	385:17	520:22 521:13
conclusion 421:24	532:11 560:16	contaminates	564:16 576:25
439:18 470:13	575:3 628:14,15	386:15	591:3 621:8 628:6
677:4	628:21,23,24	contemplating	628:8 661:7
conduct 510:1	629:6,7,7,16 630:1	625:11	controlled 373:25
518:17,20,23	634:13 635:14	contemporaneous	374:17 390:14
528:10 612:17	congressional	679:8	402:4,12 403:10
613:2,20 615:20	374:4 522:14	content 560:19	405:10,12,14,16
conducted 612:6	624:1 626:25	contents 597:21	405:21 407:12,14
conducting 568:14	connolly 369:11	599:12 659:24	408:11,13,14
656:17	conroy 367:9,17	673:18	409:8 410:3,9,21
conducts 611:9	367:18	context 392:3	410:24 411:10
616:3	consequences	contingency 499:9	412:4,24 413:1,18
conferences	402:23	continue 389:4	413:20,25 421:10
578:11,16,17	consider 461:1	404:20 405:1	430:20 431:9
confidence 404:24	considered 473:19	469:17,24 505:22	432:13 434:6
confident 434:12	consistent 485:9	507:13 555:13	435:7,13 436:14
551:1	661:15	669:23	436:24 444:3
confidential	consistently 537:4	continued 367:1	445:25 446:22
473:24 474:1	conspiracy 677:18	368:1 369:1 370:1	447:5 448:22
519:18 543:4	conspired 676:22	371:1 372:1 374:1	453:17,23 454:3
594:15 601:2	constrained 523:5	425:7,8 434:4	454:12 455:2
637:3 679:20	consult 394:2	474:20 657:1	456:18 464:11
confines 387:1	consultant 393:23	continuing 395:14	465:7,22 469:18
458:22 468:3	394:2,8 603:12	507:1 575:17	476:24 478:7

[controlled - crowning]

			_
485:8,11 492:24	596:19 601:7	620:1,13 628:10	course 444:25
496:5 518:3	602:21 603:4	629:20,24 632:10	450:8
522:18 524:9	620:25 663:10	corrections 683:12	court 364:1
525:5,19,21,24	corner 487:20	685:17	375:13 376:5
526:1,8,14,19,24	corporate 429:14	corrective 404:17	400:12,19 509:6
527:1,5,14,17,22	507:7	correctly 430:21	512:13 601:15
528:6,9,13,21,25	corporation 370:2	434:8 445:2 447:2	684:7
529:25 532:18,22	370:7 463:17	447:9 530:24	cov.com 370:10,14
533:19 562:22	473:12	corresponding	cover 385:14,15
571:22 576:13	correct 376:1	395:24 396:1	385:18
584:15,17,18	380:19 420:4	446:14 450:10	coverage 385:18
586:7 590:2	423:9 432:21	corrupt 635:10	covered 488:5,9
600:17 611:7,16	438:2,3 446:2	cost 661:23	507:24
612:8,19 614:21	451:10 452:16	counsel 375:12,20	covering 508:9
618:16 626:7	461:2 464:11,12	428:24 463:8	557:6
630:22 632:19	472:6 474:9,16	502:25 504:25	covington 365:8
642:18 649:23	475:20 494:14	508:17 512:25	370:8,12
650:10 657:3	501:21 502:3	575:22 582:20	crack 386:23
665:18 666:21,22	514:10,21,25	583:6 604:10	craig 603:21
controls 410:15	516:1,5,8,15	607:15 610:4	604:14,16
411:14 417:22,24	517:12,13,19	617:10,11 620:6	create 393:8 417:7
419:4 422:23	518:12,16 519:10	621:17 624:6	418:1 420:12
425:1,4 437:24	521:5,7 526:17,22	631:1,15 634:19	565:22
443:8 444:16	527:3,23 533:6	636:23 671:24	creates 444:3
452:9 528:23	535:17 536:17	682:11,15	creating 425:10
529:3,9 532:3	537:9,20 539:13	counsel's 627:5	creek 367:14
550:15 643:4	539:20 540:3	counsels 508:20	criminal 617:19
644:12 656:9	543:20 545:15	count 661:25	617:25 618:9
conversations	547:14 549:12,25	counties 657:25	619:5,7,13,21
488:25 574:10	551:3 553:9 555:4	countless 510:11	621:9 625:10
583:16 625:16	561:3 562:10	countries 390:19	628:17
cooperative 372:2	563:13 564:5	390:19	crisis 395:20
coordinator	566:6 567:6,22	country 434:7	397:15,23 403:14
387:19 388:13	569:13 570:5	498:18 499:24	559:10 588:18
cop 511:18,20	571:9 582:1	630:15 668:17	criteria 666:21
copies 594:14	583:10 584:19	county 364:8,12	critical 447:1,12
602:6,20 603:1	585:4,22 586:8,19	366:11,15 661:25	criticisms 577:23
cops 645:12	589:22 590:3,8,25	684:10 685:15	cross 539:24
copy 428:20 463:8	591:5 592:11	couple 394:17	crossover 539:22
483:24 512:15,15	593:10,15 594:5	400:10 525:2	crowning 635:7
525:4,9 576:4	594:16 601:8	584:9 625:18	
cops 645:12 copy 428:20 463:8 483:24 512:15,15	589:22 590:3,8,25 591:5 592:11 593:10,15 594:5	684:10 685:15 couple 394:17 400:10 525:2	cross 539:24 crossover 539:22

[csa - dea] Page 14

csa 435:8 436:13	danger 404:2	david 372:10	479:11,13 480:1
488:6 598:22	409:24 468:9,10	604:6	480:16 482:5,8
curious 613:21	470:2,6 590:7,24	davis 368:20	484:9 487:6,10
current 519:6	dangerous 387:20	davison 370:20	488:13 490:17
currently 433:20	387:22,25 388:2	day 368:12,16	494:4 496:6,11
cursory 570:6	388:11 439:1	389:19,19 511:11	501:3 503:9,15,15
customer 445:24	461:9	511:11 513:5,9	504:16 506:3,7,18
457:11,13 526:22	dangers 461:20,21	514:19 684:16	506:24,24 507:5
526:23 534:5,13	461:21	685:22 686:22	507:15,18 509:11
540:6,8 541:8	daniel 372:14	days 394:18	509:19 510:7
542:9,9 546:21	dark 555:22	683:18	513:23 516:4,9
550:16 555:5	data 491:13,14,23	dc 588:1	517:1,6,10,14,18
613:14,17 614:4,8	492:1 494:10,11	dea 380:18 381:1,5	519:8,14 520:6
614:14 615:6,14	494:16 518:20,23	381:7,9 382:22	521:16 532:12,13
615:21	518:24 519:3,6,8,8	383:9,12,21 385:8	537:19 542:16,17
customers 445:15	519:12,13 520:5	385:21 386:20	542:19,20 543:12
445:15 446:9,10	520:12,15 534:10	387:9,17,18	543:15,19,22,25
446:19 458:4,5	534:22 600:14	388:21 389:6,8,10	544:7,12,18 545:9
459:23 529:5	601:2 602:7 636:9	390:15,17,18,18	548:1 549:18
650:22	637:11,12,14,20	391:11 399:5,11	553:2,4,6,8 555:7
cut 497:6 500:2	637:23,25 638:4	400:2 401:9	560:21 563:8,12
cuyahoga 364:8	638:11,12,13,23	403:21 404:5	566:22 567:3
366:11	639:16,18,25	409:10 410:14,21	571:7 572:1,11
cvs 369:17,17	640:6,8 641:19,22	410:23 411:1,4,5,6	573:14,19 575:5
373:20 483:16,17	641:24 642:2	411:6,9,13,16	576:12,24 577:4,6
483:21 484:9,14	677:15,16,16,17	413:1,23 414:23	577:24,24 578:6
484:15,21 485:3,4	databases 519:23	417:20 418:8	586:9 588:17
485:5,17 486:2	date 374:18 375:6	419:23,23 422:5	589:21 590:1,6,6
cypress 367:14	430:15 476:1	423:22 424:9,17	590:13,19,19,22
d	479:25 561:19	425:2 429:5,14,17	590:22 591:3,18
d 367:22 371:10	581:20,24 588:4	429:17,20 430:19	593:8,9,13,21,21
375:1 616:1	649:14 653:13	431:8,11 432:4	594:15,16,21
d.c. 364:19 365:10	656:1 683:8 684:3	435:1,20 440:3	595:18 596:20
366:21 368:21	684:9,19 685:3,13	451:22 452:24	597:3 599:7,10,20
369:14,20 370:9	685:25 686:20,25	457:8 464:10	600:20 601:18
370:17 375:9	dated 373:10,12	466:1,2,11,13,18	602:18,21 603:1,4
389:12 587:13	374:22 488:20	467:22 469:1,9,10	603:7,8,9 611:9,13
588:5,8	dating 574:4	470:5 472:3 473:9	612:6 613:1,16
daily 380:14	daughter 645:19	473:12,19 474:22	615:19,24 616:1,2
dan 364:6 375:4	daughters 645:25	475:2,19 476:21	616:15,23 617:13
uan 304.0 3/3.4	646:2	476:23 478:6,8,12	617:17 618:23
		rol Colutions	

[dea - deputy] Page 15

619:3,6,11 623:5	dechert 367:22	defined 431:10	depend 672:20
623:13 628:15,24	dechert.com	539:11	dependence 406:1
629:1 630:4	367:25	definitely 396:9	406:9,18 407:5,6,6
633:20 634:2,17	decide 500:2	496:18 606:13	585:18 667:1
635:8 636:9,15,18	decided 417:5	definition 417:23	dependency 666:7
636:25 638:10,13	425:24	420:6 421:9	dependent 568:17
639:7 641:24	decides 524:4	422:25 523:17	depending 401:2
642:3 643:13,23	548:5	584:14 585:14	405:3 453:23
644:17 650:3	deciding 445:24	definitions 413:4	468:6,7
653:5 654:8	decision 404:1	435:15 665:12	depends 518:25,25
659:13,25 660:1	420:3,15,23 498:7	degree 379:11,22	546:20,21,22
666:20 667:14	524:8,16 541:8,10	delay 621:8	567:1 568:13,13
669:1 670:9,11,23	542:1 554:14	624:13 626:19	depicted 586:3
670:25 671:22	563:21	678:23	deployed 391:2
678:13	decisions 389:22	delayed 619:11,20	depo 673:3
dea's 374:6 401:24	declared 447:4	619:25	deponent 375:11
402:3,10,24 478:3	661:8	delaying 628:15	deposition 364:18
503:1 507:10	decrease 402:24	628:24	365:1 375:8
512:1 515:21	523:14	delays 622:4	381:25 428:22
516:8,18 520:22	decreased 523:8	623:13 626:21,21	463:9 471:12
521:12 562:21	523:10	629:7	473:6 475:16
563:20 660:22	deed 684:14	deliberative 543:5	484:1 486:25
dea07 374:19	685:20	597:19 599:14	497:15 500:19
dea12 374:23	deem 546:11	deliver 445:25	512:19 513:5
deal 377:6 490:9	deemed 424:20,20	delivery 450:3	525:6,11 560:25
514:20 664:24	683:19	499:11	568:5 576:7 583:2
673:2,2	deeper 455:6	demonstrative	583:7,14 594:19
dealing 412:3	defend 469:14	442:14 584:4	594:24 609:23
487:10 644:5	defendant 465:25	586:4 589:15	610:2 622:18
dealt 502:16,17	defendant's	592:5 609:22	634:23 648:8
dear 683:10	617:11	demonstratives	652:4 654:23
death 426:19	defendants 376:4	585:25	655:17 671:14
427:2	491:3,12 601:3	denied 627:1	681:5,8 682:3,5,9
deaths 392:14	658:1	departed 564:10	682:13 683:8,11
398:24 661:10,12	defense 512:15	department 366:7	684:1,3 685:1,3
debra 367:22	631:2	372:9,11,11 400:2	depositions 639:5
debra.ogorman	define 381:25	429:2,5,9,10,15	depressants
367:25	410:18 421:7	469:6 624:3 669:7	439:10
december 393:10	535:7 537:8,19	672:1 683:22	depression 439:8
393:11,14 478:3	538:4 540:2	departure 486:4	deputy 380:15
488:21 571:4	572:21	562:13 582:1	389:8,14,18 390:5

[deputy - dismantle]

390:9,22 391:6,13			
370.7,22 371.0,13	determine 382:15	462:2 490:18	director 372:12
430:2,6 478:4,12	404:18 419:3	497:6 541:19	389:8,14
488:14,16,18,19	420:3 446:15	546:6 547:12	dirty 385:17
615:23 616:14	458:11 460:25	550:11 551:8	disagree 624:6
630:3	528:12 540:3	564:5,23,24	disciplined 603:7
derived 408:9	546:13 570:19	565:16,17 566:3	disclose 423:24
describe 622:11	574:22 597:16	569:21 584:18	424:8 451:20
described 489:19	611:15	626:16 630:25	465:6 474:25
600:12 619:1	detrimental 447:6	654:1	481:6,14 519:17
describes 596:20	detroit 379:16,20	differently 548:19	533:18,25 542:24
597:1	379:21 388:23	588:17 613:5	628:22 679:20
description 479:1	developed 492:5	difficult 399:2	680:9
596:17 600:13	530:13 649:20	408:24 498:21	disclosed 599:13
design 465:6,11,15	development	629:23	606:6
466:2 533:17,25	499:1	digress 461:5	disclosing 543:4
675:11	deviate 498:21	486:21	disclosure 519:21
designed 436:14	deviates 573:3,14	diligence 423:1,6	discount 371:19
437:12	deviating 418:6	446:10 450:17	discovered 418:9
desire 679:6	420:8 421:12	451:6 457:12	419:17 492:7
destroy 647:23	535:9 555:17	458:16 459:5,15	discovery 605:11
663:13	571:12,16	460:10 526:25	discuss 386:4
destroyed 443:20	deviation 421:13	529:6 530:11,12	531:12 604:23
detail 385:23	652:25	531:13 534:7	605:16 623:17
410:9 428:14	diagnoses 501:18	541:2,9,14 549:7	626:19
436:11 532:23	diagnosis 438:7	549:23 550:14	discussed 427:24
547:4 566:9,17	diagram 484:16	551:9 552:2,2,20	531:13 558:13
652:22	diazepam 455:17	555:6,9,20 556:3,4	567:11 572:8
detailed 532:9,9	456:5	556:6,9,10,14,18	593:20 598:20
532:16	dictate 419:23	557:8,13,16,17,21	642:25
details 554:4	die 416:2,3 426:8	558:13 643:14	discussing 537:11
597:4	426:9 427:1	647:16 650:6	597:2 619:19
detect 419:20	died 661:11	651:1 656:18	627:23
422:12 460:8	difference 376:18	direct 443:3	discussion 448:11
488:12	400:13 404:1	577:15 600:9	558:4 560:11
detected 480:2	590:16 677:19	622:16,22 624:13	567:20 583:3
482:6	differences 566:24	directed 419:6	587:1 589:18
determination	different 394:6	567:15	593:23 622:23
409:22 423:1	398:1 401:3	directing 620:22	discussions 559:23
458:20 557:4	420:16 425:20,21	direction 682:9	disease 661:7
566:10 650:23	429:19 440:15,15	directly 480:25	dismantle 387:3
300.10 030.23			I .

[dispense - doctor] Page 17

dispense 378:21	456:23 457:3	519:9 526:2,9	481:9 488:14
412:13 446:16	459:14 463:22,23	527:21 530:23,25	499:17 503:16
469:18 485:6,8	472:14,18 473:16	530:25 531:23	506:25 507:6,12
dispensed 437:2	484:18,24 492:16	532:1 537:8,25	509:11 511:25
457:21	493:10,17 494:3	541:7 556:2	515:21 516:8
dispenser 410:22	499:19 535:21	568:19 569:11,14	517:20,24,25
dispensers 527:22	536:9,16,18 552:1	569:25 572:7,10	518:8,12 520:7,23
dispenses 410:25	568:2,12 571:6	574:11 578:12	521:13 522:12
dispensing 457:22	578:16,21 612:4	586:6,21 598:22	528:23 529:3,9
534:10,22	612:15 613:14,17	600:15 602:5	532:3 550:15
disposal 404:4	613:19,20,22,25	630:5,11 633:9,10	554:2 560:22
638:14	614:4,7,8,13,14,15	633:22 636:14,17	564:16 569:19,22
disproportional	614:15,20 615:5	642:2 649:5,20	569:24 578:11
454:24	615:10,12,13,13	650:5,22 674:6,7	591:3 615:25
disproportionate	615:19,21 675:22	674:24,24 676:3	616:16,18 617:18
454:13	676:10,10,16,17	678:4	621:8 628:6,8
dissemination	677:14,14	district 364:1,1	633:4 643:5
519:19 543:1	distributor's	682:21	644:12 649:19
distribute 430:20	459:3	diversion 374:16	656:9 675:8
431:9 440:18	distributors 402:1	381:22 382:1,2,6	diverted 382:7
464:11 469:17	403:1,5,24 404:13	382:12,21 389:9	433:25 451:8
476:23 478:7	404:25 408:25	389:15 391:4,14	528:13
484:20 586:6,9	410:11 411:22	392:4,4,10,15,15	diverting 405:1
618:16	413:14 414:6	392:16,18,22	458:23 493:2
distributes 413:20	415:15 418:19	393:8,9 402:14,15	511:25 617:19
413:20	419:11 424:1,13	403:24 409:15,17	626:6
distributing 410:4	428:8 431:13,17	409:23 410:15	division 364:2
413:23	431:25 432:1	411:15 417:22,24	388:23 485:25
distribution	433:19 436:12	418:23 419:5	548:4,5,19
374:14 436:17,22	440:9,10,11,13	422:23 424:2,14	divisions 390:21
439:24 440:19	441:2,19 444:25	425:1,4,21 426:15	divulge 386:19
441:9,18 443:12	445:5,8,10,23	427:2 428:3 430:3	668:25
445:1,13 447:5	448:6,8 449:13,21	430:7 434:2	dmigliori 366:18
463:21 499:12	451:13,25 456:19	435:19 436:1,15	doc 559:13 595:5
535:24 635:9	457:4 458:2 461:7	437:24 442:18	608:20
648:17 649:22	461:18 462:11	443:8 444:16	doctor 379:18
distributor 410:22	464:7 467:13,14	447:18,19,20	438:1 450:6,7,9
411:5 413:15,17	470:19 481:8	448:1 449:16,25	501:13,16,17
420:16 424:19	492:18 502:7,15	452:10 453:5,14	586:14 617:20
441:8 450:15	504:19,22 514:21	453:20 458:12	618:25 619:7
451:1,2,5 452:9,10	516:13 518:7	460:6 478:5,13	626:5 658:4

[doctor - due] Page 18

661.01	601 10 0 7 600 0		
664:21	601:18,25 602:2	double 580:23	drugs 382:6,15
doctor's 438:7	602:13,15,18,21	doubled 540:22	383:8 387:20,22
doctors 403:25	603:4,8 607:23,25	doubt 595:23	387:25 388:2,5,7,7
449:21 580:18	608:2,4,6,12 667:7	629:17,20	388:9,11 402:11
586:12,17 635:10	667:14,24 668:4,5	downstream	402:12 404:7
663:19 664:3,12	668:5,10 669:1,14	410:5 413:21	405:1,23,24 406:5
doctrine 446:14	669:24 670:9,24	414:9 415:9,11	407:23 408:7,8
document 364:6	doing 386:17	443:20 445:15,16	409:15,18 413:18
415:7,7 473:3,24	391:5 395:14,14	446:18 497:2	414:9 426:15,17
474:1 475:23	399:5 410:2	555:14 556:23	426:18 434:6
486:23 489:19	417:15,19 420:5	dr 605:17 606:2,17	435:15 436:23
530:18 531:25,25	423:1 425:20	606:23 607:5,8	438:14 443:19,23
542:13 548:1,4,6	446:19 450:9,13	draft 596:21	446:17 447:20,22
548:14 561:1	457:12 458:16	draw 440:11	450:14 453:18
572:24 573:2	467:6,15 480:20	496:14	454:3,5,8,24 455:1
584:6 595:12,23	489:2 508:9,24	drawing 440:23	455:8,11,19 456:1
596:18,19,20	509:3 518:15	496:17 500:4	457:14,19 460:18
597:2,12,17,22	519:1 529:5,6,7	629:12,21	461:8,9,20,21
598:4,8,20 599:2	541:20 543:10	drawn 664:20	470:9,10,10,16
599:13 600:5,10	550:17,19 551:7	drew 586:2	496:1 550:10,19
645:14 653:23	554:5 555:19	drive 368:4 400:19	555:13 556:23
669:8 671:17,19	600:3 605:6,8,20	510:16	576:12 585:7,12
672:2,3,8,9,11	613:17 614:20	driving 644:23	585:15 644:14
673:18	647:18 676:16	dropped 401:6	661:12
document's 596:4	680:11	drug 370:2 371:19	drugstore 379:4
596:7	doj 429:15 469:6	372:2,12 374:8	due 404:6 423:1,6
documentation	475:7 589:8	381:19 383:7,24	446:9 450:16
547:19,22 554:19	594:19 595:24	384:5,7 389:1,1	451:6 457:12
555:1,2,9 556:10	596:17,20 597:1	416:1,2 422:16	458:16 459:5,15
556:25 563:24	dollars 635:14,22	426:8 429:3,8	460:10 508:5
606:18 651:4	668:16	433:19,21 436:16	526:25 529:6
documented	domestic 387:21	440:14,17 447:22	530:10,12 531:13
547:16 548:23	donald 366:16	455:14 496:8,10	534:7 541:1,9,14
651:5	door 499:4 623:21	496:10 498:15	549:7,23 550:14
documents 389:22	624:4	499:14,18 500:6	551:9 552:1,2,20
471:15,19 542:14	dosage 440:6	515:13 518:2	555:6,8,20 556:2,4
558:9 594:13,15	496:22 497:1	585:16,19 596:21	556:6,8,10,14,18
594:20 595:17,24	498:4 630:6,21	597:5,6,11 624:19	557:8,13,16,17,21
596:8 599:7,24	dose 455:7	624:24 626:3	558:13 611:8,10
600:11,12,21,24	dots 443:4	634:16 635:8,9,24	618:17 629:7
601:1,6,8,11,12,14		661:11 666:20	643:14 647:15

[due - episode] Page 19

650:6 651:1	effective 410:15	eliminate 633:3	enforcing 435:7
656:17	411:14 417:21,23	ellis 368:8 370:16	611:6
duly 375:17 682:5	418:23 419:4	elmo 487:4	engaged 509:25
durkin 371:20	422:11,22 425:1,4	email 683:17	enhance 656:16
duties 391:5	437:24 443:8	emainigi 369:15	enhanced 456:13
414:20 448:6	444:6,16 446:16	embarcadero	enhancements
duty 394:20	450:12 452:9	368:13	522:11
e	460:5 479:24	embedded 558:10	ensure 450:11
_	515:13 522:17	employed 682:12	518:8 542:17
e 370:7 371:21	528:22 529:2,8	682:15	576:15 577:1
373:1 375:1,1	532:3 550:14	employee 682:14	ensuring 389:23
432:11 526:7,16	643:4 644:12	employees 503:15	515:12
528:1,7 537:13,21	656:9	504:4	enter 473:9
583:20 593:20,25 594:8 595:7,12	effectiveness	employer 669:15	entered 472:2
601:18 602:8	519:20 543:2	employment 379:5	474:21 475:25
603:8 607:25	679:21	enable 378:19	477:14 484:9
earlier 431:10	effects 439:6	enables 378:21	487:6 489:22
458:1 459:25	456:13	enclosed 683:11	685:9
460:13 473:25	efficient 649:21	encountered 387:6	entire 684:5 685:5
497:15 583:9	effort 632:4 634:7	ended 398:5	entirety 669:11
584:3,16 587:2	635:21 648:22	endo 368:19	entities 398:3
589:12 610:16	efforts 516:18	endorse 466:19	440:22
617:3 619:1	521:18 633:7	energy 622:14	entitled 464:21
earliest 402:24	635:9	enforce 417:3	477:23 611:8
early 388:19	eight 480:16 492:9	422:5 468:3	612:5,16
402:21 432:12	494:25 630:24	473:18	entity 430:18
449:2,3 530:4	665:1	enforcement	431:8 443:21
earth 397:1 442:3	either 443:20	372:12 374:8	464:10 478:5
easier 512:1	527:1 554:18	381:20 383:23	535:24 602:18
east 366:4	601:15	384:7 387:14	enu 369:12 513:3
eastern 364:2	elaborate 571:7	388:25 390:5,10	envelopes 444:18
371:14	572:1	390:11,14,15,16	environment
economics 462:9	elaborated 573:2	390:23 391:6	386:10 409:17
ecstasy 388:11	elaboration	395:9 422:16	environments
education 379:11	572:19 573:13	429:3,8 498:15	385:16 386:23,25
395:15	election 635:15,23	513:19,24 515:13	envisioned 445:23
educator 405:7	electronic 534:16	519:18 589:21,23	envisioning 644:5
effect 447:7,12	641:5	597:4,19 599:8	epidemic 374:9
501:4 521:4,6	eleventh 367:3	600:14,21,25	644:6 661:9
559:8	elias 367:7	624:19,24 626:3	episode 377:5
		635:9 679:20	401:19

[eppich - exhibit] Page 20

eppich 370:11	582:21,24 594:18	368:15,20 369:2,7	582:20 610:4
373:6 392:7	595:1 596:3,5,16	369:12,12,13,18	631:15
398:21 400:6,22	597:23,25 598:7	370:3,7,11,15,19	example 394:3
401:21 402:18	598:12,14,18	370:20 371:2,6,10	411:18 452:5
403:8,15 406:10	599:4,5 602:3,16	370.20 371.2,0,10	464:21 472:16,23
406:13 407:8	603:2 604:17	371.13,19 372.2,9	473:17 479:8
			483:16 486:13
408:17 409:5,11	606:16 609:6,9,19	essence 409:2	
410:12 412:22	609:25 632:15,24	469:13 506:12	510:15 538:14
414:12 415:16	633:12,15,24	634:7	539:16 540:13
416:12 419:25	637:16,21 638:1,7	essentially 515:20	670:13
420:18 421:1,19	638:19,24 640:1	574:23	examples 453:8
421:23 422:20	640:15,18,21	establish 498:15	471:3,6,9 483:1
423:10,19 429:6	641:2,8,12,20	established 496:21	538:17,20 592:6
429:21 432:22	642:5,10 643:19	529:16	exceeding 454:23
433:10 434:17	644:7 645:4,13	establishes 496:12	excessive 438:25
435:11 436:2,7,20	646:8,11,17	establishing 496:7	439:8 453:16
438:16 439:2,17	647:12 648:3,25	estimated 661:21	455:9,11 653:5
441:15 442:12	649:8,25 650:7,12	et 364:8,10,12,13	677:24
444:9,14,20	650:16,19 651:10	419:11 420:17	excuse 451:2
448:19 451:16	651:21 652:13	event 499:10,11	471:18 505:10
452:15,20 457:5,9	653:10,15,20	everybody 381:23	552:10 590:15,20
459:7 461:10	654:3 658:19	437:19 446:20,23	645:2 658:15
462:13,15 466:4	659:1 660:16	450:3 563:3,16	671:19
466:12 467:2,8	661:2,18 662:6,19	568:21	excuses 491:2
470:25 473:1,21	663:5,8,20,23	everyday 510:16	execute 409:25
473:23 474:14,23	664:8 665:3,7	evidence 625:9	517:2 570:10,11
476:7 477:1,10,19	666:3 667:8,17	679:8	executed 516:22
478:16,18 479:20	668:1,13,19	evidently 678:22	685:10
480:6,21 481:4,23	669:19 670:2	eweiss 371:22	executing 425:11
482:13,19 483:3	671:1,8 674:11	ex 669:15 670:23	570:15
483:19 484:23	680:14,19	exact 500:24	execution 684:14
485:14,20 486:5	eric 371:19	506:11	685:19
486:14 490:19	errata 683:13,18	exactly 498:10	exercise 451:6
491:8,17 493:15	685:7,10,18 686:1	537:24 541:6	exhaustive 471:5
493:19 494:5	especially 395:13	554:5 566:25	exhibit 373:10,12
495:7,14 500:21	508:20 641:4	577:8 579:4	373:13,15,18,20
501:6 502:2,11,21	642:8	587:18 644:1	373:21,23,24
503:3,11,23	esq 366:3,7,12,16	652:19	374:2,4,6,7,8,12
504:12 506:9,21	366:19 367:2,6,9	exam 380:1	374:13,18,20,22
507:8 509:23	367:13,14,17,17	examination 373:2	428:16,17,17,20
511:15 512:3	367:22 368:3,7,12	375:20 512:25	428:22 429:2

[exhibit - feel] Page 21

433:15 462:23	experts 432:17	519:22 536:23	444:19 453:14
463:7,9,12,15	569:9 603:18	543:3 597:18	459:6,9 460:19
471:11,12,25	604:9 606:6 607:2	608:21 636:25	472:21 477:18
473:4,6 475:16,24	expiration 684:19	637:6 659:25	484:22 490:3
479:9 483:25	685:25 686:25		497:8 501:19
		673:17	
484:1,4 486:23,25	expires 682:23	extra 438:21	511:5 532:25
487:3,16 512:13	explain 406:12	extremely 385:16	534:2 537:2
512:17,19 524:25	413:14 415:3	eye 452:13	540:10 541:4
525:3,6,10,11,18	416:17 417:12	f	543:12 552:16
532:4 533:2 576:4	419:24 426:10	face 431:24,24	554:8 570:1
576:7 584:5,10	427:15 436:18	434:19,19 467:16	573:18 613:11,19
592:5 594:23,24	440:10 446:4	467:16 535:20,20	614:12 616:8,13
595:3,5 599:11,11	456:20 459:20	557:18,18 563:25	617:15 657:6
609:22,23 610:2	464:15 491:4,22	563:25 568:24,24	658:8,12 661:1
622:18 634:19,21	495:18,20 497:24	faces 433:20	665:2,24 680:13
634:23 648:7,8,11	498:9 532:6	facilities 443:13	fairly 611:21
648:14 652:2,4,7	535:12,17 552:22	546:16 591:8	615:17
654:19,22,23	554:21 555:18	facility 476:22	fall 388:10 531:20
655:15,17,20,21	557:11 569:5	545:21 546:25	falls 528:22
659:11 663:6,7,9	669:7	fact 404:23 405:18	familiar 533:4
671:14,18,20	explained 397:22	414:13 452:11	603:21 617:7
679:1	416:21 424:13,22	461:8,24 464:3,8	families 395:7
exhibits 373:9	428:8 434:20	477:6 479:15	familo 371:20
374:1,25	499:21 535:18	489:18 492:22	far 454:23 494:8
existed 632:12	563:20 567:17	501:23 503:8	565:11 580:21
existing 656:16	explaining 425:1,6	505:6 507:24	585:8 601:23
exists 392:15	567:6	510:3 525:1 541:2	624:12
547:19	explanation	541:19 546:20	farrell 367:2
expect 424:23	555:14 557:17,20	549:23 553:13	fault 490:17
616:1	explanations	554:4 566:23	fbi 679:15
expectation	491:2	608:1 611:21	fda 435:3 559:2,4
543:18	export 498:17	614:18 616:9	559:8 666:19
expected 428:9	exporting 499:6	653:2	february 478:3
563:9	expose 386:18	facts 414:19,22	486:3
expenses 662:2	expressly 447:4	541:23 564:20	federal 374:2
experience 415:14	528:10,21	566:5,21 611:14	464:22 525:22
416:10 457:7	extended 391:16	611:22 651:22	532:5,7,8 574:21
expert 438:24	extent 414:21	653:21	574:21 634:3
439:18 603:13	423:20 438:6	failed 616:16	fee 394:12
605:18 672:13	470:22 473:24	fair 379:1 393:23	feel 377:19 434:12
	475:3 481:12	436:1 438:7,8	489:24
		U.1.0CF 1.0CF	

[feels - form] Page 22

feels 625:23	558:2 585:7	fix 655:16	followed 447:17
felt 397:16 459:23	589:15 649:4	fixes 662:25 663:3	543:7,9,13 544:22
579:5	683:11	fixing 384:17	545:1,4,9 570:20
fenfluramine	finding 470:7	flag 454:1,9,16	570:22 632:14,22
388:9	finds 470:6	455:12 456:21	633:2 676:11
fentanyl 388:9	fine 456:11 471:17	flags 396:4 455:6	following 448:9
407:24 410:5	474:6 482:11,17	642:24	450:5 452:25,25
field 388:23	485:18,23 508:10	flash 381:2	481:1 493:25
390:21 485:25	574:8 576:1 579:2	floor 367:11 368:4	510:7,12 513:13
513:23 542:19	610:10 620:18	369:8 371:11	540:16 546:2
545:10,13 546:7,9	624:10 632:18	372:4	621:6
fifteenth 370:16	fined 425:25	flow 402:4,10	follows 375:19
figure 550:17	finish 490:8	fly 457:1	force 384:5,6
643:25 644:2	finished 440:13	focus 565:7	foregoing 682:3,5
678:7	finkelstein 372:10	foley 371:7	684:13 685:18
file 385:3 424:16	firm 367:10	foley.com 371:9	foresee 511:4
425:8 427:9 431:4	372:12,14 502:18	folks 393:23 440:2	forget 562:17
475:14 512:23	651:8	537:19 580:15	forgo 612:25
542:16,19 562:5	firms 502:19	648:16 664:15,16	form 396:19
582:18 595:13	first 375:17	670:11,23	398:10,13 401:15
609:17 626:4	382:24 396:12,25	follow 376:22	402:24 403:9
631:13 647:16	400:9,10,10	377:6 415:25	406:10,13 408:15
678:14	405:10 413:13	416:2 426:7	409:11 411:25
files 470:11 555:5	417:20 428:15	427:17,19,20	413:16 414:12
555:6,21 556:3,4	430:14 462:21	428:4 431:23	415:16 416:7,12
557:8,21 595:14	476:19 477:8	437:12,21 453:22	417:16 419:25
595:17 647:4,7,10	486:20 487:7	462:22,24 467:20	420:18,20 421:1
filing 556:23 565:4	495:18 501:12	490:21 507:2	421:19,23,25
603:3 623:14	506:17 525:18	509:19,21 513:15	422:20 423:8,18
628:16,25 674:8	569:13 578:10,13	523:14 536:11	426:12,13 429:6,7
674:25 676:3	580:21 583:1	541:1 542:20	432:22,23,24
fill 391:18	584:13,13 653:22	543:15,19,25	433:10 434:14,15
filling 451:7	661:25 663:15	544:7,13,18	434:17 435:11,23
final 500:11 575:8	674:22	545:14 546:8,10	436:2,7,20 440:14
finance 370:15	firsthand 516:7,16	546:11 547:10,11	444:9,14 448:19
financial 600:14	fitzpatrick 367:17	547:13,19,23	451:16 459:7
601:2 602:7	471:21	548:2,14,21 553:2	461:10 462:13
financially 682:16	five 405:13,22	558:18 570:11,18	466:5,12 467:8
find 450:17 451:12	406:3 584:25	570:21 584:10	472:4,12,19 473:1
460:1 487:21	585:1 665:17,23	658:6 662:4	473:21 474:14,23
531:11 553:25			476:7 477:1,10

[form - generally] Page 23

490.21 492.4 6 10	668:1 669:19	656.12.22.657.0	f.,II 205.10 20
480:21 483:4,6,19		656:12,23 657:8 657:15 660:17	full 385:18,20 423:6 454:7
485:20 486:5,14	670:2 671:1,9		
486:15 489:5,15	674:10 675:16,25	674:10 675:17	460:10 471:22
490:5,19 491:17	677:3 678:8	676:1 677:6	527:18 531:1,2
493:15,19 494:5	680:14,19	four 429:25 453:8	569:17 603:3
494:21 496:22	formalized 625:15	453:13 492:2	664:21,22
498:4 500:21	format 563:2	494:11,16,25	fuller 367:6,6
501:6,8 502:11,20	former 402:22	645:25	fully 485:9 597:6
502:21 503:3,23	503:15 504:4	fourth 366:4	651:5
505:17 506:9,14	558:25 559:1	457:24	function 445:22
506:20 507:8	623:3	frame 604:11	functions 380:14
509:13,22,23	forms 440:6	625:5	fund 648:21
521:1 543:21	470:12 497:1	frank 604:2	further 535:16
544:10 554:11	586:20,22 640:14	frankly 399:1	537:19 542:20
559:15 560:23	641:1,4,7	579:22 580:6	546:14 548:22
563:7 567:7 571:8	forrest 372:11	583:23 628:2	549:7 554:15
572:20 577:19	forster 366:19	fraud 372:11	562:25 567:5
592:13 596:1	forth 482:9 533:17	free 395:5,8,10,15	597:1 599:10
601:21 602:23	596:9	404:15 409:3	651:25 677:1
616:19 617:21	forward 514:25	684:14 685:20	682:13
627:16 629:4	683:15	freeport 377:21,23	future 619:4
632:15,24 633:23	forwarding	377:23	g
633:25 634:10	594:13	frequency 418:5	g 375:1
635:17,25 637:16	found 380:23	420:8 421:11	gao 574:15 575:4,7
637:21 638:1,6,7	407:21 418:8	535:10 538:12	575:18 576:5,10
638:24 639:19	451:24 467:23	539:24 555:17	577:24 579:7
640:2,9,15,18,21	553:6 567:1	571:16 572:2,21	659:6,11,12 660:8
640:22 641:2,8,12	658:24	572:25	660:10
641:20 642:4,5,9	foundation 434:16	frequently 571:11	garage 400:19
642:10 643:8,20	435:2 451:17	front 463:12	gath 581:19
644:7,9 646:11	457:5 461:11	468:25 476:20	gathering 519:19
647:12 648:2,25	462:15 476:8	484:4 522:6	542:25 625:9
649:8,10 651:10	477:2,11,19	524:11 535:3	gear 514:3
651:12,21 652:14	479:20 480:6,22	560:16 561:1	gear 514.5 gee 502:7
653:10,15,20	482:13,19 483:5	589:16 620:5,20	geldhof 604:4
654:3 655:4	483:20 484:23	634:18 648:11	general 383:22,23
656:11,22 657:7	485:14 486:6	649:7 651:16	387:14 392:13
657:14 658:18,19	502:20,21 503:11	655:1,21	400:1 447:7
659:1 660:18	503:24 505:17	frontline 392:20	527:11 603:19
661:2,18 662:6,7	649:25 650:16	fulfill 656:7	
662:19 665:3	651:11 653:11,16		generally 395:8,17
	,		395:23 401:5

[generally - guidance]

422:8 453:22	go 376:9,20 377:11	473:3 475:10,13	graduation 379:5
492:1 498:24	379:14 384:19,23	486:10 489:16	grant 371:12
517:1 521:24	386:24 396:5,14	490:12 495:20	gray 370:20
524:7 532:7	399:9 400:5,18	499:3 507:19	great 561:21
610:23 660:1,23	401:5,25 402:25	508:7 512:6,9,21	570:13 574:19
generated 611:22	403:4,21,22	513:12 517:5,10	greater 523:24
generically 546:5	406:15 408:24	525:4,9 548:6,6	524:12 573:20
547:6,8	425:9 427:4 430:5	551:5,12 561:25	577:5
gentleman 506:2	430:23 435:6	562:3 569:21	greene 367:3
getting 382:17	449:8 453:4	575:16 576:3	greeneketchum
394:10 409:19	455:22 475:8	578:13 580:23	367:5
426:16 447:25	496:17,18,19	581:1 582:10,12	gregory 366:3
450:2 457:13,15	504:21 515:17	582:16 600:4	683:5
619:4 668:18	520:17 524:25	601:15 609:15,22	grew 377:19,20
673:4	525:1,8,13 542:11	627:1,3 630:14	grocery 412:18,20
gist 577:17	542:19,20 550:3	631:7,11,20 639:9	gross 387:5
give 381:18 385:23	561:8,13,14	639:10 647:18	group 367:6 384:4
386:6 395:1,4	566:20 567:8	651:19 654:17	387:14 440:1
399:17 428:20	577:14 579:15	663:8 669:8,16,23	578:23 648:22
453:13 463:6	585:24 592:15	673:24 676:3	groups 388:25
469:12 473:3	601:25 604:12	681:6	389:2 395:6,12
483:24 491:3	606:10 609:11	gold 385:9	444:13,19
498:13 503:1,5	611:21 616:21	golkow 375:5	growing 434:7
525:4,9 553:15,15	617:22 625:18	good 413:3 425:11	guarantee 548:24
576:3 580:13	629:25 631:5	435:2 440:11,24	566:25
621:3,6,20 622:1	636:16,24 651:25	509:1 513:2 541:2	guess 384:8
631:19 643:13	651:25 655:12	555:23 580:10,25	393:10 420:15
652:22 658:5	668:9	582:22 610:6,7,8	425:15 435:25
669:16 677:15,15	goes 441:18 455:6	610:12 616:11	456:8,15 544:4
677:16	going 377:12	632:12 638:16	562:22 566:1
given 394:23	381:24 384:10,24	658:11 662:15	575:2 587:13
452:8 495:4	386:12 393:17	gotten 512:15	599:22,23 614:23
508:17 531:22	396:11,14 406:2	gottlieb 558:22,24	674:5
605:10 641:10	414:3,9 418:10	government	guessing 566:7
669:16 682:10	426:15 427:5,8,17	391:11 429:13,14	guidance 462:1
gives 468:19 492:8	427:19 428:19	468:21 563:6	479:12 480:3,10
617:17 618:16	430:25 431:3	574:16,17 575:1	482:7 504:19
giving 400:25	445:16 449:7	593:21 668:15	522:2,20 523:21
435:14 438:6	450:17 453:5	669:14,24	530:18 531:25
468:25 551:4,5	462:6 466:25	governs 525:22	555:8 562:20,23
669:10 677:17	467:4 471:21	527:20	562:25 563:6,16

[guidance - hoping]

563:18,19 567:5	hanly 367:18	434:7 447:7,12	hey 466:1 480:19
568:21,23 582:7	happen 382:10	470:3 484:9	645:11
625:8,10,12	425:7 510:13	512:25 513:4	hhs 666:19
643:13 675:8	511:10 664:25	559:1 590:8,24	hi 645:20
guide 504:4,5	happened 395:22	654:21 661:23,24	hide 666:1
guidebook 538:2,7	397:22 492:9	662:3 670:1 672:7	hidta 388:25
guideline 465:19	520:19 592:19	healthcare 374:14	high 377:23,23
guidelines 374:14	593:17 620:13	648:17 676:24	388:25 389:1
374:15 581:5	667:25	hear 384:22	405:25 406:8,20
648:15	happening 382:19	482:11 489:7	455:7 666:25
gun 383:3 511:3	477:16 492:8	heard 455:21	highly 600:13
511:11	507:12 511:5	468:25 560:24	hire 503:9
guy 380:22 501:19	happens 382:6	637:22 641:21	hired 394:5
605:20	408:12 548:24	642:12 648:16	503:15
	674:1	hearing 374:10	historically
h	, <u>-</u>	522:21 523:6	395:21 519:4
h 372:8	happy 559:20	581:9 601:15	580:1,10
h.d. 371:2	hard 602:20,25 603:4	618:18 622:12	history 647:16
hampered 401:24	harder 540:2	heart 393:15	hits 645:9
402:9 515:21		held 365:1 375:8	hold 556:2 578:10
516:7,18 520:22	harm 392:13,17 harmed 447:23	390:1 557:22	
521:12			578:13 670:18
hand 470:10	644:14	578:15,16 604:21	674:13,13 677:14
486:23 654:17	harms 438:13	668:7	holding 557:13,20
handed 475:23	hart 506:5	help 392:3 393:8,9	holistically 579:24
476:6,10	hattiesburg 367:7	396:6 405:7 406:4	580:8
handle 411:17	hazards 438:13	430:13 492:21	home 386:14
412:21 413:1	hbc 371:10	493:1 494:24	594:15 595:14,17
418:17 469:17	hdma 648:15	504:5 522:12	599:20 601:8,19
476:24 657:2	652:18	576:15 577:1	602:7,21 646:3
handled 388:3	head 471:8 514:9	650:9 668:16	667:24 668:4,5,9
537:14	517:17 521:15	helpful 493:4,4	668:17
handler 410:22	559:1,3 564:15	helping 393:19,20	homes 413:22
handles 454:17	591:2	395:24	homicide 384:5
handout 530:22	headquarters	helps 487:21	387:15
hands 426:17	387:19 389:8,10	492:22 494:17	homicides 384:6,7
handwritten	504:17 514:9,14	hemorrhaging	honest 581:17
373:23 374:7,23	568:18 569:17	404:7	hooks 379:4
512:13	594:21 603:9	hereto 682:16	hope 504:3 564:17
handy 531:10	health 369:11	heroin 388:4	hopefully 435:21
599:2	393:18 404:3	407:19	hoping 623:12
	409:24 414:7		

[hospice - included]

	666.10		(2.1.0.62
hospice 500:9	666:12	identify 393:9	624:9 627:6
hospital 411:4	hydromorphone	420:24 421:2	628:16,25
550:21 586:15	455:5	422:13 479:25	immediately 470:8
658:5 664:15,17	hypothetical	482:4 571:8 572:1	499:13 590:1
664:21 676:23	493:25 494:22	573:2,14 597:5	617:18 653:1
hospitals 411:24	505:19 540:19	630:10	imminent 404:2,2
412:13 413:21	541:5 550:5,23	identifying 434:24	409:23 468:8,10
418:20 437:1	551:23 553:11	493:1	470:2,6 590:11,14
440:22 441:7,21	615:8 645:5 646:9	ii 364:17 366:7	590:15,17,20,21
445:6 586:12,17	hypothetically	405:24 406:5	590:24 629:2
663:22 664:4,14	542:2	407:23 408:4	impaired 519:21
hour 394:9,15	hypotheticals	496:5 526:14	543:2 679:22
588:9	676:9	584:21 585:19	implementing
hours 495:4	i	586:23 666:15,21	485:11
587:16 588:12,15	idea 385:20	iii 496:5 526:17	implication 667:5
668:10	404:21 442:6	585:3,7,8,15,16,21	implications
house 502:25	492:11 493:3	586:23 666:22,23	422:18
622:15 667:7,15	551:25 599:1	illegal 447:5	importance 625:9
housekeeping	627:25 629:12	680:11	important 398:18
609:20	637:12,18 645:10	illegally 667:14	459:21,23 501:25
houses 386:24	647:15 663:17	illicit 382:5,16,17	611:11 647:7,9
housing 384:8	667:23 671:4,11	383:24 392:12	importantly 498:8
houston 367:15	identical 564:2	402:5,13 407:21	508:20
hubbard 371:16	identification	illinois 368:17	impossible 571:1
huh 418:21 531:18	414:14 428:23	371:17	impression 592:20
536:2,6 538:15,24		ilr 640:8,10	improper 626:8
539:2,5 540:14	463:10 471:13	imagine 462:6	improperly
594:2 595:15	473:7 475:17 479:12 484:2	541:12	438:15
596:22 600:7		immediate 402:2	improve 576:14
613:15,18 615:9	487:1 512:20	404:4,11,23	576:25
617:8 619:2	525:7,12 576:8	409:25 425:14	impute 676:17
huntington 367:4	594:25 610:3	452:1 468:11	ims 638:23 639:16
371:7	622:19 630:11	469:21,24 510:10	inability 494:15
hydrocodone	634:24 648:9	516:9,21,22 517:2	incidence 405:25
407:24 410:5	652:5 654:24	589:13,20,25	406:8,20
433:24,24 454:19	655:18 671:15	590:6,7,17,23	include 407:2,7
454:20,22 455:4,5	identified 409:14	591:4,9,11,17	418:19 443:10
455:15,16 456:12	435:20 436:1	592:7,22 593:1,8	598:20 630:20
496:9 538:22	594:20 595:4,6	617:4,12,16 618:8	included 374:25
540:15 549:5,6	identifies 418:2	618:12,12,24	408:5 683:13
551:19,20 585:21	419:15 420:6	619:4,12 623:14	
.,	565:24	,	

[includes - interview]

includes 417:24	individual's	616:11,15,17,23	621:15
including 403:6	567:11	618:11,20 629:21	instructions 483:8
478:8 479:7,24	individualized	629:22 630:2	insurance 397:24
489:19 649:23	612:5,17	636:12,14,19	401:23 661:23
inclusive 460:24	industrial 451:9	637:1,3 660:2,25	insurers 661:23,24
incomplete 494:22	498:17 499:23	673:9	662:3
505:18 540:18	industries 369:6	infrastructure	intelligence
551:22 553:10	450:24	444:3 446:22	519:19 542:25
645:4	industry 374:13	ingredient 496:24	intend 672:6
incorporate	374:15 415:7,22	initial 416:20	intending 672:8
459:14	416:9 417:14	587:11,14	intensity 389:1,1
incorporated	431:15,16,18	initially 381:21	intent 544:25
470:7 685:12	432:18 460:23	402:20 424:12	545:2
incorrect 466:7	461:6,19 487:11	initiate 616:12	interacted 415:19
increase 499:2,8	495:5 497:15	initiating 432:19	interacting 415:14
542:12 549:5	498:11 518:6	innocent 511:13	interaction 659:25
550:13,18 552:23	529:14 537:15	inquire 458:10,13	interactions
increased 398:25	572:10 574:11	inside 503:10	423:25 424:7
398:25 495:12	580:16 610:19,22	517:6 664:17	481:7 537:7
497:16 498:24,25	610:23,23 635:8	insight 492:8	580:19,19,24
independent	635:12,24 648:14	inspect 518:5	interest 467:1
528:11	667:22 670:11	inspected 569:16	486:22 555:12
indiana 369:17	671:23	inspection 404:22	669:25
371:4 378:17,19	industry's 420:11	569:19	interested 395:18
378:24,25	423:13 634:17	inspections 504:21	397:24 682:16
indianapolis 371:4	influence 417:6	507:16 527:4,7,12	intern 379:7
378:1 379:4	info 386:7	527:16 528:2	internal 537:18
indicate 453:5,14	inform 651:18	529:7,15 569:25	543:4
453:20	information	instance 386:23	interned 379:3
indicated 560:4	389:21 396:13	439:7 456:2	internet 380:21
indicates 458:12	414:19,23 423:21	516:21 541:18	425:17 596:9,11
465:14	424:9 427:21	instances 424:18	596:20,23 597:3
indicating 683:13	451:21 457:18	540:2 569:3	599:23
indicative 453:19	462:4 475:1,5	instituted 522:12	interplay 398:2
indictment 619:7	519:5,23,24 531:1	instruct 636:25	interpreted 653:6
individual 443:17	531:2 541:23	639:11 673:21	interrupt 450:20
446:7,7,13 465:12	542:17 543:7,9	instructed 620:8	585:11
498:3 535:21	576:13,24 577:6	647:3	interruption
563:13 564:20	578:20 597:15	instructing 672:18	505:10
565:20 566:22	601:3 602:7 605:6	instruction 416:14	interview 579:8
571:6 611:8,14	605:8,10 616:2,4	508:17 598:25	587:2,12,15,17

[interviewed - juris]

interviewed	investigative	issuing 510:9,10	jobs 381:19
575:10,12 587:6	481:15 519:18	575:7	388:21 429:19
interviews 587:19	542:25 546:11	item 609:20	joe 402:9 432:18
589:3	547:24 548:11	iv 526:17 586:23	478:22 497:20
introduce 594:22	575:2 679:21	1V 320.17 360.23	john 369:2
introduced 402:20		J	· ·
520:24 584:4	investigator	j 367:6 368:12,15	john.lavelle 369:5
	381:22 382:12,21 542:19 675:8	371:19 372:11,12	johnson 368:2,2
inventory 437:5,7		jail 404:14 409:2	join 648:21
443:18 invest 638:18	investigators	james 366:7 371:6	joining 676:23
	548:20 555:22	james.bennett4	joint 644:4
investigate 382:14	563:8 569:20,22	366:10	jones 368:12,16
382:18 403:23	569:24	janssen 368:2	jonesday.com
404:9 507:11	invited 399:22	january 391:7	368:14,18
520:6 553:3,4	invoices 586:23	476:2 479:23	joseph 364:18
574:20,20 616:1	involved 397:9	488:10	365:1 373:2
629:3	467:13 554:2	jayne 367:17	375:11,16 430:1
investigating	608:20 609:3	jbarker 368:6	683:8 684:4,9
519:2 555:3	614:24 615:10	jconroy 367:20	685:4,13 686:20
615:20	involvement	jeffrey 368:3,7	joshua 368:20
investigation	608:24	jeffrey.sindelar	joshua.davis
486:17 518:25	involves 554:15	368:10	368:22
519:1 546:14	involving 609:3	jenna 366:19	jr 367:2 368:7
548:22 553:16,18	iqv 638:23 639:16	jennifer 370:15	369:2
553:22 554:15	island 377:20,22	jennifer.levy	jralkes 594:1
557:4 611:9,20,23	iso 625:22 626:5	370:18	judge 364:6
613:1 614:13	629:3	jeopardize 599:15	468:21 469:3
616:5,12 619:6,14	isos 623:5 625:10	jesse 372:12	judges 469:8
621:9 638:21	625:23 678:19,20	jforster 366:22	july 391:7 595:7
651:6 674:23	issue 409:25 468:9	jim 603:23 604:4	596:21 597:3
678:24	468:11 472:17	jmatthews 371:9	jump 539:11
investigations	500:16 509:12	job 364:25 382:20	549:22 551:1,18
424:10 451:22	559:7 581:5 582:7	382:24 383:19	jumping 552:12
475:2 483:9,11	590:6,20,23 591:4	387:16 389:6,16	jumpsuit 385:11
485:24 518:2,17	591:19 623:5	389:25 390:25	385:11 514:4
518:20,23 567:2	665:11	391:1,9,14,18,20	jumpsuits 385:13
616:3 617:25	issued 451:25	402:3,10 479:1	385:14,24 386:9
618:1,4,5 619:21	516:9 567:4 591:7	503:1 504:19	june 374:5 472:2
622:14 625:11	591:11,14,17	507:6,10 509:18	576:11 581:25
628:17 629:8	592:17 593:8,13	512:1 518:15	604:8 682:23
651:5 674:6	issues 467:6,23	560:21 574:23	juris 379:17
	561:12 649:6	580:11	
		200.11	

[jury - lanier] Page 29

jury 376:22 kids 396:7,10 529:4 534:5 540:5 564:12 628:7 674:16 675:1,21 387:17 381:15 kill 646:16 540:6 541:6,17 674:16 675:1,21 385:20 392:2 kind 386:14 400:8 550:15,67,7,8,9,10 653:18 440:14 463:3 481:17 500:3 552:24 553:14 known 637:2,6 484:7 487:4 494:2 553:24 556:9 554:5 557:10,25 66:9 636:19 673:15 494:11 495:19 625:5 566:12 569:4 566:21 569:4 412:19 497:18 502:6 625:5 566:116 659:22 667:22 kirkland.com 572:5,69, 573:25 kroger's 412:18 49:19 659:22 667:22 kirkland.com 370:18 588:15 591:7 588:15 591:7 588:15 591:7 499:10,10,15 469:7 624:3 672:1 488:12 586:12 569:22 588:7 588:12 488:12 488:12 596:10 601:612 596:10 601:612 367:21 18b 387:4 18b 387:4 kaspar 371:15 kapsar.stoffelma 371:18 390:3 400:13 409:16,21 420:6 638:10 639:14 643:14 637:7,14 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>				
385:20 392:2 kind 386:14 400:8 542:10 547:21 known 637:2,6 394:20 413:15 416:22 441:2 550:5,5,6,7,8,9,10 653:18 430:14 463:3 481:17 500:3 552:24 553:14 knows 597:16 484:7 487:4 494:2 583:12 585:12 558:3,7,8,9,21 669:96:66:19 669:96:66:19 497:18 502:6 655:15 659:12 667:22 565:116 659:22 667:22 574:1,72.0 576:18 412:19 508:19 651:16 kinds 381:19 572:5,69, 573:25 572:5,69, 573:25 572:1,720 576:18 659:22 667:22 kirkland.com 370:18 578:13,19 579:23 580:7 581:12 1 364:24 371:2 49:13 justifications 49:12 409:1 49:15 593:16 595:21 588:15 591:7 592:17,19 593:12 596:16 59:22 1 1 364:8,10,12 367:21 1ab 78:4 1ab 78:1 1ab 78:4 1ab 78:4 1ab 78:4 1ab	jury 376:22	kids 396:7,10	529:4 534:5 540:5	564:12 628:7
394:20 413:15 430:14 463:3 481:17 500:3 552:24 553:14 485:25 473:5 553:24 556:9 558:12 558:12 558:37,8,9,21 673:15 558:12 558:12 558:37,8,9,21 558:37,8,9,21 558:37,8,9,21 558:37,8,9,21 558:37,8,9,21 558:37,8,9,21 558:37,8,9,21 558:37,8,9,21 558:37,8,9,21 558:37,8,9,21 558:37,8,9,21 558:37,8,9,21 572:5,69,573:25 566:21 569:4 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:5,69,573:25 572:1,79,593:12 583:24 587:18 583:12 588:15 591:2 583:24 587:18 588:15 591:2 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14 659:21 583:14	377:17 381:15	kill 646:16	540:6 541:6,17	674:16 675:1,21
430:14 463:3 465:25 473:5 553:24 556:9 558:47.557:10,25 558:3,78,9,21 568:12 565:25 566:21 569:4 497:18 502:6 508:19 651:16 659:22 667:22 499:11,12 429:2 499:5,10,10,15 469:7 624:3 672:1 justify 552:22 k k 370:11 kaspar 371:15 kaspar 371:15 kaspar 371:15 kaspar 371:12 kathleen 371:2 keating 366:4 keep 380:8 390:1 390:25 442:17 504:10 517:4,5 556:18 575:25 595:16 602:20 647:3,10 648:1 651:17 668:16 659:20 669:7 ketchum 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 488:17 500:3 552:24 555:14 552:24 555:10,25 558:3,78,9,21 566:21 569:4 566:21 569:4 566:21 569:4 5672:15,69, 573:25 566:21 569:4 578:13,19 579:23 580:7 581:12 588:15 591:7 588:15 591:7 588:15 591:7 592:17,19 593:12 593:16 699:4 613:7 623:19 502:17,19 593:12 625:17 626:11 627:23,24 628:3 629:21 630:2,18 633:14 637:7,14 638:10 639:14 640:6,10 641:10 647:13,10 648:1 651:17 668:16 649:19 497:18 669:9 380:8 664:9,13 669:5 664:9,13 669:5 664:9,13 669:5 673:22,23 674:4 678:5 681:2 480:0,19 447:10 486:2 492:12 499:18 500:6,11 458:5 552:5 556:21 know 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 578:3,48 526:21 23 580:7 581:12 588:15 591:7 588:15 591:7 592:17,19 593:12 588:15 591:7 592:17,19 593:12 588:15 591:7 592:17,19 593:12 588:15 591:7 592:17,19 593:12 682:2 1p. 364:24 371:2 682:2 1p. 364:24,31:2 412:18 412:18 412:19 1 364:24 371:2 682:2 1p. 364:24,31:2 387:4 1aboratories 383:25 1ab 387:4 1ab 387:4 1aboratories 383:25 1ab 387:4 1ab 38	385:20 392:2	kind 386:14 400:8	542:10 547:21	known 637:2,6
465:25 473:5 553:24 556:9 554:5 557:10,25 606:9 636:19 494:11 495:19	394:20 413:15	416:22 441:2	550:5,5,6,7,8,9,10	653:18
484:7 487:4 494:2 494:11 495:19 613:7 614:21,24 659:18 502:6 659:19 651:16 659:22 667:22 jury's 649:13 justice 366:7 372:9,11,12 429:2 429:5,10,10,15 469:7 624:3 672:1 justifications 498:12 justify 552:22 k k 370:11 kaspar 371:15 kaspar 371:15 kaspar 371:15 kaspar 371:2 keting 366:4 keep 380:8 390:1 390:25 442:17 504:10 517:4,5 556:18 575:25 595:16 602:20 647:3,10 648:1 651:17 668:16 keeping 599:19 ketchum 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 588:12 558:3,7,8,9,21 564:15 565:2,5 566:21 566:4 566:21 566:4 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:5,6,9 573:25 572:1,7,20 576:18 578:13,19 579:23 580:7 581:12 588:7 581:12 588:7 581:12 588:7 591:7 682:2 liab 387:4 laboratories 383:25 labs 386:24 lag 494:24,25 laid 435:1 498:19 lakeland 657:3 language 425:6 571:19,20,21 645:18 lanier 367:10,13 367:14 372:12,13 373:3 375:21,23 383:13,18 384:15 664:9,13 669:5 673:12,22 671:6 673:15 kroger's 412:18 412:19 1 1 364:24 371:2 682:2 l.p. 364:8,10,12 367:21 lab 387:4 laboratories 383:25 labs 386:24 lag 494:24,25 laid 435:1 498:19 lakeland 657:3 laseland 657:3 language 425:6 571:19,20,21 645:18 lanier 367:10,13 367:14 372:12,13 373:3 375:21,23 383:13,18 384:15 664:9,13 669:5 673:12,24 516:7 16 677:13,15 ketchum 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 588:7 581:3 592:17,19 593:12 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 606:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 666:1 609:4 613:7 626:1 627:3,0 66:1 669:4 62:1 60:2.3 62:2 67:10,0 73:1 68:2 67:10,0 73:1 68:2 68:2 1bab 386:24 1ag 494:24,25 1ab 367:21 1ab 412:18 672:10 574:1 682:2 1p. 364:8,10,12 364:2,10,12 367:2,14	430:14 463:3	481:17 500:3	552:24 553:14	knows 597:16
494:11 495:19 497:18 502:6 508:19 651:16 659:22 667:22 jury's 649:13 justice 366:7 372:9,11,12 429:2 429:5,10,10,15 469:7 624:3 672:1 justifications 498:12 justify 552:22 k k 381:15,24 385:17 k 381:15,24 385:17 386:12,23 392:14 383:63,395:21 399:3 400:13 399:3 400:13 399:3 400:13 399:25 442:17 504:10 517:4,5 556:18 575:25 595:16 602:20 647:3,10 648:1 651:17 668:16 keeping 599:19 ketchum 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 613:7 614:21,24 564:15 565:2,5 566:21 569:4 572:5,6,9 573:25 574:1,7,20 576:18 578:13,19 579:23 580:7 581:12 588:15 591:7 588:15 591:7 592:17,19 593:12 588:15 591:7 592:17,19 593:12 1 364:24 371:2 682:2 1 366:8,10,12 367:21 1 364:24 371:2 682:2 1 1364:24 371:2 682:2 1 1364:24 371:2 682:2 1 1364:24 371:2 682:2 1 1364:24 371:2 682:2 1 1364:24 371:2 682:2 1 1364:24 371:2 682:2 1 1364:24 371:2 682:2 1 262:17,19 593:12 1 262:17,19 593:12 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 364:24 371:2 2 682:2 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 364:24 371:2 2 682:2 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 364:24 371:2 2 682:2 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 364:24 371:2 2 682:2 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 364:24 371:2 2 682:2 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 2 367:21 2 1 364:24 371:2 2 682:2 2 1 2 3 367:4 2 1 2 1 2 3 367:4 2 1 2 1 2 3 367:4 2 1 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 38:25 2 1 2 3 38:25 2 1 2 3 38:25 2 1 2 3 38:25 2 1 2 3 38:25 2 1 2 3 38:25 2 1 2 3 38:25 2 1 2 3 36:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1 2 3 367:21 2 1	465:25 473:5	553:24 556:9	554:5 557:10,25	606:9 636:19
497:18 502:6 508:19 651:16 659:22 667:22 jury's 649:13 justice 366:7 372:9,11,12 429:2 429:5,10,10,15 469:7 624:3 672:1 justifications 498:12 justify 552:22 k k 370:11 kaspar 371:15 kaspar.stoffelma 371:18 kathleen 371:2 keeten 366:4 409:16,21 420:6 422:3 425:25 595:16 602:20 647:3,10 648:1 651:17 668:16 keping 599:19 ketchum 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 499:18 500:6,11 508:19 651:16 kinds 381:19 572:5,6,9 573:25 574:1,7,20 576:18 572:5,6,9 573:25 574:1,7,20 576:18 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 578:13,19 579:23 580:7 581:12 580:7 581:12 588:15 591:7 596:10 601:6,12 606:11 609:4 613:7 623:19 625:17 626:11 633:14 637:7,14 638:10 639:14 647:25 6632:21 645:18 1anguage 425:6 571:19,20,21 645:18 1anguage 425:6 571:19,20,21 645:18 1anguage 425:6 571:19,20,21 645:18 664:9,13 669:5 670:15,22 671:6 673:22,23 674:4 678:5 681:2 682:2 1,p. 364:24 371:2 682:2 1,p. 364:24 371:2 682:2 1,p. 364:24,312 1ab 387:4 1aboratories 383:25 1als 387:4 1aboratories 383:25 1als 387:4 1aboratories 383:25 1als 387:4 1aboratories 383:25 1als 387:21 1ab 387:4 1aboratories 383:25 1abs 386:24 1ag 494:24,25 1aid 435:1498:19 1akeland 657:3 1anguage 425:6 571:19,20,21 645:18 1anier 367:10,13 367:11 458:20:21 464:15,17 667:10,13 367:12,14 1aboratories 383:25 1abs 386:24 1ag 494:24,25 1ab 387:4 1aboratories 383:25 1abs 386:24 1ag 494:24,25 1ad 682:2 1abs 386:24 1ag 494:24,25 1ad 682:2 1abs 386:24 1aboratories 383:25 1abs 386:24 1aboratories 383:25 1abs 386:24 1aboratories 383:25 1abs 386:24 1aboratories 383:25 1abs 386:24 1ac 467:21 1ab 3	484:7 487:4 494:2	583:12 585:12	558:3,7,8,9,21	673:15
Since Sinc	494:11 495:19	613:7 614:21,24	564:15 565:2,5	kroger's 412:18
Signature Sign	497:18 502:6	625:5	566:21 569:4	412:19
justice 366:7 372:9,11,12 429:2 429:5,10,10,15 469:7 624:3 672:1 justifications 498:12 justify 552:22 k k 370:11 kaspar 371:15 kaspar.stoffelma 371:18 kathleen 371:2 keating 366:4 keep 380:8 390:1 390:25 442:17 504:10 517:4,5 556:18 575:25 595:16 602:20 647:3,10 648:1 651:17 668:16 keeping 599:19 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 kirkland.com 370:18 klekamp 366:4 kmatsoukas 371:5 ksapar.stoffeldma 371:18 kathleen 371:2 keeping 599:19 keept 437:10 677:13,15 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 kirkland.com 370:18 stekkamp 366:4 kmatsoukas 371:5 stekehum 366:4 kmatsoukas 371:5 steken 370:18 steke 647:25 s92:17,19 593:12 s92:17,19 593:12 s93:16 595:21 s93:16 695:21 s93:16 695:21 s93:16 69:21 s93:16 69:22 s93:16 69:21 s93:16 595:21 s93:16 69:21 s93:16 69:21 s93:16 595:21 s93:16 69:21 s93:16 595:21 s93:16 69:21 s93:16 595:17 s92:17,19 593:12 s93:16 595:17 s92:17,19 593:12 s93:16 595:1 s93:16 595:17 s92:17,19 593:12 s93:16 595:17 s92:17,19 593:12 s93:16 595:17 s92:17,19 593:12 s93:16 595:17 s92:17,19 593:12 s93:16 595:21 s93:16 59:21 s93:16 595:21 s93:16 602:21 s93:16 595:21 s93:16 595:21 s93:	508:19 651:16	kinds 381:19	572:5,6,9 573:25	1
jury's 649:13 justice 366:7 372:9,11,12 429:2 429:5,10,10,15 469:7 624:3 672:1 justifications 498:12 justify 552:22 k k 370:11 kaspar 371:15 kaspar.stoffelma 371:18 kathleen 371:2 keating 366:4 keep 380:8 390:1 390:25 442:17 504:10 517:4,5 556:18 575:25 595:16 602:20 647:3,10 648:1 651:17 668:16 keeping 599:19 keps 669:7 kept 437:10 677:13,15 ketchum 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 kirkland.com 370:18 klekamp 366:4 kekamp 366:4 kmatsoukas 371:5 knew 647:25 fose:7 581:12 588:7 581:12 588:7 581:12 588:7 581:12 588:7 581:12 588:7 581:12 588:7 581:12 588:7 581:12 588:7 581:12 588:15 591:7 588:15 591:7 592:17,19 593:12 593:16 595:21 666:11 609:4 613:7 623:19 625:17 626:11 627:23,24 628:3 629:21 630:2,18 633:14 637:7,14 638:10 639:14 640:6,10 641:10 641:15,17 642:12 647:25 650:22 647:3,10 648:1 651:17 668:16 649:4 459:20,21 461:15 664:15 462:6 474:19 484:17 486:2 492:12 499:18 500:6,11 580:7 581:12 588:25 591:7 592:17,19 593:12 596:10 601:6,12 601:23 602:12,14 606:11 609:4 613:7 623:19 625:17 626:11 627:23,24 628:3 638:10 639:14 640:6,10 641:10 641:15,17 642:12 647:25 650:22 647:3,10 648:1 651:17 668:16 649:4 613:7 623:19 625:17 626:11 627:23,24 628:3 638:12 838:25 labs 386:24 lag 494:24,25 laid 435:1498:19 lakeland 657:3 lag 494:24,25 laid 435:1498:19 lakeland 657:3 language 425:6 571:19,20,21 645:18 664:115,17 642:12 677:13,15 664:11,14,25 666:11 609:4 613:7 623:19 625:17 626:11 627:23,24 628:3 638:10 639:14 640:6,10 641:10 641:15,17 642:12 647:25 650:22 670:15,22 671:6 673:2,23 674:4 678:5 681:2 8nowing 457:11 488:5 552:5 556:12 8nowing 457:11 488:5 552:5 556:12 8nowing 457:11 488:5 552:5 556:21 8nowing 457:11 488:5 552:5 556:21 8nowing 457:11 488:5 552:5 556:21 8nowing 457:11 488:2 414:2 407:10,17 408:20 409:6 410:7,16 412:1 413:2 414:2 414:25 415:21	659:22 667:22	kirkland 370:16	574:1,7,20 576:18	1 264.24 271.2
Justifice 366:7 370:18 klekamp 366:4 kmatsoukas 371:5 knew 647:25 656:5,10,15 676:2 justifications	jury's 649:13	kirkland.com	578:13,19 579:23	
State Stat	justice 366:7	370:18	580:7 581:12	
Addition	•	klekamp 366:4	583:24 587:18	1 -
Section Sect		_		
Signature Sign		knew 647:25	592:17,19 593:12	
498:12	justifications	653:14 655:25	593:16 595:21	
Second	•	656:5,10,15 676:2	596:10 601:6,12	
k 381:15,24 385:17 386:12,23 392:14 393:6 395:21 396:7,9 398:2,12 399:3 400:13 409:16,21 420:6 422:3 425:25 434:24 436:5 442:47 504:10 517:4,5 556:18 575:25 595:16 602:20 647:3,10 648:1 651:17 668:16 keeping 599:19 keeps 669:7 kept 437:10 677:13,15 ketchum 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 381:15,24 385:17 386:12,23 392:14 613:7 623:19 625:17 626:11 627:23,24 628:3 629:21 630:2,18 633:14 637:7,14 638:10 639:14 640:6,10 641:10 641:15,17 642:12 647:25 650:22 652:11 653:18 664:9,13 669:5 670:15,22 671:6 673:22,23 674:4 678:5 681:2 knowing 457:11 458:5 552:5 504:2 507:19 516:25 517:1,9 492:6 632:21 481 435:1 498:19 lakel and 435:1 498:19 lakeland 657:3 language 425:6 571:19,20,21 645:18 language 425:6 671:19,20,21 645:18 language 425:6 67	justify 552:22	know 377:11		
k 370:11 386:12,23 392:14 613:7 623:19 lakeland 657:3 kaspar 371:15 kaspar.stoffelma 399:3 400:13 629:21 630:2,18 629:21 630:2,18 633:14 637:7,14 638:10 639:14 645:18 language 425:6 571:19,20,21 645:18 keating 366:4 409:16,21 420:6 633:14 637:7,14 638:10 639:14 638:10 639:14 640:6,10 641:10 641:15,17 642:12 647:15,17 642:12 647:25 650:22 647:13,17 642:12 649:19 447:21 652:11 653:18 664:9,13 669:5 380:5 387:8 392:8 380:25 393:21 386:5 387:8 392:8 386:12,23 392:14 399:3 400:13 629:21 630:2,18 633:14 637:7,14 638:10 639:14 645:18 lanier 367:10,13 677:10,13 367:14 372:12,13 367:14 372:12,13 367:14 372:12,13 367:14 372:12,13 373:3 375:21,23 383:13,18 384:15 384:21 385:5 386:5 387:8 392:8 386:5 387:8 392:8 386:5 387:8 392:8 386:5 387:8 392:8 392:25 393:21 398:7,11,14 399:7 400:4,7,24 401:16 402:6 403:3,12,19 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 407:10,17 408:20 406:11,14,25 407:10,17 408:20 409:16,21 42:6 407:10,17 408:20 409:6 410:7,16 406:11,14 406:11,14 406:11,14		381:15,24 385:17	1	,
kaspar 371:15 393:6 395:21 393:6 395:21 393:6 395:21 393:6 395:21 393:6 395:21 393:6 395:21 393:6 395:21 393:6 395:21 393:6 395:21 393:6 395:21 393:6 395:21 396:7,9 398:2,12 396:7,9 398:2,12 399:3 400:13 399:3 400:13 409:16,21 420:6 422:3 425:25 422:3 425:25 422:3 425:25 438:40.65 438:40.65 438:40.65 438:40.65 438:40.65 440:6,10 641:10 640:6,10 641:10 640:6,10 641:10 367:14 372:12,13 373:3 375:21,23 383:13,18 384:15 384:21 385:5 384:21 385:5 384:21 385:5 386:5 387:8 392:8 392:25 393:21 399:25 393:21 399:19 447:21 641:15,462:6 649,13 669:5 664:9,13 669:5 664:9,13 669:5 664:9,13 669:5 664:9,13 669:5 392:25 393:21 394:1,22 396:20 398:7,11,14 399:7 400:4,7,24 401:16 402:6 403:3,12,19 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:49,13 669:5 392:25 393:21 394:1,22 396:20 398:7,11,14 399:7 400:4,7,24 401:16 402:6 403:3,12,19 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 406:11,14			613:7 623:19	
kaspar.stoffelma 396:7,9 398:2,12 627:23,24 628:3 571:19,20,21 371:18 399:3 400:13 409:16,21 420:6 629:21 630:2,18 645:18 keating 366:4 422:3 425:25 638:10 639:14 638:10 639:14 640:6,10 641:10 keep 380:8 390:1 438:4,23 441:24 641:15,17 642:12 647:25 650:22 383:13,18 384:15 556:18 575:25 446:9,19 447:21 647:25 650:22 383:13,18 384:15 595:16 602:20 457:20 458:4 664:9,13 669:5 392:25 393:21 651:17 668:16 459:20,21 461:15 673:22,23 674:4 673:22,23 674:4 674:17 675:2,20 keeping 599:19 466:2 492:12 675:24 676:4 678:5 681:2 400:4,7,24 401:16 keeps 669:7 486:2 492:12 499:18 500:6,11 506:25 517:1,9 504:2 507:19 504:2 507:19 506:25 517:1,9 506:21 400:41,7,16 412:1 413:2 414:2 492:6 632:21 571:19,20,21 502:24 516:7 16 571:19,20,21 645:18 lanier 367:10,13 367:14 372:12,13 373:3 375:21,23 383:13,18 384:15 384:21 385:5 386:5 387:8 392:8 392:25 393:21 394:1,22 396:20 398:7,11,14 399:7 400:4,7,24 401:16		· ·	625:17 626:11	
399:3 400:13 409:16,21 420:6 422:3 425:25 434:24 436:5 438:4,23 441:24 442:4,15 445:14 469:19 447:21 450:17 668:16 459:20,21 461:15 459:20,21 461:15 464:17 675:2,20 474:19 484:17 486:2 492:12 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 492:6 632:21 399:3 400:13 409:16,21 420:6 422:3 425:25 434:24 436:5 438:4,23 441:24 442:4,15 445:14 446:9,19 447:21 459:20,21 461:15 461:15 462:6 474:19 484:17 486:2 492:12 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 516:25 517:1,9 492:6 632:21 399:3 400:13 409:16,21 420:6 633:14 637:7,14 638:10 639:14 645:18 lanier 367:10,13 367:14 372:12,13 373:3 375:21,23 383:13,18 384:15 386:5 387:8 392:8 392:25 393:21 394:1,22 396:20 398:7,11,14 399:7 400:4,7,24 401:16 402:6 403:3,12,19 406:11,14,25 407:10,17 408:20 409:6 410:7,16 412:1 413:2 414:2 414:25 415:21	_	396:7,9 398:2,12	627:23,24 628:3	
kathleen 371:18 kathleen 371:2 keating 366:4 keep 380:8 390:1 390:25 442:17 434:24 436:5 504:10 517:4,5 446:9,19 447:21 647:25 650:22 556:18 575:25 454:2,3,18 457:3 664:9,13 669:5 595:16 602:20 454:23,318 457:3 664:9,13 669:5 386:5 387:8 392:8 647:3,10 648:1 459:20,21 461:15 672:24 676:4 673:22,23 674:4 673:22,23 674:4 673:22,23 674:4 678:5 681:2 400:4,7,24 401:16 keeps 669:7 486:2 492:12 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 504:2 507:19 506:25 517:1,9 556:21 knowledge 502:23 409:6 410:7,16 412:1 413:2 414:2 402:6 632:21 434:24 436:5 438:4,23 441:24 440:64:124 640:6,10 641:10 641:15,17 642:12 647:25 650:22 384:21 385:5 386:5 387:8 392:8 386:5 387:8 392:8 392:25 393:21 394:1,22 396:20 398:7,11,14 399:7 400:4,7,24 401:16 402:6 403:3,12,19 406:11,14,25 407:10,17 408:20 409:6 410:7,16 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2	_		*	
keating 366:4 422:3 425:25 638:10 639:14 367:14 372:12,13 keep 380:8 390:1 434:24 436:5 434:24 436:5 640:6,10 641:10 367:14 372:12,13 390:25 442:17 438:4,23 441:24 640:6,10 641:10 367:14 372:12,13 504:10 517:4,5 446:9,19 447:21 647:25 650:22 383:13,18 384:15 556:18 575:25 454:2,3,18 457:3 652:11 653:18 664:9,13 669:5 386:5 387:8 392:8 595:16 602:20 457:20 458:4 459:20,21 461:15 670:15,22 671:6 394:1,22 396:20 641:15 462:6 474:19 484:17 486:2 492:12 675:24 676:4 678:5 681:2 400:4,7,24 401:16 402:6 403:3,12,19 kept 437:10 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 506:25 517:1,9 506:21 knowing 457:11 458:5 552:5 409:6 410:7,16 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2 414:2 414:25 415:21		409:16,21 420:6		
keep 380:8 390:1 434:24 436:5 640:6,10 641:10 373:3 375:21,23 390:25 442:17 438:4,23 441:24 641:15,17 642:12 383:13,18 384:15 504:10 517:4,5 446:9,19 447:21 647:25 650:22 383:13,18 384:15 556:18 575:25 446:9,19 447:21 652:11 653:18 386:5 387:8 392:8 595:16 602:20 457:20 458:4 664:9,13 669:5 392:25 393:21 647:3,10 648:1 459:20,21 461:15 670:15,22 671:6 394:1,22 396:20 68eping 599:19 674:17 675:2,20 674:17 675:2,20 400:4,7,24 401:16 677:13,15 486:2 492:12 678:5 681:2 406:11,14,25 640:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 504:2 507:19 504:2 507:19 516:25 517:1,9 516:25 517:1,9 556:21 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2 414:2		· ·	1	
Reep 380:8 390:1 438:4,23 441:24 641:15,17 642:12 375:3 373:21,23 390:25 442:17 438:4,23 441:24 647:25 650:22 383:13,18 384:15 504:10 517:4,5 446:9,19 447:21 647:25 650:22 384:21 385:5 556:18 575:25 454:2,3,18 457:3 664:9,13 669:5 386:5 387:8 392:8 695:17 668:16 459:20,21 461:15 670:15,22 671:6 673:22,23 674:4 674:17 675:2,20 674:17 675:2,20 398:7,11,14 399:7 keeps 669:7 474:19 484:17 675:24 676:4 675:24 676:4 675:24 676:4 675:24 676:4 675:56:21 406:11,14,25 ketchum 367:3 369:17 10 458:5 552:5 556:21 409:6 410:7,16 key 445:1,9,10,19 516:25 517:1,9 516:25 517:1,9 556:21 knowledge 502:24 516:7 16 492:6 632:21 523:4 526:21 23 502:24 516:7 16 502:24 516:7 16 412:1 413:2 414:2	_	434:24 436:5	640:6,10 641:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
390:25 442:17 442:4,15 445:14 647:25 650:22 383:13,18 384:13 504:10 517:4,5 446:9,19 447:21 647:25 650:22 384:21 385:5 556:18 575:25 454:2,3,18 457:3 664:9,13 669:5 386:5 387:8 392:8 595:16 602:20 454:2,3,18 457:3 664:9,13 669:5 392:25 393:21 651:17 668:16 459:20,21 461:15 673:22,23 674:4 674:17 675:2,20 398:7,11,14 399:7 keeping 599:19 461:15 462:6 674:17 675:2,20 675:24 676:4 675:24 676:4 675:24 676:4 678:5 681:2 400:4,7,24 401:16 402:6 403:3,12,19 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 407:10,17 408:20 409:6 410:7,16 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2 414:2 414:25 415:21	_	438:4,23 441:24	· ·	
504:10 317:4,3 446:9,19 447:21 652:11 653:18 384:21 383:3 556:18 575:25 454:2,3,18 457:3 664:9,13 669:5 386:5 387:8 392:8 595:16 602:20 457:20 458:4 670:15,22 671:6 392:25 393:21 647:3,10 648:1 459:20,21 461:15 673:22,23 674:4 398:7,11,14 399:7 keeping 599:19 461:15 462:6 674:17 675:2,20 474:19 484:17 675:24 676:4 402:6 403:3,12,19 kept 437:10 486:2 492:12 678:5 681:2 406:11,14,25 406:11,14,25 ketchum 367:3 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 556:21 409:6 410:7,16 492:6 632:21 516:25 517:1,9 523:4 526:21 23 502:24 516:7 16 412:1 413:2 414:2		·	*	
556:18 575:25 595:16 602:20 647:3,10 648:1 651:17 668:16 keeping 599:19 keeps 669:7 kept 437:10 677:13,15 ketchum 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 454:2,3,18 457:3 459:20,21 461:15 461:15 462:6 474:19 484:17 486:2 492:12 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 516:25 517:1,9 523:4 526:21 23 454:2,3,18 457:3 459:20,21 461:15 673:22,23 674:4 674:17 675:2,20 675:24 676:4 678:5 681:2 knowing 457:11 458:5 552:5 556:21 knowledge 502:23 502:24 516:7 16			652:11 653:18	
457:20 458:4 457:20 458:4 459:20,21 461:15 461:15 462:6 474:19 484:17 486:2 492:12 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 492:6 632:21 457:20 458:4 459:20,21 461:15 461:15 462:6 474:19 484:17 486:2 492:12 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 516:25 517:1,9 523:4 526:21 23 457:20 458:4 670:15,22 671:6 673:22,23 674:4 674:17 675:2,20 675:24 676:4 678:5 681:2 400:4,7,24 401:16 402:6 403:3,12,19 406:11,14,25 407:10,17 408:20 409:6 410:7,16 412:1 413:2 414:2 414:25 415:21		,		
459:20,21 461:15 keeping 599:19 keeps 669:7 kept 437:10 677:13,15 ketchum 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 459:20,21 461:15 461:15 462:6 474:19 484:17 486:2 492:12 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 516:25 517:1,9 492:6 632:21 459:20,21 461:15 461:15 462:6 474:19 484:17 486:2 492:12 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 516:25 517:1,9 523:4 526:21 23 459:20,21 461:15 673:22,23 674:4 674:17 675:2,20 675:24 676:4 678:5 681:2 knowing 457:11 458:5 552:5 556:21 knowledge 502:23 502:24 516:7 16			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
651:17 668:16 461:15 462:6 674:17 675:2,20 398:7,11,14 399:7 keeping 599:19 461:15 462:6 674:17 675:2,20 400:4,7,24 401:16 keeps 669:7 486:2 492:12 678:5 681:2 402:6 403:3,12,19 kept 437:10 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 504:2 507:19 556:21 409:6 410:7,16 key 445:1,9,10,19 516:25 517:1,9 523:4 526:21 23 502:24 516:7 16 412:1 413:2 414:2	,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
keeping 399:19 474:19 484:17 675:24 676:4 400:4,7,24 401:16 keeps 669:7 486:2 492:12 678:5 681:2 402:6 403:3,12,19 kept 437:10 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 504:2 507:19 556:21 key 445:1,9,10,19 516:25 517:1,9 523:4 526:21 23 502:24 516:7 16 400:4,7,24 401:16 402:6 403:3,12,19 400:1,1,14,25 407:10,17 408:20 409:6 410:7,16 412:1 413:2 414:2 400:4,7,24 401:16 402:6 403:3,12,19 406:11,14,25 407:10,17 408:20 400:6 410:7,16 412:1 413:2 414:2 414:25 415:21		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
keeps 669:7 486:2 492:12 678:5 681:2 402:6 403:3,12,19 kept 437:10 499:18 500:6,11 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 458:5 552:5 409:6 410:7,16 key 445:1,9,10,19 516:25 517:1,9 523:4 526:21 23 502:24 516:7 16 412:1 413:2 414:2				, ,
kept 437:10 499:18 500:6,11 knowing 457:11 406:11,14,25 ketchum 367:3 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 499:18 500:6,11 458:5 552:5 407:10,17 408:20 409:6 410:7,16 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2 414:2 412:1 413:2 415:21	_			
ketchum 367:3 key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 516:25 517:1,9 523:4 526:21 23 501:17 502:9 504:2 507:19 516:25 517:1,9 523:4 526:21 23 502:24 516:7 16	*			· · ·
key 445:1,9,10,19 492:6 632:21 504:2 507:19 516:25 517:1,9 523:4 526:21 23 504:2 507:19 516:25 517:1,9 523:4 526:21 23	· ·			·
492:6 632:21 516:25 517:1,9 knowledge 502:23 412:1 413:2 414:2 502:24 516:7 16				*
492:0 032:21				
655:15 416:8,16 417:10				
	030:13			416:8,16 417:10

[lanier - leading] Page 30

417:17 420:13.22 421:4,21 422:1 506:10,16 507:3 421:4,21 422:1 508:5 509:1,8,9,16 668:22 669:22 510:2,4,14 427:3,11 428:24 510:25 511:8,17 511:23 512:6,12 511:23 512:6,12 670:4,17,20 671:5 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 572:10,670:4,17,20 671:5 529:16 597:4,19 572:10,670:4,17,20 671:5 511:2,16 672:12 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19				
423:5,11 426:5 509:25 510:2,4,14 670:4,17,20 671:5 521:3,18 522:16 527:4,19 522:16 597:4,19 427:3,11 428:24 510:25 511:8,17 671:12,16 672:12 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:16 597:4,19 529:15 529:20 675:19 676:6 673:20 674:2,3,20 600:25 616:25 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:11,22,16 632:5,12,12,13,18 632:12,12,13,13 632:12,12,13,13 632:13,12 632:12,12 632:12,12 632:12,12 632:12,12 632:12,12	417:17 420:13,22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	667:20 668:8,14	509:19,21 510:7
427:3,11 428:24 510:25 511:8,17 671:12,16 672:12 529:16 597:4,19 428:25 429:11,23 511:23 512:6,12 672:17,22 673:2,6 599:8 600:14,21 430:23 431:6 513:18 515:19 673:20 674:2,3,20 600:25 616:21 432:14 433:6,12 522:5 529:20 675:19 676:6 632:5,12,12,13,18 435:5,12,24 436:4 559:23 560:12 677:12,22 678:2 633:7 634:8,8 436:9 437:9 566:14 583:10 678:11 680:2,16 635:5,22 643:25 438:20 439:12,19 584:2,14 589:13 680:23 688:23 688:23 442:13,21,23 631:5,16,17 585:25 592:4 lamier's 513:13 lawful 446:1 442:13,21,23 632:20 633:5,13 367:12,16 lawful 446:19 457:6,25 458:24 636:25,6 637:9,10 367:12,16 laww 464:19 459:10,24 460:22 637:19,24 638:3,8 403:14 454:18 laws 464:19 468:11,2 471:1,20 648:61,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 47:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 late 388:18 668:10 47:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 late 388:18 668:10 47:13,41	·			
428:25 429:11,23 511:23 512:6,12 672:17,22 673:2,6 599:8 600:14,21 430:23 431:6 513:18 515:19 673:20 674:2,3,20 600:25 616:25 432:14 433:6,12 522:5 529:20 675:19 676:6 632:5,12,12,13,18 435:5,12,24 436:4 559:23 560:12 677:12,22 678:2 633:7 634:8,8 436:9 437:9 566:14 583:10 678:11 680:2,16 633:7,22 643:25 439:21 441:12,17 620:25 621:12 680:23 658:7,25 679:20 444:10,17,22 632:5,220 633:5,13 680:23 658:7,25 679:20 444:10,17,22 632:5,26 363:9,10 363:17 634:5,14 367:12,16 375:4 452:3,17 453:2 634:21,25 635:19 437:12,16 438:22,25 639:17 466:14 64:19 438:14 454:18 499:6 499:6 499:6 499:6 601:3 468:1,12 471:1,20 461:6,9,18,23 403:14 454:18 499:6 414:6 42:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 422:3 583:14 <	423:5,11 426:5	509:25 510:2,4,14	670:4,17,20 671:5	521:3,18 522:16
430:23 431:6 430:23 431:6 432:14 433:6,12 435:5,12,24 436:4 436:9 437:9 436:0 437:9 438:20 439:12,17 439:21 441:12,17 442:13,21,23 438:20 439:12,19 439:21 441:12,17 442:13,21,23 438:20 439:2,1 459:13 438:20 439:21 49 448:3,24 450:19 438:20 439:2,25 635:19 457:6,25 458:24 459:10,24 460:22 459:10,24 460:22 461:13 462:16 466:23 467:3,18 468:1,12 471:1,20 471:24 472:5,15 468:1,12 471:1,20 471:24 472:5,15 474:3,4,17 475:8 468:1,12 471:1,20 471:24 472:5,15 474:3,4,17 475:8 475:18 476:11 478:17,21 479:21 480:8 481:2,19,25 480:19 487: 480:8 481:2,16,23 480:19 487: 480:19 487: 480:19 487: 480:24 469:19 513:18 515:19 677:12,26 678:2 677:12,22 678:2 633:7 634:8,8 680:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:12 688:23 688:23 688:12 688:23 688:12 688:22 688:25 592:4 688:12,16 638:22,25 635:19 638:22,25 635:19 638:22,25 639:17 648:61,9,25 641:6,9,18,23 641:6,9,18,23 641:6,9,18,23 642:7,15 643:12 648:5,10 649:2,11 648:5,10 649:2,11 648:5,10 649:2,11 648:5,10 649:2,11 648:1,12 477:4,13,21 480:8 481:2,19,25 480:8 481:2,19,25 480:1,16 486:1,9 650:2,8,14,17,20 671:2,16 677:12,26 678:2 682:5,12,12,13,13 682:3 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:12.16 680:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:23 688:22 688:248:99 688:248:99 688:248:99 688:248:99 688:248:99 688:248:99 688:248:99 688:248:99 688:248:99 688	427:3,11 428:24	510:25 511:8,17	671:12,16 672:12	529:16 597:4,19
432:14 433:6,12 522:5 529:20 675:19 676:6 632:5,12,12,13,18 435:5,12,24 436:4 559:23 560:12 677:12,22 678:2 633:7 634:8,8 436:9 437:9 566:14 583:10 678:11 680:2,16 635:5,22 643:25 438:20 439:12,19 584:2,14 589:13 680:23 658:7,25 679:20 439:21 441:12,17 620:25 621:12 lanier's 513:13 lawful 446:1 442:13,21,23 631:5,16,17 585:25 592:4 lawful 446:1 442:13,21,23 633:17 634:5,14 367:12,16 lawful 446:1 452:3,17 453:2 632:2 637:9,10 367:12,16 lawwor 37:14 459:10,24 460:21 638:22,25 639:17 367:12,16 laws 464:19 463:11 466:9,16 639:24 640:5,12 414:6 499:6 601:3 468:1,12 471:1,20 641:6,9,18,23 414:6 42:3 583:14 472:20 473:2,8,23 643:2 644:15 late 388:18 668:10 642:23 645:21 477:4,3,4,17 475:8 645:8,16 646:13 lauren 372:2 654:13,669:13,17 488:1,16 486:1.9 650:2,8,14,17,20 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11	428:25 429:11,23	511:23 512:6,12	672:17,22 673:2,6	599:8 600:14,21
435:5,12,24 436:4 436:9 437:9 566:14 583:10 566:14 583:10 680:23 680:23 658:7,25 679:20 632:20 633:5,13 631:5,16,17 632:20 633:5,13 631:5,16,17 632:20 633:5,13 632:3,17 453:2 633:7 634:8,8 635:5,22 643:25 658:7,25 679:20 632:20 633:5,13 631:5,16,17 632:20 633:5,13 631:5,16,17 632:20 633:5,13 631:7 634:5,14 632:20 633:5,13 631:5,16,17 632:20 633:5,13 631:7 634:8,8 635:5,22 643:25 658:7,25 679:20 658:7,25 679:20 658:7,25 679:20 658:7,25 679:20 658:7,25 679:20 886:14 583:10 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:23 886:12,164 886:12,1624 633:27 634:8,8 635:5,22 643:25 658:7,25 679:20 886:14 46:11 886:23 463:13 886:12,16 887:21,16 888 481:2,19,25 642:23 683:14 886:19 487:2 482:2,16,23 886:19 487:2 488:2 489:9,21 488:2 489:9,21 488:2 489:9,21 488:2 489:9,21 488:2 489:9,21 488:2 489:9,21 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 488:2 489:9,21 660:14,20,25 491:10,21 493:16 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 800:23 803:7 634:8,8 663:5,5,2 643:25 658:7,25 679:20 886:12 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:23 807:12,16 800:12 807:14,16 800:23 808:31:13 808:8 38:18 808:3 809:9 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,21,22 807:20,20,21,22 807:20,20,20,20 807:20,20,20 803:20,20,20 803:20,20,20 80	430:23 431:6	513:18 515:19	673:20 674:2,3,20	600:25 616:25
436:9 437:9 566:14 583:10 678:11 680:2,16 635:5,22 643:25 438:20 439:12,19 584:2,14 589:13 680:23 658:7,25 679:20 439:21 441:12,17 620:25 621:12 lanier's 513:13 lawful 446:1 442:13,21,23 631:5,16,17 585:25 592:4 lawful 446:1 444:10,17,22 632:20 633:5,13 367:12,16 lawfur 372:14 448:3,24 450:19 633:17 634:5,14 367:12,16 laws 464:19 452:3,17 453:2 634:21,25 635:19 lardner 371:7 521:18 522:11 459:10,24 460:22 637:19,24 638:3,8 403:14 454:18 laws 464:19 466:13 462:16 638:22,25 639:17 499:6 601:3 466:13 462:16 639:24 640:5,12 largest 413:14 lawsuit 376:4 468:1,12 471:1,20 641:6,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 635:20 636:8 472:20 473:2,8,23 643:22 644:15 laura 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 478:17,21 479:21 648:5,10 649:2,11 lavelle 369:2 lavelle 369:2 488:15,23 484:3 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 415:25 416:1,2,22	432:14 433:6,12	522:5 529:20	675:19 676:6	632:5,12,12,13,18
438:20 439:12,19 584:2,14 589:13 680:23 658:7,25 679:20 439:21 441:12,17 620:25 621:12 585:25 592:4 lawful 446:1 442:13,21,23 631:5,16,17 585:25 592:4 lawful 446:1 444:10,17,22 632:20 633:5,13 585:25 592:4 lawful 446:1 448:3,24 450:19 633:17 634:5,14 367:12,16 laws 464:19 452:3,17 453:2 634:21,25 635:19 large 401:25 525:22,23 459:10,24 460:22 637:19,24 638:3,8 403:14 454:18 laws 464:19 461:13 462:16 638:22,25 639:17 499:6 601:3 463:11 466:9,16 639:24 640:5,12 largest 413:14 lawyer 380:5,12 466:1,12 471:1,20 461:6,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 642:23 645:21 472:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 latin 42:10 642:23 645:21 477:4,13,21 646:19 647:20 lavelle 369:2 lawyers 394:6 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 489:12 490:11 488: 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 488:15,23 484:3	435:5,12,24 436:4	559:23 560:12	677:12,22 678:2	633:7 634:8,8
A39:21 441:12,17	436:9 437:9	566:14 583:10	678:11 680:2,16	635:5,22 643:25
442:13,21,23 631:5,16,17 585:25 592:4 lawlor 372:14 444:10,17,22 632:20 633:5,13 367:12,16 laws 464:19 448:3,24 450:19 633:17 634:5,14 367:12,16 laws 464:19 452:3,17 453:2 634:21,25 635:19 lardner 371:7 521:18 522:11 457:6,25 458:24 636:2,5 637:9,10 large 401:25 525:22,23 459:10,24 460:22 637:19,24 638:3,8 403:14 454:18 lawsuit 376:4 461:13 462:16 638:22,25 639:17 403:14 454:18 lawyer 380:5,12 466:23 467:3,18 640:16,19,25 414:6 largest 413:14 lawyer 380:5,12 468:1,12 471:1,20 641:6,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 635:20 636:8 471:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 latin 442:10 642:23 645:21 472:20 473:2,8,23 645:8,16 646:13 lauren 372:2 654:13 669:13,17 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 lavelle 369:2 law 367:6,10 477:4,13,21 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15	438:20 439:12,19	584:2,14 589:13	680:23	658:7,25 679:20
444:10,17,22 632:20 633:5,13 lanierlawfirm.com 375:4 448:3,24 450:19 633:17 634:5,14 367:12,16 laws 464:19 452:3,17 453:2 634:21,25 635:19 lardner 371:7 521:18 522:11 457:6,25 458:24 636:2,5 637:9,10 large 401:25 525:22,23 459:10,24 460:22 637:19,24 638:3,8 403:14 454:18 lawsuit 376:4 466:131 466:9,16 638:22,25 639:17 603:24 640:5,12 largest 413:14 lawyer 380:5,12 466:23 467:3,18 640:16,19,25 414:6 late 388:18 668:10 lawyer 380:5,12 468:1,12 471:1,20 641:6,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 642:23 645:21 472:20 473:2,8,23 643:22 644:15 laura 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 475:18 476:11 646:19 647:20 lauren 372:2 654:13 669:13,17 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 av 367:6,10 lawyers 394:6 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 432:17 465:24 488:19 487:2 652:6,15 653:12 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 488:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:2	439:21 441:12,17	620:25 621:12	lanier's 513:13	lawful 446:1
448:3,24 450:19 633:17 634:5,14 367:12,16 laws 464:19 452:3,17 453:2 634:21,25 635:19 lardner 371:7 521:18 522:11 457:6,25 458:24 636:2,5 637:9,10 large 401:25 525:22,23 459:10,24 460:22 637:19,24 638:3,8 403:14 454:18 lawsuit 376:4 461:13 462:16 638:22,25 639:17 499:6 601:3 463:14 466:9,16 639:24 640:5,12 largest 413:14 402:3 583:14 466:23 467:3,18 640:16,19,25 late 388:18 668:10 lawyer 380:5,12 471:24 472:5,15 641:69,18,23 latin 442:10 635:20 636:8 471:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 laura 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 477:4,13,2,1 646:19 647:20 lauren 372:2 lavelle 369:2 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 372:12,13 379:16 432:17 465:24 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:12,19,24 422:4 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 485:1,16 486:1,9 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23	442:13,21,23	631:5,16,17	585:25 592:4	lawlor 372:14
452:3,17 453:2 634:21,25 635:19 lardner 371:7 521:18 522:11 457:6,25 458:24 636:2,5 637:9,10 large 401:25 525:22,23 459:10,24 460:22 637:19,24 638:3,8 403:14 454:18 lawsuit 376:4 461:13 462:16 638:22,25 639:17 499:6 601:3 466:23 467:3,18 640:16,19,25 largest 413:14 422:3 583:14 468:1,12 471:1,20 641:6,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 635:20 636:8 471:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 latin 42:10 622:3 645:21 472:20 473:2,8,23 643:22 644:15 laura 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 475:18 476:11 646:19 647:20 lawelle 369:2 652:615 64:13 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 372:12,13 379:16 432:17 465:24 488:15,23 484:3 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 489:12 490:11 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 469:2,8 473:18 469:2,8 4	444:10,17,22	632:20 633:5,13	lanierlawfirm.com	375:4
457:6,25 458:24 636:2,5 637:9,10 large 401:25 525:22,23 459:10,24 460:22 637:19,24 638:3,8 403:14 454:18 lawsuit 376:4 461:13 462:16 638:22,25 639:17 639:24 640:5,12 largest 413:14 601:3 466:23 467:3,18 640:16,19,25 414:6 422:3 583:14 468:1,12 471:1,20 641:6,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 635:20 636:8 471:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 latin 442:10 642:23 645:21 472:20 473:2,8,23 643:22 644:15 laura 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 477:413,21 646:19 647:20 lavelle 369:2 lawyers 394:6 477:47,13,21 650:24,651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 480:8 481:2,19,25 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 467:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 467:22 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 469:2,8 473:18 469:2,8 473:18<	448:3,24 450:19	633:17 634:5,14	367:12,16	laws 464:19
459:10,24 460:22 637:19,24 638:3,8 403:14 454:18 lawsuit 376:4 461:13 462:16 638:22,25 639:17 499:6 601:3 463:11 466:9,16 639:24 640:5,12 largest 413:14 422:3 583:14 466:23 467:3,18 640:16,19,25 414:6 422:3 583:14 468:1,12 471:1,20 641:6,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 635:20 636:8 471:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 latin 442:10 642:23 645:21 472:20 473:2,8,23 643:22 644:15 laura 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 475:18 476:11 646:19 647:20 lavelle 369:2 lawyers 394:6 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 372:12,13 379:16 432:17 465:24 480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 493:14 45:4 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 667:22 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 469:2,8 473:18 1ead 439:10	452:3,17 453:2	634:21,25 635:19	lardner 371:7	521:18 522:11
461:13 462:16 638:22,25 639:17 499:6 601:3 463:11 466:9,16 639:24 640:5,12 largest 413:14 lawyer 380:5,12 466:23 467:3,18 640:16,19,25 414:6 422:3 583:14 468:1,12 471:1,20 641:6,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 635:20 636:8 471:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 latin 442:10 642:23 645:21 472:20 473:2,8,23 643:22 644:15 laura 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 475:18 476:11 646:19 647:20 lavelle 369:2 lawyers 394:6 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 lawyers 394:6 478:17,21 479:21 650:2,8,14,17,20 372:12,13 379:16 432:17 465:24 480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 485:11,6 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 486:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10	457:6,25 458:24	636:2,5 637:9,10	large 401:25	525:22,23
463:11 466:9,16 639:24 640:5,12 largest 413:14 lawyer 380:5,12 466:23 467:3,18 640:16,19,25 414:6 422:3 583:14 468:1,12 471:1,20 641:6,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 635:20 636:8 471:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 latin 442:10 642:23 645:21 472:20 473:2,8,23 643:22 644:15 laure 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 475:18 476:11 646:19 647:20 lavelle 369:2 654:13 669:13,17 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 lawyers 394:6 480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 486:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 467:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 <t< td=""><td>459:10,24 460:22</td><td>637:19,24 638:3,8</td><td>403:14 454:18</td><td>lawsuit 376:4</td></t<>	459:10,24 460:22	637:19,24 638:3,8	403:14 454:18	lawsuit 376:4
466:23 467:3,18 640:16,19,25 414:6 422:3 583:14 468:1,12 471:1,20 641:6,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 635:20 636:8 471:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 latin 442:10 642:23 645:21 472:20 473:2,8,23 643:22 644:15 laura 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 474:3,4,17 475:8 645:8,16 646:13 lauren 372:2 654:13 669:13,17 475:18 476:11 646:19 647:20 lavelle 369:2 676:8 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 lawyers 394:6 478:17,21 479:21 650:2,8,14,17,20 372:12,13 379:16 432:17 465:24 480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 483:15,23 484:3 653:17,24 654:6 415:25 416:1,2,22 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 lad 439:10	461:13 462:16	638:22,25 639:17	499:6	601:3
468:1,12 471:1,20 641:6,9,18,23 late 388:18 668:10 635:20 636:8 471:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 latin 442:10 642:23 645:21 472:20 473:2,8,23 643:22 644:15 laura 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 474:3,4,17 475:8 645:8,16 646:13 lauren 372:2 654:13 669:13,17 475:18 476:11 646:19 647:20 lavelle 369:2 lawyers 394:6 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 lawyers 394:6 478:17,21 479:21 650:2,8,14,17,20 372:12,13 379:16 432:17 465:24 480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 483:15,23 484:3 653:17,24 654:6 415:25 416:1,2,22 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:77, 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19	463:11 466:9,16	639:24 640:5,12	largest 413:14	lawyer 380:5,12
471:24 472:5,15 642:7,15 643:12 latin 442:10 642:23 645:21 472:20 473:2,8,23 643:22 644:15 laura 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 474:3,4,17 475:8 645:8,16 646:13 lauren 372:2 654:13 669:13,17 475:18 476:11 646:19 647:20 lavelle 369:2 lawyers 394:6 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 lawyers 394:6 480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 482:17 465:24 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 486:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 lead 439:10 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 469:2,8 473:18 leading 423:10	466:23 467:3,18	640:16,19,25	414:6	422:3 583:14
472:20 473:2,8,23 643:22 644:15 laura 367:17 651:15,17 654:7 474:3,4,17 475:8 645:8,16 646:13 lauren 372:2 654:13 669:13,17 475:18 476:11 646:19 647:20 lavelle 369:2 676:8 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 lawyers 394:6 480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 483:15,23 484:3 653:17,24 654:6 415:25 416:1,2,22 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 486:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 lay 569:4 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3	468:1,12 471:1,20	641:6,9,18,23	late 388:18 668:10	635:20 636:8
474:3,4,17 475:8 645:8,16 646:13 lauren 372:2 654:13 669:13,17 475:18 476:11 646:19 647:20 lavelle 369:2 lawyers 394:6 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 lawyers 394:6 480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 495:5 497:15 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 607:2 624:7 632:3 643:24 662:23 643:24 662:23 643:24 662:23 643:24 662:23 643:24 662:23 643:24 662:23 667:22 18y 569:4	471:24 472:5,15	642:7,15 643:12	latin 442:10	642:23 645:21
475:18 476:11 646:19 647:20 lavelle 369:2 676:8 477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 372:12,13 379:16 432:17 465:24 480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 483:15,23 484:3 653:17,24 654:6 415:25 416:1,2,22 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 law 569:4 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	472:20 473:2,8,23	643:22 644:15	laura 367:17	651:15,17 654:7
477:4,13,21 648:5,10 649:2,11 law 367:6,10 lawyers 394:6 478:17,21 479:21 650:2,8,14,17,20 372:12,13 379:16 432:17 465:24 480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 483:15,23 484:3 653:17,24 654:6 415:25 416:1,2,22 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 486:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 lay 569:4 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:1	474:3,4,17 475:8	645:8,16 646:13	lauren 372:2	654:13 669:13,17
478:17,21 479:21 650:2,8,14,17,20 372:12,13 379:16 432:17 465:24 480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 483:15,23 484:3 653:17,24 654:6 415:25 416:1,2,22 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 486:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 lay 569:4 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	475:18 476:11	646:19 647:20	lavelle 369:2	676:8
480:8 481:2,19,25 650:24 651:14,24 379:20,21,22 489:12 490:11 482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 483:15,23 484:3 653:17,24 654:6 415:25 416:1,2,22 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 486:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 lay 569:4 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	477:4,13,21	648:5,10 649:2,11	law 367:6,10	lawyers 394:6
482:2,16,23 652:6,15 653:12 380:8 395:9 495:5 497:15 483:15,23 484:3 653:17,24 654:6 415:25 416:1,2,22 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 486:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 lay 569:4 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	478:17,21 479:21	650:2,8,14,17,20	372:12,13 379:16	432:17 465:24
483:15,23 484:3 653:17,24 654:6 415:25 416:1,2,22 500:19 502:18 485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 486:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 lay 569:4 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	480:8 481:2,19,25	650:24 651:14,24	379:20,21,22	489:12 490:11
485:1,16 486:1,9 654:17,25 655:5 417:7,7 423:15 607:2 624:7 632:3 486:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 lay 569:4 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	482:2,16,23	652:6,15 653:12	380:8 395:9	495:5 497:15
486:19 487:2 655:12,19,24 424:24 426:3,8 643:24 662:23 488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 lay 569:4 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	483:15,23 484:3	653:17,24 654:6	415:25 416:1,2,22	500:19 502:18
488:2 489:9,21 656:14,20,25 428:8 452:8 453:1 667:22 490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 lay 569:4 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	485:1,16 486:1,9	654:17,25 655:5	417:7,7 423:15	607:2 624:7 632:3
490:1,6,20,25 657:10,17 658:21 464:21 465:19 lay 569:4 491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	486:19 487:2	655:12,19,24	424:24 426:3,8	643:24 662:23
491:10,21 493:16 659:4,20 660:4,11 467:24 468:3,21 lead 439:10 493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	488:2 489:9,21	656:14,20,25	428:8 452:8 453:1	667:22
493:20 494:7 660:14,20 661:4 469:2,8 473:18 leadership 573:19 495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	490:1,6,20,25	657:10,17 658:21	464:21 465:19	lay 569:4
495:2,9,15 497:3 661:20 662:8,12 474:12,20 479:14 leading 423:10 497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	491:10,21 493:16	659:4,20 660:4,11	467:24 468:3,21	lead 439:10
497:23 501:1,9,22 662:21 663:7,11 480:11 481:1 444:20 505:7 502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	493:20 494:7	660:14,20 661:4	469:2,8 473:18	leadership 573:19
502:4,14,22 503:7 663:14,21,24 482:9 489:3 507:22 510:1 503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	495:2,9,15 497:3	661:20 662:8,12	474:12,20 479:14	leading 423:10
503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	497:23 501:1,9,22	662:21 663:7,11	480:11 481:1	444:20 505:7
503:19 504:8,23 664:1,11 665:9 491:16 502:18,18 554:1 591:20	502:4,14,22 503:7	663:14,21,24	482:9 489:3	507:22 510:1
505:2,8,15,25 666:5,24 667:10 504:10 507:2,5,20 593:14 623:10			491:16 502:18,18	554:1 591:20
	505:2,8,15,25	666:5,24 667:10	504:10 507:2,5,20	593:14 623:10

[leading - location] Page 31

(42.10.(44.9	1 471.14	16.4	1:4 (71.12
643:19 644:8	lenier 471:14	lfitzpatrick 367:20	listen 671:13
645:13 647:13	lest 497:18	liability 488:5	listening 581:1
665:7 668:13	letter 373:10,12	liaison 504:17,18	605:5
learn 392:21	428:15 429:24,25	537:12,22 572:4	listing 685:7
494:11 563:17	430:12,15,17,18	578:23	litigation 364:5
learned 423:21	431:7,19,20,23	liber 372:8	375:5,10 392:5
615:24 625:24	432:20 433:15	license 378:17	393:20 394:7
learning 626:5	444:24 446:25	380:9 590:3	582:25 600:16
learnings 567:3	449:8 450:22	licensed 378:13,18	605:18 606:7,15
leave 391:17	458:8 459:19	412:25 438:9,12	608:9 683:6 684:3
486:10 489:24	462:9,22,22,24	licenses 425:2	685:3
531:16 571:1	463:6,16 464:3,6,9	lie 630:1	litigations 603:13
led 397:25	466:25 474:10,11	life 378:15 387:11	603:19
left 393:18 399:11	479:9 480:17	455:22 500:12	little 376:21
426:23 461:23	531:6 557:14,16	lifestyle 455:11	377:18 384:14
475:19 476:1	563:1 567:5,14	limit 495:25	385:23 399:20
480:12 515:25	571:5 573:7,11,16	499:17 510:21	400:11,17 439:25
516:4 520:19,25	612:21 683:19	644:13	485:6 487:20,20
557:24 572:1,22	letters 417:2 425:5	limitations 522:22	588:14 611:1
573:19 579:10	428:7,12,13 463:5	limited 453:17	613:4
581:18 591:24	464:2 467:5,16	454:12 455:3,4,10	lived 646:3 653:25
654:8,18,20 656:1	478:5,9 479:5,7,11	628:7	living 451:13
656:5 679:4	479:15 480:4,11	limits 386:3	livingston 371:10
legal 382:7 394:3	482:8 488:13,20	510:18	371:13
421:24 437:20,20	529:14,18,19,22	linden 670:13,15	llc 366:16,20
442:17 443:7	530:7 535:19,22	671:6,13 674:4,12	369:17 370:15,19
448:6,9 451:14	555:24 556:1,7,13	675:21	llp 365:8 367:3,22
464:16 503:2,6	556:15,17 562:9	line 442:13 553:23	368:3,8 369:3,6,11
507:14 677:4	562:13,15,19	662:17 664:22	369:18 370:3,8,12
683:1 686:1	563:25 564:1,4,8	673:11 683:13	370:16,20 371:3,7
legend 454:4,8	564:11,12 565:8,9	685:7 686:3	371:11,16 372:3
legislation 521:12	565:12 573:6	lines 574:6 620:23	lobby 634:3,8,9,13
522:23	643:16	621:23	lobbyists 633:21
legislative 522:24	level 405:3 443:14	liquids 392:11	635:13
legitimate 382:4	495:19,22 545:18	list 458:9,19	local 504:16 545:9
409:16 450:7	548:5 569:19	460:15,16 636:12	545:13,18 546:7,9
451:8 498:16	levels 495:12	636:14,24	548:1 572:5
499:22,24 528:14	497:16	listed 382:3 518:3	578:24
541:14 585:16	levy 370:15	525:24 585:21	location 552:6
length 626:19,21	lewis 369:3,6	685:7,17	651:7

[log - major] Page 32

log 274.6 600.5	looking 207.20	562:11	550:24 552:8
log 374:6 600:5	looking 397:20		
601:1 669:3,4,6	419:1,3 446:13	madam 683:10	553:1 554:7,25
logan 370:4	454:4 457:17	madison 367:18	559:16 560:10
logical 406:9	480:24 487:19	magic 584:8	561:4,7,10,14,20
logically 579:23	493:11,23 498:19	mail 593:25 594:8	561:22 562:7
580:7	518:20 542:6	595:7,12 602:8	567:19 575:9,20
long 377:20,22	550:16 552:9,11	mailed 607:25	575:23 576:1,9,22
390:25 420:5	566:18 596:13	mails 583:20	577:21 579:15
479:4 498:11	605:9 615:4 663:5	593:20 601:18	581:8 582:9
522:18 571:2	looks 376:17	603:8	654:16 655:3,23
587:14 588:7	loopholes 643:24	main 368:8	656:11,19,22
622:4 623:13	los 370:13	mainigi 369:12	657:7,14 658:17
632:13 657:4	losing 426:23	373:5 383:10,16	659:2,19 660:18
longest 626:10,12	loss 499:14	386:21 392:6	671:3,9 673:10
look 377:14 389:5	lost 395:7,7 396:7	393:4 398:20	674:9 675:16,25
390:17 417:22	408:25 426:24	403:9,16 407:16	677:21 678:1,9
433:13 446:10,11	lot 396:5 399:4	408:16 409:12	680:15,22
452:4,6 453:10	405:2 444:5 512:1	411:25 417:16	maintain 378:16
457:21 458:6	536:16 547:12	420:20 426:13	410:14 411:13,14
459:3 476:19	558:4 588:20	429:7 432:9,23	413:25 417:21
483:16 485:2	596:8 599:25	434:14 447:15	418:23 419:14,19
487:4 498:10	614:17,18 642:2	450:1 451:18	422:11 425:3
505:9 518:23	649:4 679:6	458:17 459:17	437:23 443:8
522:24 525:18	loved 395:7	460:20 462:12,14	444:6,16 452:9
526:6 531:11	low 453:24	466:5,21 467:25	460:5,8 469:16
533:2 541:9,23	lpa 371:20	468:5 491:7	499:9 529:2 555:8
542:7 546:12	lpincus 372:5	494:20 495:13	602:6 644:12
551:15,15 552:3	lsd 388:11	497:21 501:20	656:8
557:3 559:20	lubbock 449:1	502:12 503:13,25	maintained
563:17 567:18	477:7	505:14,17,20	443:16 641:3
570:3,7 578:2	lump 614:12	506:19 509:14,24	maintaining
592:4 602:1 635:4	lunch 512:11	510:24 511:6,14	417:23 419:4
647:10 649:7	lynn 506:5	511:21 512:4	422:22 424:25
651:2 652:21	m	513:1,3 520:3,11	449:15,24 529:8
656:1 663:25		522:4 524:24	532:2 550:14
looked 380:22	m 366:3 368:20	525:8,13,16	647:15
425:10 462:21	369:18,19 372:10	528:17,24 540:25	maintenance
479:5 492:15	ma'am 513:7,11	542:4 543:11	528:22
545:4 566:9 601:9	513:17,21,21	544:6,15,24	major 378:11
601:10	515:6,10 522:8	545:19 546:4,23	424:13 597:5,11
	534:6,9,12,15,18	547:5 549:1,21	
	534:21,24 558:17	,	

[majority-mckmdl00337001--7024]

	1		8
majority 579:24	403:5,24 404:13	512:12,19 525:6	605:12,17 606:2
580:8	404:25 408:25	525:11 576:7	606:13,17,23
making 405:6	410:11 411:19,19	584:5 594:24	607:7,8
432:2,7 441:9,13	411:23 418:19	609:21 610:2	mcclure 370:3
522:19 541:25	419:11 424:1	622:18 634:23	392:23 393:25
596:13 628:21	431:13,17 432:1	648:8 652:4	397:11 406:22
malfunction 580:2	436:12 440:4,8,14	654:19,23 663:16	408:15 409:13
mallinckrodt	440:15,16,21	663:16 671:14	421:25 423:8
370:19 486:12,12	441:13 445:5,12	market 369:3	434:15 435:23
486:16,18 487:6,9	449:13,20 461:7	635:12	438:17 439:3
487:25 488:6,25	464:7 467:13,14	marketplace	441:11 471:18
489:8,18,25	481:8 496:12,14	382:5 392:12	472:4,12,19 483:4
mallinckrodt's	496:22,25 497:6	402:5,13 407:22	490:23 491:5,18
488:11	502:8,17 516:12	marking 471:25	502:20 504:25
man 376:24 377:2	518:7 526:3	marks 443:1	505:4 507:21
506:6	527:21 572:11	445:18	508:16 509:13,22
management	574:12 600:15	mart 371:19	510:5 639:19
374:14 648:18	602:5 636:13,17	maryland 369:2	640:2,9,22 642:4,9
mandate 643:3	642:1	mascot 378:3	643:8 648:2
manner 448:2	manufacturing	massachusetts	652:14 653:16
485:9	535:23	368:21 370:22	662:10 665:5
manual 547:23	mapes 536:15	371:8	mchugh 367:6
manufacture	march 622:12	master 372:7	mchughfuller.com
387:7 440:5,16	marcus 371:11,13	508:16,23 509:2	367:8
441:14 443:12	marijuana 388:4	620:10 627:8	mckesson 370:7
464:10 469:18	marino 515:9	673:25	414:7 415:12
478:7 498:6	mark 367:13	master's 627:19	463:16,20,21
499:12	375:23 428:15	masters 369:13	464:2 472:23,24
manufactured	463:7 471:11	material 440:5	473:11,14 474:5
388:6,7,10	473:4 483:25	496:23	474:19,20 475:25
manufacturer	484:7 486:23	matsoukas 371:2	476:1,15,21,22
411:5 413:18,19	524:24,25 525:2,3	matter 375:9	477:14 478:8
420:16 436:23,25	594:23 609:23	403:11 676:21	479:8,8,22 480:3
441:4 446:17	622:9 631:17	677:2,11,23 678:3	480:19 481:18,21
463:22 487:13,14	652:2 655:14	matters 403:6	482:3,7,25 582:20
492:16 493:11	663:9 671:18	matthews 371:6	582:24 591:5,8,12
496:16 498:5	marked 428:22	maureen 369:7	591:20 592:8
499:19 578:17,21	429:1 433:15	maureen.barber	593:9,14 669:13
612:4,15	463:9 471:12	369:10	669:17
manufacturers	473:6 475:16	mccann 603:21	mckmdl003370
401:25 402:25	484:1 486:25	604:15,16,19,24	373:17

$[mckmdl00355350\text{-}5363-mischaracterization}] \\$

	I	I	
mckmdl003553	measures 510:8	572:6,7,8,13 583:6	middle 679:5
373:19	media 385:3 427:9	604:14 605:25	middleman
mckmdl004789	431:4 435:1	606:1,5 643:15	440:20 446:19
373:12	461:25 475:14	meets 498:16	463:24 493:18
mcnamara 369:12	482:14,17,21	meghan 370:7	middlemen 451:3
561:12 633:23	512:23 562:5	melville 366:13	456:19
634:10 638:6	582:18 609:17	member 380:6	midst 504:11
md 364:5	631:13	members 635:13	505:23 661:9
mdl 364:4 373:11	mediation 592:9	649:5,20	midwest 683:17
374:19,23 375:10	medical 405:23,24	memorandum	686:1
606:15 608:8	406:6,19 407:20	373:16,18,21	migliori 366:16
mdl2804 374:21	438:1,6 450:7	374:20,22 473:11	mike 367:8
mdlt1-00006079	451:8 498:16	612:22	mildred 367:9
373:20	499:23 501:13,16	memory 464:20	mildred.conroy
mdma 388:8	501:17 578:14,15	632:10	367:12
mean 383:14	585:17	mention 556:8	military 391:3,16
400:15,18 403:20	medication 378:21	mentioned 556:6	million 474:6
403:22 407:24	411:1 500:6,13	556:11,14 605:24	482:18 485:18
410:19 416:17	medications	619:11 670:8,10	579:20 580:4
429:5 431:22	454:15	meridian 371:3	635:14,22 657:21
438:21 447:14	medicine 438:6	met 375:24 500:14	658:1,3
449:22 452:24	499:25	538:13 540:4	mind 398:17
461:7 490:2 503:1	medicines 649:22	569:12 580:15	502:10 539:16
511:12 514:24	meet 488:12	583:1 587:11,12	552:13 645:3
535:13 541:18	499:22 507:14	588:1,4,8 606:12	minds 554:9
550:11 554:3	583:10 587:9	619:10 666:20	mine 628:1
566:19 573:8	588:7 657:4	methamphetamine	mini 569:15
576:16 580:24	meeting 507:20	383:25 387:7	minute 376:23
596:2 605:7	537:5 558:4,14	388:8	377:2 396:16,22
606:13,14 607:18	604:8,18,21	methodologies	413:7 427:16,21
608:4 641:15	605:22 606:3,25	605:17	461:5
653:6 658:3	607:4,15	methodology	minutes 375:25
660:21 666:8	meetings 431:24	556:22	376:24 377:5
676:2 677:13	434:20 467:17	methods 382:14	397:1,9,13 398:4,6
meaning 437:18	474:12 519:11	428:3 518:22	398:19 401:8,19
means 439:13	529:13 530:21	546:2	415:3,4 416:5
535:17	531:5,8,13 535:19	metric 580:13	423:13 427:15
meant 426:4,10	535:20 536:25	miami 485:25	587:3 589:1,9
427:1 436:18	537:1,4,23 538:1	michael 367:6	670:18
442:15 446:4	556:5,11 557:18	michigan 379:17	mischaracterizat
537:9	557:21,24 568:24	379:19 380:7	501:7 665:4
537:9	557:21,24 568:24	379:19 380:7	501:7 665:4

[mischaracterizes - new]

-	•		
mischaracterizes	647:8,8 652:9	n.w. 365:9 366:20	628:10
549:15 560:7	month 494:25	368:21 369:13,19	necessary 550:19
misplaced 666:23	538:23 540:15,16	370:9,16	need 376:20
missed 559:14	549:5,6 551:19,19	naive 439:7	384:21 443:4,5
598:14	monthly 630:5,20	naloxone 426:21	458:3 468:14
missing 614:1	653:2	name 375:4,23	492:12 493:3
mississippi 367:7	months 390:4	376:11 478:19	501:17 521:25
misstated 488:19	393:6 492:2,9,10	479:2 513:3 515:8	524:5 548:13
misstates 494:6	494:12,16 580:22	576:23 582:24	549:11 553:16
619:16 651:22	587:13 665:1	595:13 683:6	554:4,4 580:12
663:20,23 665:5	morgan 369:3,6	684:3,4,15 685:3,4	596:23 597:15
667:18 669:20	morganlewis.com	685:21	614:18 627:11
misstating 663:25	369:5,10	named 506:2	646:6
mistake 442:22	morning 584:3	names 455:25	needed 424:15
475:22	624:8	napoli 366:12	452:18 522:19
mistaken 578:4	morphine 408:3,3	napolilaw.com	549:24 578:7
630:17	408:4,8	366:14	582:7
mmonaghan	motley 366:16,20	narcotic 644:5	needs 498:17
370:10	motleyrice.com	narcotics 635:11	499:23 500:8,10
mnk 373:22	366:18,22	narrative 649:9	565:21 566:1
moa 563:7	move 392:12	662:10 667:9,18	nefarious 668:7
moas 563:5,10,16	396:15,21 456:16	668:2	negotiating 591:23
563:17,24	557:25 597:23	nascent 448:22	neither 682:11
model 420:4	627:3	449:5,6	never 394:5
mom 412:20	moved 382:5	natient 448:25	397:15 442:5
moment 380:17	429:18 430:8	449:4	455:21 476:9
384:11 427:4	443:21 666:14	nation 433:20	481:17 492:14
450:21 452:5	moving 409:15	national 364:4	496:17,19 523:9
505:10 535:4	mt 366:17	375:10 630:5,20	523:13,19 524:11
561:11,15 670:7	muething 366:4	669:25 683:6	524:17 560:8,14
monaghan 370:7	multifaceted	684:3 685:3	567:3 568:10
money 441:9,13	635:7	natural 408:5,9	571:20 628:5
462:11,19	multiple 422:8	nature 549:19	637:22 641:21
monitor 488:11	456:18,19,25	555:16 654:10	642:12 647:3
518:5,11	457:4	neal 368:12	668:3,7 680:11
monitored 600:16	murder 646:15	nearby 400:20	new 366:13 367:11
monitoring 374:18	myers 368:3	necessarily 399:9	367:11,19,19,24
493:7 524:1,14	n	460:25 492:3	367:24 372:4,4
529:5 534:17	n 366:19 373:1,1	493:6 504:3 516:6	377:21,21 432:7
564:25 565:2,18		522:13 537:17	432:19 433:3
565:19 570:4,8,14	313.1	553:14,15 612:11	448:18 465:19,19
*	375:1		

[new - objection] Page 36

626:13 notifications 462:2 notify 549:18 433:10 434:17 393:25 394:21 news 434:21 435:1 628:15 435:11,23 436:2,7 397:11 398:20,21 461:24 516:20 nstephens 368:14 436:20 442:14 399:24 400:6,22 559:17,19 number 389:17 461:10 473:1,21 406:22 407:8,16 nodding 471:8 471:2490:18 477:1,10 478:16 409:11,12,13 nona 372:13 491:25 580:23 479:20 480:21 400:122 407:8,16 458:19,25 460:16 633:20 634:20 489:15,16 490:19 417:16 420:19 458:19,25 460:16 487:20,21 542:6 590:33,23 503:6 438:17 493:15 429:21 432:9 458:19,25 460:16 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 43:14,15 438:16 41:22 424:9 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 43:14,15 438:16 41:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 447:15 4490:18 41 65:25 355:9 6 6 652:9 6 6 652:9 6 6 652:13 452:14				
newport 368:4,5 news notify 549:18 628:15 435:11,23 436:2,7 436:20,42:14 399:24 400:6,22 app.24 app.24 app.24 400:6,22 app.24 app.24 app.24 app.24 app.24 app.24 app.25 app.24 app.25 app.24 app.24 app.25 app.24 app.25 app.24 app.24 app.25 app.24 app.25 app.25 app.24 app.25 app.25 app.24 app.25 app.25 app.24 app.25 app.	573:18 625:8,10	noticed 501:5	432:22,23,24	392:6,7,23 393:4
news 434:21 435:1 628:15 436:20 442:14 399:24 400:6,22 517:4 558:18 numbers 368:14 444:9,14 448:19 401:20,21 402:18 559:17,19 number 389:17 461:10 473:1,21 406:22 407:8,16 nona 471:8 471:2 490:18 477:1,10 478:16 409:11,12,13 noncontrolled 454:4,8,14,24 633:20 634:20 489:15,16 490:19 410:12 411:25 458:19,25 460:16 nonpublic 414:18 487:20,21 542:6 489:15,16 490:19 417:16 420:19 481:15 483:9 numbers 464:19 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 41:22 424:9 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 422:816:13 422:81,019 429:7 451:21 475:1 552:11 685:7 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 499:15,17 493:15 423:8,10,19 429:7 481:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 431:14,15 438:16 406:21 446:0 481:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 47:18 452:0 47:18 452:0 568: 571:12,13 652				
461:24 516:20 nstephens 368:14 444:9,14 448:19 401:20,21 402:18 559:17,19 number 389:17 461:10 473:1,21 406:22 4078;16 nihta 435:3 428:16,17 463:2 474:14,23 476:7 408:16,17 409:5 nona 372:13 491:25 580:23 479:20 480:21 410:12 411:25 nona 372:13 491:25 580:23 479:20 480:21 410:12 411:25 nona 372:13 491:25 580:23 479:20 480:21 410:12 411:25 nonexhaustive 458:19,25 460:16 683:25 460:16 numbers 464:19 499:15,16 490:19 417:16 420:19 458:19,25 460:16 numbers 464:19 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 414:22 424:9 549:3 551:4 552:9 503:3,23 505:6 438:17 439:2,3 422:1 432:9 normal 382:3 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 434:14,15 443:10 418:6 420:9 422:12,13 454:23 652:9 0 373:1 375:1 668:15 488:1 662:7 600:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 462:14 466:4,12	_	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
517:4 558:18 nuanced 544:17 451:16 459:7 403:8,9,15,16 559:17,19 number 389:17 461:10 473:1,21 406:22 407:8,16 nodding 471:8 471:2 490:18 477:1,10 478:16 409:11,12,13 nona 372:13 491:25 580:23 479:20 480:21 400:11,12,13 noncontrolled 624:23 625:3 483:19 485:20 412:22 416:13 454:4,8,14,24 633:20 634:20 489:15,16 490:19 417:16 420:19 458:19,25 460:16 numbers 464:19 491:5,17 493:15 423:8,10,19 429:7 458:19,25 460:16 10numbers 464:19 493:19 494:5 429:21 432:9 429:21 432:9 458:19,25 460:16 414:18 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 43:14,15 438:16 429:21 432:9 429:21 432:9 429:21 432:9 429:21 432:9 429:21 432:9 429:21 432:9 429:21 432:9 447:15 483:9 447:15 480:1 447:15 450:1 447:15 450:1 447:15 450:1 447:15 450:1 447:15 450:1 447:15 450:1 447:15 450:1 447:15 450:1 447:15 450:1 447:15 450:1 447:15	news 434:21 435:1			
559:17,19 nihta 435:3 nodding 471:8 471:2 490:18 474:14,23 476:7 408:16,17 409:5 noma 372:13 491:25 580:23 479:20 480:21 409:11,12,13 409:11,12,13 noncontrolled 454:4,8,14,24 633:20 634:20 483:19 485:20 412:22 416:13 428:81,0,19 429:7 458:19,25 460:16 nompublic 414:18 447:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 438:11,15 438:16 429:21 432:9 451:21 475:1 549:3 551:4 552:9 552:11 685:7 500:9,14 509:22 441:11,15 444:20 447:15 450:1 447:15 450:1 447:15 450:1 458:17 459:17 481:6 420:9 421:12,13 454:23 421:12,13 454:23 421:12,13 454:23 452:25 35:9 503:3,23 505:6 438:17 439:2,3,17 573:3,15 orthern 364:1 oconnor 370:19 466:16 649:10 466:16 649:10 467:25 468:5 467:25 468:5 683:25 684:10,18 685:15,23 686:23 661:17 662:7 662:7 669:10 661:17 662:7 600:8 617:21 460:20 462:14,31 467:25 468:5 10cta 375:12 662:13 <td>461:24 516:20</td> <td>_</td> <td>444:9,14 448:19</td> <td>401:20,21 402:18</td>	461:24 516:20	_	444:9,14 448:19	401:20,21 402:18
nihta 435:3 428:16,17 463:2 474:14,23 476:7 408:16,17 409:5 nondding 471:8 471:2 490:18 477:1,10 478:16 409:11,12,13 noncontrolled 491:25 580:23 479:20 480:21 410:12 411:25 454:4,8,14,24 633:20 634:20 483:19 485:20 412:22 416:13 458:19,25 460:16 nomekhaustive 683:7,13 491:5,17 493:15 423:8,10,19 429:7 458:19,25 460:16 numbers 464:19 493:19 494:5 429:21 432:9 458:19,25 460:16 numbers 464:19 493:19 494:5 429:21 432:9 458:12,475:1 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 416:2 424:9 549:3 551:4 552:9 503:3,23 505:6 438:17 439:23,17 481:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 505:1 447:15 450:1 normal 382:3 nursing 413:22 552:17 560:23 451:18,19 452:15 48:15 488:1 652:9 0 606:8 617:21 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 685:15,23 686:23 665:15,639:20 664:10 649:10	517:4 558:18	nuanced 544:17	451:16 459:7	403:8,9,15,16
nodding 471:8 471:2 490:18 477:1,10 478:16 409:11,12,13 nona 372:13 491:25 580:23 479:20 480:21 410:12 411:25 454:4,8,14,24 633:20 634:20 489:15,16 490:19 417:16 420:19 nonexhaustive 458:19,25 460:16 numbers 464:19 491:5,17 493:15 423:8,10,19 429:7 458:19,25 460:16 numbers 464:19 493:19 494:5 429:21 432:9 nonpublic 414:18 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 414:22 424:9 549:3 551:4 552:9 503:3,23 505:6 438:17 439:2,3,17 451:21 475:1 552:11 685:7 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 447:15 490:1 447:15 490:2 48:64 20:9 nwa 374:18 652:9 60:23 604:11 458:17 459:17 460:20 452:15 573:3,15 o 373:1 375:1 668:15 488:1 489:5,16 639:20 466:10 649:10 467:25 468:5 467:25 468:5 685:15,23 686:23 666:17 662:7 679:17 662:10 649:10 661:10 649:10 661:10 62:7 679:17 662:20 642:19 <td>559:17,19</td> <td>number 389:17</td> <td>461:10 473:1,21</td> <td>406:22 407:8,16</td>	559:17,19	number 389:17	461:10 473:1,21	406:22 407:8,16
nona 372:13 491:25 580:23 479:20 480:21 410:12 411:25 noncontrolled 624:23 625:3 483:19 485:20 412:22 416:13 454:4,8,14,24 633:20 634:20 489:15,16 490:19 417:16 420:19 nonexhaustive 683:7,13 491:5,17 493:15 423:8,10,19 429:7 458:19,25 460:16 numbers 464:19 493:19 494:5 429:21 432:9 458:19,25 460:16 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 414:22 424:9 549:3 551:4 552:9 503:3,23 505:6 438:17 439:2,3,17 451:21 475:1 552:11 685:7 506:9,14 509:22 441:11,15 444:20 481:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 447:15 450:1 418:6 420:9 nwda 374:18 62:29 60:23 604:11 452:20 457:5 458:8 571:12,17 652:9 0 60:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 468:15 488:1 489:5,16 639:20 646:10 649:10 635:17,25 637:16 637:21 638:1,7,24 467:25 468:5 683:12 621:13 624:1 621:10 646:8 641:12,20 642:5	nihta 435:3	428:16,17 463:2	474:14,23 476:7	408:16,17 409:5
noncontrolled 624:23 625:3 483:19 485:20 412:22 416:13 454:4,8,14,24 633:20 634:20 489:15,16 490:19 417:16 420:19 nonexhaustive 683:7,13 491:5,17 493:15 423:8,10,19 429:7 458:19,25 460:16 numbers 464:19 493:19 494:5 429:21 432:9 414:22 424:9 549:3 551:4 552:9 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 411:5 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 447:15 450:1 481:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 447:15 450:1 normal 382:3 nursing 413:22 552:17 560:23 451:18,19 452:15 418:6 420:9 nwda 374:18 567:7 592:13 452:20 457:5 421:12,13 454:23 652:9 60 373:1 375:1 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 486:15 488:1 652:9 60 373:1 375:1 635:17,25 637:16 467:25 468:2 notrhern 364:1 notarized 683:14 489:5,16 639:20 646:10 649:10 649:10 649:10 640:18,21 640:15 467:25 468:5 note 460:1 621:12 621:13 624:	nodding 471:8	471:2 490:18	477:1,10 478:16	409:11,12,13
454:4,8,14,24 633:20 634:20 489:15,16 490:19 417:16 420:19 458:19,25 460:16 numbers 464:19 491:5,17 493:15 423:8,10,19 429:7 458:19,25 460:16 numbers 464:19 493:19 494:5 429:21 432:9 441:22 424:9 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 451:21 475:1 549:3 551:4 552:9 503:3,23 505:6 438:17 439:2,3,17 481:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 447:15 450:1 normal 382:3 nursing 413:22 552:17 560:23 451:18,19 452:15 454:25 535:9 652:9 602:23 604:11 458:17 459:17 568:8 571:12,17 657:3 33,15 652:9 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 486:15 488:1 652:9 635:17,25 637:16 635:17,25 637:16 635:17,25 637:16 635:17,25 637:16 635:17,25 637:16 635:17,25 637:16 635:17,25 637:16 635:17,25 637:16 635:17,25 637:16 640:18,21 641:2,8 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 noted 375:12 o'gorman 367:22 o'melveny 368:3 659:1 660:16 647:12 649:8,10 482:13,19 483:3,4 <t< td=""><td>nona 372:13</td><td>491:25 580:23</td><td>479:20 480:21</td><td>410:12 411:25</td></t<>	nona 372:13	491:25 580:23	479:20 480:21	410:12 411:25
nonexhaustive 683:7,13 491:5,17 493:15 423:8,10,19 429:7 nonpublic 414:18 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 414:22 424:9 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 418:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 506:9,14 509:22 441:11,15 440:20 normal 382:3 nursing 413:22 552:11 685:7 509:23 550:1 447:15 450:1 418:6 420:9 421:12,13 454:23 652:9 602:23 604:11 458:17 459:17 454:25 535:9 0 606:8 617:21 606:8 617:21 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 454:25 535:9 0 373:1 375:1 606:8 617:21 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 486:15 488:1 489:5,16 639:20 646:10 649:10 646:10 649:10 640:18,21 641:2,8 470:21,25 472:4 683:12 683:12 69:17 662:25 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 661:10 649:8 679:17 661:10 649:8 679:17 662:20 642:5 477:19 478:18 480:649:14,12,22 480:649:14,12,22 661:10 660:16 <td>noncontrolled</td> <td>624:23 625:3</td> <td>483:19 485:20</td> <td>412:22 416:13</td>	noncontrolled	624:23 625:3	483:19 485:20	412:22 416:13
458:19,25 460:16 numbers 464:19 493:19 494:5 429:21 432:9 nonpublic 414:18 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 414:22 424:9 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 414:21 475:1 549:3 551:4 552:9 503:3,23 505:6 438:17 439:2,3,17 481:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 447:15 450:1 481:6 420:9 nwda 374:18 652:9 552:17 560:23 451:18,19 452:15 454:25 535:9 o 662:29 602:23 604:11 458:17 459:17 573:3,15 o'connor 370:19 466:15 488:1 466:15 488:1 466:15 488:1 466:15 488:1 466:21 467:28,10 683:25 684:10,18 665:15,23 686:23 661:17 662:7 664:10 649:10 661:17 662:7 679:17 679:17 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 480:6 41:2,20 642:5 477:19 478:18 480:6 481:23 480:13 408:15 480:13 408:15 666:10 660:16 661:2 662:19 480:10 662:19 480:10 662:19 668:1 669:19 480:5,14,15 488:1 480:5,14,15 488:1 <	454:4,8,14,24	633:20 634:20	489:15,16 490:19	417:16 420:19
nonpublic 414:18 487:20,21 542:6 500:21,21 502:11 434:14,15 438:16 414:22 424:9 549:3 551:4 552:9 503:3,23 505:6 438:17 439:2,3,17 451:21 475:1 552:11 685:7 506:9,14 509:22 441:11,15 444:20 481:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 447:15 450:1 normal 382:3 nursing 413:22 552:17 560:23 451:18,19 452:15 418:6 420:9 nwda 374:18 567:7 592:13 452:20 457:5 421:12,13 454:23 652:9 602:23 604:11 458:17 459:17 458:8 571:12,17 606:8 617:21 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 683:15,15 486:15 488:1 635:17,25 637:16 466:21 467:2,8,10 683:25 684:10,18 685:15,23 686:23 646:10 649:10 641:12,20 642:5 642:10 646:8 683:12 683:12 661:17 662:7 679:17 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 noted 375:12 398:10,13 401:15 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 683:12 413:16 414:12,13 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 689:7 662:25	nonexhaustive	683:7,13	491:5,17 493:15	423:8,10,19 429:7
414:22 424:9 451:21 475:1 481:15 483:9 normal 382:3 418:6 420:9 421:12,13 454:23 456:25 535:9 568:8 571:12,17 573:3,15 northern 364:1 notarized 683:14 notary 682:1,20 683:25 684:10,18 685:15,23 686:23 note 460:1 621:12 621:13 624:1 683:12 noted 375:12 noted 375:13 noted 460:10-13 noted 460:10 noted 375:12 no	458:19,25 460:16	numbers 464:19	493:19 494:5	429:21 432:9
451:21 475:1 552:11 685:7 506:9,14 509:22 441:11,15 444:20 481:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 447:15 450:1 481:15 483:9 nursing 413:22 552:17 560:23 451:18,19 452:15 418:6 420:9 nwda 374:18 567:7 592:13 452:20 457:5 421:12,13 454:23 652:9 602:23 604:11 458:17 459:17 454:25 535:9 0 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 573:3,15 0'connor 370:19 486:15 488:1 489:5,16 639:20 646:10 649:10 635:17,25 637:16 637:21 638:1,7,24 466:21 467:2,8,10 683:25 684:10,18 661:17 662:7 679:17 640:18,21 641:2,8 647:12 20 642:5 477:19 478:18 685:15,23 686:23 679:17 6'gorman 367:22 647:12 649:8,10 65:10,12 653:10 486:5,14,15 488:1 683:12 683:12 661:2 662:19 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 683:12 661:2 662:19 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 683:12 679:17 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 683:12 668:1 669:19 486:5	nonpublic 414:18	487:20,21 542:6	500:21,21 502:11	434:14,15 438:16
481:15 483:9 nurse 411:6,7 509:23 550:1 447:15 450:1 normal 382:3 nursing 413:22 552:17 560:23 451:18,19 452:15 418:6 420:9 nwda 374:18 567:7 592:13 452:20 457:5 421:12,13 454:23 652:9 602:23 604:11 458:17 459:17 458:8 571:12,17 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 573:3,15 o'connor 370:19 486:15 488:1 635:17,25 637:16 466:21 467:2,8,10 683:12 489:5,16 639:20 646:10 649:10 661:17 662:7 642:10 646:8 647:12 649:8,10 470:21,25 472:4 683:12 o'gorman 367:22 647:12 649:8,10 486:51,14,15 488:1 noted 375:12 398:10,13 401:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15	414:22 424:9	549:3 551:4 552:9	503:3,23 505:6	438:17 439:2,3,17
normal 382:3 nursing 413:22 552:17 560:23 451:18,19 452:15 418:6 420:9 nwda 374:18 567:7 592:13 452:20 457:5 421:12,13 454:23 652:9 602:23 604:11 458:17 459:17 454:25 535:9 0 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 568:8 571:12,17 573:3,15 600:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 northern 364:1 370:19 486:15 488:1 489:5,16 639:20 646:10 649:10 637:21 638:1,7,24 466:21 467:2,8,10 683:25 684:10,18 685:15,23 686:23 661:17 662:7 640:18,21 641:2,8 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 477:19 478:18 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:5,14,15 488:1 480:6	451:21 475:1	552:11 685:7	506:9,14 509:22	441:11,15 444:20
418:6 420:9 nwda 374:18 567:7 592:13 452:20 457:5 421:12,13 454:23 652:9 602:23 604:11 458:17 459:17 454:25 535:9 0 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 568:8 571:12,17 573:3,15 conorthern 364:1 466:13 475:1 466:21 466:4,12 notarized 683:14 489:15 488:1 489:5,16 639:20 646:10 649:10 637:21 638:17,24 466:21 467:2,8,10 683:25 684:10,18 685:15,23 686:23 646:10 649:10 661:17 662:7 640:18,21 641:2,8 472:12 474:24 472:12 474:24 472:12 474:24 472:12 474:24 480:6 481:23 683:12 object 398:10,13 401:15 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 482:13,19 483:3,4 482:13,19 483:3,4 483:6,7 484:23 483:6,7 484:23 659:1 660:16 661:2 662:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 489:5 490:5,23 485:14,21,22 486:13,19 483:3,4 489:5 490:5,23 485:14,21,22 486:13,19 483:3,4 489:661:2 662:19 486:13,14 489:5,14,15 488:1 489:5,14,15 488:1 489:5,14,15 488:1 489:661:2 662:19 486:13,14 489:69:12 663:10 485:14,21,2	481:15 483:9	nurse 411:6,7	509:23 550:1	447:15 450:1
421:12,13 454:23 652:9 602:23 604:11 458:17 459:17 454:25 535:9 0 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 568:8 571:12,17 573:3,15 0'connor 370:19 462:14 466:4,12 462:14 466:4,12 notarized 683:14 486:15 488:1 637:21 638:1,7,24 467:25 468:5 467:25 472:4 683:25 684:10,18 685:15,23 686:23 661:17 662:7 640:18,21 641:2,8 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 685:15,23 686:23 661:17 662:7 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 note 460:1 621:12 6'gorman 367:22 647:12 649:8,10 483:6,7 484:23 683:12 69:ext 398:10,13 401:15 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 notes 376:9 428:5 413:16 414:12,13 668:1 669:19 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 469:12 477:5 469:12 477:5 420:18,20 421:1 49:25 49:7,13,14 469:12 477:5 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 422:20 423:18 639:20 606:26 60:26 60:21 486:5,14,15 488:1 491:7,8,9,18,19	normal 382:3	nursing 413:22	552:17 560:23	451:18,19 452:15
454:25 535:9 o 606:8 617:21 460:20 462:12,13 568:8 571:12,17 573:3,15 o'connor 370:19 462:14 466:4,12 462:14 466:4,12 northern 364:1 486:15 488:1 635:17,25 637:16 466:21 467:2,8,10 467:25 468:5 notarized 683:14 489:5,16 639:20 646:10 649:10 637:21 638:1,7,24 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 470:21,25 472:4 477:19 478:18 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 485:14,21,22 486:5,14,15 488:1 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23	418:6 420:9	nwda 374:18	567:7 592:13	452:20 457:5
568:8 571:12,17 6 373:1 375:1 6 373:1 375:1 6 462:14 466:4,12 466:21 467:2,8,10 466:21 467:2,8,10 466:21 467:2,8,10 466:21 467:2,8,10 466:21 467:2,8,10 466:21 467:2,8,10 467:25 468:5 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 467:25 468:5 470:21,25 472:4 477:19 478:18 461:12,20 642:5 641:12,20 642:5 642:10 646:8 642:10 646:8 647:12 649:8,10 651:10,12 653:10 651:10,12 653:10 651:10,12 653:10 483:6,7 484:23 485:14,21,22 486:5,14,15 488:1 661:2 662:19 661:2 662:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 673:20 677:3,7 494:20,21,22 497:21 501:6,20 495:7,13,14 673:20 677:3,7 494:20,21,22 497:21 501:6,20 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,1	421:12,13 454:23	652:9	602:23 604:11	458:17 459:17
568:8 571:12,17 573:3,15 o'connor 370:19 462:14 466:4,12 466:21 467:2,8,10 notarized 683:14 486:15 488:1 489:5,16 639:20 646:10 649:10 637:21 638:1,7,24 467:25 468:5 467:25 468:5 683:25 684:10,18 685:15,23 686:23 646:10 649:10 661:17 662:7 640:18,21 641:2,8 470:21,25 472:4 472:12 474:24 472:12 474:24 477:19 478:18 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 480:6 481:23 647:12 649:8,10 651:10,12 653:10 651:10,12 653:10 483:6,7 484:23 482:13,19 483:3,4 651:10,12 653:10 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 489:5 490:5,23 489:5 490:5,23 489:5 490:5,23 489:5,14,15 488:1 673:20 677:3,7 494:20,21,22 497:21 501:6,20 495:7,13,14 673:20 677:3,7 494:20,21,22 497:21 501:6,20 502:2,12 503:4,11 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 50	454:25 535:9	0	606:8 617:21	460:20 462:12,13
573:3,15 northern 364:1 486:15 488:1 486:15 488:1 466:21 467:2,8,10 notarized 683:14 489:5,16 639:20 646:10 649:10 637:21 638:1,7,24 467:25 468:5 683:25 684:10,18 685:15,23 686:23 646:10 649:10 641:17 662:7 640:18,21 641:2,8 472:12 474:24 685:15,23 686:23 679:17 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 note 460:1 621:12 621:13 624:1 679:17 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 637:21 638:1,7,24 647:12,20 642:5 477:19 478:18 480:6 481:23 642:10 646:8 647:12 649:8,10 483:6,7 484:23 659:1 660:16 659:1 660:16 485:14,21,22 68:1 69:19 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 68:1 69:19 668:1 669:19 491:7,8,9,18,19 67:2 673:13,18 491:7,8,9,18,19 67:2 673:13,18 491:7,8,9,18,19 67:2 673:20 495:7,13,14 69:12 477:5 421:19,23,25 487:16 492:13 421:19,23,25 487:16 492:13 422:20 423:18	568:8 571:12,17		623:23 627:16	462:14 466:4,12
northern 364:1 486:15 488:1 637:21 638:1,7,24 467:25 468:5 notary 682:1,20 646:10 649:10 639:20 640:18,21 641:2,8 470:21,25 472:4 683:25 684:10,18 661:17 662:7 640:18,21 641:2,8 477:19 478:18 685:15,23 686:23 661:17 662:7 679:17 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 note 460:1 621:12 679:17 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 o'gorman 367:22 647:12 649:8,10 482:13,19 483:3,4 683:12 659:1 660:16 659:1 660:16 485:14,21,22 notes 376:9 428:5 406:10,13 408:15 668:1 669:19 489:5 490:5,23 443:2 512:14 413:16 414:12,13 668:1 669:19 489:5 490:5,23 notice 365:13 416:7,12 419:25 420:18,20 421:1 627:2 495:7,13,14 469:12 477:5 487:16 492:13 421:19,23,25 422:20 423:18 627:2 627:2 627:2 627:2 627:2 627:2 627:2 627:2 627:2 627:2 627:2 627:2 627:2 627:2 <td>573:3,15</td> <td></td> <td>635:17,25 637:16</td> <td>466:21 467:2,8,10</td>	573:3,15		635:17,25 637:16	466:21 467:2,8,10
notarized 683:14 489:5,16 639:20 639:9,19 640:15 470:21,25 472:4 notary 682:1,20 646:10 649:10 640:18,21 641:2,8 472:12 474:24 683:25 684:10,18 685:15,23 686:23 661:17 662:7 679:17 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 note 460:1 621:12 o'gorman 367:22 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 683:12 o'melveny 368:3 659:1 660:16 483:6,7 484:23 notes 375:12 398:10,13 401:15 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 43:2 512:14 406:10,13 408:15 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 599:7 662:25 413:16 414:12,13 670:2 673:13,18 491:7,8,9,18,19 69:12 477:5 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 422:20 423:18 503:25 504:1,12 593:2	northern 364:1		637:21 638:1,7,24	467:25 468:5
notary 682:1,20 489:3,16 639:20 646:10 649:10 640:18,21 641:2,8 472:12 474:24 683:25 684:10,18 685:15,23 686:23 661:17 662:7 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 note 460:1 621:12 679:17 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 683:12 683:12 69ject 396:19 398:10,13 401:15 659:1 660:16 485:14,21,22 notes 376:9 428:5 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 661:2 662:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 468:18,18,24 469:12 477:5 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 422:20 423:18 487:16 492:13 489:3,16 6389:20 640:18,21 641:2,8 477:19 478:18 477:19 478:18 477:19 478:18 477:19 478:18 480:6 481:23 641:12,20 642:5 642:10 646:8 647:12 649:8,10 659:1 660:16 485:14,21,22 485:14,21,22 661:2 662:19 661:2 662:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 491:7,8,9,18,19 495:7,13,14 673:20 677:3,7 494:20,21,22 495:7,13,14 497:21 501:6,20 495:7,13,14 673:20 677:3 <td>notarized 683:14</td> <td></td> <td>639:9,19 640:15</td> <td>470:21,25 472:4</td>	notarized 683:14		639:9,19 640:15	470:21,25 472:4
683:25 684:10,18 685:15,23 686:23 note 460:1 621:12 621:13 624:1 683:12 noted 375:12 notes 376:9 428:5 443:2 512:14 599:7 662:25 notice 365:13 468:18,18,24 469:12 477:5 487:16 492:13 593:2 683:25 684:10,18 661:17 662:7 679:17 679:17 679:17 642:10 646:8 642:10 646:8 647:12 649:8,10 651:10,12 653:10 651:10,12 653:10 661:2 662:19 668:1 669:19 668:1 669:19 668:1 669:19 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 642:10 646:8 642:10 646:8 642:10 646:8 647:12 649:8,10 651:10,12 653:10 659:1 660:16 661:2 662:19 668:1 669:19 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 642:10 646:8 641:12,20 642:5 642:10 646:8 647:12 649:8,10 651:10,12 653:10 659:1 660:16 661:2 662:19 668:1 669:19 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 647:12 649:8,10 651:10,12 653:10 659:1 660:16 661:2 662:19 661:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:17 679:18 642:10 646:8 647:12 649:8,10 651:10,12 653:10 659:1 660:16 661:2 662:19 661:2 662:19 661:2 662:19 661:2 662:19 661:2 662:19 661:2 662:19 679:10 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 679:17 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 679:10 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 679:10 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 679:10 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 679:10 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 679:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 679:2 673:13,18 673:2 673:10 670:2 673:13,18 673:2 673:10 679:10 670:2 673:13,18 673:2 673:10 670:2 673:	notary 682:1,20		·	472:12 474:24
685:15,23 686:23 679:17 679:17 642:10 646:8 480:6 481:23 note 460:1 621:12 621:13 624:1 69gorman 367:22 647:12 649:8,10 482:13,19 483:3,4 683:12 659:1 660:16 659:1 660:16 659:1 660:16 668:14,21,22 notes 376:9 428:5 406:10,13 408:15 406:10,13 408:15 468:16 414:12,13 414:16 415:16 468:16 69:19 489:5 490:5,23 notice 365:13 416:7,12 419:25 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 497:21 501:6,20 487:16 492:13 487:16 386:2 21 503:25 504:1,12 505:14 18 506:19			641:12,20 642:5	477:19 478:18
note 460:1 621:12 o'gorman 367:22 647:12 649:8,10 482:13,19 483:3,4 683:12 o'melveny 368:3 659:1 660:16 483:6,7 484:23 noted 375:12 398:10,13 401:15 668:1 669:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 443:2 512:14 413:16 414:12,13 668:1 669:19 489:5 490:5,23 443:2 512:14 413:16 414:12,13 673:20 677:3,7 494:20,21,22 notice 365:13 416:7,12 419:25 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 422:20 423:18 647:12 649:8,10 482:13,19 483:3,4 659:1 660:16 659:1 660:16 485:14,21,22 486:5,14,15 488:1 668:1 669:19 668:1 669:19 491:7,89,18,19 673:20 677:3,7 494:20,21,22 677:2 673:20 677:3,7 673:20 677:3,7 677:2 673:1,1,1 673:20 677:3,7 673:20 677:3,7 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:3,1,14 677:2 677:2 677:3 677:3 677:3 677:2 677:3 677				480:6 481:23
621:13 624:1 683:12 noted 375:12 object 396:19 398:10,13 401:15 661:2 662:19 443:2 512:14 406:10,13 408:15 599:7 662:25 413:16 414:12,13 468:18,18,24 469:12 477:5 487:16 492:13 422:20 423:18 621:13 624:1 659:1 660:16 659:1 660:16 661:2 662:19 668:1 669:19 489:5 490:5,23 670:2 673:13,18 491:7,8,9,18,19 673:20 677:3,7 627:2 659:1 660:16 661:2 662:19 668:1 669:19 668:0 69:19 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 627:2 677:2 5 659:1 660:16 668:1 69:19 668:1 669:19 673:20 677:3,7 673:20 677:3,7 673:20 677:3,7 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2 677:2	· ·		647:12 649:8,10	482:13,19 483:3,4
683:12 object 396:19 659:1 660:16 485:14,21,22 noted 375:12 398:10,13 401:15 661:2 662:19 486:5,14,15 488:1 notes 376:9 428:5 406:10,13 408:15 668:1 669:19 489:5 490:5,23 443:2 512:14 413:16 414:12,13 673:20 677:3,7 491:7,8,9,18,19 599:7 662:25 414:16 415:16 673:20 677:3,7 494:20,21,22 objected 620:19 495:7,13,14 495:7,13,14 469:12 477:5 420:18,20 421:1 627:2 497:21 501:6,20 593:2 503:25 504:1,12 503:25 504:1,12 383:16 386:2 21 505:14 18 506:19	621:13 624:1	_	651:10,12 653:10	483:6,7 484:23
noted 37/5:12 notes 376:9 428:5 443:2 512:14 406:10,13 408:15 599:7 662:25 413:16 414:12,13 468:18,18,24 469:12 477:5 487:16 492:13 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 422:20 423:18	683:12	_		*
notes 376:9 428:5 443:2 512:14 406:10,13 408:15 599:7 662:25 413:16 414:12,13 468:18,18,24 469:12 477:5 487:16 492:13 422:20 423:18 668:1 669:19 489:5 490:5,23 491:7,8,9,18,19 494:20,21,22 495:7,13,14 495:7,13,14 497:21 501:6,20 502:2,12 503:4,11 593:2 422:20 423:18				, ,
443:2 512:14 599:7 662:25 notice 365:13 468:18,18,24 469:12 477:5 487:16 492:13 593:2 406:10,13 408:15 413:16 414:12,13 414:16 415:16 416:7,12 419:25 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 422:20 423:18 407:2 673:13,18 670:2 673:13,18 673:20 677:3,7 objected 620:19 627:2 objecting 575:25 objection 383:10 383:16 386:2 21 505:14 18 506:19		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
599:7 662:25 notice 365:13 468:18,18,24 469:12 477:5 487:16 492:13 593:2 1413:16 414:12,13 414:16 415:16 416:7,12 419:25 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 422:20 423:18 1413:16 414:12,13 414:16 415:16 416:7,12 419:25 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 422:20 423:18 1503:20 677:3,7 673:20 677:3,7 673:20 677:3,7 627:2 1503:21 501:6,20 1503:25 504:1,12 1503:25 504:1,12 1503:16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16 414:12,13 16		· ·		·
notice 365:13 414:16 413:16 objected 620:19 495:7,13,14 468:18,18,24 420:18,20 421:1 627:2 497:21 501:6,20 487:16 492:13 421:19,23,25 627:2 502:2,12 503:4,11 593:2 422:20 423:18 383:16 386:2 21 505:14 18 506:19			·	
468:18,18,24 469:12 477:5 487:16 492:13 593:2 416:7,12 419:25 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 422:20 423:18 627:2 objecting 575:25 objection 383:10 383:16 386:2 21 505:14 18 506:19			· ·	
469:12 477:5 487:16 492:13 593:2 420:18,20 421:1 421:19,23,25 422:20 423:18 objecting 575:25 objection 383:10 383:16 386:2 21 502:2,12 503:4,11 503:25 504:1,12		·		, , ,
487:16 492:13 422:20 423:18 objection 383:10 503:25 504:1,12		1		· ·
503.2		, ,		
1 176.17 12 170.6 303.10 300.2,21 303.11,10 300.17				· ·
420.12,13 423.0		426:12,13 429:6	202120200.2921	

[objection - okay] Page 37

#0.6.01.00 = 0 = 0			000	
506:21,22 507:8	665:3 666:3,18	obtains 413:17	officers 426:20	
509:5,14,15,24	667:8,17 668:13	617:13 618:24	offices 401:3	
510:24 511:6,14	668:19 671:1,8,9	obviously 397:19	504:16 545:10	
511:15,21 512:3,4	671:24 673:5,11	533:5 556:8	546:7 572:5	
519:16 520:8	674:9,10,11	587:24 602:13	578:24 604:22	
521:20 540:18	675:16,25 677:21	616:24	official 414:20	
541:16 542:22,23	678:1,8,9 679:17	occasions 520:25	684:15 685:21	
543:21 544:10,11	679:18 680:14,15	occur 447:18	oh 466:10 469:10	
544:20 545:16,23	680:19,22	518:8 618:2	492:16 560:11	
545:24 546:17,18	objections 457:9	occurred 384:1	581:23 607:6	
548:16,17 549:14	481:4 547:2	395:21 397:23	645:20	
551:22 552:17	objective 654:9	425:16 552:23	ohio 364:1,10,12	
553:10,11 554:11	objectives 574:2	occurring 392:18	366:5,9 368:9	
559:15 560:6	654:8	404:19 409:23	371:21 683:2	
573:24 575:6,17	obligated 397:16	425:18 462:3	okay 377:8 378:18	
576:2,17 577:19	467:7	occurs 447:20	380:21 382:9	
596:1 597:13	obligation 437:20	october 475:21	391:9 396:17,23	
598:23 601:21	437:21 442:17	486:8 517:8,15	401:7 413:8	
602:10,22 611:18	443:7,15 444:11	odc 591:3	422:10 424:11	
612:9 616:19,20	450:4 494:3	odd 657:21	427:3,12,24	
619:16 621:12,13	533:17,24	offered 400:3	429:12 435:6	
623:16 626:8	obligations 432:3	462:5 569:15	442:11 444:23	
627:18 629:4	432:3,6,10 443:10	office 366:8	466:11 471:7	
632:15,24 633:12	443:10,25 446:24	372:10 389:9,15	474:3 481:16	
633:15,23,24,25	464:16 467:15	389:23 390:11	485:2 491:1,4	
634:10,11 637:17	485:10 500:14	391:4,14 424:2	492:2 493:21	
638:6,19 639:20	504:7 507:2,14,14	430:3,7 478:4,13	500:15 513:16	
640:1 643:19	507:20 526:2	481:9 485:25	514:13 515:14	
644:7,9 645:4,13	530:13 558:5	488:14 503:16	517:9 524:24	
646:10,17 648:2,3	569:6 574:4 656:6	517:20,24,25	526:19 527:13,25	
648:25 649:25	656:8	518:11 521:16	533:16 534:4	
650:7,12,16	observation	545:13 546:9	535:5 536:15,20	
651:21 652:13	392:20	548:1 564:16	537:6 545:13	
653:15,20 654:3	observations	574:16,18 578:10	549:2 556:12	
654:16 655:3,4,23	417:5	591:3 594:16	560:15 561:6,21	
656:11,19,22	observer 607:20	621:7 628:6,7	561:24 562:24	
657:7,14 658:17	obtain 440:13	629:2	564:7,18 565:6,13	
658:19 659:2,19	obtained 618:11	officer 511:2,10	571:25 573:1,12	
660:3,6,9 661:17	618:20	644:25 645:2	575:4,17,20,21	
661:18 662:6,7,10	obtaining 618:7	682:2	577:3 579:15	
663:20,23 664:8	619:12		581:24 582:9	
Veritant Local Solutions				

[okay - orders] Page 38

502.5 504.19	amanatas 549.10		500.1 7 22 501.4 0
593:5 594:18	operates 548:19	opportunity	590:1,7,23 591:4,9
595:10,22 608:18	operating 458:22	468:19,25 618:17	591:11,17,19
609:24 610:14,19	470:13 574:22	opposed 679:9	592:9,10,22,23,23
610:24 611:3,5	operations 372:13	ops 680:8	593:8,13 597:6
612:3 613:4,6,9	387:21 390:5,11	optional 465:3	612:21 613:3
614:2,3 615:2,7,11	390:14,15 391:6	order 374:18	617:17 618:8,13
615:15,23 616:8	operatives 680:4	402:2 404:21,23	618:13,14,24
616:13 618:7	opiate 364:5 408:6	410:1,1 418:4,5,7	619:5 622:10
621:24 622:3	683:6 684:3 685:3	419:8,13 420:7,7	640:13 641:7
625:4 627:13	opinion 401:24	421:7,8,9,10	650:9 669:7 674:8
631:17,22,25	416:10 423:17	422:24 424:17	674:25 675:10
636:19 638:23	432:25 447:25	425:9 450:16	ordered 454:15
639:6,13 643:3	632:17	452:12 455:9	ordering 418:7
654:5 672:22	opinions 414:18	456:25 458:12	420:9 421:12
674:14 680:6	522:15	460:7 468:16,17	441:6 450:14
old 492:2 501:8	opioid 374:9	468:24 469:20,21	453:16,18,22
647:7	375:10 376:4	469:22,23,25,25	454:2,6,8,11,18,23
omm.com 368:6	392:5 393:20	470:8,12,14	454:25 455:3,7
once 400:12	395:20 397:15,23	481:11 489:20	456:17 538:22
459:11 548:9	403:6,14 407:19	493:7,23 495:1	554:6 571:13,17
one's 678:6	407:21 408:5,6	498:10 516:10,21	573:4,15
ones 395:7 407:25	434:2,24 438:14	516:23 517:3	orders 374:16
483:2 489:10	439:7 456:12	523:17,25 524:13	418:2 419:15,17
498:6 502:16	470:19 500:8	531:14 534:16	420:25 421:3
537:5 578:13	559:10 588:18	535:7,8 539:12	422:12,15 423:3
650:23 666:2	603:13,18 649:4	540:16 542:21	424:5,19 425:8,13
ongoing 619:14	661:14,22 677:24	543:20 544:1,22	425:14 446:11,11
621:10 647:8	opioids 392:11	545:3,15,22 546:8	451:7 452:1,2
649:21 673:11	403:11,17 407:11	546:10,12,13,13	458:7 460:9 465:7
op 364:9,11,13	407:12,15 408:2	546:20 548:7,9,15	466:20 468:9,11
opaque 581:2,3	408:13 411:17,18	549:10,12,17,20	479:13 480:1
582:6	411:20,23 412:6	549:24 551:3,11	482:5 483:13,13
open 553:16 574:6	412:10,13,21	552:4,14 553:3,7	488:12 492:6,25
624:4	418:18 424:1	553:14,19,24	494:4 510:9,11
openly 412:21	439:1,4,7 462:3	554:10,13,16,23	517:3,11 528:11
operate 405:1	493:12,21,22	555:4 557:9	533:19 534:1
418:1 465:6,15	500:10 501:14,25	564:24 565:2,17	535:8,10 543:13
466:2 533:18,25	504:10 558:19	565:23 570:4,8,14	543:16 544:8,13
565:22 675:12	559:7 585:9	571:11 572:21,24	544:19 545:1,8,12
operated 476:22	586:18 658:5	573:3,14 586:20	547:4,20,23 553:5
	663:18	586:22 589:20	554:1,1 555:15
		I .	I .

[orders - patterns] Page 39

556:24 562:22	overseeing 388:25	616:16,24 619:8	550:7 552:4
565:4,24 569:3	oversees 518:1	626:6 661:14,22	567:21,24
570:24 571:8,15	oversight 467:22	palliative 500:9	particularly
572:2 586:22	560:22 622:13	palo 368:13	398:18
589:13 591:7,14	644:17	panel 455:14	parties 365:14
592:6,7,17 617:4	overtime 668:11	paper 467:21	682:12,15
617:12 619:13	668:18	paragraph 452:7	partners 580:12
623:15 624:9	overwhelming	477:5,18,23,25	parts 430:13
627:7 628:16,25	579:24 580:8	625:19 675:4	party 457:18,24
640:13 641:17	owned 476:22	679:5	457:24
649:19 652:24,25	oxford 369:8	paragraphs	pass 380:3 490:9
653:5,6 664:25	371:11	625:19	512:7 521:11
676:4 678:7,23	oxycodone 407:24	paralegal 372:14	582:10 609:9
organization	410:4 433:25	parallel 618:2	passage 516:11
589:2 652:17	454:19,20,22	pardon 595:12	passed 401:22
organizations	455:4,5,16 456:12	608:10	402:8 404:10
580:16 597:5,7,11	496:10 630:6	parents 396:6,6,7	405:15 438:22
original 536:12		park 367:23	515:3,14,23 516:3
563:5 663:6	p	400:19	520:21 521:1,2
ought 556:2	p 369:2 375:1	parking 400:20	530:1 532:11
outcome 682:17	p.m. 681:9	parkway 367:14	passing 471:21
outlets 413:24	pack 557:25	part 391:18	635:6
outline 411:11	page 373:2 449:7,9 453:3 476:20	403:13,14 415:18	password 594:10
outlined 488:13		422:9 426:6	patient 397:24
528:21	477:22 485:4	453:21 458:5	401:23 413:24
outside 412:18	487:7,17,18,22	469:22 480:12	439:7 440:21,21
414:22 466:14	586:2 595:3,7 598:3 600:9	484:13 485:17	500:9,10 515:12
502:25 575:19		487:11 506:7,18	639:25 658:6
588:11 626:22	620:23 622:22	506:24 513:23	664:18
overall 395:19	623:1 624:14,15 635:5 649:7 651:3	518:10,24 524:18	patients 437:2
611:11	652:22 659:23	530:10,12 545:22	499:24 500:5
overalls 385:10,11	661:6 683:13,15	547:17 548:10	502:1 586:7,10
514:5	685:7 686:3	591:15 606:14	patrick 368:15
overdose 426:19	pages 429:25	611:11 612:22	372:11
661:9,11	584:9 598:5,10,16	616:10 618:3	pattern 418:7
overdoses 392:14	622:17	643:7,9 646:6	420:9 421:12,14
398:25 426:22,23	paid 394:10 395:1	649:20 685:9	425:22 453:22
overflow 428:4	474:5 668:11,18	particular 492:15	535:9 571:13,17
overrun 426:22	pain 425:17	504:5 526:20	573:4,15
oversaw 390:16	500:13,17 501:3	531:3 541:22	patterns 425:18
	615:25 616:1,10	546:21 547:9	551:16 554:6
	512.22 510.1,10		

[patterson - picture]

patterson 622:24	622:25 657:22	685:15	580:18 635:11	
624:16,17,18	perform 541:9	personnel 572:11	664:4,7	
625:14,20 627:23	650:6	perspective 493:9	pharmacologic	
628:5 629:25	performance	perspective 19939 pertain 525:24	439:6	
679:3	414:20	peter 372:8	pharmacy 378:12	
patterson's 627:25	performing 651:1	pharma 364:8,10	378:16 379:3,7,12	
629:10,18	perils 392:22	364:12 367:21	395:12,12 410:25	
paul 367:2,5	period 393:17	634:6 641:19,22	411:1,3 412:19	
pause 384:10	398:23,24 479:23	652:10 670:21	434:23 441:23	
406:2	488:10 514:15,20	pharmaceutical	442:8 450:18	
pay 395:9,10	514:24,25 517:18	369:6 496:24	454:1,7,25 455:2	
485:18	520:18 555:9	518:1 567:16	456:22 457:19,20	
paying 668:16	556:3,18 560:2	578:9,15 598:21	457:22 458:21	
pbeisell 368:18	565:7,15 568:1,18	628:20 633:21	484:15 485:5	
peddled 635:11	570:23 571:2	pharmaceuticals	538:21 542:8	
pedestrian 645:9	572:12 574:13	368:2 382:2	550:8,20 551:6,7	
penalties 488:5	575:5,8 578:18	426:25	551:15 552:6	
pennsylvania	596:7 641:15	pharmacies	554:5 578:11	
369:4,9 370:5	permanent 391:13	411:24 412:7	586:15 612:5,16	
371:12	permanently	413:21 437:1	613:24 658:6	
people 381:2	430:9	440:20,22 441:6	664:22	
393:20 396:10	permission 602:6	441:20,21 443:13	philadelphia	
405:5 409:18	602:14	445:12 449:13	369:4 370:5	
416:2,3 426:8,9,23	permutations	453:21 480:2	phone 572:16	
426:25 427:1	547:13	482:6 484:19	578:24,25 583:18	
447:8,13,23	person 383:7	492:18 502:8	683:3	
453:10 485:7,24	389:17 437:13,14	526:3 574:12	phrase 535:11	
500:3 503:9	452:11 493:10,12	586:12,18 600:15	physical 405:25	
504:20,21,22	500:8 511:9,12,13	602:5 630:7,12	406:9,16 407:4	
522:25 537:3,23	511:18,19 586:2	638:5	443:11 585:17	
583:24 604:15	602:18 664:10,13	pharmacist	666:6,25	
607:1,11,13 634:6	664:20	378:14,19 379:2,6	physically 437:6	
644:13 658:14,23	person's 586:3	379:8 396:2 411:2	physicians 378:22	
660:23 663:17,19	personal 414:18	438:9,12 439:19	574:13	
664:3,7,10,12,17	423:24 481:6	442:5 446:12	physiological	
664:19,21,22	593:22,25 594:14	450:10,13 501:24	667:1	
percent 414:9	602:8 674:16	542:12 551:7	pick 531:19 646:6	
415:8,10,11	675:1	578:22	picked 401:6	
453:25,25 454:2	personally 415:17	pharmacists	picking 384:12	
455:1,3 500:2,5	524:16 537:10	395:23,25 403:25	picture 376:8,17	
579:25 580:9	572:14,17 684:11	443:24 578:12	440:12 449:19	

[picture - presents] Page 41

634:18	playing 666:1	578:23	preamble 447:24
pictures 380:23	pleasant 366:17	polster 364:7	precise 611:4
pie 496:16,17	please 377:17	pop 412:20	precision 654:20
497:5,7,7	384:18 386:20	poppy 408:10	predecessor 652:1
piece 467:21	405:8 406:12	porter 368:20	predecisional
pieces 497:6	416:18 417:12	portion 672:25	599:15
pill 438:22 440:24	419:24 427:4	portrayed 383:12	prepared 671:19
441:1 456:15,16	528:18 620:13	position 390:3	671:21
pills 437:13 440:2	627:14 631:6	402:22 422:22	preparing 601:16
441:3 456:7,9	646:5 683:11,11	423:2 508:1 523:2	prescribe 438:5,5
496:25 538:22	pleasure 681:1	544:12 562:21	450:7 619:1
pincus 372:2	pledged 656:15	563:21 567:17	prescribers
pittsburgh 369:9	pll 366:4	624:23 625:3	412:10 418:20
371:12	pllc 366:12	possessed 589:21	580:17
place 406:24 420:2	plus 390:20 435:2	possible 471:23	prescribes 410:23
432:12 433:2	461:24 579:25	548:12	410:24
448:21 459:4	580:9	post 374:12 379:5	prescribing 411:7
465:21 474:13	point 378:14 384:3	397:20 398:5	prescription 364:4
514:8 625:12	403:18 404:17	516:22 589:10	375:10 433:19
672:14	405:4 409:20,25	634:16 635:2	434:6 442:1
placed 480:1	424:15 456:15	potent 456:11	446:15 450:12
482:5 589:16	470:1,4 480:15	potential 387:3	576:12 649:22
places 385:17	489:1 496:14	427:23 468:8	658:4 661:9,12,14
placing 452:11	497:14,19 499:7	493:2 553:24	683:6 684:3 685:3
plaintiff 627:5	521:14 522:2	555:3 560:5 612:7	prescriptions
plaintiff's 583:6	553:20 555:18	612:18 615:25	378:22 396:2
583:13 586:4	564:13 565:14	616:17	446:13 449:22
604:10 609:21	581:15 614:1	potentially 496:1	499:2,3
617:10 624:7	615:16,18 643:23	pour 635:22	present 365:13
631:4	647:21 657:24	pouring 635:14	372:7 376:5 572:8
plaintiffs 367:2	pointed 642:23,24	power 589:21	587:22,23
372:8 375:20	pointer 553:21	powerpoint 558:8	presentation
603:17 607:1	points 537:18	609:1	550:6 607:17,19
631:15	police 426:20	practice 422:2,4	609:1,5
plan 404:17 677:1	494:3 511:2,10	450:9	presentations
planning 605:17	644:25 645:2	practices 656:17	461:19,24 607:16
play 381:24 509:6	661:25	practitioner	presented 632:18
561:5,11	policies 458:15	410:23 411:8	presenting 470:2
playbook 538:3	policy 389:22	practitioners	629:7
played 579:18	432:7 504:17,18	449:14	presents 504:3
	537:12,22 572:4		

[pretty - purchased]

25615	7 00 14 601 14	1065	7.77 00 7.72 00
pretty 376:17	588:14 601:14	production 496:7	557:20 572:20
388:3 400:15	604:20,22	496:13,16 683:15	577:6 595:23
417:8 431:18	problem 416:20	683:17,22	598:17 607:23
435:1 498:22	424:14 433:20,21	professional 450:8	627:5 629:22
517:4 527:9	433:23 434:7,25	program 459:4	provides 532:23
538:10 539:15	435:2,4 503:20,21	prohibited 520:14	599:10
550:25 554:24	504:3 506:8,18,24	546:3	providing 436:16
555:21 571:10	509:20 625:24	prohibitive 543:10	508:22
579:1 611:20	626:5 657:12	project 384:8	provision 419:8
prevent 447:19	662:16 672:16	promoted 384:4	465:21 532:22
448:1	680:11	387:23	533:3,9 535:7
prevented 404:11	problems 462:2	promotion 488:16	614:22
preventing 374:16	467:6,23 470:19	promulgate	provisions 404:10
649:19	486:13 598:15	532:13	417:25 418:1
prevention 507:11	658:23	promulgates	521:23 524:8
661:8	procedural 600:16	532:12	525:23,23 532:10
previous 645:7	procedure 684:5	pronounce 376:13	657:4
previously 457:14	685:5	376:14	prudent 556:20
507:25	procedures 570:12	proper 501:14	prudential 370:21
primary 379:4	570:16,19,22	626:15	psych 666:25,25
principles 448:10	proceed 628:18	properly 445:22	psychological
printout 653:2	proceedings	460:19 509:18	406:1,16 407:4
prior 488:10 494:6	469:15 470:14	property 646:24	585:18 666:7
507:21 515:25,25	529:17 618:2	proportion 454:14	public 384:8
528:11 530:3,7,20	628:17	proposed 522:23	392:13 404:3
531:20 532:2	process 404:6	522:24	409:24 470:3,3,23
562:13 653:6	523:6 543:5	proposition	471:6 474:2 590:8
665:5	546:11 548:11	459:13	590:24 682:1,20
priorprod 374:19	590:1 591:16	prospective	684:10,18 685:15
374:23	597:19 599:14	445:24	685:23 686:23
privilege 374:6	611:8,10 612:20	protect 386:10	publicly 386:7,19
599:8,9 600:21,25	618:17 643:10	protocols 570:11	415:4 468:4 475:4
624:2 669:4	processes 656:17	570:16	483:11 519:22
privileged 595:5	procurement	provide 413:24	637:1,6
597:14,20 598:24	496:22	504:19 522:1,20	pulled 463:3
623:24 626:23	produce 496:25	523:20 553:20	482:24,25
672:4,4	497:1	589:2 606:17	purchase 541:25
probably 388:18	producing 600:20	630:4 675:8	558:11
401:10 414:8	product 408:5,9	provided 450:23	purchased 457:19
516:14 538:16	494:12 511:25	476:13 521:23	630:6
551:11 580:23		523:24 530:22,25	

[purchases - rannazzisi]

purchases 540:22	question 386:1	397:16,21 427:21	quotations 413:8
558:11 647:17,19	398:16 414:22	454:5 458:14,19	quote 414:15
purdue 364:8,10	418:14 442:6	459:15,21,22	442:16,19 443:4
364:12 367:21	451:23 453:11	460:24 471:3	465:5 482:4
pure 542:6	475:4 478:20	490:14 500:18,20	506:11
purpose 431:20	480:24 482:10	504:15 505:13	quotes 413:6
433:17 450:8	488:24 495:3	508:7,8 515:3	442:19
purposes 446:1	500:24 505:11	537:14,21 540:21	r
678:24	507:4 508:3,11	540:23 568:25,25	r 366:7 375:1
pursuant 365:13	509:10 510:5	569:10,20 575:18	rachel 367:14
378:22 485:18	520:2 521:9	581:7 588:20,22	645:19,22 646:1
532:13,14	528:16 542:3,5	605:13 607:22	radar 457:2 511:3
pursue 548:6	544:5,16 546:3	608:21 614:18	511:11
pursuing 517:11	550:2,23 552:7	617:11 626:13,24	rafalski 603:23
619:25	569:7 574:19	627:6 631:24	raise 456:23
push 417:2	586:5 588:20,21	632:3,7 636:6,10	ran 389:23 536:18
put 387:10 392:2	588:23 590:18	642:21 659:8	537:24
410:10 413:11	592:14 596:5	660:12 667:11	range 539:4,6,7
414:4 429:13	605:14 612:12	672:10 673:24	ranging 445:14
434:10 437:1,11	614:2 618:22,23	676:14	rannazzisi 364:18
440:1 442:24	620:4,7,9,15,17	quick 609:20	365:1 373:2
443:1,4 445:17,19	621:5,14,20,25	610:15 663:9	375:11,16,22
458:8,15 464:21	624:15 626:10	quickly 402:5	376:15,16 389:5
470:15 473:4	627:3,9 633:7,19	581:6	402:9 414:17
487:3 493:8 499:8	639:4,12 644:16	quit 439:13	423:23 427:13
531:6 535:3 556:1	657:19 672:21	quite 391:17 393:6	430:2 432:19
557:14 577:22	673:16 674:19	398:25 399:4	442:20 478:22
579:4 646:21,24	675:15 676:21	551:13 583:23	497:20 513:2,19
648:15 662:16,24	679:24,25	624:8 628:2	515:2 521:10,17
665:15 680:18	questioned 513:4	quota 414:3	524:10,20 525:1
putting 443:24	556:22	495:12,19,22	525:14 535:1
507:23 538:8	questioners 513:9	496:3,4,7,13,21	562:8 573:13
634:16	questioning	497:7,9,16 498:2,3	576:4 582:22
q	513:13,13 617:9	498:11,16,20,24	583:5 589:7
qualified 501:13	617:10 673:12	499:5,8,8,9,13,15	593:20 595:2,14
quantities 453:16	questionnaire	499:16,17,22	597:10,16 598:19
454:13,19 455:10	534:14	500:1,2,4 523:8,10	601:17 602:4
455:11 499:7	questionnaires	523:11,14	603:6 609:7,19
quantity 438:25	457:17	quotation 413:11	610:6 615:3
454:14 633:20	questions 377:6,7	442:24 443:1	620:22 621:7
	377:11 396:25	445:18	622:21 623:11
			_

[rannazzisi - regarding]

www.veritext.com

624:12 626:23	reasons 541:2	424:3 481:7,10	redirect 512:7
627:4 628:13	549:11	536:24,25 623:12	reduced 510:22
631:17 681:1	rebate 639:18	626:2,9	682:8
683:8 684:4,9	recall 399:16	reconciled 424:22	redwell 595:14,21
685:4,13 686:20	428:10 472:24	557:1	redwells 595:16
rat 680:7	481:13 513:6,8,20	reconciliation	reed 370:3
raw 440:5 496:23	514:6 515:8	557:9	reedsmith.com
reached 390:18	531:24 538:21	record 375:4,13	370:6
568:20	539:8,21 547:22	384:20,23,25	refer 538:3
read 397:19	557:15 562:12,15	427:4,6,9 430:24	reference 563:19
430:21 434:8	562:24 564:7,11	431:1,4 442:25	683:7 684:2 685:2
445:2 446:2 447:9	567:9,10 568:9	473:25 475:9,10	referenced 431:14
453:24 476:14	572:23 573:16	475:14 491:6	450:24 477:17
485:7 528:17,19	575:4,7,10,13	508:6 509:25	559:22 562:9
566:15,17 577:7	577:3,17,23 579:4	512:9,22 514:23	684:11 685:15
623:8,9 625:5	579:17 586:1	528:19 557:19	references 477:6
627:10,11,14,15	588:6,19,21,24	558:15 561:10,13	referred 530:19
634:15 643:24	591:6,8,10,16	561:15,25 562:4	597:12
650:11 684:5,6,12	593:7,11,12	582:13,17 593:6	referring 515:11
685:5,6,17	604:14,15,25	609:11,12,16	529:19 571:7
reader 567:15	605:1 606:25	620:5 622:12	reflect 414:10
reading 415:2	607:13 609:4	627:15 631:6,8,12	509:25
447:2 459:8 488:7	610:20,21 619:15	681:6 682:10	reflection 531:7
683:19	619:18,19 622:4,5	685:9	refresh 623:12
real 415:1 471:4	628:19,20 629:5	record's 620:12	626:2,16
487:19,20 492:7	630:23 654:11	recorded 587:17	refreshed 416:22
648:6	receipt 683:18	587:24	623:23
really 393:19	receive 589:7	recordkeeping	refreshing 626:9
400:14 440:24	received 379:17	437:4,7,22 443:13	regard 428:1
493:5 500:7	481:17 519:5	443:15,18 444:1	433:13 448:4
514:15 564:4	592:8 616:15,23	records 442:17	467:19 632:2
573:25 574:1	617:11 641:16	557:23 647:23	633:6 643:14
592:6 609:4	recess 385:1 427:7	648:1 651:6,17	651:2 657:12
reason 485:17	431:2 475:12	663:13	678:21
499:20,21 540:11	512:11 562:2	red 384:4 396:4	regarding 423:25
541:6,13 595:22	582:15 609:14	454:1,9,16 455:6	424:4,9 451:21
629:17 658:25	631:10	455:12 456:21	475:1 481:7,11
668:7 683:14	reciting 508:6	642:24	483:8 519:23
685:8 686:3	recognize 501:24	redacted 673:1	522:23 532:10
reasonable 554:9	recollection	redaction 374:6	575:18 627:6
	416:23 423:25		672:10

[regards - report] Page 45

207.10	565 16 565 21 24	. 6 452.22	120.16.122.0
regards 387:10	565:16 567:21,24	reinforce 452:22	428:16 433:8
646:4	573:21,22 576:13	reinforced 529:13	460:12 483:17,21
registered 411:17	576:24 577:5,25	reintroduce	495:16 500:20,23
412:25 418:17	578:7,9 579:20	582:23	500:24 501:10,11
430:19 431:8	580:4 586:9,11	reissue 499:13	513:10,25 515:5
452:12 464:10	610:18 643:4	reiterate 431:21	522:13 530:24
476:23 478:6	657:21 659:14,24	431:22 433:18	536:5 538:18
630:7	660:22 675:9	448:15 451:1	558:21 559:6,11
registrant 410:14	registration 404:5	452:19 464:14	559:12,17,24
410:14,19,20,21	411:13 412:24	reiterated 448:10	560:17,18,20
410:24 411:2,4,5,6	468:23 470:5,9	reiterating 465:18	577:10 578:1
411:7,9,12,16	527:21 553:17	relate 526:8	581:9,16,17 583:3
418:3,16 419:4	643:10	related 384:5,7	584:6 587:4 588:4
443:17 446:7	registrations	470:14 516:12	589:18 592:8
452:24 465:5,7,12	425:3 426:2	518:2 521:19	593:23 603:14
465:15 468:20	regs 527:8 571:17	532:2,22 564:19	609:2 622:7
533:19 541:24	regular 461:25	566:4 572:20	623:20 632:6
565:22,24 566:6	regularly 386:22	575:5 608:6	635:1 636:10
611:15,16 612:24	regulated 518:6	644:16 682:11	642:21 643:1
618:15 653:3	regulation 418:4	relates 364:6	644:18,22 647:5
675:11	424:24 465:1,13	526:13,16	659:8,17 663:1
registrant's	465:20 466:8,15	relative 625:10	665:13 667:11
420:11 491:15	523:18 524:21,22	682:14	676:19 678:15,25
565:20 567:12	532:9,12 533:5,17	release 373:13,15	remembered
590:2 680:17	534:8,11,14,17,20	472:1 473:10	433:4
registrants 411:13	534:23 535:6,12	477:15 542:14	remembers
411:19 412:2,6,11	535:14 565:21	669:8	620:17
412:14 413:23	614:22,23,25	relevant 392:4,10	remind 414:17
417:21 418:15,22	regulations 374:3	396:14	679:19
419:10,12 422:11	411:11 416:23,25	relief 620:1	reminded 424:16
424:4 431:11	418:25 419:1,6	relieve 653:3	reminders 510:11
432:5 436:11	437:23 443:9	reliever 661:22	remove 387:4
444:15 448:7	447:18 450:5	relievers 661:14	removed 382:16
449:12 460:5	464:17,22 485:12	reliver 661:22	removing 603:7
464:4 481:10	532:5,7,8,14,15	rely 452:11 493:6	renee 372:9
484:14 491:13	555:8 558:6	493:6	repeat 507:21
493:2 504:5,14	632:19 633:2	remaining 598:16	528:15 612:11
518:6,11,14 526:4	643:11	remains 675:10	674:18
528:10 537:8	regulators 434:25	remarked 655:17	report 374:4
562:10 563:13	regulatory 572:5	remember 388:16	419:12,16 421:3
564:9,21,24 565:6	600:17	416:4 418:16	422:14,17 450:15
			,

[report - review] Page 46

	_		
460:7 480:1 481:3	representatives	requires 419:8	559:9 653:4
482:4 489:2	622:15	424:24,24 464:23	675:11
543:17 552:24	represented	464:25 465:5	responsible
575:4,8,11,12,14	654:14	489:4 498:14	459:13
575:18 576:5,10	representing	553:17	rest 408:7 464:19
576:21,23 577:4,7	505:1 598:8	research 498:25	644:21
577:9,16,18	represents 633:9	residence 387:2	restate 627:17
605:18 659:6,11	reputation 662:17	resolve 396:4	result 549:23
659:13,16 660:8	request 507:22	549:16,19 552:23	resulted 483:11,12
660:10 661:5	508:17 522:15,19	554:21	517:11 567:12
672:13 674:5,25	685:9,11	resolved 423:4,7	retail 485:5 612:4
reported 364:24	requested 400:1,2	resolves 460:10	612:16
418:8 467:12	528:19 627:15	resolving 423:2	retailer 463:22
482:15,17,22	requesters 374:5	resources 660:1	484:16 678:5
483:12 517:1	requests 523:11	respect 492:21	retailers 410:11
544:8,19 545:9,21	537:14	508:5 519:2,7	445:6 449:21
549:10,11,25	required 389:21	536:7 556:13	484:19
553:8 635:23	396:2 417:21	564:14,18 675:9	retained 603:17
653:1	418:13,22 419:12	respective 365:14	651:7
reporter 375:13	419:14 420:24	respiratory 439:8	retainers 502:19
397:15 512:13	422:11 425:3,12	439:11,13	retake 673:3
627:10 684:7	460:4,6 465:1	responded 581:4	retire 381:11
reporters 397:14	479:14 498:23	responders 661:25	retired 381:10
397:18,18	552:24 596:10,12	responds 478:19	393:1,2,3 486:7
reporting 374:16	683:25	625:14	506:5 589:11
419:9,18 461:25	requirement	response 575:14	retirement 390:1
466:20 479:13	420:10 425:9	578:5 579:3,4	391:21,23 392:1
481:17 516:20	452:8 491:24	621:6 622:1 627:5	489:7
543:20 545:15	547:25 555:7	responsibilities	retrospective
551:2 552:14	requirements	389:16,19 410:10	492:1
649:18 653:4	411:12 416:21,24	431:21 433:5,9,14	returned 683:18
675:10	417:13 425:7	433:18 464:14	reveal 541:2
reports 397:19	437:22 446:6	responsibility	revealed 674:7,24
424:17 434:21,22	448:21 450:6	392:20 395:24	revenue 415:9,10
434:23 517:2	452:23 480:11,13	396:1 432:20	415:11
543:13 558:12	482:9 492:23	446:14 447:1	review 389:20
569:5 630:9,10,24	504:6 530:8,23	449:14,24 450:11	518:24 521:22
630:25 674:8	531:3,15,21	451:6,14 465:10	524:8 562:19
represent 376:3	533:10 555:11	465:14 466:2	570:7 600:5 612:6
476:12 513:4	573:22 598:21	477:24 488:3	612:17,22 683:12
582:24		491:16 494:18	684:1 685:1
		.,	

[reviewed - saw] Page 47

reviewed 424:21	475:19 476:12	680:10,23	routine 459:16
reviewing 389:22	481:25 482:1	risk 387:11 405:6	ruiz 369:18
reviews 656:18	486:10 487:3	508:24 585:17	rules 400:15
revoked 426:2	488:22 490:7	666:6,8,10,25	452:25 673:22
revokes 590:2	493:8 494:4,8,10	rite 369:2	684:5 685:5
rice 366:16,20	494:13 495:3,6	road 366:13 367:7	ruling 627:20
right 375:25 376:8	497:4,14 501:12	368:13 377:3	rum 384:4
376:11,14,16,25	501:18 502:5	396:12,16 427:18	running 537:23
377:2,15 378:7	507:4 514:16	roadblock 495:3	russo 364:24
379:1,10 380:8,11	517:15 518:21	500:15 502:5	375:14 682:2
380:16 381:4,23	521:4 524:21	506:1 560:5,9,13	rx 369:17 441:24
382:20,24 383:19	526:14 527:2,14	560:14	S
384:10 387:13	529:23 530:15	roadblocks 377:7	s 373:1 375:1
388:12 389:4,14	531:3 533:15	427:23 490:13	683:15 685:8,8
390:22 391:19	536:10,14 538:5	roadmap 376:21	686:3
392:19 393:22	539:16 543:14	376:23 427:13	safe 428:17 649:21
396:11,21 397:2,8	549:7 553:3	498:9 631:20	668:17
399:8,14,19	555:24 556:15	665:10	safeguards 449:15
400:20 401:7	557:12 558:16,19	roadmaps 662:24	449:25 450:4
406:2,19 410:17	559:4 560:5 561:8	robert 624:17	safety 404:3
411:16 413:3	564:2,15,21	rochester 372:2	409:24 470:3
417:11 418:10	565:10,18 566:16	rodeo 477:8	590:8,25 670:1
420:14 421:5	568:3 572:2	rogue 616:10,23	sale 494:12,16,18
423:12 426:6,24	574:23 579:7,10	role 374:9 501:25	528:12
428:1,19 429:20	579:21 580:5	517:24	sales 415:9,12
429:24 430:9,11	586:15 600:25	roles 576:14,25	462:20 653:2
431:14 433:7	612:13 613:24	rolled 527:9	salvatore 366:12
436:6,10 437:15	617:6,15 619:10	room 508:20	sample 463:16
438:4,5 440:7,23	619:22 621:19	646:5	464:2
442:11,21 444:7	622:7,21 624:20	ropes 370:20	samples 463:3
444:18 445:6,8	624:25 625:14	ropesgray.com	sarcastic 580:11
446:25 448:4	627:2,2 629:15	370:23,23	sat 375:24 416:20
449:6 450:20	631:5 632:2 633:6	rosenberg 506:2,6	424:12 428:8
451:3 452:4,14	636:2,22 642:16	506:13 559:23	461:17 580:17
453:3,6 454:9	644:1 655:7,12	560:1,12,15,20	satisfied 660:2,24
455:9 456:14,17	656:3,21 658:15	579:12,16,19,22	save 512:7
456:24 459:25	665:10,11,15	580:3,6 581:10	savings 639:25
463:15 464:1,24	667:3 669:11	rosenberg's	saw 394:23 399:1
466:24 467:19	670:11 672:19	657:20	399:1 416:20
468:13 469:7,19	673:7 674:2,19	round 425:13,16	471:9 581:11
470:16 472:16	676:7 678:12		

[saying - send] Page 48

saying 416:4	schiller 604:6	secretary 558:25	621:21 623:6
418:14 428:17	school 377:23,24	559:1	624:14 625:7,19
434:12 448:25	379:15 510:21,22	secretly 667:23	625:25 635:5,16
490:21 560:20	511:1,9,19 644:23	secrets 386:20	647:17 649:24
563:16 620:12,14	scientific 451:9	section 372:11	650:11 651:9
623:2,4 659:17	498:17 499:23	373:25 374:3	653:8 654:19
677:13,15	scope 386:2	387:20,22,23,24	660:5,15 663:3
says 419:2 480:13	414:16 416:13	388:1,15,16	664:5 670:17
485:4 493:10,12	439:3 451:19	412:19 504:17,18	674:1 679:12
535:15 548:9	462:14 467:10	527:9,10,19,20	seeing 414:14
577:2,9 595:13	468:7 470:21	528:4 532:14	455:15 457:18
598:10 601:5	474:24 483:7	533:8,11 534:4	559:17 569:22
623:2 625:7	503:13,25 505:14	537:12,13	seeker 500:6
642:19 646:15,20	506:19 519:16	sections 527:25	seekers 447:23
646:23 649:12,18	542:23 543:23	528:7 537:13	seeking 409:19
650:4 659:13,23	544:11 545:16,23	secure 437:5,6,6	498:13 672:1
659:24 660:23	546:17 548:17	442:17 470:10	seen 401:10 476:9
661:7 664:2,2	553:11 573:24	security 417:25,25	503:21,22 581:12
669:25 675:4	575:6,19 611:18	437:5,21 443:11	653:23
679:5	612:9 626:22	443:12 444:1	segregating
sbadala 366:14	633:24 634:1,11	533:10 650:10	411:18
scary 400:8	639:10 650:19	see 376:18 381:2	seizure 618:13
scenario 645:7	655:3 656:12,23	383:6 388:5 399:2	selected 399:21
scenes 635:13	657:8,15 658:17	414:4 419:3 435:9	self 481:3,17 489:2
schedule 405:22	660:3,6 671:3,10	445:16 448:12	sell 412:21 460:18
405:24 406:5	674:9 676:1 677:8	457:21 459:5,6	493:12 505:23
407:19,23 408:4	679:18	463:4,18 473:5	586:10,19 663:18
496:4,4,5 526:13	scott 371:10	476:3,20,25 477:9	seller 420:17
526:14,17 568:15	558:22,24	477:25 478:10	sellers 412:5,7
568:17 584:17,21	screen 508:19	480:5,13 484:11	418:20 419:11
584:21 585:3,7,8	se 380:13	485:13 487:7	461:7
585:15,16,19,21	seal 684:15 685:21	488:6 489:12	selling 383:8 412:5
586:23,23 666:15	second 369:8	494:16 507:24	504:10 505:12,24
666:21,22,23	425:13,16 448:14	526:10 527:13	semisynthetic
schedules 405:13	449:7,9 454:11,21	528:1 541:22	408:8
405:22 435:17,18	462:24 475:6	551:25 561:4,17	send 464:3 522:15
584:25 585:1	561:23 621:3	584:8 595:9,13	545:20 554:16,16
scheduling 405:19	635:4 675:4,6	596:24 597:2,8	554:22 555:13
443:23 507:17	secondly 498:8	599:6,17 600:18	565:7,9,12 568:22
scheme 448:5	secret 386:7	600:22 601:4,5	608:16
611:12		607:6 610:8 619:6	

[sending - sir] Page 49

			•
sending 430:17	set 385:22 396:22	685:18 686:1	showing 542:14
434:22,23 446:17	396:25 405:22	sheets 640:14	554:19 556:25,25
457:17 462:1	443:9 447:19	shibley 372:8	673:17
510:11 550:20	448:2 455:6 467:1	shift 442:12 528:5	shown 510:2 669:3
562:12,24 596:14	471:22 482:9	631:6	683:16
sends 441:4	495:25 498:3,9,10	ship 422:19,24	shows 383:6
sense 556:21	498:16,18,20	423:7 460:9	signature 682:19
sensitive 599:15	499:20 531:3	534:19 552:25	683:14
599:20 600:13	533:17 534:25	shipment 653:7	signed 430:1 432:4
601:17,23,24	537:18 541:22	shipped 423:3	485:3 563:5,10
602:21 603:8	580:17 632:3	shipping 556:23	592:18 684:13
sent 417:2 425:5	636:6	shkolnik 366:12	685:18
428:6 430:12,18	seth 603:25	shoes 646:25	significant 450:14
431:7,19,23	sets 498:11 670:24	shore 377:22	significantly 633:3
433:15 441:6	setting 568:20	short 385:1 427:7	signing 625:23
443:20 458:8	settle 654:19	431:2 475:12	683:19
459:12 462:24	settlement 373:13	562:2 582:15	similar 588:22
463:2,16 464:1,9	373:15,20 471:9	600:8 609:14	simmons 367:18
478:5 479:7,18	472:1 473:9	610:13 631:10	simmonsfirm.com
480:17 497:2	477:15 484:8	shorthand 682:7	367:20,20
535:19,23 554:20	485:3 487:5 488:4	shortly 387:23	simple 580:13
562:10,16 564:5,8	566:4,9,12,19,20	393:2	615:17
564:11,12 593:21	566:25 591:20	show 397:2 404:22	simply 422:17
596:8 608:13,15	593:14,17	410:1 425:13	452:10 508:2
sentence 449:10	settlements 564:19	428:12 452:1	618:23
674:22 675:6	564:19 567:4,22	468:10,16,17,19	sincerely 683:21
separate 557:5	567:25	468:21 469:22,22	sindelar 368:7
558:9 617:24	settling 486:3	469:25 470:7,14	single 652:24,24
separately 611:15	shannon 370:3	483:13 489:20	653:4
september 390:8	shapira 371:11	510:10 517:3	singletary 471:20
430:16 478:2	shapira.com	519:8,12 553:25	sir 376:12 377:1,9
488:21	371:13	569:2 579:16	377:16 378:2,6,16
serious 392:16	share 449:14,23	591:7,14,19 592:6	378:25 379:13,23
434:6	602:17 605:2,4	592:10,17,22,23	380:2,4,6,10,20,25
served 400:5	shared 559:9	593:13 612:21	381:3,17 383:2,5
425:13	673:9	613:3 648:7	383:17 387:12,12
service 391:3	sharpen 615:1	659:21 661:6	389:13 390:24
454:7	sheet 377:13	671:17 674:5	392:24 394:4,12
services 369:17	396:22 428:2	showed 501:2	394:19 395:3,6
375:5	430:6 508:18	529:20 679:1,4	396:18,24 397:3,7
	683:13 685:7,10		398:9 399:15

[sir - specific] Page 50

			_
403:7 405:17	643:2 644:24	sketch 381:18	543:24 545:7
406:7 408:3 409:4	647:6 648:13	skill 385:22	577:12 581:23
410:13 411:21	649:16 650:13,18	sleeve 665:16	595:11 634:22
412:3,23 416:6,15	651:13 654:12	slide 374:23	674:14
427:25 428:11,21	655:11,22 656:4	slides 373:23	sort 520:21 521:12
429:16,22 430:4	656:13,24 657:9	374:7	530:17 537:17
430:10,22 434:3,9	658:2,9,13,20	slightly 544:16	553:8 563:15
435:10 436:8	659:3,9 660:13,15	slow 581:3	567:5 580:14
437:16 438:3,11	661:3,19 662:5,15	small 392:16	658:11
438:18,18 439:4	663:2 664:6 665:8	393:16	sorts 562:20
439:15 441:5	665:14,20,25	smaller 377:21	sought 398:8
442:24 445:3,7	666:4 667:12,19	569:14	sound 561:12
446:3 447:10	669:12 670:5,12	smclure 370:6	south 366:17
448:13,16 449:18	670:16 674:4,21	smith 370:3 371:2	371:3 377:22
451:11 453:7,15	676:13,20 678:16	489:15 506:14	southwood 563:20
459:2 461:3 463:1	679:13 680:21	629:4	567:16
463:14,19,25	683:10	smoothly 389:24	spaeder 369:18
465:9,17 469:11	sit 469:9 561:16	software 393:8	spangenberg
471:8,16 472:22	570:22	sold 460:19 462:11	372:8
472:25 473:13,22	site 504:20,20	492:17,19,21	speak 395:16,19
474:15 476:4	527:4,5,11,16,16	sole 465:14 504:18	396:5 440:3 526:2
477:3,12,20 478:1	528:1,2 529:6,15	675:10	speaker 579:21
478:11,24 479:3,6	578:20 630:18	solution 663:12	580:5
479:10,18 480:7	sitting 429:18	solutions 683:1	speaking 584:3
484:6,12 485:15	593:7	686:1	specgx 370:19
487:8,23 488:8,23	situated 542:8	somebody 384:15	special 372:7
490:4,24 491:1	550:9 551:6 650:5	438:21 452:23	381:3 382:23,25
495:8,17 505:9	six 492:2,9 494:12	500:10 570:23	383:20 388:24
509:10 510:17,19	494:16,25 495:4	571:2	508:16,23 509:2
510:23 511:7	580:21 664:25	something's	545:20 620:10
513:21 583:4	size 418:5 420:7	554:10 644:1	627:8,19 673:25
585:11,20,23	421:11 440:25	somewhat 558:20	specific 419:2
586:6,16 587:5,8	482:11 535:8,11	sophisticated	424:9,18 439:5
589:14,16 595:16	535:13,14,17	650:4	451:21 466:7,8,19
596:17 598:1	536:3,7 537:9,20	sorry 407:6	475:1 481:14
599:4 600:1,4,18	538:4,11,14,17	408:18 471:15	541:19 546:20
608:5 617:5	539:13,23 540:3,4	472:13 475:22	553:13 554:4
621:20 622:8	540:6,9 552:15	478:17 480:23	558:10 565:22
632:1 633:6 636:1	555:17 571:8,11	497:4 515:17	566:1,5,23 568:20
636:21 637:4	571:15 633:20	525:14 528:15	568:22 569:2
638:2 642:22	652:25 678:7	530:5 536:22	588:19,21 611:16

[specific - straightforward]

611:21 614:18	stability 499:1	612:1 628:21	617:2 618:6
616:9 630:14,21	staff 379:6,8	645:14 684:13,14	619:22,24 620:21
645:17	387:19 388:13	685:19,19	621:2,4,18 622:9
specifically 411:11	415:18,19	statements 476:14	622:20 623:21
428:6 463:4	stage 502:1	629:13	624:4,7,11 626:25
464:23 466:17	stages 432:12	states 364:1 366:8	628:12 629:14
533:8,12 538:4	448:22 449:1,2,3	372:9,9,10,11	635:17,25 637:17
642:19,20 649:6	500:12	373:24 390:20,21	651:12 666:18
649:12 652:22	stamped 374:19	395:25 399:18	677:3,6 678:8
specified 642:25	stand 449:17	400:14 405:18	stepped 559:3,6
specify 646:6	standard 461:23	429:10 430:19	steps 529:3
651:4	590:12	434:21 435:4	stocks 413:25
speculate 461:14	standards 488:13	462:1 535:24	stoffelmayr
648:5	stands 441:25	579:20 580:4	371:15
speculation	442:1 640:11	625:20 630:7	stop 377:3,4
461:11 482:20	stars 370:12	633:11	382:19 384:16
503:12 542:23	start 377:13 381:4	statute 416:24	396:12,15,17,22
545:24 546:18	385:25 397:13	448:5 495:24	396:23 402:4
548:17 576:20	426:21 453:11	498:2,22 523:13	403:20 404:4,19
641:13 648:4	494:18 513:12	523:15 525:22	409:1,20 410:2,4
671:2	553:21	532:11,12,17,19	427:18 435:20
sped 511:19	started 383:22	633:1 634:4	436:5 470:15
speeches 394:23	385:10 397:13,19	642:25	494:18 499:16
395:2,4,17	398:1,4 409:9	statutory 448:5	505:12 506:25
speed 510:18,21	418:14 430:6	450:6 451:5	507:22 508:18
speeder 645:9,10	495:6 646:14	465:21 491:24	616:17 617:18
speeding 644:23	676:9	532:10	618:25
645:1,11	starters 416:19	stay 395:23 670:6	stopped 509:11
speeds 511:1,9	starting 383:20	steal 646:20	stopping 377:12
645:3	427:15 514:15	stenographic	402:10 404:6,7
spell 376:11	553:20	375:13	stops 618:14
spend 566:19	starts 496:17	stephens 368:12	store 412:18
spent 393:19	stashing 667:6,13	373:7 394:21	storefront 441:22
588:12	state 378:17	396:19 398:10,13	stores 412:20
spoiler 381:8	379:17,19 380:6	399:24 401:15,20	485:5
spoke 413:13	395:13 404:8	413:16 416:7	story 381:13 396:8
530:8 531:21	412:25 434:22,25	420:19 423:18	397:21 398:19
559:7 589:8,12	684:10 685:15	426:12 432:24	401:13,18 413:7
spoken 402:16	stated 505:5	485:21 490:5	427:16 489:11,13
square 370:4	statement 423:12	491:9 610:5	straightforward
	426:7 551:17	611:24 612:14	498:22 524:23

[straightforward - sure]

538:11 539:16,20	subparagraphs	476:24 478:7	summit 364:12
554:24 571:10	526:7,21 528:1	485:9,11 492:24	366:15
strategies 597:4	subparts 418:11	496:6 518:3	superior 366:8
598:20	subpoena 638:17	522:18 524:9	683:1
strategy 596:10,11	642:8	525:5,20,21,24	supermarket
596:12,21,23	subpoenaed	526:1,8,14,17,20	646:21
597:3	638:15 639:23	526:24 527:1,6,14	supervision
stream 382:4,4	subpoenas 640:20	527:17 528:6,9,13	426:18
street 365:9 366:4	subscribed 684:10	528:21 529:1,25	supervisor 384:4
366:20 367:3,11	685:14 686:21	532:19,22 533:20	387:15
369:3,13,19 370:4	subsection 526:12	571:22 576:25	supplied 457:20
370:9,16,21 371:3	subsequent 428:5	584:15,17 586:7	457:23 640:17,24
371:12,16,21	643:16	600:17 611:7,17	supplies 457:16
383:8 384:7	subsidiaries	612:8,19 614:22	493:21 614:4
412:17 499:9	484:10	618:16 632:19	615:6
strike 453:11	substance 403:11	642:18 650:10	supply 382:16,17
456:16 590:21	405:11,12,13	657:3	409:15,16,19
596:3 627:4	407:12,14 410:3	substantial 421:13	416:1,2 426:8
stronger 523:13	410:22 412:24	447:6	434:1 443:11,14
studies 499:2	413:1 421:10	substantially	446:21 507:1
study 661:21	453:17 456:18	418:6 420:8	518:9 597:5,7,11
stuff 383:4,15	527:22 576:14	421:11 535:9	614:8 615:14
443:3 464:20	584:19 590:3	555:17 571:12,16	650:4
529:18 542:10	626:7 630:22	573:3,15	supplying 457:14
644:21	649:23 665:18	suburb 389:12	457:15 458:21
subcommittee	666:21,23	sudden 539:3,12	508:3,4 613:14
622:13	substances 373:25	551:2 613:24	635:10 677:24
subject 474:19	374:17 402:4,12	suggest 432:18	678:4,6
573:22 629:3	405:14,16,21	522:10	support 519:5
631:25 636:7	408:12,14 409:8	suggested 458:20	650:9
642:16 657:18	410:9,25 411:10	506:12 659:10,12	supposed 396:3,4
659:5 662:22	412:4 413:19,21	suggesting 505:6	417:14 418:8
667:4 670:6,7	414:1 430:20	suggestion 502:6	419:16,19 437:14
676:7 678:12	431:9 432:13	667:21	446:18 458:6
submission 653:1	435:7,14 436:14	suite 366:5,9,13,21	502:9 648:1
submit 404:16	436:24 444:4	368:9 369:19	678:19
586:20	445:25 446:23	370:4 683:2	supposedly 506:7
submitting 575:13	447:6 448:22	summary 630:8,9	sure 386:11
subparagraph	453:23 454:3,12	630:10	389:20 405:9
526:13,16	455:2 464:11	summer 607:3	410:18 413:9
	465:8,23 469:18		418:11 421:6

[sure - taken] Page 53

432:2 433:4,8	592:7,9,23 593:1,8	552:14,25 553:3,4	533:18,25 534:17
446:9 449:2,10	617:4,17 618:8,12	553:7,14,19 554:1	553:21 565:23
450:22 458:15	618:24 619:4,13	554:10,13,16,20	570:8,14,16 652:9
460:17 468:14	623:15 624:9	554:23 555:3,15	675:10,12
470:11,12 471:22	627:6 628:16,25	556:24 557:7,9	systems 420:1
475:8 493:8	657:2 678:23	562:22 564:24	425:10,10,11
494:23 508:15	suspensions	565:2,4,17,23,24	503:17 564:25
517:4 527:10	483:12,14 617:12	569:3 570:4,7,13	565:3,18,19 570:4
528:4 542:13,15	suspicion 458:10	641:7,16 649:18	t
558:2 561:9,20	460:11 554:22	652:8,24 653:5	t 367:2 373:1,1
565:25 575:23	suspicions 423:2,4	664:24 674:8,25	430:1
576:6 584:12	423:7 456:23	675:9 676:4	t1 373:22
587:24 591:24	549:17 557:1	sustained 509:5	table 659:24
592:2 593:16	suspicious 374:16	swear 375:14	tablets 392:11
606:8 608:9	374:18 418:2,4,7	switch 477:22	tailored 395:17
612:12 618:10	419:8,13,15,17	sworn 375:17	565:20,25
620:12 629:25	420:7,24 421:3,7,8	629:18,19 682:6	taint 667:23
644:11 655:7	421:9 422:12,14	684:10,13 685:14	take 380:1 382:18
671:25 674:19	422:24,25 424:4	685:18 686:21	386:13 391:4,13
676:11	424:16,20,21	synthetic 388:5	427:3 437:14
surely 459:13	425:8,9 446:12	408:8 518:2,4	439:9 440:18
surgery 393:15	450:15,16 451:7	system 374:18	456:11 468:22
surprised 616:22	452:12,13 458:6	418:1 419:15,19	470:4,8,9,11
617:1	458:11 460:7,9	419:22,23 420:4	489:23 496:23
surround 401:4,4	465:7 466:20	420:11,12 436:16	510:8 518:15
surrounding	479:13 480:3	436:19,21 437:3,4	521:4 526:6 529:4
552:3	481:11 482:7	437:5,10,11,12,16	533:2 540:12
suspend 409:1	488:12 492:6,25	437:18,19,25	546:10 553:8,16
suspended 426:2	493:7,23 494:4	439:23 441:5	563:16 574:9
suspends 590:2	495:1 523:17,25	442:16 443:16,18	576:2 578:23,25
618:15	524:13 528:11	443:22,22 444:2	582:3,5 663:15
suspension 402:2	531:14 533:19	444:24 445:22	676:5
404:5,12,23 410:1	534:1 535:7	446:8 447:17,19	takeaway 567:6
425:14 452:1	540:17 542:16,18	449:20 450:3	659:16 660:23
468:11 469:19,21	543:13,15,18,19	460:8 462:10	taken 382:3 385:1
469:25 483:13	544:1,8,13,19,22	465:6,11,15 466:3	427:7 431:2
510:10 516:10,21	545:1,3,8,11,14,22	466:19 472:9	443:20 475:12
516:23 517:2,11	546:8,10 547:4,20	473:15 488:11	512:11 523:2
589:13,20,25	547:23 548:7,9,15	495:25 496:2,11	562:2 570:3
590:6,20,21,23	549:10,12,17,19	498:2 499:11,12	582:15 609:14
591:4,9,11,17	549:24 551:3,11	499:15 524:1,14	631:10 667:24
			031.10 007.27

[taken - things] Page 54

668:3 671:7	talks 630:12 649:6	tends 505:7	669:20 682:4,6,10
673:15 682:3,7,13	tandy 391:12	tennis 646:24	684:6,7 685:6,9,12
takes 385:22	tape 589:2	tension 399:5	teva 369:6
438:21,24 441:3	taped 587:25	tenth 370:9	texas 367:15 449:1
616:2	tapping 455:13	tenure 424:2	texts 583:22
talk 377:3,4	task 384:5,6	481:9 593:9 600:2	thank 375:22
391:25 393:1	tax 668:16	615:23 630:3,8	386:8 406:17
395:20 396:6,7,23	teach 442:7	term 537:19	424:11 435:18
424:6 427:23	teams 394:3	557:16	439:22 471:22
428:13 430:11	tech 393:7,11,16	terms 401:8 405:2	500:15 506:1
435:6 436:10,19	393:18 605:21	405:5,8 510:1	509:1,8 512:8,18
447:11 448:4	technical 522:1	610:18 641:24	525:15 582:9
457:8 471:7	523:21 605:20	testified 375:19	584:1 586:25
501:13 542:11	techniques 519:20	399:13 522:6,14	593:18 598:7,8,12
556:12 597:15	543:1,4 679:21	560:15,18 583:9	609:6,25 610:1
659:22 660:8	teleconference	584:16 628:14,23	621:17 631:3
676:19	368:7,16 369:7	629:16 632:9	637:9 660:13
talked 427:14	371:20 372:3,13	650:21 679:3	663:7,12 667:3
461:18,20 514:18	tell 375:17 376:19	testify 400:5	668:23 670:5
558:10 567:23,24	377:17 386:19	401:14,17 476:17	680:25 681:3
603:12 607:13	396:8 423:16	509:4 522:23	theirs 565:25
617:3 624:8 661:6	430:14 465:25	597:21	themes 577:4
664:23	466:14 480:10,18	testifying 400:12	theory 436:22
talking 401:7	481:20 489:11	460:13 522:25	thing 397:25 400:8
403:5 415:8	494:4 498:4	629:6	508:10 579:25
418:18 422:3,4	504:24 517:22	testimony 377:8	580:9 592:25
428:2 433:17	520:12 521:24	394:11 399:1,3,11	623:18
435:3 439:24	525:17,19 543:6,7	399:17 401:1,11	thing's 672:14
444:12,24 458:2	543:8 544:21	428:10 431:15	things 392:1
464:4 471:5	561:18 567:14	490:11,15 494:6	397:14,22,22
487:24 500:24	572:3 576:10	495:5,16 507:23	398:1 399:10
513:22 523:5	577:8 579:5,16	508:22 514:19	401:11 425:20
537:18 549:3	601:13 613:1,1	539:10 549:15	427:22 455:15
563:8,12 578:14	636:18 646:2	560:7,19 581:10	458:9 459:6
588:11 591:22	647:22 651:16	591:13 593:4	460:16 479:12
592:21 605:1	telling 385:10,12	603:14 610:16	490:10,18 491:25
607:4 610:17	409:9 509:20	619:15,17 620:23	492:23 495:20
613:7,23,25	520:14 537:25	624:2 627:1,4,25	523:5 532:1 546:6
627:24 628:4	623:5	628:20 629:11,11	569:21 599:9
629:6 635:6	ten 492:9	629:18,19 657:20	634:15 654:10
		661:16 665:6	

[think - tower] Page 55

think 381:23	538:10 549:4	494:25,25 496:2	626:3 628:13,23
394:16 398:22	560:11 574:3	496:14 499:7,15	628:24 629:16
400:10 405:20	threat 404:2	512:7,10,24	632:10
415:10 416:19	596:21 629:2	513:19 514:15,19	title 373:24 374:2
419:1,7 425:12	three 367:23 370:4	514:24,25 517:18	405:17 576:16
435:1 440:24	390:4 413:14	517:23 520:17	today 375:23
443:2,3 447:23,24	414:6 415:18,19	521:14 522:2,14	376:5,20 394:11
461:6 462:18,19	421:16 422:7,8	524:19 525:2	583:14 587:2
485:23 489:21	424:13 444:12	528:16 530:13	589:12 591:13
496:15 497:18	455:14 456:3	535:25 537:16	593:7 600:6
513:22 514:2,18	535:21 538:25	538:13 541:20	610:16 617:3
515:22 522:5	539:23 550:2	555:2,10,18 556:3	619:1
524:20,22 536:8,8	563:24 564:1	556:19 560:2	today's 375:6
536:8 537:4,6,16	588:12 633:10	562:1,6,18 565:14	681:5
537:24 538:8	thrill 456:14	565:15 566:20	token 459:11
540:23 546:2	throwing 455:20	568:1,6,9,18 569:9	630:1 651:24
548:19 552:19	464:18 471:15	569:13 570:23	661:5
554:12 563:6	thumbnail 381:18	571:2,25 572:12	told 385:9 445:5
574:6,8 578:4,5	tick 397:5,5,5,5,6	572:22 574:13	453:9 455:22
579:1,23 580:1,7	ticket 511:4	575:5,8 578:18	458:1 460:1,23
580:10 581:2,3,4	645:12	581:15 582:14,19	466:11 479:4
598:3 602:1	tickets 645:1	583:1,25 591:2	489:3 494:2 522:5
606:12 611:19	ticking 397:5	593:19 596:7	532:1 536:8 537:6
612:11 614:12	tied 424:25	600:8 603:11	537:16 562:17
615:17 620:18	tight 561:17	604:11 609:13,18	567:17 579:7
623:18,21 630:24	till 572:22	610:13 615:1	659:16 669:14,15
631:4 646:5	time 375:6,23	619:10 624:13	ton 642:17
652:12,16,17	381:24 384:3,17	626:11,12 629:1	tool 402:1 404:3
663:24 670:13	384:25 385:3	631:2,9,14 641:16	404:12 409:1
672:22 676:11	389:18 391:16,18	653:22 663:11	491:23 499:16,17
678:17 680:23	393:5,17,19	670:18,19 680:24	547:24 589:24
thinking 457:1	394:18 398:23,24	681:7	top 385:19 413:12
606:12	404:18 409:20,25	timely 519:15	429:5 624:15
third 453:3 457:18	423:21 424:15	times 396:5	topic 608:25
457:24 567:14	427:6,10 431:1,4	399:16,21 400:1,9	total 497:5
665:21	448:14 449:9	400:11 431:15	totality 461:1
thirty 369:8	462:8 467:1 470:1	479:23 488:9	656:8
683:18	470:4 475:11,15	501:23 522:7,9	totally 633:3
thornburg 371:3	480:9 487:9	550:2 562:9 564:5	touhy 423:19
thought 402:9	488:10 489:1,6	568:7,8 580:15	tower 367:10
417:18 479:4	490:8 492:7 493:9	587:9 619:11	370:21

[town - unusual] Page 56

town 377:20,22	trial 405:4 508:25	422:7 428:13	449:11 468:14
toxic 387:1	tried 417:2 662:25	474:10 479:5	512:14 544:2
toxins 386:15,18	trigger 540:21,23	484:21 520:25	610:22 625:21
track 437:10	550:14 551:9,10	539:23 564:1,4	627:19 633:8
tracking 493:13	552:13,20,21	588:12 600:12	654:15 657:24
636:20 672:7	triumph 634:17	626:16 665:19,23	672:15 679:6
trade 651:4,18	trouble 657:22	676:22 678:3	understanding
652:1,10	troubled 616:14	tylenol 585:9	402:8 576:15
trafficking 389:2	true 461:16 465:8	type 407:14	577:1 672:2
597:6	465:16 466:3	419:22 425:21,21	understood
tragic 396:9,10	575:1 577:1	473:14 518:4,25	401:19 451:14
trained 438:13	591:18,21 592:5	519:13 531:24	524:19 531:5
548:20	599:21 622:6	547:4 585:15	629:1
training 547:17	675:2 682:9	types 440:17	undertaken 656:7
transaction	truly 529:8	459:14 467:20	undertreated
558:12 569:5	trusted 445:25	520:5 585:6	500:17 501:3
transactions 615:5	truth 375:17,18,18	typically 537:7	underwent 393:14
transcribed 684:7	414:11	u	undoubtedly
transcript 374:25	try 439:25 468:2	u.s. 366:7 400:21	434:5,11,13
589:5 620:19	491:12 504:15	429:2 478:6 623:2	unduly 599:13
621:21 673:1	507:11 510:8		unfortunately
0=1:=1 0 / 0 / 1	307.11 310.0	622.2 / 620.2 8	unioi tunately
683:11,12 684:5	610:15 654:9	623:3,4 629:2,8	382:11
		661:8	_
683:11,12 684:5	610:15 654:9	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18	382:11
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24	382:11 uniquely 650:5
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred 387:18 388:23	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16 581:5,6 615:16	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2 ultimate 389:25	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14 405:18 429:10
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred 387:18 388:23 389:7 390:4	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16 581:5,6 615:16 643:24,25 644:10	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2 ultimate 389:25 ultimately 493:10	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14 405:18 429:10 430:19 435:4
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred 387:18 388:23 389:7 390:4 transition 427:17	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16 581:5,6 615:16 643:24,25 644:10 678:6	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2 ultimate 389:25 ultimately 493:10 uncomfortable	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14 405:18 429:10 430:19 435:4 535:24 579:20
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred 387:18 388:23 389:7 390:4 transition 427:17 500:11	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16 581:5,6 615:16 643:24,25 644:10 678:6 tucker 368:8	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2 ultimate 389:25 ultimately 493:10 uncomfortable 627:22	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14 405:18 429:10 430:19 435:4 535:24 579:20 580:4 630:7
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred 387:18 388:23 389:7 390:4 transition 427:17 500:11 transitioning	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16 581:5,6 615:16 643:24,25 644:10 678:6 tucker 368:8 tuckerellis.com	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2 ultimate 389:25 ultimately 493:10 uncomfortable 627:22 undercover 679:8	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14 405:18 429:10 430:19 435:4 535:24 579:20 580:4 630:7 633:11
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred 387:18 388:23 389:7 390:4 transition 427:17 500:11 transitioning 428:3	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16 581:5,6 615:16 643:24,25 644:10 678:6 tucker 368:8 tuckerellis.com 368:10	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2 ultimate 389:25 ultimately 493:10 uncomfortable 627:22 undercover 679:8 679:14,16 680:3,8	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14 405:18 429:10 430:19 435:4 535:24 579:20 580:4 630:7 633:11 units 630:6,21
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred 387:18 388:23 389:7 390:4 transition 427:17 500:11 transitioning 428:3 transmitted 668:6	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16 581:5,6 615:16 643:24,25 644:10 678:6 tucker 368:8 tuckerellis.com 368:10 tuned 461:8	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2 ultimate 389:25 ultimately 493:10 uncomfortable 627:22 undercover 679:8 679:14,16 680:3,8 understand 376:2	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14 405:18 429:10 430:19 435:4 535:24 579:20 580:4 630:7 633:11 units 630:6,21 university 377:24
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred 387:18 388:23 389:7 390:4 transition 427:17 500:11 transitioning 428:3 transmitted 668:6 transparent 579:2	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16 581:5,6 615:16 643:24,25 644:10 678:6 tucker 368:8 tuckerellis.com 368:10 tuned 461:8 turn 452:13 532:4	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2 ultimate 389:25 ultimately 493:10 uncomfortable 627:22 undercover 679:8 679:14,16 680:3,8 understand 376:2 376:6 386:3 392:3	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14 405:18 429:10 430:19 435:4 535:24 579:20 580:4 630:7 633:11 units 630:6,21 university 377:24 377:25 379:17,19
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred 387:18 388:23 389:7 390:4 transition 427:17 500:11 transitioning 428:3 transmitted 668:6 transparent 579:2 treatment 501:14	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16 581:5,6 615:16 643:24,25 644:10 678:6 tucker 368:8 tuckerellis.com 368:10 tuned 461:8 turn 452:13 532:4 595:2 631:4	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2 ultimate 389:25 ultimately 493:10 uncomfortable 627:22 undercover 679:8 679:14,16 680:3,8 understand 376:2 376:6 386:3 392:3 392:21 395:25	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14 405:18 429:10 430:19 435:4 535:24 579:20 580:4 630:7 633:11 units 630:6,21 university 377:24 377:25 379:17,19 unnecessary
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred 387:18 388:23 389:7 390:4 transition 427:17 500:11 transitioning 428:3 transmitted 668:6 transparent 579:2 treatment 501:14 treatments 501:18	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16 581:5,6 615:16 643:24,25 644:10 678:6 tucker 368:8 tuckerellis.com 368:10 tuned 461:8 turn 452:13 532:4 595:2 631:4 tv 381:2 383:6	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2 ultimate 389:25 ultimately 493:10 uncomfortable 627:22 undercover 679:8 679:14,16 680:3,8 understand 376:2 376:6 386:3 392:3 392:21 395:25 405:7 430:13	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14 405:18 429:10 430:19 435:4 535:24 579:20 580:4 630:7 633:11 units 630:6,21 university 377:24 377:25 379:17,19 unnecessary 438:25
683:11,12 684:5 684:12 685:5,11 685:17 transcription 682:8 transcripts 561:2 transferred 387:18 388:23 389:7 390:4 transition 427:17 500:11 transitioning 428:3 transmitted 668:6 transparent 579:2 treatment 501:14 tree 429:14 441:3	610:15 654:9 656:16 662:17 trying 382:15 393:7 452:22 456:22 459:19 464:13,15 504:4 506:24 550:16 581:5,6 615:16 643:24,25 644:10 678:6 tucker 368:8 tuckerellis.com 368:10 tuned 461:8 turn 452:13 532:4 595:2 631:4 tv 381:2 383:6 397:2	661:8 uh 418:21 531:18 536:2,6 538:15,24 539:2,5 540:14 594:2 595:15 596:22 600:7 613:15,18 615:9 617:8 619:2 ultimate 389:25 ultimately 493:10 uncomfortable 627:22 undercover 679:8 679:14,16 680:3,8 understand 376:2 376:6 386:3 392:3 392:21 395:25	382:11 uniquely 650:5 unit 387:15 united 364:1 366:8 372:9,9,10,11 373:24 390:20,21 399:17 400:14 405:18 429:10 430:19 435:4 535:24 579:20 580:4 630:7 633:11 units 630:6,21 university 377:24 377:25 379:17,19 unnecessary 438:25 unnerving 400:11

[unusual - want] Page 57

w 67:13 371:6 er 368:17 489:12 :25 665:17 d 626:4 :13 e 624:2 ed 683:19 een 371:14 :14 :14 :14 :14 :15 :17 :18 :19 :19 :19 :19 :19 :19 :19 :19
er 368:17 489:12 :25 665:17 d 626:4 :13 : 624:2 ed 683:19 een 371:14 :14 eens 490:2 :13 er 389:19,20 :2
er 368:17 489:12 :25 665:17 d 626:4 :13 : 624:2 ed 683:19 een 371:14 :14 eens 490:2 :13 er 389:19,20 :2
489:12 :25 665:17 d 626:4 :13 : 624:2 ed 683:19 reen 371:14 :14 reens 490:2 :13 er 389:19,20 :2
:25 665:17 d 626:4 :13 : 624:2 :d 683:19 :een 371:14 :14 :eens 490:2 :13 :r 389:19,20 :2
d 626:4 :13 : 624:2 :d 683:19 :een 371:14 :14 :eens 490:2 :13 :r 389:19,20 :2
:13 : 624:2 :d 683:19 :een 371:14 :14 :eens 490:2 :13 :r 389:19,20 :2
e 624:2 ed 683:19 een 371:14 :14 eens 490:2 :13 er 389:19,20 :2
een 371:14 :14 :eens 490:2 :13 er 389:19,20 :2
een 371:14 :14 :eens 490:2 :13 er 389:19,20 :2
:14 reens 490:2 :13 er 389:19,20 :2
reens 490:2 :13 er 389:19,20 :2
:13 er 389:19,20 :2
er 389:19,20 :2
:2
art 368:11
:4 676:8
377:3,4,5
:19 385:22
:17,25 396:8
:15 399:8
:16 410:18
:7 415:23,24
:6 421:6
:14,15 425:25
:1 427:20,23
:8 449:10
:22 452:6
:25 471:2
:14 487:3
:11 490:9
:1 495:18
:19 508:14
:11 577:15
:24 598:2
:12 620:11
:23 636:24
:21 648:7
:25 660:2,24
:22 670:17

[want - witness] Page 58

673:9,14 674:19	634:9 635:23	whiddon 367:7	432:25 433:11	
676:14	644:1,2 646:14	whitaker 587:7,10	434:18 436:3,8,21	
wanted 391:18	647:17,22 653:19	587:15 588:8,13	438:18 439:4,20	
399:6 433:3	669:24 676:25	588:16 589:1,9	441:16 444:15,21	
442:13 448:1	680:18	whitelaw 603:25	447:16 448:20	
464:25 510:2	ways 457:11	wholesale 674:6	450:2 451:24	
568:24 569:2,4,5,8	518:19	674:23	452:16,21 457:10	
680:7	wc.com 369:15,15	wide 445:14 624:4	458:18 459:8,18	
wants 619:6	369:16	william 370:20	460:21 461:12	
669:13	we've 429:24	william.davison	466:6,13,22	
warn 466:17	450:22 454:9	370:23	467:11 468:6	
warrants 546:13	486:20 580:10	williams 369:11	470:24 471:8	
548:22	581:2,3,3 671:12	willing 662:16	472:13 473:22	
wash 386:13	weaken 635:8	wind 549:9 673:3	474:15 475:6	
washington	weakened 402:2	windbreakers	476:9 477:3,12,20	
364:19 365:10	weaknesses 498:2	385:8,18	480:7,23 481:16	
366:21 368:21	wear 385:24 386:9	wise 393:18	482:14,21 483:10	
369:14,20 370:9	wearing 646:24	462:18	483:21 484:24	
370:17 374:12	web 578:20 630:18	wish 458:10,12	485:15,23 486:7	
375:9 389:12	website 501:2	withdrawn 516:11	486:16 489:6	
397:20 398:5	weekends 668:21	516:23,24	490:9,24 491:20	
589:9 634:16	weeks 571:3	withheld 597:17	494:23 495:8,24	
635:2	weinberger 372:8	597:18 598:4,6,11	497:22 500:23	
watch 477:17	weird 625:23	withhold 669:24	501:8,21 502:3,13	
495:12 497:17	weiss 371:19	withholding 599:7	503:5,14 504:2,13	
570:10,23	welcome 609:8	witness 366:3	505:4,21 506:23	
watching 570:9	welfare 447:7,13	375:14 383:11,17	507:10,22 508:2,3	
way 377:8 380:12	670:1	386:22 392:24	508:21,21 509:3	
395:9,10 397:1	went 377:22,24	393:5 394:12	510:1,2,6 511:7,16	
398:15 403:1	379:16 388:12	397:12 398:22	511:22 512:5,7	
429:4 436:24,25	393:11 461:18	399:25 400:1,3,23	520:1,10 521:21	
437:11,14 441:24	464:6 524:11	401:22 402:19	525:15 528:20	
446:20,21 461:4	535:25 537:21	403:10,17 406:23	540:20 541:17	
461:22,22 462:9	566:11 584:14	407:9 408:18	543:6,22,25	
466:11 474:18	601:10 608:3,5,7,8	409:14 410:13	544:12,21 545:17	
495:4 499:20	670:11,23 671:22	412:23 413:17	545:25 546:19	
508:6 509:3,18	675:22,23 676:8	415:17 416:15	547:3 548:18	
538:5 570:21	west 366:8 367:4	420:1,21 421:2,20	549:16 550:4	
577:22 599:25	368:17 371:16	422:21 423:9	551:24 552:19	
600:3 613:13	387:21	424:11 426:14	553:12 554:12	
623:1 632:18		429:8,22 432:10	560:8,24 561:6,9	
Varitavt I agal Salutions				

[witness - younger] Page 59

	T	I	I
561:18,21 567:9	685:1,4,15	works 446:21,22	X
573:25 575:7	witnesses 679:9	448:6	x 388:9 613:14,17
576:6,18,21	witness' 683:14	world 390:20	614:5,9,14 615:6
577:20 582:10	wml 367:16	654:1,1	615:14,21
592:16 596:2,4,6	woman 633:8	worldwide 390:15	,
599:1 601:22	word 410:18 415:2	worn 514:4	y 1 407 2 400 21
602:12,25 603:13	415:2 421:6	worried 404:9	yeah 407:3 408:21
604:13 606:11	445:18 448:14	worry 415:25	408:21,21 417:7
609:8,24 610:1	449:2 464:24	423:15	431:18 456:2,8
611:19 612:10	515:22 534:5,22	worthless 570:17	481:3 501:2 530:6
616:22 617:23	646:15	wright 536:15	536:21 543:16
619:18,23 620:8	words 412:16	write 394:24	560:25 582:2
621:1 627:13,21	434:11 455:21	459:25 508:7	588:15 604:13
629:5 632:17,25	509:17 510:4	511:3 576:21	607:12 608:11
633:16 634:2,12	526:21,23,25	645:12 658:4	611:10 613:12
635:18 636:1,3,25	527:4 528:5 534:5	665:16	618:10 619:23
637:4,18,22 638:2	534:7,10,13,16,19	writing 398:1	621:2 623:11
638:20 639:6,13	643:17 669:9	433:7,22 449:21	633:18 639:2,2
639:15,21 640:3	wore 385:13	478:19 505:7,9	640:3 642:11
640:10,23 641:3	work 379:2 380:12	508:18 509:4	645:24 648:17,19
641:14,21 642:11	387:9,15,16	625:13	669:9
643:9,21 644:10	393:11 399:23	writings 450:23	year 379:24
645:6,15 646:12	410:6 503:17,17	written 402:7	474:10 568:13,15
646:18 647:14	533:5 561:17	403:2 486:3	579:9 580:22
650:1,13 651:13	570:9,10 584:8	507:25 529:11	582:4 604:20
651:23 653:22	596:11,12 605:21	530:8,11,14,20	605:23 625:24
654:5 656:13,24	618:9 619:5 668:9	531:22 548:8	626:4,14 630:18
657:9,16 658:20	670:11,23 671:23	563:2,15,18,19,23	662:13,14 678:14
659:3 660:19	675:22,23 676:22	567:10 568:20,23	678:23
661:3,19 662:20	worked 380:18	571:7 572:20,23	years 432:13
664:9 665:8 666:4	381:15 384:6	573:1 575:14	433:3 442:4
666:19 667:19	387:13,14 399:10	584:22 606:23	474:11 480:16
668:3,20 669:21	635:12 668:4,5	645:1,14	539:1 571:18
670:3 671:4,11	worker 668:15	wrong 426:16	599:21
672:10 674:12,14	working 381:5	497:19,20 620:14	yonker 604:2
674:18 675:18	383:23 393:6	655:15 658:16	york 366:13
676:2 677:5,10	398:5 462:10	676:16	367:11,11,19,19
678:10 679:25	487:10 502:18	wrote 462:9 479:2	367:24,24 372:4,4
680:21 681:3	561:8 668:17	489:17 659:15	377:21,21
682:4,6,10 683:8	671:22 676:25	665:19,21	younger 646:3
683:11 684:1,4,11			

[zip - zuckerman.com]

Page 60

Z

zip 630:12,16 zone 510:22 511:2 511:10,19 644:23 zones 510:21 zoo 664:16 zuckerman 369:18 zuckerman.com 369:21

Veritext Legal Solutions

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.